

MERIT RESEARCH JOURNALS

www.meritresearchiournals.ora

Merit Research Journal of Business and Management (ISSN: 2408-7041) Vol. 8(2) pp. 028-031, April, 2020 Available online http://www.meritresearchjournals.org/bm/index.htm Copyright © 2020 Merit Research Journals

Review

Relationship between Safety Management Practices, Trust and Safety Performance in Construction sector of Pakistan: A Conceptual Framework

Muhammad Awais -e- Yazdan* and Zuraida Binti Hassan

Abstract

College of Business, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia (UUM), 06010 Sintok, Kedah DarulAman Malaysia

*Corresponding Author's E-mail: awais.yazdan@gmail.com

Safety performance is concerned to promote and maintain physical, mental and social health of employees. The condition in developing countries like Pakistan is worst relating to workplace safety. The objective of this study was to give the conceptual analysis of trust as moderator on the relationship between safety management practices and safety performance in construction sector of Pakistan. The framework suggested that organizations which provide safety management practices and workers which have trust on top management perform work safely and improve safety performance. The study illustrates the future directions and gives recommendations to organizations to improve safety at workplace.

Keywords: Construction, Safety management practices, Safety performance, Trust

INTRODUCTION

Injuries occurred at workplace have long-term consequences and its effects are in both social and economic terms to employees, employers, entities and also governments. International Labor Organization (2017) revealed that there were 2.78 million occupational deaths worldwide. Many occupational accidents liked Bhopal and Buncefield Oil Depot killed thousands of people at workplace (Broughton, 2005; Johnson, 2010). Many countries and organizations started taking interest in these kinds of occupational hazards as huge amount of cost involved in it (Ricardson and Impgaard, 2004).

The construction industry have worst condition regarding occupational accidents as it has high rate of workplace accidents ratio compared to other industries (Ahmed et al., 2017). Up to 25%, 40% and 50% workplace accidents were occurred in construction industries of United Kingdom, Japan and Ireland respectively (Haadir and Panuwatwanich, 2011). Pakistan is also facing the bad condition of occupational

accidents in constructions industry. Pakistan stands in the list of worst countries relating to workers and ranked four on five point scale (Dawn October 15, 2018). The occupational accidents of construction industry are high and it is still increasing. By comparing the occupational accidents of construction industry in 2009-2010 to 2014-2015 it rises from 14.3 % to 16.27% (Pakistan Bureau of Statistics).

The main cause of these occupational injuries is less awareness, no implementation of safety rules and procedures, lack of skills and co-operations from workers (Farooqui, 2012; Farooqui et al., 2008). The increasing percentage of occupational accidents clearly indicates the alarming situation of this industry and it is compulsory to find out the solution of this problem. Numerous studies have proven that safety management practices enhance safety performance (Vinodkumar and Bhasi, 2010: Subramaniam et al., 2016: Jaafar et al., 2017). But a few claimed different results (Huang et al., 2012; Froko,

Maxwell and Kingsley, 2015). Therefore, this study attempts to give a framework on the relationship between safety management practices and safety performance with moderating effect of trust in construction sector of Pakistan.

Literature Review

Safety Performance

Safety performance is a major issue in construction sector of Pakistan. According to Pakistan Bureau of Statistics, the number of occupational accidents in construction sector is increasing rapidly. Only 4% of construction firms in Lahore, Pakistan have accidents reporting system, 19% have first aid facility and only 20% of laborers have personal protective equipment (Dawn October 15, 2018). Previously safety performance was a uni-dimensional concept (Peters, 1991). Although, many studies revealed that it has two (Andriessen, 1978), three (Pousette et al., 2008), four (Burke et al., 2002) and five (Tucker and Turner, 2011) dimensions. The current study following the concept of Griffin and Neal (2000), that safety performance has two dimensions: safety compliance and safety participation. Safety compliance is a behavior relating to safety tasks that is following regulations to safety and wearing safety shoes, gloves etc (Griffin and Neal, 2000). Whereas, safety participation is a voluntary participation of employees in safety related matters (Griffin and Neal, 2000). Therefore, relating to this study, construction workers are expected to wear safety shoes, gloves, safety glasses, safety helmets, masks and voluntary participation in safety activities to avoid fatal or non-fatal accidents.

Safety Management Practices

In organizations, safety management practices is used as tools to reduce workplace accidents (Labodova, 2004). According to Gershon et al. (2000), safety management practices minimize fatality rate using effective policies. Similarly, these are the procedures, regulations and activities to focus on safety of the workers (Vinodkumar and Bhasi, 2010). Therefore, six dimensions of safety management practices (Management Commitment, Workers Safety Training, Involvement. Safety Communication and Feedback, Safety Rules and Procedures and Safety Promotion Policies) are used in this conceptual analysis. Management commitment plays a key role in safety of organizations. Commitment of management is the actions to attain certain goals (Cooper, 2006). Previous studies revealed relationship between management commitment and safety outcomes (Zohar, 1980; Yule. Murdy, 2007). Organizations should give training to their

employees if they want them to participate in the safety programs. According to Vinodkumar and Bhasi (2010), safety training is the major component to predict safety performance. Farooqui et al. (2008) argued that workers relating to construction industry must attain training for their safety. Similarly, workers involvement can influence worker's performance (Zheng et al., 2006). It is an involvement of worker to take responsibility and make workplace free from hazards (Geldart et al., 2005). Vinodkumar and Bhasi (2010) argued that workers involvement had direct relationship with performance. In addition, safety communication and feedback is a key predictor by giving information to organization's safety. Many studies (Vinodkumar and Bhasi, 2010: Griffin and Neal, 2000) revealed that safety performance is influenced by safety communication and feedback. Moreover, safety rules and procedure are the set of regulations given by the management to work safely in the organization. Safety rules and procedures is the important factor in promoting safety performance in employees (Diaz-Díaz-Cabrera et al., 2007: Lu and Yang, 2011). At last, safety promotion policies, like incentives and rewards motivate workers to accomplish their work safely. Previous studies revealed direct association between safety promotion policies and safety related outcomes (Keffane and Delhomme, 2013: Ali et al., 2009). There should be a proper reward system for workers that can enhance them to work safely.

Trust

Trust plays a very crucial role in safety studies (Mosher, 2013: Cheng et al., 2015). Conchie and Donald, (2009) argued that trust put a positive influence on safety of subordinates. Trust has an impact in safety models but it has been examined rarely in safety climate studies (Burns et al., 2006). Reason, (1997) reported that trust is necessary in reporting process between managers and workers. Trust creates a better safety attitude and it has effects on safety in high risky organizations (Conchie et al., 2006). Lazányi, (2016) revealed that trust influence organization's safety both directly and indirectly. In view of this study individuals in construction have to work safely, following the safety practices to avoid any unsafe act and there should be an element of trust between top management and subordinates to enhance safety at workplace.

Theoretical and Practical Implication

Theoretically, this study adds in literature by providing trust as a moderator in relationship between safety management practices and safety performance in construction sector of Pakistan. Practically, this study also has some benefits by guiding, practitioners,

bureaucracy and researchers to improve workplace safety. Trust could play an important role practically, by strengthening the relationship between top management and worker in construction sector.

CONCLUSION, LIMITATION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

The study provides the moderating effect of trust in relationship between safety management practices and safety performance in construction sector of Pakistan. Due to increasing rate of injuries in construction there is a need to test these relationships as individuals with high trust are expected to work safely and improve safety performance. This study also has some limitations and as a result provides opportunities for future research. Future study needs empirical investigation by expanding scope on the relationship between safety management, trust and safety performance as most studies were conducted in developed and other Asian countries. There is need to conduct research in Pakistani context.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed I, Shaukat MZ, Usman A, Nawaz MM, Nazir MS (2017).

 Occupational health and safety issues in the informal economic segment of Pakistan: a survey of construction sites. Int. J. Occupational Safety and Ergonomics, 1-11.
- Al Haadir S, Panuwatwanich K (2011). Critical success factors for safety program implementation among construction companies in Saudi Arabia. Procedia engineering, 14, 148-155.
- Ali H, Azimah CAN, Subramaniam C (2009). Management practice in safety culture and its influence on workplace injury: An industrial study in Malaysia. Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal, 18(5), 470-477.
- Andriessen J. H. T. H. (1978). Safe behaviour and safety motivation. Journal of Occupational Accidents, 1(4), 363-376.
- Broughton, E. (2005). The Bhopal disaster and its aftermath: a review. Environmental Health, 4(1), 6.
- Burke MJ, Sarpy SA, Tesluk PE, SMITH-CROWE K. R. I. S. T. I. N. (2002). General safety performance: A test of a grounded theoretical model. Personnel Psychology, 55(2), 429-457.
- Burns C, Mearns K, McGeorge P (2006). Explicit and implicit trust within safety culture. Risk analysis, 26(5), 1139-1150.
- Cheng ÉW, Kelly S, Ryan N (2015). Use of safety management practices for improving project performance. Int. J. Injury control and safety promotion, 22(1), 33-39.
- Conchie SM, Donald IJ (2009). The moderating role of safety-specific trust on the relation between safety-specific leadership and safety citizenship behaviors. J. Occup. Health Psycho. 14(2), 137.
- Conchie SM, Donald IJ, Taylor PJ (2006). Trust: Missing piece (s) in the safety puzzle. Risk Analysis, 26(5), 1097-1104.
- Cooper D (2006, March). The impact of management's commitment on employee behavior: A field study. In Proceedings of the 7th Professional Development Conference & Exhibition, Kingdom of Bahrain (pp. 40-47).
- Díaz-Cabrera D, Hernandez-Fernaud E, Isla-Díaz R (2007). An evaluation of a new instrument to measure organisational safety culture values and practices. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 39(6), 1202-1211.
- Farooqui RU (2012). An exploratory study probing into the factors causing safety non-performance in the Pakistani Construction Industry. In 45th Institute of Engineers Convention, Pakistan (pp. 1-12).

- Farooqui, R. U., Arif, F., &Rafeeqi, S. F. A. (2008, August). Safety performance in construction industry of Pakistan. In First International Conference on Construction in Developing Countries (Vol. 1, pp. 74-87).
- Froko IUF, Maxwell A, Kingsley N (2015). The impact of safety climate on safety performance in a gold mining company in Ghana. Int. J. Manag. Excellence, 5(1), 946-947.
- Geldart S, Shannon HS, Lohfeld L (2005). Have companies improved their health and safety approaches over the last decade? A longitudinal study. Am. J. Ind. Med. 47(3), 227-236.
- Gershon RR, Karkashian CD, Grosch JW, Murphy LR, Escamilla-Cejudo A, Flanagan PA, Martin L (2000). Hospital safety climate and its relationship with safe work practices and workplace exposure incidents. American journal of infection control, 28(3), 211-221.
- Griffin MA, Neal A (2000). Perceptions of safety at work: a framework for linking safety climate to safety performance, knowledge, and motivation. J. Occup. Health Psychol. 5(3), 347.
- Hamalainen Takala and Kiat. (2017). 2017 Global Estimates of Occupational Accidents and Work-related Illnesses. Retrieved from
- http://www.icohweb.org/site/images/news/pdf/Report%20Global%20Esti mates%20of%20Occupational%20Accidents%20and%20Workrelate d%20Illnesses%202017%20rev1.pdf
- http://www.pbs.gov.pk/sites/default/files//Labour%20Force/publications/lfs2014_15/t33-pak.pdf
- http://www.pbs.gov.pk/sites/default/files//Labour%20Force/publications/lfs2014_15/t33-pak.pdf
- Huang YH, Verma SK, Chang WR, Courtney TK, Lombardi DA, Brennan MJ, Perry MJ (2012). Management commitment to safety vs. employee perceived safety training and association with future injury. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 47, 94-101.
- Jaafar SB, Choong WW, Mohamed AHB (2017). Facilities maintenance employees' priority of safety management practices: A research study in Malaysia. Facilities, 35(5/6), 319-334.
- Johnson DM (2010). The potential for vapour cloud explosions—Lessons from the Buncefield accident. Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, 23(6), 921-927.
- Keffane S, Delhomme P (2013). Assessing the mediating role of communication in safety management and performance for road safety practices: French organizations model. Proceedings Book, 26.
- Labodová A (2004). Implementing integrated management systems using a risk analysis based approach. J. Cleaner Prod. 12(6), 571-580
- Lazányi K (2016, May). Who do you trust?-Safety aspect of interpersonal trust among young adults with work experience. In 2016 IEEE 11th International Symposium on Applied Computational Intelligence and Informatics (SACI) (pp. 349-354). IEEE
- Lu CS, Yang CS (2011). Safety climate and safety behavior in the passenger ferry context. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 43(1), 329-341.
- Mosher GA (2013). Trust, safety, and employee decision-making: A review of research and discussion of future directions. J. Technol. Manag. Appl. Eng. 29(1), 2.
- Pakistan Bureau of Statistics. (2009-2010). Labor Force Survey (2009-2010). Government of Pakistan Statistics Division. Retrieved from http://www.pbs.gov.pk/sites/default/files/Labour%20Force/publications/lfs2009_10/t29.pdf
- Pakistan Bureau of Statistics. (2014-2015). Labor Force Survey (2014-2015). Government of Pakistan Statistics Division. Retrieved from
- Peters RH (1991). Strategies for encouraging self-protective employee behavior. J. Safety Res. 22(2), 53-70.
- Pousette A, Larsson S, Törner M (2008). Safety climate cross-validation, strength and prediction of safety behaviour. Safety Science, 46(3), 398-404.
- Reason JT (1997). Managing the risks of organizational accidents (Vol. 6): AshgateAldershot.
- Rikhardsson PM, Impgaard M (2004). Corporate cost of occupational accidents: an activity-based analysis. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 36(2), 173-182.
- Shah R (2018, October 15). Occupational Safety. Dawn, Retrieved from

- https://www.dawn.com/news/1439155
- Subramaniam C, Mohd. Shamsudin F, MohdZin ML, Sri Ramalu S, Hassan Z (2016). Safety management practices and safety compliance in small medium enterprises: Mediating role of safety participation. Asia-Pacific journal of business administration, 8(3), 226-244.
- Tucker S, Turner N (2011). Young worker safety behaviors: Development and validation of measures. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 43(1), 165-175.
- Vinodkumar MN, Bhasi M (2010). Safety management practices and safety behaviour: Assessing the mediating role of safety knowledge and motivation. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 42(6), 2082-2093.
- Yule S, Flin R, Murdy A (2006). The role of management and safety climate in preventing risk-taking at work. Int. J. Risk Assess. Manag. 7(2), 137-151.
- Zheng T, Salganik MJ, Gelman A (2006). How many people do you know in prison? Using overdispersion in count data to estimate social structure in networks. J. Ame. Stat. Assoc. 101(474), 409-423.
- Zohar, D. (1980). Safety climate in industrial organizations: theoretical and applied implications. J. Appl. Psychol. 65(1), 96.