

# Code is Science Manifesto

Through working with scientific code, we agree that it needs to be treated as a primary research output  
Yo Yehudi, Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Selina Aragon, Software Sustainability Institute, Alexander Konovalov, Centre for Interdisciplinary Research in Computational Algebra, University of St Andrews. Poster illustrations by Yee Mun.

## Preamble

Code is science. Historically, science has been reviewed by its peers to validate it before being published. In modern times, computer code forms part of scientific analysis, but it is rarely shared or reviewed.

This manifesto is for anyone who deals with code in a scientific setting, including publishers, researchers, research software engineers, and administrators.


## Manifesto Principles

### Open over closed

Ideally scientific code should be released by the time of publication, under an open source licence, such that anyone may download, review, re-use and expand upon it.



### Incorrect code results in incorrect science





Published code should be peer reviewed. Ensure that at least one reviewer understands code well enough to evaluate it critically, as well as domain experts who can comment on the specific scientific area.

### Code for the future

Follow good practices from the start of the project; don't build up technical debts that are hard to fix later. This generally means automated testing, writing documentation, instructions on how to run and maintain your code, and following modern development practices.



### Availability over perfection




You don't have to be a computer scientist or professional software developer to write code, and your code doesn't have to be perfect in order to be published. If code produces paper-ready results, the code too is paper-ready.

There is always room to improve your skills – some intensive training courses such as Software Carpentry only take a day or two.

When reviewing code be nice and provide constructive criticism. It is important recognise that people make mistakes in good faith.

### Code deserves credit

Software should be cited and acknowledged as scientific output. This means you should cite your sources as well as ask to be cited yourself.



**Pledge your support at**  
[codeisscience.com/manifesto](https://codeisscience.com/manifesto)



**Code (is)  
Science**