

PeerScout: Diversifying peer review with data and machine learning

Daniel Ecer, Data Scientist, eLife Emmy Tsang, Innovation Community Manager, eLife

csv,conf,v5









Helping scientists accelerate discovery by operating a platform for research communication that encourages and recognises the most responsible behaviours in science

The science publishing process







Finding the right editors and referees for a manuscript





"We found a homophilic interaction between the demographics of the gatekeepers and authors in determining the outcome of peer review; that is, gatekeepers favor manuscripts from authors of the same gender and from the same country."

– Murray et al., 2019

Murray, D., Siler, K., Lariviére, V., Chan, W. M., Collings, A. M., Raymond, J., & Sugimoto, C. R. (2019). Gender and international diversity improves equity in peer review. *BioRxiv*, 400515.



Also see Early-career Reviewers: Reflections on focused inclusion in reviews at eLife



øeLife

PeerScout v1: Overview



PeerScout v1: Suggested reviewers

	Q								
Review Time:	Overall: 5.4 days (avg over 4 reviews), 0 reviews in progress, 0 reviews awaiting response, 0 reviews declined Last 12 months: n/a								
Scores:	100 (max across manuscripts)								
	(early career reviewer) ORCID Crossref								
Review Time:	Overall: 6.6 days (avg over 3 reviews), 0 reviews in progress, 0 reviews awaiting response, 1 review declined Last 12 months: 7.3 days (avg over 2 reviews), 0 reviews in progress, 0 reviews awaiting response, 1 review declined								
Author of:									
Scores:	(max across manuscripts)								



PeerScout v1: User feedback

User feedback



"I don't know / have never heard of this person."

"Wrong area of expertise."



Lessons learnt from PeerScout v1

We were trying to kill too many birds with one stone: getting editors to use and trust this new AI tool, adding early career reviewers (ECRs) to the reviewing process

With v2, we need to:

- Find a way to benchmark the performance of PeerScout, on a technical level
- Improve UI and information displayed to gain editors' trust
- Add ECR information and recommendations into the tool but in a way that does not interfere with the above two goals



PeerScout v2: Workflow



Build profile based on papers handled



PeerScout v2: Evaluation





PeerScout v2: User interface



Name 🛛 🔸	Availability	Subject Areas	Keywords	Research Organisms	Research Interests	Website	Matching Keywords
long Mapel and	1000.0100	Neuroscience, Human Biology and Medicine	synaptic transmission, synaptic plasticity, ligand-gated ion channels, hippocampus, oifactory bulb, epilepsy, in vitro physiology, activity- dependent gene expression	Mouse		101 per sta at lan	synapsis, synaptic, neuron, presynaptic, spine, brain, mouse, dendritic, dendritic spine, synaptic remodeling, active, transgenic, transgenic mouse, filopodia, microglia, fluorescent, healthy, elevated, label, target, duration, process, result, generation, turnover, mature, contact, head
Long Ballion	1010 0.004	Immunology and Inflammation	innate immunity, response to cell death, macrophage biology	Human, Mouse		1980 million and a	dendritic, mouse, result

What we have learnt

- Al/machine learning/big data solutions serve little value if they are not designed to meet user needs
- Working closely with users (our editorial community) allows us to respond and make changes to address their concerns their support is crucial in this process
- Tackle problems one-by-one to better prioritise and measure performance





In the future

- Explore concept extraction from papers
- Expand recommendations to not only past reviewers and ECR lists, but the wider scientific community
- Explore alternative data sources to build profiles, e.g. authored papers
- Turn this around: editors to see a list of recommended papers that they can potentially handle?



Thank you!

Daniel Ecer Data Scientist, eLife

Emmy Tsang Innovation Community Manager, eLlfe innovation@elifesciences.org t @eLifeInnovation / @emmy_ft Sprint 2020: elifesci.org/sprint-2020

Preprint review: elifesci.org/preprint-review

Stay updated: elifesci.org/tech-news

Labs: elifesci.org/labs

Supplementary information

Editor Search



Name 🛛 🔸	Availability	Subject Areas	Keywords	Research Organisms	Research Interests	Website	PubMed profile	Most publi	relevar cations	it PubN	led	
201	33*	Computational and Systems Biology, Physics of Living Systems	systems biology, gene regulatory networks, immune system, population genetics	Zebrafish		215824	PubMed		2	3	4	5
inite in the	'stor'	Neuroscience	auditory processing, auditory perception, multisensory integration, sensory coding, adaptation, perceptual learning, in vivo physiology, neuroanatomy, psychophysics	Human, Mouse		20224	<u>PubMed</u>		2	3	4	5
Maria	100	Cell Biology	cytoskeletal dynamics, microtubule- binding proteins, microtubule- based motors	Human, Mouse		1000	PubMed	1	2	3	4	5

ECR Reviewer Search

🍪 eLife	Early-Career Reviewer search			Filter by ECR Name	•	Filter by Nominating/Relevant Editor	~	<u>Help</u>			
Filter by subject areas:	Subject Area 1 -	AND	Subject Area 2								
Filter by keywords:	Keyword 1	AND	Keyword 2	•							

