ECERFACS

CENTRE EUROPÉEN DE RECHERCHE ET DE FORMATION AVANCÉE EN CALCUL SCIENTIFIQUE



Optimised Runge-Kutta time integration for the Spectral Difference method

J. Vanharen, G. Puigt, J.-F. Boussuge, and <u>A. Balan</u> CERFACS, Toulouse, France

> 23rd AIAA CFD Conference Denver, Colorado June 7th, 2017

> > www.cerfacs.fr

Context (1)

- High order spectral discontinuous methods are promising for LES and DNS
 - Accuracy
 - Good vectorisation
 - High parallel efficiency
 - Local treatment
- In addition, compatible with hp-adaptation
- Within the TILDA project, we wish to <u>demonstrate the</u> <u>capability</u> of such methods <u>to perform massively parallel LES</u> <u>and DNS</u>, far from the capability of current LES solvers.





Context (2)

- Basic ingredients:
 - Polynomial representation of unknowns per mesh cell
 - Discontinuity => flux given by a Riemann solver, as in Finite Volume
- At the present time:
 - Many efforts done to perform such simulations, focusing on <u>spatial scheme</u> (many versions of DG, SD, FR, HDG schemes...)
 - Much less attention paid on the analysis of the time integration technique.



Context (3)

- For LES/DNS, the standard time integration schemes are the Runge-Kutta schemes
 - Explicit time integration with controls on 1- the number of steps, 2- the scheme accuracy and 3 - its mathematical properties (TVD)
- Our experience with our solver based on the Spectral Difference method shows that the CFL constraint is stronger with SD than with FV, leading to smaller time steps
 - More iterations to perform!



Context (4)

- Two solutions to recover the same computational time for the spectral discontinuous methods and high-order FV:
 - 1. *Make many efforts on CPU optimisation* in order to perform more iterations than FV for the same physical time
 - 2. *Optimise the time integration technique* in order to allow larger stable time steps
- In this context, choice to focus our attention on the optimisation of the time integration technique.



Context (5)

- In which points are our approach different with the previous ones?
 - 1. *Coupled space/time analysis*, using results published recently in *J. Comput. Phys* [1].
 - 2. Dedicated to the Spectral Difference Method
 - 3. *Based on the 6 step RK scheme* (the 6-step 2nd order RK DRP scheme of Bogey and Bailly [2] is our reference for aeroacoustic simulations)

[1] Revisiting the spectral analysis for high-order spectral discontinuous methods, J. Vanharen, G. Puigt, X. Vasseur, J-F. Boussuge and P. Sagaut, *J. Comput. Phys.* 337 (2017) 379–402.

[2] C. Bogey, C. Bailly, A family of low dispersive and low dissipative explicit schemes for flow and noise computations, *J. Comput. Phys.* 194 (1) (2004) 194–214,



Outline

- Spatial Discretisation
- Time Discretisation
- Optimization
- Numerical Verification



The Spectral Difference Method

Solves the strong form of the NS Eq. (as FD).



- Two sets of polynomials:
 - Degree p for the solution <=> p+1 fields in SP
 - Degree *p+1* for the *flux <=> p+2 fields in FP* In order to recover the solution polynomial degree when computing the flux divergence.
- Staggered approach and directional treatment suitable for meshes composed of unstructured hexa



Principle of the spatial discretisation

- Algorithm:
 - 1. Interpolate solution from SP to FP
 - 2. Compute the flux from solution (for internal FP) or using a Riemann solver (for FP on cell interface).
 - 3. Define the flux polynomial and compute the divergence in SP
 - 4. Update in time
- For the definition of optimised RK schemes, let's apply the algorithm to the advection equation.



Spatial Discretisation (1)

- 1D linear advection equation: $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + a \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0$
- Mesh composed of regular elements of length Δx
- General definition of the exact Riemann solver:

$$f^{R}(u_{l}, u_{r}) = \frac{(a + \phi|a|)}{2}u_{l} + \frac{(a - \phi|a|)}{2}u_{r}$$

• Step 1: define the SD formulation in matrix form:

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \frac{a}{\Delta x} D(M^{-1}u_{i-1} + M^0 u_i + M^{+1}u_{i+1}) = 0$$

where *M* represents both extrapolation and flux, index refers cell index and D is the derivation matrix.



Spatial Discretisation (2)

Insert a spatial Fourier mode

$$u_i(x,t) = \tilde{u}_i(t) \cdot exp(jki\Delta x)$$

With the dimensionless wave number, one gets

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_i}{\partial t} + \frac{a}{\Delta x} D(M^{-1}e^{-jk} + M^0 + M^{+1}e^{jk})\tilde{u}_i = 0$$

This can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_i}{\partial t} = \frac{a}{\Delta x} A(k) \cdot \tilde{u}_i$$



Time integration

- Time integrate $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_i}{\partial t} = \frac{a}{\Delta x} A(k) \cdot \tilde{u}_i$
- With low-storage 6-stage RK method:

$$\tilde{u}_{i}^{n+1} = \tilde{u}_{i}^{n} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{6} \gamma_{\alpha} CFL^{\alpha} A^{\alpha} \tilde{u}_{i}^{n} \qquad \tilde{u}_{i}^{n+1} = G(k, CFL) \tilde{u}_{i}^{n}$$
$$G = 1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{6} \gamma_{\alpha} CFL^{\alpha} A^{\alpha}$$

- The coefficients $\gamma_{\alpha}\,$ define the accuracy of the RK method



Key points (1)



Comparison of theoretical results and numerical simulations

 Details in Revisiting the spectral analysis for high-order spectral discontinuous methods, J. Comput. Phys. 337 (2017) 379–402.

Key points (2)

- High accuracy of the method
- Error in dissipation and dispersion increases with the wavenumber
- It seems possible to control error in dissipation and dispersion by optimising the behaviour in $\,\pi\,$



Optimisation

• The algorithm is stable if

 $|\rho(G(k, \text{CFL}))| \le 1, \quad \forall k \in [0, \pi]$

• When the CFL is increased, the first value of k for which the spectral radius is equal to one is π

 $|\rho(G(\pi, \text{CFL}))| \le 1,$

 To get 4th order RK scheme for linear advection, the first four coefficients are imposed.

$$\gamma_1 = 1$$
 $\gamma_3 = 1/6$
 $\gamma_2 = 1/2$ $\gamma_4 = 1/24$



Optimisation

- The last two coefficients are optimised.
- Maximize CFL subject to

 $|\rho(G(\pi, \operatorname{CFL}, \gamma_5, \gamma_6))| \le 1$

Solve the equation for a given set of (γ_5, γ_6)

 $|\rho(G(\pi, \operatorname{CFL}, \gamma_5, \gamma_6))| = 1$

- Use dichotomy to define the surface $|
 ho(G(\pi, \mathrm{CFL}, \gamma_5, \gamma_6))| = 1$
- Optimization is done using Nelder-Mead method

Remarks on the optimisation process

- At present, optimisation is in CFL in order to allow greater time steps
- Possible to define optimised RK schemes in dissipation and dispersion (DRP) by a coupled space / time analysis
- The spectral properties change with p and as a consequence, one optimised RK scheme is associated with one value of p



Optimisation results (1)

Optimised coefficients and corresponding CFL numbers

p	γ_5	γ_6	CFL_{max}
2	0.00576453257856	0.00029485511654	1.183
3	0.00539500786873	0.00042341598334	0.670
4	0.00641025285761	0.00040879950330	0.375
5	0.00552476535973	0.00038479937257	0.338
6	0.00657464711078	0.00044773200057	0.197
7	0.00584100342617	0.00040660871984	0.191
8	0.00675819809625	0.00048326301743	0.125



Optimisation results (2)

 Comparison with reference RK scheme RK06s of Bogey and Bailly



Same CPU cost but larger stability!



The JAGUAR solver

- JAGUAR is CERFACS' CFD code using the SD method.
- New solver developed for 5 years.
- Dedicated today to LES / DNS on unstructured hexa grids
- Mesh splitters: Metis, ParMetis, Manual splitting
- Many efforts for HPC
 - Serial optimisation, vectorisation
 - MPI, OpenMP, hybrid MPI/OpenMP



Strong scaling on Blue Gene

#99 for TOP500

JAGUAR speed-up on Turing



Number of process



Numerical verification

- Transport of an isentropic vortex solution of Euler equations in a periodic box.
- Test case from the High Order Workshop
- Input data: initialisation of a mean flow + superposition of the vortex

 $M_{\infty} = 0.05$ $\beta = 1/5$ R = 0.005 $(X_c, Y_c) = (0.05, 0.05)$

$$\delta u = -U_{\infty}\beta \frac{y - Y_c}{R} exp\left(\frac{-r^2}{2}\right)$$
$$\delta v = U_{\infty}\beta \frac{x - X_c}{R} exp\left(\frac{-r^2}{2}\right)$$
$$\delta T = -\frac{1}{2C_p} \left(U_{\infty}\beta\right)^2 exp\left(-r^2\right)$$



Numerical verification - 2D vortex

 Transport of an isentropic vortex solution of Euler equations in a periodic box.





Numerical verification - 2D vortex

- Comparison with RK scheme of Bogey and Bailey, p=4
- L^2 error Vs. CFL number





Numerical verification - 2D vortex

- Comparison with RK scheme of Bogey and Bailey, p=4
- L^{∞} error Vs. CFL number



 $CFL_{max}^{RKo6s} = 0.54$ $CFL_{max}^{RKo6sSD} = 0.88$ $Gain \approx 60\%$



Conclusion

- Our goal was to propose a new set of coefficients to enable larger time steps while keeping accuracy
- Using a revisited analysis, we have performed a spectral analysis
 - Coupled time and space discretisation
 - Using a 6 stage low-storage RK scheme
- Finally, a technique was proposed to increase the maximum stable CFL number for 4th-order 6-stage RK schemes for SD and polynomial degree from 2 to 8
- Time step increased by 60%!



Future work

- The optimisation problem is today solved for wavenumber at π
- The procedure has to be extended to optimise on the whole spectral domain
 - Avoid any hypothesis on the shape of the dissipation / dispersion curves
- Another optimisation may consist using a DRP criteria on the time RK schemes.



Acknowledgements

 This work was partially funded by the European **Commission and by CERFACS**



Financial support from the European Commission through the TILDA (Towards Industrial LES/DNS in Aeronautics - Paving the Way for Future Accurat CFD) project, grant agreement number 635962, is gratefully acknowledged. Aeronautics - Paving the Way for Future Accurate

