

# Antagonistic effect of developed probiotic yoghurt against some selected food-borne pathogens during cold storage

## <sup>\* 1</sup>Aforijiku, S., <sup>2</sup>Onilude, A. A. and <sup>3</sup>Wakil, S.M.

1 Department of Microbiology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.

2 Department of Microbiology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.

3 Department of Microbiology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

ABSTRACT

Article No.: 071719137 Type: Research DOI: 10.15580/GJBS.2019.2.071719137

Accepted: 19/07/2019 Published: 01/08/2019

\*Corresponding Author Aforijiku, S E-mail: dayophd@ yahoo.com Phone: 08028037495

Keywords: Food-borne pathogens; probiotic yoghurt; antagonistic effect; inoculum level

This study was carried out to investigate the antagonistic effect of probiotic yoghurt against some selected food-borne pathogens during cold storage. Probiotic yoghurt was produced from processed cow milk using controlled fermentation, and later inoculated with selected food-borne pathogens at inoculum level of 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL. The antagonistic effect of the probiotic yoghurt against the food-borne pathogens during cold storage (4°C) for 2 days was studied using standard methods. The results obtained demonstrated that the probiotic yoghurt inhibited growth of food-borne pathogens including Salmonella typhimurium ATCC13311, Proteus mirabilis ATCC25933, and Escherichia coli ATCC2592 in the yoghurt within 24 hr. Their counts decreased from 10<sup>5</sup>-10<sup>2</sup> CFU/mL, with pH and probiotic counts ranging between 4.48-4.35, and 10<sup>6</sup>-10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL, respectively. The probiotic bacteria have the ability to suppress the growth of pathogen like *E. coli* in yoghurt. This inhibitory effect may be due to low pH of yoghurt. The probiotic cultures in the yoghurt can be used as biopreservatives in food and pharmaceutical industries.

#### INTRODUCTION

Lactic acid bacteria are Gram positive rods or cocci, which have the ability to produce lactic acid. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) could be used successfully with limited or no negative effects, to control challenging problems associated with some enterics. The substances produced by the LAB, are kept in the foods which could help to inhibit pathogens (Brant and Todd, 2014; Mohammed et al., 2016). The antimicrobial potential of LAB can be due to ability in producing substances like lactic acid which has the tendency to suppress pathogenic microbes (Brant and Todd, 2014; Tribe et al., 2014; Nikolic et al., 2008). In addition to production of organic acids, the pH reduction of the products or medium can have antagonistic effect on pathogens.

Some studies have reported that strains of Salmonella typhimurium, and other pathogens were suppressed by substances produced by LAB ((Brant and Todd, 2014; Tribe et al., 2014; Evariste et al., 2017; Gopalakrishnan, 2018). Therefore, the probiotic LAB make the environment unfavourable for pathogens to thrive during the manufacture of probiotic yoghurt, hence limiting the viability of the pathogens (Mohammed et al., 2016; Ting and Xialian, 2019). Moreover, milk and milk products are usually affected by pathogen like E. coli and Salmonella typhimurium, since some strains can survive acidic conditions (Bibbal et al., 2014; Rebello et al., 2014; CDC, 2016; Evariste et al., 2017). However, there is little attention on the survival of these pathogens in yoghurt. Therefore, there is a need to assess the antagonistic effect of probiotic yoghurt against foodborne pathogens during cold storage.

#### **MATERIALS & METHODS**

#### **Collection of samples**

Raw milk from white Fulani cow was purchased from Dairy and Research Farm, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria. It was brought into Physiology Laboratory, at the Department of Microbiology in sterile bottles for production of yoghurt.

#### **Collection of Indicator organisms**

Indicator organisms such as Salmonella typhimurium ATCC13311, Proteus mirabilis ATCC25933, and Escherichia coli ATCC25922 were obtained from the culture collection unit of Federal Institute of Industrial Research, Oshodi (FIIRO).

#### Preparation of inoculum size of pathogens

Each strain of *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC13311, *Proteus mirabilis* ATCC25933 and *Escherichia coli* ATCC25922 were inoculated in 10 mL tryptic soya broth containing 0.6 % yeast extract, and

incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 24 hr. The serial dilutions was made, and the inoculation level was determined by direct plating on specific media of the pathogens from serial dilution of broth. Inoculum size of  $10^{5}$  CFU/mL was used (ISO, 2003).

#### **Probiotic cultures**

Potential probiotic starters such as *Lactobacillus plantarum*N24, *Lactobacillus plantarum*N17, *Lactobacillus brevis* N10, and *Lactobacillus casei*N1 isolated from *nono* samples were used to produce yoghurt.

### Antagonistic effect of probiotic yoghurt against food-borne pathogens

Yoghurt was prepared in the laboratory using method described by Rahmann et al. (1999). For each yoghurt samples, 100 mL of the cow milk was heated to 85°C for 30 minutes, and then cooled immediately in an ice bath to temperature of 37°C. This was then, inoculated with 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL probiotic starters, and incubated at 42°C for 4 hr. After yoghurt formation, voghurt was inoculated with the pathogens at inoculation size of 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL. The yoghurt without inoculation of pathogens (control), and the inoculated yoghurt were stored in the refrigerator. The yoghurt samples were examined microbiologically for pathogens count during 2 days of storage, using pour plate technique in Petri dishes. The viability of probiotic cultures and pathogenic organisms was done every 24 hr during 2 days of storage according to methods of 1SO (2003) and (1SO, 2004), respectively. One mL of appropriate dilutions of voghurt samples were plated on Mac Conkey agar (for Proteus), Eosin methylene blue agar (for E. coli), Salmonella Shigella agar for Salmonella, and MRS agar for lactic acid bacteria, and incubated at 37°C for 48 hrs. Colony forming unit were then estimated. The pH was determined using a pH meter, following the 2004). manufacturer's instructions (APHA, The experiments were done in duplicates.

#### Statistical analysis

The values for each parameters were calculated and presented as means of duplicates. Data was analysed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with Duncan Multiple Range Test for significance at  $P \le 0.05$ . Standard deviation was not shown. Data were also presented in tables.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The codes of prepared probiotic yoghurt with pathogens and without pathogens are shown in Table 1. Table 2 showed the effect of probiotics against *Proteus mirabilis*ATCC 25933, during storage period at 4°C for 2 days, when the initial inoculum size of the pathogen was

 $10^5$  CFU/mL. At first day of storage, the count of *Proteus* mirabilis reduced from  $10^5$  to $10^3$  and  $10^2$  CFU/mL, and their counts were significantly different (P≤0.05) in probiotic yoghurt samples, when pH ranged from 4.40-4.45. By the second day, the inoculated *Proteus* mirabilis was not found in the probiotic yoghurt, when probiotic cultures count was between  $10^6$  to  $10^8$  CFU/mL, and pH ranged between 4.34- 4.42.

However, similar studies also demonstrated that the pathogen was not detected after 48 hr of storage period as reported by Bachrouri et al. (2006). This could be as a result of increased probiotic cultures count, pH and probiotic strain used to produce yoghurt. Our findings are in accordance with the work of Ting and Xialian. (2019) in terms of inhibition at 24 hr of cold storage. They studied antagonistic effect on *Proteus*  *mirabilis,* and some spoilage organisms in yoghurt fermented with probiotic starters, these pathogens were inhibited completely within 24-48 hr. The inhibition of pathogens could be as a result of probiotic starters strain used to produce yoghurt (Wang et al., 2004).

Table 3 showed the antagonistic effect of probiotic cultures against *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC13311 during the cold storage ( $4^{\circ}$ C) for 2 days with the initial inoculum size of *Salmonella typhmurium* ATCC13311 at  $10^{5}$ CFU/mL. At first day of storage, the initial count of the pathogen decreased from  $10^{5}$  - $10^{2}$  CFU/mL, but not found in sample YN24-N17, when the pH was 4.38. At second day, *Salmonella typhimurium* disappeared in sampleYN17, with pH4.37, and probiotic count (2.0x10<sup>7</sup> CFU/mL), which increased to  $10^{8}$  CFU/mL.

Table 1: Codes of prepared probiotic yoghurt				
Samples	Prepared probiotic yoghurt (inoculated with pathogens)	Prepared probiotic yoghurt (without pathogens)		
1	YN24	yn24		
2	YN17	yn17		
3	YN10	yn10		
4	YN1	yn1		
5	YN24-N17	yn24-n17		
6	YN24-N10	yn24-n10		
7	YN24-N1	yn24-n1		
8	YN17-N10	yn17-n10		
9	YN17-N1	yn17-n1`		
10	YN10-N1	yn10-n1		

\*Samples with Capital letters codes (prepared probiotic yoghurt inoculated with pathogens) \*Samples with small letters codes (prepared probiotic yoghurt without pathogens)

The experiment was done in duplicates

Keys:

- 1-Yoghurt made from cow milk and Lactobacillus plantarumN24
- 2-Yoghurt made from cow milk and Lactobacillus plantarumN17
- 3 -Yoghurt made from milk and *Lactobacillus brevis*N10
- 4 -Yoghurt made from cow milk and Lactobacillus caseiN1

5-Yoghurt made from cow milk and Lactobacillus plantarumN24 &Lactobacillus plantarumN17

- 6-Yoghurt made from cow milk and Lactobacillus plantarumN24&Lactobacillus brevisN10
- 7-Yoghurt made from cow milk and Lactobacillus plantarumN24 &Lactobacillus caseiN1
- 8-Yoghurt made from cow milk and Lactobacillus plantarumN24 &Lactobacillus brevisN10
- 9-Yoghurt made from cow milk and Lactobacillus plantarumN17 &Lactobacillus caseiN1
- 10-Yoghurt made from cow milk and Lactobacillus brevisN10 &Lactobacillus caseiN1,

	Storage time(days)	Bacterial Count(CF			
	1	U/mL)		2	
Samples	рН	PMC	рН	PMC	PCC
Codes					
YN24	4.42 <sup>b</sup>	2.1x10 <sup>3a</sup>	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	-	5.0 x10 <sup>6c</sup>
yn24*	4.40 <sup>c</sup>	-	4.39 <sup>ab</sup>	-	1.2x10 <sup>7b</sup>
YN17	4.43 <sup>ab</sup>	2.3x10 <sup>3a</sup>	4.42 <sup>a</sup>	-	6.0 x10 <sup>∞</sup>
yn17	4.40 <sup>c</sup>	-	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	-	6.9x10 <sup>6b</sup>
YN10	4.45 <sup>a</sup>	3.5x10 <sup>3a</sup>	4.39 <sup>ab</sup>	-	1.2x10 <sup>7b</sup>
yn10	4.43 <sup>ab</sup>	-	4.39 <sup>ab</sup>	-	6.4x10 <sup>6b</sup>
YN1	4.44 <sup>a</sup>	1.7x10 <sup>3a</sup>	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	-	5.8x10 <sup>6b</sup>
yn1	4.41 <sup>c</sup>	-	4.38 <sup>ab</sup>	-	6.7x10 <sup>6b</sup>
YN24-N17	4.41 <sup>c</sup>	1.8x10 <sup>2b</sup>	4.38 <sup>ab</sup>	-	2.0x10 <sup>7b</sup>
yn24-n17	4.40 <sup>c</sup>	-	4.38 <sup>ab</sup>	-	3.1x10 <sup>7b</sup>
YN24-N10	4.40 <sup>c</sup>	1.2x10 <sup>2b</sup>	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	1.8x10 <sup>7b</sup>
yn24-n10	4.39 <sup>c</sup>	-	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	3.7x10 <sup>7b</sup>
YN24-N1	4.42 <sup>b</sup>	3.0x10 <sup>2ab</sup>	4.38 <sup>ab</sup>	-	1.5x10 <sup>7b</sup>
yn24-n1	4.41 <sup>c</sup>	-	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	2.7x10 <sup>7b</sup>
YN17-N10	4.40 <sup>c</sup>	1.2x10 <sup>2b</sup>	4.35 <sup>b</sup>	-	1.3x10 <sup>7b</sup>
yn17-n10	4.40 <sup>c</sup>	-	4.37 <sup>ab</sup>	-	1.4x10 <sup>8a</sup>
YN17-N1	4.42 <sup>b</sup>	1.2x10 <sup>2b</sup>	4.39 <sup>ab</sup>	-	2.0x10 <sup>7b</sup>
yn17-n1	4.43 <sup>ab</sup>	-	4.37 <sup>ab</sup>	-	3.5x10 <sup>7b</sup>
YN10-N1	4.40 <sup>c</sup>	1.3x10 <sup>2b</sup>	4.34 <sup>b</sup>	-	1.8x10 <sup>7b</sup>
yn10-n1	4.42 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.35 <sup>b</sup>	-	2.8x10 <sup>7b</sup>

Table 2: Antagonistic effect of developed probiotic yoghurt against of *Proteus mirabilis ATCC25933* stored under cold storage (4°C)

Means with the same alphabets within a column are not significantly different at P $\leq$ 0.05 using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Data collected were represented as "Means of duplicates. Standard Deviation (SD)"not shown., - = Not viable, initial and inoculum size of *Proteus mirabilis*= 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL, PMC = *Proteus mirabilis* count, PCC = Probiotic cultures count, inoculum size of starters=10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL, PCC for the first day= all were 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL.

\*All small letters (yoghurt without pathogen) Samples with capital letters (yoghurt inoculated with *Proteus mirabilis*ATCC25933)

This is in accordance with the work of Al-Delanmy and Hamamdeh (2013) that reported the inhibition of *Salmonella typhimurium* during 48 hr of cold storage. The reasons for suppression of pathogen could be low pH, and inability to compete with the probiotic cultures for nutrients (Wang et al., 2004; Tsegaye and Ashenafi, 2005; Donkor et al., 2006; Gopalakrishnan, 2018, Nassib et al., 2006, Ting and Xialian, 2019). Probiotics LAB could prevent growth of pathogens due to low pH. The variation observed by various scientists might be due to difference in survival of strain to lowered pH and temperature, type and strain of starter cultures being used, inoculum size of starters, and the pathogens (Tsegaye and Ashenafi, 2005).The probiotic bacteria have the ability to prevent the growth of pathogens due to low pH initiated by LAB. The fermentation time and temperature, type of probiotic organisms, increased probiotic cultures count, acid tolerance, and the strain of the pathogenic organisms could play important role on the survival of food pathogens in yoghurt. Donkor *et al.* (2006) concluded that the ability of probiotic to survive in yoghurt was strain dependent.

	Storage time / (days)	Bacterial count (CFU/mL)			
	1		2		
Samples Codes	рН	STC	рН	STC	PCC
YN24	4.40 <sup>ab</sup>	3.3x10 <sup>3a</sup>	4.39 <sup>ab</sup>	-	1.0 x10 <sup>7b</sup>
n24 *	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	-	4.38 <sup>ab</sup>	-	1.9x10′ <sup>⁰</sup>
YN17	4.42 <sup>a</sup>	2.3x10 <sup>3a</sup>	4.37 <sup>b</sup>	-	2.0 x10 <sup>7b</sup>
yn17	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	-	4.37 <sup>b</sup>	-	2.5x10 <sup>7b</sup>
YN10	4.41 <sup>a</sup>	1.9x10 <sup>3a</sup>	4.38 <sup>ab</sup>	-	3.0x10 <sup>7b</sup>
yn10	4.41 <sup>a</sup>	-	4.37 <sup>ab</sup>	-	3.6x10 <sup>7ab</sup>
YN1	4.41 <sup>a</sup>	1.4x10 <sup>3a</sup>	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	-	3.3x10 <sup>/b</sup>
yn1	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	-	4.38 <sup>ab</sup>	-	3.8x10 <sup>7ab</sup>
YN24-N17	4.38 <sup>ab</sup>	-	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	1.1x10 <sup>8a</sup>
Yn24-n17	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	1.9x10 <sup>8a</sup>
Y24-N10	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.35 <sup>b</sup>	-	1.3x10 <sup>8a</sup>
yn24-n10	4.35 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.35 <sup>b</sup>	-	1.6x10 <sup>8a</sup>
YN24-N1	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	1.2x10 <sup>2b</sup>	4.39 <sup>ab</sup>	-	2.5x10 <sup>/b</sup>
yn24-n1	4.39 <sup>ab</sup>	-	4.37 <sup>b</sup>	-	2.7x10 <sup>7b</sup>
YN17-N10	4.37 <sup>ab</sup>	-	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	1.1x10 <sup>8a</sup>
yn17-n10	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	2.2x10 <sup>8a</sup>
YN17-N1	4.41 <sup>a</sup>	1.5x10 <sup>2b</sup>	4.39 <sup>ab</sup>	-	3.2x10 <sup>7b</sup>
yn17-n1	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	-	4.38 <sup>ab</sup>	-	3.7x10 <sup>7ab</sup>
YN10-N1	4.37 <sup>ab</sup>	-	4.35 <sup>b</sup>	-	1.4x10 <sup>8a</sup>
yn10-n1	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.35 <sup>b</sup>	-	1.9x10 <sup>8a</sup>

Table 3:	Antagonistic effect of developed probiotic yoghurt against Salmonella typhimuriumATCC13311
	during cold storage(4°C).

Means with the same alphabets within a column are not significantly different at P<0.05 using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Data collected were represented as "Means of duplicates. Standard Deviation (SD)" not shown. - = Not viable, initial and inoculum size of *Salmonella typhimurium*=  $10^5$  CFU/mL, STC = *Salmonella typhimurium* count, PCC = Probiotic cultures count, inoculum size of Probiotic starters and PCC at the first day= $10^6$  CFU/mL, \*All small letters (yoghurt without pathogens).

\*Samples with capital letters (yoghurt inoculated with Salmonella typhimuriumATCC13311)

Table 4 showed that *E coli* ATCC29522 was suppressed completely at the first day of storage in sample likeYN24-N17, with pH of 4.36, and inhibited from  $10^5$  to  $10^2$  CFU/mL in sampleYN24. The decrease of the initial count of *E.coli* ATCC25922 from  $10^5$ - $10^2$ CFU/mL illustrates the antagnostic effect of the probiotic cultures on the pathogenic organisms which was due to pH and higher viable count of probiotics.

However, *E.coli* ATCC25922 completely disappeared at the second day of storage with increased probiotic counts of 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL, when pH of

probiotic yoghurt samples were not significantly different from each other at P≤0.05. A similar study was reported by Kasimoglu and Akgun (2004), indicating that there was total suppression of *E. coli* within 48 hr after storage of the milk inoculated with  $10^6$  CFU/mL of probiotics. This could be due to the low pH below 4.39. The variable results of most authors could be strain dependent. Moreover, acid survival of food pathogens and their acid adaptation can enhance the survival of these organisms in acidic foods like yoghurt during fermentation.

	Storage / Bacterial				
	time(days) 1	count(CFU/mL)	2	,	
	•		2		
Samples					
Codes	pН	ECC	pН	ECC	PCC
YN24	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	1.4x10 <sup>3a</sup>	4.37 <sup>a</sup>	-	2.4x10 <sup>7b</sup>
yn24 *	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	-	4.36 <sup>a</sup>	-	2.9x10 <sup>7b</sup>
YN17	4.41 <sup>a</sup>	2.4x10 <sup>3a</sup>	4.37 <sup>a</sup>	-	1.4 x10 <sup>7b</sup>
yn17	4.40 <sup>a</sup>	-	4.37 <sup>a</sup>	-	2.9x10 <sup>7b</sup>
YN10	4.39 <sup>ab</sup>	1.8x10 <sup>2b</sup>	4.37 <sup>a</sup>	-	3.2x10 <sup>/b</sup>
yn10	4.39 <sup>ab</sup>	-	4.36 <sup>a</sup>	-	3.8x10 <sup>7b</sup>
YN1	4.40 <sup>ab</sup>	1.7x10 <sup>3a</sup>	4.39 <sup>a</sup>	-	3.6x10 <sup>7⁵</sup>
yn1	4.38 <sup>ab</sup>	-	4.38 <sup>a</sup>	-	4.4x10 <sup>7b</sup>
YN24-N17	4.37 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.36 <sup>a</sup>	-	2.1x10 <sup>8a</sup>
yn24-n17	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.36 <sup>a</sup>	-	2.9x10 <sup>8a</sup>
ÝN24-N10	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.35 <sup>a</sup>	-	1.8x10 <sup>8a</sup>
yn24-n10	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.36 <sup>a</sup>	-	2.6x10 <sup>8a</sup>
YN24-N1	4.37 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.36 <sup>a</sup>	-	1.5x10 <sup>8a</sup>
yn24-n1	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.35 <sup>a</sup>	-	2.7x10 <sup>8a</sup>
ÝN17-N10	4.37 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.35 <sup>a</sup>	-	2.4x10 <sup>8a</sup>
yn17-n10	4.35 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.35 <sup>a</sup>	-	2.9x10 <sup>8a</sup>
YN17-N1	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.35 <sup>a</sup>	-	1.6x10 <sup>8a</sup>
yn17-n1	4.35 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.34 <sup>a</sup>	-	1.7x10 <sup>8a</sup>
YN10-N1	4.36 <sup>b</sup>		4.35 <sup>a</sup>	-	3.2x10 <sup>7a</sup>
yn10-n1	4.35 <sup>b</sup>	-	4.35 <sup>a</sup>	-	1.5x10 <sup>8a</sup>

Table 4: Antagonistic effect of probiotic yoghurt against *Escherichia coli*ATCC25922(10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL) under cold storage (4°C)

Means with the same alphabets within a column are not significantly different at  $P \le 0.05$  using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Data collected were represented as "Means of duplicates. Standard Deviation (SD)" not shown.

- = Not viable, initial and inoculum size of *E. coli*ATCC25922= 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL,

ECC = *E coli* count, PCC=Probiotic cultures count, inoculum size of starters=10<sup>6</sup> CFU/mL,

\*All small letters samples (yoghurt without pathogen)

\*Samples with capital letters (yoghurt inoculated with *E coli*ATCC25922).

#### CONCLUSIONS

The probiotic starters in the yoghurt were able to inhibit the growth, and suppressed selected food borne pathogens within a short period of 24 hr, suggesting that better ones could be useful to prevent or treat illness caused by pathogenic organisms, and also as preservatives in food and pharmaceutical industries.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to Professor A. A. Onilude, Department of Microbiology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan for his valuable supervision, and Dr.S.M. Wakil for her technical assistance and guidance.

#### REFERENCES

- Al-Delaimy, K. S. and Hamamdeh, Y. M. (2013). Inhibition of Staphylococcus aureus by lactic acid bacteria and /or Bifidobacterium lactis during milk fermentation and storage. J. Microbio. Biotechnol. Food Sci. 2(4): 2406-2419.
- APHA, (2004). Standards Methods for the examination of dairy products.17<sup>th</sup>Edn. American Public Health Association Inc, Washington, DC,USA.
- Bachrouri, M., Quinto, E. J. and Mora, M.T. (2006). Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 during storage of yoghurt at different temperatures. J. Food Sci. 67 (5):1899-1903
- Bibbal, D., Kerouredan, M., Loukiadis, E., Scheutz, F., Oswald, E. and Brugere, H. (2014). Slaughterhouse effluent discharges into rivers not responsible for environmental occurrence of enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli*. Veter. Microbio.168: 451-45.

- Brant, R. J. and Todd, R. K. (2014). Impact of genomics on the field of probiotic research: historical perspectives to modern paradigms. Tot. Env. 106:141-156.
- CDC, (2016)." Diarrhea: Common Illness, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Atlanta, USA, Pp.4
- Donkor, O. N., Henriksson, A., Vasiljevic, T. and Shah, N. P. (2006). Effect of acidification on the activity of probiotics in yoghurt during cold storage. Internet. Dairy. J. 16: 1181-1189.
- Evariste, B., Assèta, K., KuanAbdoulaye, T., Touwendsida, S. B., Hadiza, B. I., Soutongnooma, C.
  B., Isidore, J., Ouindgueta, B., Saidou, K., Cheikna, Z., Alfred, S. T. and Nicolas, B. (2017).
  Characterization of Diarrheagenic *Escherichiacoli* Isolated in Organic Waste Products (Cattle Fecal Matter, Manure and, Slurry)from Cattle's Markets in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.14: 1100
- Gopalakrishnan,V. (2018).The influence of the gut microbiome on cancer, immunity and cancer immunotherapy, and Cancer cell. Sci. Am. 33(4) 570-580
- ISO. (2003).Yoghurt: Enumeration of characteristics microorganisms by colony count technique at 37 °C. I<sup>st</sup>Edn., International Standard Organisation, Brussels, Belgium.
- ISO. (2004). Horizontal Method for Detection and Enumeration of *L. monocytogenes.* 2<sup>nd</sup>Edn, International Standard Organisation, Brussels, Belgium.
- Kasimoglu, A. And Akgun, S. (2004). Survival of *E. coli* O157:H7 in the processing and post-processing stages of acidophilus yoghurt. Internet. J. Food Sci.Technol. 39: 563-568.
- Mohammed, S., Ahlgren, J. A. and Horne, D. (2016). Structural characterization and biological activities of an exopolysaccharide kefir produced by *Lactobacillus*

*kefiranofaciens* WT-2B(T). J. Agric. Food Chem. 52: 5533-5538.

- Nassib, T. A., El- din, M. Z. and El- Sharoud, W. M. (2006). Effect of thermophilic lactic acid bacteria on the viability of *Salmonella* serovartyphimurium PT8 during milk fermentation and preparation of buffalo's yoghurt. Internet. Dairy. Technol. 59:29-34.
- Nikolic, M., Terzic-Vidojevic, A., Jovcic, B., Begovic, Golic, N. and Topisirovic, L. (2008). Characterization of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Bukuljac, a homemade goat's milk cheese. Internet. J. Food Microbio.122:162-170.
- Rahmann, M., Gul, S. and Farooqi, W. (1999). Selection of starter culture for yoghurt preparation and its Antibacterial of Activity. Pak. J. Bio sci. 2: 131-133.
- Rebello, R. C. and Regua-Mangia, A. H. (2014). Potential enterovirulence and antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolates from aquatic environments in Rio de Janeiro, Brazilian Science. Tot. Env. 490 :19–27
- Ting, T. L. and X, Z. (2019). Lactobacillus spp as probiotics for prevention and treatments of enteritis in the lined seashore. Sci. Am. 503:16-25
- Tribe, I. G., Cowell, N. D., Cameron, Peter.and Cameron, S. (2014). "An outbreak of *Salmonella typhimurium* phage type 135 infection linked to the consumption of raw shell eggs in an aged care facility". Communi. Dis. Intelli. 26 (1): 38–90.
- Tsegaye, M. and Ashenafi, M. (2005). Fate of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 during the processing and storage of Ergo and Ayib, traditional Ethiopian dairy products. Internet. J. Food Microbio. 103: 325-334
- Wang, Y. K., Li., S. N, Lu, C. S, Peing, D. S., Su, Y. C, Wu, D. C., Jan, C. M., Lai, C. H., Wang, T. N. and Wang, W. M. (2004). Effect of injecting *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* containing yoghurt in subjects with colonized *Helicobacter pylori.* Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 80(3): 737-4.

**Cite this Article:** Aforijiku, S; Onilude, AA; Wakil, SM (2019). Antagonistic effect of developed probiotic yoghurt against some selected food-borne pathogens during cold storage. Greener Journal of Biological Sciences, 9(2): 22-28, http://doi.org/10.15580/GJBS.2019.2.071719137.