

Closed Loop Wind Farm Control

CL-Windcon, a control project approach

Dr. Irene Eguinoa CENER





MOTIVATION



- Holistic approach
 - Treat the wind farm control as a whole, instead of isolated wind turbine problems
 - Collect as much information as is available for wind farm operation improvements
 - Bridge the gap between simple models and CFD tools
- A Control problem/solution approach, by creating the necessary knowledge
 - Multi-fidelity modeling approach
 - Establish common cases/scenarios for developing
 - Models: development, comparison & validation
 - Control: development, comparison & validation
- Cooperative endeavour
- Following H2020 philosophy "As open as possible"



PROJECT AT A GLANCE



- CL-Windcon (Closed- loop Wind Farm Control)
- H2020-LCE-2016-RES-CCS-RIA ⇒ LCE-07-2016-2017- Developing the next generation technologies of renewable electricity and heating/cooling
- Duration: 36 months (2016/11/01 2019/10/31)
- Funding: 4.9 MEUR
- 15 partners from 6 countries
- Coordinator: CENER

- Multi-fidelity dynamic modelling
- Open and closed-loop advanced control algorithms at farm level
- Treating the entire wind farm as a comprehensive optimization problem



THE PROJECT TECHNICAL GENERAL OVERVIEW

WP1 – Wind farm control-oriented model development

- Reference wind turbine, farms and scenarios
- Farm models development
- Pre-/post-processing
- Models classification

WP2 – Wind farm flow technologies and algorithms

Closed-loop & open-loop control

- Induction & wake redirection control
- Supporting wind farm control technologies
- Integrated wind farm control

WP3 – Demonstration and validation of prototypes

- By simulation
- By wind tunnel testing
- By full-scale testing

- WP4 Feasibility
- Technology at wind turbine/farm level
- Technology by redesign
- O&M and reliability
- Economics & environment
- Standards





(m s⁻¹)

caim = 0°

WP1 – WIND FARM CONTROL-ORIENTED MODEL DEVELOPMENT

D1.1 - DEFINITIONS

CL-Windce

- Definition of 4 reference wind farms, from simple topologies to more complicated layouts, focusing on the effects under study
- Reference wind turbine: 10 MW INNWIND.EU wind turbine



programme under grant agreement No 727477



WP1 – WIND FARM CONTROL-ORIENTED MODEL DEVELOPMENT

D1.1 - DEFINITIONS

- **Definition of simulation scenarios and use cases (7),** following Verification & Validation (V&V) practices, with the aim of:
 - Model validation
 - Control verification

Use cases	Described by
Axial induction control	Aim of the use case
Yaw control	Wind farm layout
Wake mitigation techniques	Ambient conditions
Combined control (axial induction & yaw)	Required fidelity and time for the
Annual energy production	simulations
Component loading	Control inputs (if applicable)
Redesigned turbines	Evaluation metrics



WP1 – WIND FARM CONTROL-ORIENTED MODEL DEVELOPMENT

D1.2 - DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE & CONTROL-ORIENTED WIND FARM MODELS

- Steady-state models
 - Low complexity & computational cost
 - High number of tuning parameters
 - Time-averaged dynamics (minutes-scale)







WP1 – WIND FARM CONTROL-ORIENTED MODEL DEVELOPMENT

D1.2 - DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE & CONTROL-ORIENTED WIND FARM MODELS

- Steady-state models
 - Low complexity & computational cost
 - High number of tuning parameters
 - Time-averaged dynamics (minutes-scale)
- Control-oriented dynamical models
 - Increase in complexity & computational cost
 - Often derived from Navier-Stokes equations
 - \Rightarrow fewer tuning parameters
 - Dynamics on a second-to-second scale







WP1 – WIND FARM CONTROL-ORIENTED MODEL DEVELOPMENT



- D1.2 DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE & CONTROL-ORIENTED WIND FARM MODELS
- Medium-fidelity simulation models
 - Not used for controller synthesis (computational cost & complexity)
 - Reasonable accuracy for controller testing running on desktop/small cluster
- High-fidelity simulation models
 - Typically large-eddy simulations
 - High spatial and temporal resolution
 - Very high computational cost (HPC clusters)
 - Exclusively for controller testing and wind analysis







WP1 – WIND FARM CONTROL-ORIENTED MODEL DEVELOPMENT



D1.2 - DESCRIPTION OF REFERENCE & CONTROL-ORIENTED WIND FARM MODELS

Steady-state• FarmFlowControl-oriented dynamicalFLORIS• Wake dissipation model
for wake tracking• LongSim• WindFarmSimulator (WFSim)• Reduced-order model from
high-fidelity simulation data

Wind farm controller synthesis

Wind farm controller testing

Medium-fidelity

- FAST.Farm
- SimWindFarm





WP1 – WIND FARM CONTROL-ORIENTED MODEL DEVELOPMENT



D1.3 - CLASSIFICATION OF MODELS FOR WIND FARM CONTROL APPLICATIONS

- Software toolbox for pre-/post-processing of wind farm simulations (multi-tool)
- In collaboration with IEA Task 37
- Reference structure format (wind turbine / farm)
- Common data structure format: YAML



WP1 – WIND FARM CONTROL-ORIENTED MODEL DEVELOPMENT



D1.4 - CLASSIFICATION OF MODELS FOR WIND FARM CONTROL APPLICATIONS

- With respect to:
 - State of development & validation
 - Model nature
 - Fidelity
 - Modelling effort
 - Controllability

- Computational effort
- Limitations for real-world application
- Application areas
- Expected evolution
- Blind test in a single wake benchmark with field measurement data







D2.1 – MINIMAL LOADING WT DERATING AND ACTIVE YAW CONTROLLERS

- Explore different strategies at WT level from the fatigue perspective
 - Down-regulation
 - Active yaw control
 - Combination of both
- Implementation in a common baseline WT controller structure (**open-source code available at GitHub**)





D2.2 – METHODOLOGY FOR ACTIVE LOAD CONTROL

- Specific control mechanisms for the reduction of loads caused by a wind turbine being a part of a farm
- Estimators for partial wake overlap detection, which may be used for triggering
 - Sector effective wind speed estimation (through blade root bending moments)
 - Wake detection
 - Wake position and deficit estimation by online model update





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 727477

D2.2 – METHODOLOGY FOR ACTIVE LOAD CONTROL

- Externally triggerable IPC
- <u>Closed-loop wake steering</u>



- <u>Reliability enhancing technologies</u>
 - Redundancy of generator speed monitoring, by using measurements from 3 sensors (generator speed, rotor speed and azimuth)
 - Management of sensor failure





D2.3 – FARM CONTROL METHODOLOGY: INDUCTION BASED & WAKE REDIRECTION

- Feedback & feedforward induction control
 - Data-driven Economic Model Predictive Controller (EMPC) feedback control
 - Feedforward induction control for power and loads (partial wake loads)
 - Closed-loop induction control
- Fast wake recovery techniques
- Feedback and feedforward wake steering
 - Dynamic wake steering and its impact on power and loads
 - Wind direction measurement bias estimation
 - Wake-redirection by yawing with model augmentation
 - LIDAR-assisted closed-loop wake redirection control
 - Closed-loop model-based wake redirection control using a steady-state surrogate model





D2.4 – MINIMAL LOADING POWER CURTAILMENT CONTROL TECHNIQUES

- Novel load-balancing wind farm power curtailment control strategy
 - Time-varying active power setpoint for the whole wind farm
 - Different types of power curtailment strategies
 - Absolute power limitation
 - Balance control
 - Power rate limitation
 - Delta control
 - Optimal distribution among the wind turbines to ensure:
 - Tracking of total power production
 - Achieve minimal load increase over the whole farm
 - Balance accumulated fatigue loading over the wind farm





D3.3 – DEMONSTRATION OF WT CONTROLLERS & SUPPORTING TECHNOLOGIES BY SIMULATIONS

- Simulation & analysis at turbine level, by using the developed:
 - CL-Windcon baseline controller
 - Power derating & CL wake steering
 - Wake mixing strategy
 - Supplementary control strategies
 - Wind state and wake observers





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 727477

18

D3.3 – DEMONSTRATION OF WT CONTROLLERS & SUPPORTING TECHNOLOGIES BY SIMULATIONS (fd) (downwind view, t = 32.33) [m/s]





D3.1 – DEFINITION OF WIND TUNNEL TESTING CONDITIONS

- Wind tunnel testing as a validation pillar within CL-Windcon
- Experiments performed in the wind tunnel facility of Politecnico di Milano





- Wind tunnel testing as a validation pillar within CL-Windcon
- Experiments performed in the wind tunnel facility of POLIMI
- With 2 different types of scaled wind turbine models from TUM (G1 & G2)







D3.1 – DEFINITION OF WIND TUNNEL TESTING CONDITIONS

- Definition of **10 experiments along 45 testing days**:
 - characterization of the single / multiple wind turbine wake
 - performance of an array of wind turbines (axial induction & yaw redirection)
 - test of different wind farm control algorithms





D3.4 – TESTING IN THE WIND TUNNEL OF WIND TURBINES CONTROLLERS

- Tests accomplished in 27 days of experimentation
- Accurate **mapping of the inflow upwind** within the wind tunnel, for:
 - Reproduction of wind tunnel conditions for simulation
 - Post-process of experimental wake data
- Single and multiple wake characterization (1 & 2 turbines)
 - Under a range of conditions (ambient, operational)
 - Effects of yawing and derating on wake recovery, deficit and deflection
 - For validation of wake models
- Individual pitch control effects on loads and wake shed by a misaligned turbine
- Effectiveness of the **state update method** : compensate wake model mismatches
- Verification of techniques for **fast wake recovery**
- Validation of a **wind state observer**, able to estimate wind states: yaw misalignment, upflow angle, vertical and horizontal shear layers







D3.4 – TESTING IN THE WIND TUNNEL OF WIND TURBINES CONTROLLERS







D3.2 – DEFINITION OF FIELD-TESTING CONDITIONS

- Wind farm in Sedini (Italy), property of ENEL Green Power, GE turbines (1.5 MW)
- Detailed study of interactions and design of experiments
- Objectives
 - Single turbine performance and wake characterization:
 - thrust reduced operation
 - yaw misalignment
 - Demonstration of farm control algorithms





D3.2 – DEFINITION OF FIELD-TESTING CONDITIONS

Met mast

temperature, wind speed and direction at different altitudes

Instrumentation of single free-stream turbine (WTG 30)

- vertical lidar and iSpin (TBD) for free stream measurement
- Blade & tower loads instrumentation
- scanning lidar for wake characterization
- yaw sensor
- optional: electrical power measurement
- optional: nacelle accelerometer box

Instrumentation of row of three turbines (WTG 26, E5, WTG12)

- vertical lidar and/or iSpin for free stream measurement (TBD)
- Blade & tower loads instrumentation on WTG12
- yaw sensor (WTG26,E5,WTG12)
- optional: nacelle accelerometer box (E5)

Partial instrumentation of row of seven turbines (WTG 32 to WTG 38)

- vertical lidar and/or iSpin for free stream measurement (TBD)
- yaw sensor (WTG38)
- optional: nacelle accelerometer box on downstream unit





ON-GOING WORK



- WP2
 - Integrated wind farm control (D2.5)
- WP3
 - Demonstration of combined turbine/farm level controls by simulations (D3.5)
 - Documentation of test campaigns (D3.6)
 - Final validation report (D3.7)
- WP4
 - Assessment of controller key performance indicators & Guidelines on controller application for the management of existing wind farms (D4.1)
 - Optimized farm layout (D4.3)
 - Feasibility by re-design (D4.4)
 - Operation and maintenance cost modelling (D4.5)
 - Cost-benefit analysis (D4.6)
 - Review on standards and guidelines (D4.7)



AVAILABLE MATERIALS PUBLIC DELIVERABLES & SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS

- IS
- You can consult CL-Windcon public deliverables (up to 15 deliverables so far)

http://www.clwindcon.eu/public-deliverables/





AVAILABLE MATERIALS PUBLIC DELIVERABLES & SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS

• You can also download the project open access scientific publications

http://www.clwindcon.eu/publications/

Downloads

Public deliverables
Scientific publications

// WESE

WESE 2019





Deliverable D3.2: Definition of Read more...

Scientific publications

In this section all the scientific publications created within the project are listed together with their repository link.

Doekemeijer, Bart; van Wingerden, Jan-Willem; Boersma, Sjoerd; Pao, Lucy 2016 Enhanced Kalman filtering for a 2D CFD NS wind farm flow Model Journal of Physics: Conference Series 753 (2016) 052015

Doekemeijer, Bart; Boersma, Sjoerd; Van Wingerden, Jan-Willem; Pao, Lucy 2017 Ensemble Kalman filtering for wind field estimation in wind farms Proceedings of the American Control Conference, 19-24

Boersma, Sjoerd; Doekemeijer, Bart; Vali, Mehdi; Meyers, Johan; van Wingerden, Jan-Willem 2018 A control-oriented dynamic wind farm model: WFSim Wind Energ. Sci., 3, 75-95, 2018

D Astrain Juangarcia, I Eguinoa and T Knudsen 2018 Derating a single wind farm turbine for reducing its wake and fatigue Journal of Physics: Conference Series (JPCS).





AVAILABLE MATERIALS OPEN SCIENCE



- Some tools developed (models, wind turbine controller), available on GitHub
- Different **research data** will be provided in **Open Access** to the community:
 - High-fidelity simulations
 - Wind tunnel testing measurements
 - Results from the field testing
- For the high fidelity simulation databases publicly available:
 - Precursors & documented simulations
 - Available upon request: <u>clwindconftp@cener.com</u>
 - Subject: "Cl-Windcon FTP data access request"



CL-WINDCON FINAL CONFERENCE

- Jointly held with the 5th Workshop for Systems Engineering in Wind Energy
- 2nd 4th October 2019, Pamplona (Spain)
- Co-hosted by partnering of CENER, NREL & DTU Wind Energy
- Attendance free of charge and by invitation only in the early registration stage (until 15th July), after which promotion to a wider audience will be performed.
- Contact: wese2019@cener.com

http://www.clwindcon.eu/wese2019/

5th Workshop for Systems Engineering in Wind Energy



CL-Windcon Final Conference

CL-Windcon



AND ALL THIS IS BEING POSSIBLE THANKS TO ...



the great commitment and collaboration of CL-Windcon partners







info@clwindcon.eu miribas@cener.com ieguinoa@cener.com



www.clwindcon.eu





