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## 4 treebanks of Latin

- Latin Dependency Treebank (2006-): Classical Lat., prose and poetry, about 50k tokens;
- Index Thomisticus Treebank (2006-): Medieval Lat., only 1 author (Thomas Aquinas), about 400k tokens;
- PROIEL (2008): Late and Classical prose, transl. of NT (Jerome's Vulgate, 4th CE), plus other prose, about 250k;
- Late Latin Charter Treebank (2011-): 8th-9th century notary documents (charters) from Central Italy, about 250k.
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## Aims

- Create a Knowledge Base of linguistic resources for Latin
- corpora
- lexicons
- NLP tools
- Create common vocabularies to describe them
- Use the LOD paradigm



## The lemma

a gateway to Latin linguistic resources


## LEMLAT: the foundation stone

- 43,432 lemmas from Georges, 1913-1918; OLD and Gradenwitz, 1904;
- 82,556 lemmas from Du Cange, 1883-1887;
- 26,250 lemmas from Forcellini, 1940.
francescoogazelle:Proo--/binf/emblatinux_embedded



## Towards an ontology of Latin lemmas



## Workflow

- start from a shallow conversion from TB format to RDF triples
- compare the string of the lemmatized token with the written representation(s) of a LEMLAT lemma
- link the token to the lemma via the hasLemma property


## Linking corpora and lemmas
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## Linking corpora and lemmas

## LiLa <br> Linking Latin



## A wealth of interlinked information

Token 1
Token 2
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## Querying with SPARQL

All verbs that govern subjects formed with affix "-(t)or"

```
SELECT ?g ?headlab ?deplab WHERE {
    SERVICE <http://lila-erc.eu:3030/lemlat/sparql> {
    ?suff a lemlat_base:Suffix ;
                rdfs:label '-(t)or'.
            ?lemma lemlat base:hasSuffix ?suff ;
                ontolex:writtenRep ?deplab . }
    GRAPH ?g {
        ?tok lemlat base:hasLemma ?lemma ;
            conll:EDGE |'nsubj' ;
            conll:HEAD ?head.
        ?head conll:UPOS 'VERB' ;
                        lemlat_base:hasLemma ?l .
    }
    SERVICE <http://lila-erc.eu:3030/lemlat/sparql> {
    ?l ontolex:writtenRep ?headlab . }
}
```


## Sample of results from PROIEL

(1) gladiatores audio pugnare mirifice gladiators.ACC.PL hear.1SG fight.INF superbly
I hear that your gladiators fight superbly.
(Cic. Att.. 4.4a.2)

Wordcloud of results from the Index Thomisticus "the Interpreter (of Aristotle, i.e. Averroes) says..."


# Conclusions 

- Language is complex! Morpho-syntactic description is not enough to capture all complexities
- LOD provide a way to link treebank annotation and information on other levels (semantics, derivational morphology...)
- a lexically based approach (using lemmas as hub node) is one way to do it!
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- a lexically based approach (using lemmas as hub node) is one way to do it!
- but (future works)...
- we need to harmonize the tagsets (ontologies)
- we need to find sustainable, scalable solutions together with the projects that own and maintain the resources
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