

Opportunities and challenges of the TEI for scholarly journals in the Humanities

Anne Baillot

Le Mans Université

TEI-based formats for Humanities Journals

- Which journals are using such formats already?
- Why are they using them, what for?
- How could we extend the practice?
- Why should we encourage proactively the use of TEI formats for Humanities Journals?

Journals already TEI-based - in Europe/France

<https://www.openedition.org/>



8002 BOOKS

519 JOURNALS

3112 BLOGS

42644 EVENTS

All OpenEdition ▼

SEARCH

*OpenEdition brings together four platforms
dedicated to electronic resources in the humanities
and social sciences.*

*If you wish your university to subscribe to additional
services and give you access to downloadable
formats (PDF, ePub), please visit our **Institutions**
section.*



FURTHER INFORMATION

Journals already TEI-based - in Europe/France

<https://search.openedition.org/>



ADVANCED SEARCH

SEARCH HELP

All OpenEdition



Search results

YOUR SEARCH

*

SEARCH

MODIFY SEARCH

SELECT FILTERS


264184 DOCUMENTS FOUND

Display 12 documents per page

sort by relevance

Journals already TEI-based - in Europe/France

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/>



archives-ouvertes.fr

Home Submit Browse Search Documentation

Home

The open archive HAL

HAL is an open archive where authors can deposit scholarly documents from all academic fields.

For the attention of the authors

- The deposit of the fulltext should be made in agreement with the co-authors and in the respect for the policy of the publishers.
- The deposit is subject of a control, HAL reserves the right to refuse items that do not meet the criteria of the archive.
- Any deposit is definitive, no withdrawals will be made after the on-line posting of the publication.
- Text files in pdf format or image files are sent to CINES for long-term archiving.

For the attention of the readers

- In a context of electronic distribution, each author keeps their intellectual property rights.

LATEST SUBMISSIONS IN HAL

SEARCH

Termes de recherche (* pour tous)

NUMBER OF RESOURCES

1 912 327

NUMBER OF FULLTEXTS

613 574

Journals already TEI-based - in Europe/France

<https://www.istex.fr/>



ISTEX

23 millions de documents provenant de **26 corpus** de littérature scientifique dans toutes **les disciplines**, soit plus de **9 279 revues** et **345 369 ebooks** entre 1473 et 2016 pour l'ESR

Testez ISTEX : indiquez un titre, des mots-clés ou un DOI



Bouton



Scholar



Zotero



Télécharger



API



Harvester



SPARQL



data.istex.fr



Rechercher

Istex search

(<https://www.istex.fr/rechercher/?q=baillot>)

Affiner votre recherche

Corpusname

- ☐ Oup 15
- ☐ Wiley 9
- ☐ Springer-journals 8
- ☐ Degruyter-journals 7
- ☐ Numerique-premium
- ☐ Elsevier 4 5
- ☐ Brepols-ebooks 2
- ☐ Brepols-journals 2
- ☐ Cambridge 2
- ☐ Edp-sciences 2

Categories.inist

- ☐ 1 - sciences appliquees, te... 7
- ☐ 2 - sciences biologiques et... 7
- ☐ 3 - sciences medicales 7 7
- ☐ 1 - sciences humaines et so... 1
- ☐ 2 - bgi / prodig 1 4

Environ 60 résultats (0.70 secondes)

1 2 3 4 5 6 >

Pertinence

Type : Article Wiley Publié en 2013

Index

Pas de résumé disponible pour cet article

Journal of Law and Society vol.40, page 715 - 717

Fulltext

PDF ZIP TEI TXT

Metadata

XML MODS

Enrichissements

TEI TEI TEI TEI

Josefine Kitzbichler / Katja Lubitz / Nina Mindt (Hgg.), Theorie der Übersetzung antiker Literatur in Deutschland seit 1800. 2009

Pas de résumé disponible pour cet article

Anne Baillot.

Arbitrium vol.29, page 329 - 330

Type : Research-article Degruyter-journals Publié en 2011

Fulltext

PDF ZIP TEI TXT

Metadata

XML MODS

Enrichissements

TEI

Journals already TEI-based - in Europe/ Germany

National Research Data Infrastructure



[Home](#) > [Funding](#) > [Programmes](#) > [National Research Data Infrastructure](#)

National Research Data Infrastructure

The aim of the national research data infrastructure (NFDI) is to systematically manage scientific and research data, provide long-term data storage, backup and accessibility, and network the data both nationally and internationally. The NFDI will bring multiple stakeholders together in a coordinated network of consortia tasked with providing science-driven data services to research communities.

[Forms and Guidelines](#) >

[FAQs \(in German only\) \(PDF | 47 KB\)](#)

[Letters of Intent](#) >

[Statement of the Expert Committee \(in German only\) \(PDF | 136 KB\)](#)

Journals already TEI-based

- in Europe/ Germany & Slovenia

- Zeitschrift für digital Geisteswissenschaften: <http://www.zfdg.de>
- R-I-D-E: <https://www.i-d-e.de/publikationen/ride/>
- Contributions to Contemporary History: <http://ojs.inz.si/pnz>.

Journals already TEI-based

- in America

- Digital Humanities Quarterly: <http://www.digitalhumanities.org/dhq/>
- Scandinavian-Canadian Studies: <https://scancan.net/>
- Indiana Magazine of History:
<https://scholarworks.iu.edu/journals/index.php/imh>
- The Medieval Review:
<https://scholarworks.iu.edu/journals/index.php/tmr>
- Hugoye: Journal of Syriac Studies:
<https://hugoye.bethmardutho.org/index.html>
- Romantic Circles: <https://romantic-circles.org/praxis>

Advantages of publishing in TEI

- Low budget (downside: low economic reward)

- Sustainability

=>Appeals to decision makers

- Critical mass: already there.

Advantages of publishing in TEI for us

- Flexibility in vocabulary=> allows to manage heterogenous sources
- Allows to avoid silos (internal/specific formats)
- Continuity between primary and secondary sources

Continuity between primary and secondary sources

- Extending beyond philological approaches?
 - Sociology of sciences
 - Sociolinguistics
 - History of science
 - History of ideas
 - ...

Turning quantity into quality

- Reputation vs. Dissemination
- Less empowerment of publishing houses
- Less IF bullshit
- More systemic rewards for actually reading and writing serious articles.
- More recognition for all the people involved in producing serious science.

Why we need good workflows

- Who are the people involved
 - Author(s)
 - Editor(s)
 - Reviewers
 - Copyeditor(s)
- What do they do
- Who knows who does what when?

Why we need good workflows – OJS pros and cons

- Move from OJS 2.4.8. to OJS 3.1.2.
- OJS is poorly organized and needs help from googledocs
- OJS is very bad at sending reminders, too
- Why are we using OJS again?

Workflow & control

- It always comes down to an authority issue
- Because that's how reputation works in science!

- We need to let go of:
 - control
 - Perfection

and come up with quality standards that we actually need (like good tagging for finding relevant papers, reusability, etc.)

Improving workflows

- Foster pre-print publications
- Rely on the author for content to be edited but rely on automatized ways of editing formal aspects
- Separate formal and content-related editorial work more clearly
- Recognize the TEI expertise of technical/assistant editors who develop elements we need for the workflow to work
- Develop additional tools to transform TEI documents in nice-looking papers (html and pdf)

Next steps

- Develop stylesheets and publication environments
- Train scholars to digital publication literacy (being able to read XML/TEI)
- Try out other editorial environments than OJS: for instance overlay journals
- But mostly: write documentation!!!

Thank you, to all the authors of jTEI who had to put up with me asking myself too many philosophical workflow questions over the past two years, thank you for your patience.

Your papers **will** be published!

(the preprints are online already, right?)