

What is your affiliation?




Research institution



Library



Publisher/Scholarly Society



Service Provider/Infrastructure



Funding agency/Government agency

3 impactful steps towards efficient use of identifiers

Educational Technology

1) automatic assignment of pids

Research and publication support librarian

Policies requiring PIDs

Show usage (f.e. OrcidID for CV) and credit (f.e. Clear identification of researcher)

Library 1. Training: explain what it is, help them create 2. Incentives: Policies (require it) but also services (make life easier) 3. Demonstrate good practice : use ORCIDs, DOI etc yourself!

Training to researchers, managers and students

Platforms linked among them

Show value (knowledge discover) and make research visible (f.e for funding agencies)



3 impactful steps towards efficient use of identifiers

Benefits of using pid:s for
assessment and merit
purposes



What objections do you usually encounter?

Do not have time for this

administrative burden

Too many identifiers

Ignorance

Too many platforms!

Really, another profile?

Time consuming

It is too complex

they don't know it exists



What objections do you usually encounter?

What's that?

Confusing (too many different Pids)

I have no time

„What is the benefit?“

'I don't think this is useful to me'

No idea of what it is

I don't want to share this information

Privacy issues

Old habits



What objections do you usually encounter?

Don't have that information.

privacy

What are they for?

They see it as a nuisance, without obvious benefits, especially if they have to type in their information all over again.

It's too complicated

No clear guideline

Ask for training

I don't have to manage the item/its PID

Fear of success



What objections do you usually encounter?

Reluctance from the researchers side

I don't have time for this

No my responsibility

Lack od interest

I already have my institutional profile

Old researchers tejí do not need it

Lack od awarness

IT resistance

I will ask my personal assistant (this is a kind of administrative work)



What objections do you usually encounter?

Lack of training for trainers

DOI - the publisher didn't give me one

Assumption that PIDs are fully integrated and can do things that they can't (yet)

Soooo many PIDs! Which ones are actually important?

Misunderstood permanent identifiers and thought of them as temporary placeholders until the "real" metadata (more explicitly connected to journal) emerged



What motivates use of PIDs?



Share any new ideas and thoughts for the road

keep my own ORCID profile up-to-date!

Join PIDforum.org

link link link

Communicate about PIDs on our web page

Interlink

ORCID Claimathon (sit down with researchers and set-up ORCID with them)

Organise a seminar regarding the benefits of PIDs

interoperability

Update my profile... Be an example



Share any new ideas and thoughts for the road

Yeah! Link, link, link...

Review my orcid profile

Start the process of mandatory ORCID ID for researchers – policy, administrative staff support. Prepare workshop or propagation presentation on ORCID ID. Propagation of use of DOIs for institutional publications.

Verify my institutional / orcid / whatsoever profile are up to date

Put my pre-Doi publications in zenodo and ORCID

Look at my orcid profile – it's been a while

Merge some initiatives so that it stays clear for researcher and organisation. Not a multitude of PIDS standing more or less the same thing

Look for opportunities to mention PIDs in every training topic

New citation model: ORCID, ORCID, DOI




Share any new ideas and thoughts for the road

Synchronize researchers' UUIDs on all repositories we provide, for those who don't have orcid. Encourage them to make orcid. Develop an app for resolving identifiers across all of our repositories.

Keep talking to each other



Did you find this workshop useful?



What did you find especially useful or what can be improved?

Useful: group brainstorm

Discussion with other librarians

Improve training for researchers

Not only ORCID but also DOI!
An how about institutional PIDs?

Presentations in the beginning were important

Activities and menti usage was good

especially useful were examples

It is necessary to link the PIDs among them

Bring some clarity maybe on what organisation is doing what exactly in the PID landscape



What did you find especially useful or what can be improved?

Sharing ideas

A broader vision: when PIDs are discussed not only in relation to research, but to businesses; involve entrepreneurs

Group work and brainstorming was very good

Talk about other PIDs

Good format for workshops, facilitated communication

Brainstorming was good. The groups were a bit too big. Maybe not a split by "profession" but by topic

Different perspectives and perceptions. Maybe I would have been interesting to mix the groups at a certain point.

Enfasize the use of Pid's for entities other than Researchers and publications (institutions, projects, ...)

