

TANGO Data Management Plan Version 4

A Data Management Plan created using DMPonline

Creators: Valesca Retel, Jasmin K. Böhmer, Edwin Cuppen

Affiliation: Other
Template: ZonMW
Grant number: 846001002
Last modified: 12-11-2019
English Version: 12-112019



Table of Contents

1. General features of the project and data collection	2
1.1 Project leader contact details	2
1.2 I have composed my DMP with the assistance of a data management expert	2
1.3 In collecting data for my project, I will do the following:	2
1.4 I will do human-related research.	3
1.5 I will reuse existing data and I have permission from the data owner / owners for the use of his / their data.	З
1.6 I will link existing data and I have made agreements with the data owner / owners for the link	4
1.7 When collecting data, I work together with other parties.	4
1.8 I foresee the following end products of the project and make these available for follow-up research and ver	rification4
1.9 I can make an estimate of the size of the data collection	5
1.10 During the project I have sufficient storage locations and capacity and I have a back-up of the data availa	ble7
2. Legislation (including privacy)	3
2.1 I will be doing research involving human subjects	3
2.2 I will be doing research involving human subjects,	3
2.3 I will be doing research involving human subjects,	g
2.4 I will stick to the privacy regulations of my organisation	g
3. Making data findable	10
3.1 The data collection of my project will be findable for subsequent research	10
3.2 I will use a metadata scheme for the description of my data collection.	1C
3.3 I will be using a persistent identifier as a permanent link to my data collection	10
4. Making data accessible	11
4.1 Once the project has ended, my data will be accessible for further research and verification	11
4.2 Once the project has ended, my data collection will be publicly accessible, without any restrictions (open a	access)11
4.3 I have a set of terms of use available to me	11
4.4 In the terms of use restricting access to my data	12
5. Making data interoperable	13
5.1 I will select a machine actionable data format	13
5.2 I will select a metadata standard to allow my data collection	13
5.3 I will be doing research involving human subjects, and I have taken into account the reuse of data	13
6. Making data reusable	14
6.1 I will ensure that the data and their documentation will be of sufficient quality	14
6.2 I have a number of selection criteria	14
6.3 Once the project has ended and the data has been selected	14
6.4 I will select an archive or repository for (certified) long-term archiving	15
6.5 Once the project has ended, I will uphold the recommended data preservation period of at least 10 years	15
6.6 Data management costs during the project	1.5



1. GENERAL FEATURES OF THE PROJECT AND DATA COLLECTION

1.1 PROJECT LEADER CONTACT DETAILS

Valesca Retèl, PhD Netherlands Cancer Institute Division PSOE Plesmanlaan 121 1066 CX Amsterdam The Netherlands tel: +31 20 512 6197

email: v.retel@nki.nl

1.2 I HAVE COMPOSED MY DMP WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A DATA MANAGEMENT EXPERT.

The expert is attached to my department/institute.

• The expert is from outside my department/institute.

Jasmin Böhmer - Data Steward Utrecht Bioinformatics Expertise Core Center for Molecular Medicine, University Medical Center Utrecht +31 88 75 680 82 j.k.bohmer@umcutrecht.nl bec@umcutrecht.nl

1.3 IN COLLECTING DATA FOR MY PROJECT, I WILL DO THE FOLLOWING:

- Using existing data (name)
- Generate new data
- Link data files (name)

Existing data:

- Genetic data: WGS Data from the CPCT-02 study will be used and provided through the Hartwig Medical Foundation (HMF). A data request has been made via the Data Access Board of the Center for Personalized Cancer Treatment (CPCT)/HMF and has been honored. The contract was concluded between HMF and Erasmus. Clinical data of the CPCT-02 patients will be provided by the relevant hospitals. EMC, Meander, NKI-AvL,

UMC Utrecht, AmsterdamUMC

- For clinical data in WP3 use will be made of Santeon database (confirmed and received),
- Dutch Association of Doctors for Lung Diseases and Tuberculosis (NVALT) (applied for)
- Dutch Melanoma Treatment Registry (DMTR) (confirmed and received).
- IKNL data
- Clinical data from UMCG and AmsterdamUMC for care use in NSCLC patients



New data:

- Analysis method for selection for immunotherpy based on Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS).
- Cost analysis with respect to sequencing and results
- Results from models of WP3,4,5
- Results of WP6 (ethical legal), reports on ethical/legal frameworks
- Questionnaires for patients on quality of life and toxicities. TANGO will record this in its own eCRF, the data remains property of NKI-AVL. At the end of the study we will see if this data can be combined with CPCT-02 to keep it available in the future.

Link data files:

- WGS result will be linked in the Pathologisch Anatomisch Landelijk Geautomatiseerd Archief (Palga)
- WGS data will be linked with the questionnaires
- WGS data will be linked to clinical data of the CPCT-02 patients of the hospitals concerned. EMC, Meander, NKI-AvL, UMC Utrecht. AmsterdamUMC
- IKNL data and data from NKI-AVL, Rijnstate, UMCG and AmsterdamUMC

Together with the PATH project we strive for cooperation in the use of data from the registrations of NVALT and DMTR, and the link with PALGA. We will also discuss the structure and the system we want to use for the inventory of cost data for the purpose of cost effectiveness analysis.

1.4 I WILL DO HUMAN-RELATED RESEARCH.

Yes

The questionnaires for patients regarding Quality of Life and toxicities

(Under the umbrella of the CPCT-02 human bound data is collected. We use the informed consent of the CPCT-02 study for the WGS data.)

For the questionnaires we use CPCT-02 logistics and TANGO is responsible for the questionnaires and takes care of the handling of privacy data in accordance with AVG and manages the questionnaires and ensures their distribution. Patient can indicate in IC of CPCT-02 whether he/she wants to participate in the questionnaires.

1.5 I WILL REUSE EXISTING DATA AND I HAVE PERMISSION FROM THE DATA OWNER / OWNERS FOR THE USE OF HIS / THEIR DATA.

- Yes, I have permission to use their data
- Yes, I have permission to use their data, but I have to destroy it after the end of the project.

- Clinical & Genetic:

Data from the CPCT-02 study will be used, these will be provided via Hartwig Medical Foundation (HMF, permission has been granted, research question TANGO falls within the objective of CPCT-02 study and consent given). For this purpose, a one-time data request will have to be made via the Data Access Board of the HMF (this will be requested in due course).

- For clinical data in WP3, use will be made of the Santeon database (permission is requested for TANGO questioning, there is a confirmation for other questioning outside TANGO),
- NVALT (in application)
- DMTR (permission granted)

- Update July 2019:

- 1) Whole Genome Sequencing Data (WGS): HMF data was approved and provided to EMC; VU/AMC
- 2) Clinical Data: the contractual situation between the EMC and their partnering hospitals is clear; the contractual situation between VU and their partnering hospitals is still under way
- 3) Patient Data Registries: the contracts between VU and DMTR, and Santeon are signed and the data transfer approved; the contract between VU and NVALT is still in application status



- 4) Costing Data: The contracts between UMCU and Rijnstate, and NKI are in place and the data is transferred; the contracts between UT and NKI, AND UMCU, and Rijnstate are in place an the data is transferred.
- 5) Quality of Life (QoL): the contractual situation between NKI and UMCU/CPCT is still under review; the contractual situation between NKI and CPCT for clinical data is still in review status.

1.6 I WILL LINK EXISTING DATA AND I HAVE MADE AGREEMENTS WITH THE DATA OWNER / OWNERS FOR THE LINK.

Yes

The linking of WGS results will be organised in this project with PALGA. A declaration of intent has been signed by HMF and PALGA for this purpose.

The linking of the clinical data and WGS data of the CPCT-02 patients has been arranged and falls within the CPCT-02 Informed Consent.

1.7 WHEN COLLECTING DATA, I WORK TOGETHER WITH OTHER PARTIES.

- Yes, the data to be collected are (partly) supplied by a partner of the project or supplier.
- Yes, I collect research data together with other researchers, research groups.
 - WGS data is supplied by HMF/CPCT-02.
 - Collection and generation of research data will take place within a Consortium, consisting of NKI, UMC Utrecht, University of Twente, Erasmus MC, MUMC+ and AmsterdamUMC.
 - Because the research takes place within a Consortium, a Consortium Agreement has been concluded between the participating centres.

1.8 I FORESEE THE FOLLOWING END PRODUCTS OF THE PROJECT AND MAKE THESE AVAILABLE FOR FOLLOW-UP RESEARCH AND VERIFICATION.

- (different versions of) Processed data
- Documentation about the data
- Documentation of the research process, including all parties involved

Raw sequencing data cannot be made available because it is the responsibility of HMF. This data has been requested via the HMF Data Access Board with a formal request (more information about the procedure and application forms can be found at www.hartwigmedicalfoundation.nl). HMF's bioinformatic pipeline is based on open source software and publicly available at GitHub (https://github.com/hartwigmedical/pipeline).

Explanation of the end products that we provide and that can be made available:

- Processed data: subset of WGS results
- Documentation: code book on methodology
- Protocols
- Possibly software related to models (www.anylogic.com)



1.9 I CAN MAKE AN ESTIMATE OF THE SIZE OF THE DATA COLLECTION

namely the number of participants or subjects ("n =") of the data collection and the size in gigabytes / terabytes.

Yes (name)

n = 400 NSCLC patients

The raw data and analysis files of the WGS data are managed by HMF. These can be viewed remotely if necessary, which does not make it necessary to copy many terabytes of data. The gVCF files are used for the actual analysis and must be stored within TANGO (at least until the analysis is completed). Original files are safe with HMF in an ISO/NEN7510 accredited private cloud (Schuberg-Phillis).

Calculation:

- 20Gb per patient for WGS analysis (gVCF) = 8TB
- Models: 5-10MB per model, about 5Gb in total
- Questionnaires in Word, analysis in SPSS, max 1 Gb (?) Total footprint:

8TB + 5Gb + 1Gb ~> 8TB

Institution Acronym	Work package	Overall Type of Data	Overall Estimated Data-Size	
		Whole Genome		
AMC	WP1	Sequencing;	~1TB – 2TB	
		Molecular Data		
UMCU	WP1	Healthcare Cost and	<10GB	
CIVICO	VVI	Consumption Data	1000	
EMC	WP2	Whole Genome	~1-1.5TB	
EIVIC	VVPZ	Sequencing	~1-1.51B	
VUmc	VII Im VVIDO		~1-1.5TB	
Vonic	WP2	Sequencing	~1-1.51B	
VUmc	WP3 Patient Registry data		< 10GB	
MUMC+	WP4	Survey Data;	<100GB	
WOWC+	VVF4	Patient Registry Data	<100GB	
	WP5 F	Survey Data;		
UT		Patient Registry Data;	<100GB	
		Simulation Data		
AMC/UMCU	WP6	Survey Data;	<100GB	
AIVIC/UIVICU	VVPO	Interview data	\100GB	



WP1 - WGS and NGS Data					
Data Type	Data File Format	Required Software	Estimated Data Size	Special Character- istics	
WGS	VCF	tbd	~125GB per patient	Coded and pseu- donymised	
Patient Records and Clinical Diagnostics data	Proprietary Patient Record File	tbd	<100GB	Not anonymised	
Next Generation Sequencing	VCF	tbd	NGS whole exome VCF 1GB	Not anonymised	
Gene Panels	VCF	tbd	NGS gene panel (50 genes) 1MB, NGS gene panel (500 genes): 10MB	Not anonymised	
Immunohistochemical Data	TIFF	tbd	<100GB	Not anonymised	
Output Data	Graphical Illustrations	Microsoft Office Suite	<100GB	Publication related content	

WP1 - Healthcare Cost and Consumption Data				
Data Type	Data File Format	Required Software	Estimated	Special Character-
Data Type	Data Type Data File Format	Required Software	Data Size	istics
Tabular Data	CSV; Microsoft Excel	Microsoft Excel	<10GB	Coded
R-Scripts	R	R, R-Studio	<10GB	Coded

WP2 - WGS and Clinical Data EMC				
Data Type	Data File Format	Required Software	Estimated	Special Characteris-
Data Type	Data i ile i offilat	Data File Format Required Software	Data Size	tics
	TVT:			CPCT-02/ HMF
Clinical Data	TXT; Microsoft Excel	Microsoft Excel	<1GB	identification num-
	MICIOSOIL EXCE			ber included
				CPCT-02/ HMF
WGS raw data	BAM	R Studio	~1.2TB	identification num-
				ber included
WGS variants	VCF	IGV	<5GB	Not anonymised

WP2 - WGS and Clinical Data VUmc					
Data Type	Data File Format	Required Software	Estimated Data Size	Special Characteris- tics	
Clinical Data	TXT; Microsoft Excel	Microsoft Excel	<1GB	CPCT-02/ HMF identification num- ber included	
WGS raw data	BAM	R Studio	~1.2TB	CPCT-02/ HMF identification num- ber included	
WGS variants	VCF	IGV	<5GB	Not anonymised	



	WP3 – Analysis of survival pattern Data					
Data Type	Data File Format	Required Software	Estimated	Special Characteris-		
Data Type	Data File Format	Required Software	Data Size	tics		
Data type	Data File Format	Requirement Soft-	Estimated Data Size	Special Characteris-		
		ware		tics		
Patient Registry	sav: SPSS	SPSS	< 10 GB	Pseudonymised		
Data	csv: Microsoft Excel	Microsoft Excel				
		R				

WP4 - Cost-effectiveness Analysis Data				
Data Type	Data File Format	Required Software	Estimated Data Size	Special Characteris- tics
Data registries	SPSS;	SPSS;	<100 GB	pseudonymised
	CSV;	Microsoft Excel;		·
	R-file	R statistics		
Survey data	SPSS;	SPSS;	<100 GB	No personal data in-
-	CSV;	Microsoft Excel;		cluded
	R-file	R statistics		
Simulation data	CSV;	Microsoft Excel;	<100 GB	n.a.
	R-file	R statistics		

WP5 – WGS Implementation Requirements Analysis				
Data Type	Data File Format	Required Software	Estimated Data Size	Special Characteris- tics
Survey Data	CSV	Microsoft Excel	<100 MB	n.a.
Patient Registries	XLS; CSV	Microsoft Excel	<100 MB	Confidential, pseu- doanonymized
Simulation Data	CSV	Microsoft Excel	< 1GB	n.a.
Cost Data	XLS; CSV	Microsoft Excel	<100 MB	n.a.
Simulation Model	ALP	AnyLogic	<100GB	n.a.

1.10 DURING THE PROJECT I HAVE SUFFICIENT STORAGE LOCATIONS AND CAPACITY AND I HAVE A BACK-UP OF THE DATA AVAILABLE.

- Yes, for the storage and backup of my data I use the standard facilities of my institute.
 - WGS data: raw and analysis data is stored at HMF, is safely stored at Schuberg Phillis, of which a mirror is also available.
 - Each institute is responsible for the secure storage of derived data, analysis protocols and software on its own servers. They must also provide a regular backup (this will be included in the terms of use). Utrecht Bio-informatics Expertise Centre (UBEC) will include this as an extra step in the periodic DMP check (which is done every six months). So besides re-evaluating the DMP and correcting it with the state of affairs at that moment, we will contact the participants beforehand to see if and how the conditions of use are met.
 - For the HMF, the responsibility for auditing the DMP lies with the Data Access Committee/Board of HMF/CPCT, which has been accredited since the beginning of 2018.

In November 2019 the PhD students and junior researcher have received a comprehensive training session about research data management, in which the ins and outs of a solid back-up routine was taught.



2. LEGISLATION (INCLUDING PRIVACY)

2.1 I WILL BE DOING RESEARCH INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS

, and I am aware of and compliant with laws and regulations concerning privacy sensitive data.

- "Wet Bescherming Persoonsgegevens" and the resulting code of "gedragscode Gezondheidsonderzoek".
 I register my project with the "Autoriteit Persoonsgegevens"
- Wet Medisch-Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek with people (WMO)
- Wet op de Geneeskundige Behandelingsovereenkomst
 - Aware of the WBP, but we do not need to report personal data to the "Autoriteit Persoonsgegevens", we will report this to the Data Protection Officer (FG) of the UMC Utrecht. After reporting, a PIA was also drawn up in collaboration with the FG. This will be evaluated annually, after evaluation of the DMP.
 - CPCT-02 is WMO mandatory research, METC approval obtained at UMCU, permission has also been applied for locally and granted at centres participating in the CPCT research. Access to the data is subject to certain conditions.
 - Linking the clinical data to the CPCT-02 data may be possible using the CPCT number. In practice, however, this is not possible (data access boards do not give permission to link the data to other sources). This appears to be a legal obstacle in particular, as there is no clear correspondence about the legislation. A solution that is still being explored is the retrieval of the data (what data?) per hospital via the PI of the CPCT. At this moment (April 2018) it is being investigated whether the analysis can take place per hospital dataset, or whether it is necessary to combine the data. One option may then be that the hospital itself makes the link and then safely stores or deletes the code. The data can then be transported to the person responsible within TANGO.
 - Generating data from questionnaires will most likely be done via non-WMO mandatory research.
 - WGBO applies to lung doctors.
 - There is a consortium agreement in place. Additionally, every individual UMC/University puts contracts with their partnering institutions in place complying to their institutional legislation and contractual requirements.

2.2 I WILL BE DOING RESEARCH INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS,

and I have (a form of) informed consent from the participants for collecting their data.

- Yes, please specify the form of consent.
- Yes, the form of consent allows reuse of the data (further use).
 - Via informed consent of the CPCT-02 study.
 - Within the informed consent of the CPCT-02, permission is requested for sending questionnaires. The amendment currently lies with the METC of the UMCU. To link the questionnaires and clinical data, a formal data request will have to be made to CPCT-02.



2.3 I WILL BE DOING RESEARCH INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS,

and I will protect my data against misuse.

Yes, I have the data pseudonymized.

Data released shall be pseudoanonymised. The study ID (code) is stored separately, and is very limited accessible (management of key is done by a data manager at one of the centers).

Patients have a CPCT -02 ID number, which is registered in the EPD. This CPCT-02 ID number will also be used for the questionnaires.

2.4 I WILL STICK TO THE PRIVACY REGULATIONS OF MY ORGANISATION

Yes

All participants in the study are working within UMCs with corresponding privacy regulations for all employees.



3. MAKING DATA FINDABLE

3.1 THE DATA COLLECTION OF MY PROJECT WILL BE FINDABLE FOR SUBSEQUENT RESEARCH

Yes, via the search engine of the archive (repository) in which it is stored (name)

Zenodo was chosen as dedicated long-term archive for most of the outputs from this project. A community was created on Zenodo: https://zenodo.org/communities/tango-wgs/

Other website that refer to the archival collection are: the ZonMW project website [https://www.zonmw.nl/nl/onderzoek-resultaten/geneesmiddelen/programmas/project-detail/personalised-medicine/technology-assessment-of-next-generation-sequencing-in-personalized-oncology-tango/], the CPCT-02 study website [https://www.cpct.nl/cpct-02/], and the project record on NARCIS [https://www.narcis.nl/research/RecordID/OND1361542].

Up until now, mainly presentation and poster publications are provided on the Zenodo archive.

Raw data: The received data from the CPCT study, or additional data requested from hospitals remains with the providing institutions. For reuse-purposes the Data Access Committees of each individual institution can be contacted. That means that the raw data remains under restricted access.

Anonymised raw data from the non-genomic data will be made accessible as open as possible, as closed as necessary.

Processed data: the selection of the appropriate repositories and certified archives is still open. Restricted access is appropriate due to the sensitive content of the data-files. Processed data related to non-genomic data will be made accessible as open as possible, as closed as necessary.

Output data: All non-sensitive data will be made openly accessible as possible. Open Data is desired.

3.2 I WILL USE A METADATA SCHEME FOR THE DESCRIPTION OF MY DATA COLLECTION.

• Yes, I choose a generic metadata schema (called)

The basic metadata standard Dublin Core will be applied, which is compliant to Datacite 4.0. This metadata standard is applied to the whole data-set and will enable the findability of the research data.

3.3 I WILL BE USING A PERSISTENT IDENTIFIER AS A PERMANENT LINK TO MY DATA COLLECTION

Yes, besides the doi-code I use another PI (call)

Depending on the dedicated data archive and its provided persistent identifier, there will be DOIs and HANDLE.



4. MAKING DATA ACCESSIBLE

4.1 ONCE THE PROJECT HAS ENDED, MY DATA WILL BE ACCESSIBLE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH AND VERIFICATION.

• Yes, after an embargo period (explain).

The data will be made available after an embargo period of 3 months after publication of the results generated on the different datasets.

There are several reasons for this:

- Many different parties
- Multiple publications
- Longer contract period of individual researchers

The data from a number of sub-projects can be expected to be made available within the foreseeable future, for example the cost analysis of WGS versus standard diagnostics.

We aim to make the data available as soon as the relevant sub-component has been completed and published.

The WGS data is not generated in this study itself, but is accessible via the Hartwig Medical Foundation.

4.2 ONCE THE PROJECT HAS ENDED, MY DATA COLLECTION WILL BE PUBLICLY ACCESSIBLE, WITHOUT ANY RESTRICTIONS (OPEN ACCESS).

No, I attach conditions to access to the data collection (restricted access) (explain).

Conditions are linked to access to the data collection for the following reasons:

- Part of the data is personally identifiable and therefore privacy sensitive.
- Part of the data is already available on request under certain conditions (for example, the raw data of HMF can be retrieved via data access board request).

If the above restrictions do not apply to a certain data type (e.g. static information at an aggregated level) and it is allowed according to informed consent, this data will be made publicly available.

4.3 I HAVE A SET OF TERMS OF USE AVAILABLE TO ME

, which I will use to define the requirements of access to my data collection once the project has ended (please provide a link or persistent identifier; also note that this is a key item, which you should report to ZonMw at the conclusion of your project).

No, my institute is going to draw up the terms of use in cooperation with a lawyer.

Depending on the dedicated data archive and its license agreement, a mix of licenses is anticipated. In case the license options of the data archive are not sufficient or not available, license files will be provided with each data-set.



4.4 IN THE TERMS OF USE RESTRICTING ACCESS TO MY DATA

, I have included at least the following:

- Limitations with regard to the research questions to be answered.
- Cooperation in the use of the dataset, including agreements on publications, authorships.
- · Agreements on methodology.
- If the dataset may be linked to another dataset (privacy).
- Sharing data for commercial purposes. In doing so, I take into account the provisions of state aid law
- Conditions relating to data security.
- The way in which the dataset is made available.
- The period of permission for use of the dataset.
- The reimbursement of costs, for example for obtaining research data.
- A steering committee, programme committee or project leader will decide on the approval of data applications.

We must adhere to the informed consent of the CPCT-02 study, so we cannot and will not share the data just like that.



5. MAKING DATA INTEROPERABLE

5.1 I WILL SELECT A MACHINE ACTIONABLE DATA FORMAT

, which will allow other researchers and their computers to read my data collection.

Yes

So far known:

Excel: in CSV format

Word/R/C++: in plain text, if possible within a version control system such as Git/SVN

SPSS: in CSV format

Data is processed in Word and Excel by the researchers themselves, but distributions in text and CSV files will be delivered, which are interoperable. The linked metadata will make the data partly machine readable. A pilot project is underway to deliver datasets as FAIR data points (including FAIR distribution of the dataset). If the pilot is completed with good results, this project would be eligible for FAIR conversion.

The sequencing data is stored in FASTQ format at HMF. The analyses are done on VCF format. Both are a standard in bioinformatics, both readable via a text editor.'.

5.2 I WILL SELECT A METADATA STANDARD TO ALLOW MY DATA COLLECTION

to be linked to other collections (note: this is a key item, which you should report to ZonMw at the conclusion of your project).

Yes, I choose a metadata standard from the overview of Biosharing

The basic metadata standard Dublin Core will be applied, which is compliant to Datacite 4.0. Where applicable, domain specific metadata will be captured and provided in addition to Dublin Core. This metadata standard is applied to the data file level to enable the interoperability of all the files within the data-sets.

5.3 I WILL BE DOING RESEARCH INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS, AND I HAVE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT THE REUSE OF DATA

and the potential combination with other data sets when taking privacy protection measurements.

Yes, the participants have given permission for further use of the data and the data have been pseudonymised.

See previous answers.



6. MAKING DATA REUSABLE

6.1 I WILL ENSURE THAT THE DATA AND THEIR DOCUMENTATION WILL BE OF SUFFICIENT QUALITY

to allow other researchers to interpret and reuse them (in a replication package).

- I document the research process (explain)
- I perform quality checks on the data so that they are complete, correct and consistent (explain)

Research process:

- Protocols and research proposal

Quality checks:

- SOPs and settings WGS equipment
- Check presence of informed consents
- SOPs about data cleaning

HMF works according to ISO17025 accreditation. Lab protocols (SOPs) are available on request for interested parties.

For bioinformatic data analysis, all pipeline and tools are publicly available and versions are maintained. These can be found at GitHub (https://github.com/hartwigmedical).

- A data privacy impact assessment was performed in July 2017 and will be updated in Spring 2018
- A research data management audit was performed in October 2018
- The data management plan was updated in December 2018

6.2 I HAVE A NUMBER OF SELECTION CRITERIA

, which will allow me to determine which part of the data should be preserved once the project has ended. (see also question 1.9)

- Not Yet
 - VCF data as obtained from HMF does not need to be stored locally after completion of the study, as these data can be requested from HMF.
 - The rest of the data is still difficult to estimate.

It is intended to create an archived collection that is as open as possible and as closed as necessary, while providing as much of the relevant processed and output data.

6.3 ONCE THE PROJECT HAS ENDED AND THE DATA HAS BEEN SELECTED

, I can make an estimate of the size of the data collection (in GB/TB) to be preserved for long-term storage or archival.

Yes(name)

Relates to 1.9, however since the original raw is supplied by the HMF and other medical centres, there is no need to archive them again. Therefore, the overall estimated data volume for archiving ranges between 200GB and 500GB.



6.4 I WILL SELECT AN ARCHIVE OR REPOSITORY FOR (CERTIFIED) LONG-TERM ARCHIVING

of my data collection once the project has ended. (note: this is a key item, which you should report to ZonMw at the conclusion of your project)

Not Yet.

See question 3.1. The appropriate solution is still under consideration.

The data from the questionnaires will be included in the CPCT-02 dataset to keep the data accessible in the future.

6.5 ONCE THE PROJECT HAS ENDED, I WILL UPHOLD THE RECOMMENDED DATA PRESERVATION PERIOD OF AT LEAST 10 YEARS.

yes (state number of years)

Minimum 10 years. We will not retain the data longer than necessary for the purpose for which it was collected or to comply with legal or regulatory requirements. After this, the data will be deleted. How is it ensured that all parties comply with these conditions?

6.6 DATA MANAGEMENT COSTS DURING THE PROJECT

and preparations for archival can be included in the project budget. These costs are:

Yes (Explain)

In the budget 10% is reserved for data stewardship.