A Survey About Raw Data Archival and Reuse in Chemical Crystallography

It is now common to deposit structure factors when publishing, which means that the small molecule crystallography community caters very well for routine structures. However this is generally only the case if everything in a raw image is fully and/or properly accounted for and the model is correct or appropriate. So for example, in some cases raw data may no longer be required, while in others it may be necessary to validate or 'do better' in the future. Moreover there are increasing pressures from bodies e.g. funders to make the data relating to research outputs Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable (FAIR). In acknowledgement of this situation and in order to begin addressing it, IUCr Journals now facilitate access to and citation of large raw diffraction datasets in its articles. Therefore it is important for our community understand and define how we manage our Raw Data* in this respect.

As Members of the IUCr Committee on Data we see the need to conduct this survey about exploring raw data archival practice and gathering opinions as to if/how raw data could/should be used if it were to be made more widely available.

* For the purposes of this survey work, we define Raw Data as a collection of single crystal diffraction images, along with the associated files and metadata necessary to interpret them.

The IUCr Committee on Data



1. If you don't archive raw data, what are the main reasons (please tick all that apply)? If you do archive your raw data, please proceed straight to the next question.

Check all that apply.



2. Where do you keep your raw data (please tick all that apply)?

Check all that apply.

The computer connected to the diffractometer
Removable media e.g. DVD, tape, USB hard drive
A computer / filestore in my laboratory
A computer / filestore in my office
A university/organization datastore
Online (cloud) datastore specifically for Higher Education
Commercial online (cloud) datastore e.g. Amazon
Other:

3. Do you manage your archive (please tick the closest option or give an alternative approach in the 'other' section)?

Mark only one oval.	No – it simply accumulates
	I use solely a 'live' archive (where data can be readily accessed)
	I use solely a 'dark' archive (where data is securely stored but requires time or effort to retrieve it)
	I use both a 'live' archive for recent data and a 'dark' archive for old data which doesn't need to be readily accessed
	I periodically group raw data together e.g. by year, by person
	I actively review whether historic data is required any more (and delete?)
	I assess whether it is possible to actually do anything with old data
	Other:

4. Is your raw data searchable (please tick the closest option and/or provide more details)?

By browsing only
By filename/sample identifier only
By high-level terms eg examiner name, date
By a range of terms, including some relating directly to the data eg unit cell parameters
Other:

5. Is your raw data externally available?

Mark only one oval.	Yes (e.g. open access; collaborators; other researchers requesting access)
	No

6. If it were to become Policy to make funded research raw diffraction data accessible after 3 years since measurement, would you endeavour to comply?

Mark only one oval.	Yes, I would do this for all my raw data
	Yes, but I would only do so in cases where I had to
	No

7. Do any of the following organisations who might have a controlling hand in your research have a policy that mandates you manage and/or share your raw data?

Check all that apply.
The research funder
My Higher Education Instution e.g. as implemented by the Library
My employer (not a HEI)
My department e.g. Grad School for theses
A Publisher that I submit to
I am not aware of there being a raw data policy/mandate for any aspect of what I have to do
Other:

8. Would you anticipate being able to pay for external archive/repository services and facilities e.g. through research grants or institutional funding

Mark only one oval.	Yes
	No
	Maybe

9. How likely is it that you will need to revisit raw data in your own work?

Mark only	Very likely	1	2	3	4	5	Highly unlikely
<i>only</i>							

one	 \bigcup	\bigcup	\bigcup	\bigcup	\bigcup	
oval.						

10. How likely is it that you will need to examine raw data when reviewing someone else's work?

Mark only	Very likely	1		2	\bigcirc	3		4		5		-	Highly unlikely
one			\bigcup	_	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	_	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	-	
oval.													

11. If you had access to a repository containing raw data, what would you want to use it for (please tick all that apply)?

Check all that apply.

Training datasets
Software/algorithm/methods development
To validate a result that you are reviewing
To validate a result that you wish to use / incorporate in your own work
To better understand a complicated result
For a new/extended study on a complicated result
Other:

12. There are some cases where publishing raw data may be necessary. Please tick all the cases that you agree would be worthwhile.

Check all that apply.

Validation: a result provides a contribution to chemical knowledge, but is poor quality
Validation: to support a 'grand' claim
To back up modelling of disorder, twinning, incommensurate, modulated structures
To back up modelling of diffuse scattering
To make available disorder, twinning, incommensurate, modulated, diffuse scattering datasets so others can
attempt to resolve them
To support 'Advanced Experiments' e.g. charge density, high pressure, phase transition, gas environment,
excited states
When it is clear that future improvement may be possible through developments in software & modelling
Training sets/benchmarking for software/methods developers
Other

13. The description that best fits my role is:

Mark only one oval.	Staff/service crystallographer at an academic research institution
	Principal Investigator Faculty member at a research institution
	Research faculty (non-tenure track) at an academic research institution
	Emeritus faculty member at an academic research institution
	Graduate student or post-doc at an academic research institution
	Staff/service crystallographer at an industrial/commercial organisation
	Researcher at an industrial institution
	Employee at a crystallographic software/equipment vendor company
	Other:

14. I come from

North America
South and Central America
Europe (including Russia)
Middle East
Africa
Asia
Australia, New Zealand and South Pacific territories