# Field test of an active flap system on a multi-MW wind turbine

June 18, 2019. Cork, Ireland <u>A. Gomez Gonzalez<sup>1</sup></u>, P. Enevoldsen<sup>1</sup>, T.K. Barlas<sup>2</sup>, H. Aa. Madsen<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy A/S, Brande, Denmark <sup>2</sup>DTU, Dept. of Wind Energy, Roskilde, Denmark









### Contents



- 1. Short into to SGRE and Induflap2 project
- 2. Description of field measurement campaign
- 3. Results statistics and time response
- 4. Summary



## Siemens Gamesa – Key Facts<sup>1</sup>



© Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy A/S, © 2019





#### **Technology & Innovation**



• 7 Technology Centers: Bangalore (India), Boulder (USA), Brande (Denmark), Hamburg (Germany), Bilbao, Madrid & Pamplona (Spain)





# Induflap2 – Active Flap System (AFS) design and validation



sub-module validation e.g. wind tunnel testing



rotating rig





Simulation, modelling, and data analysis













# Active flaps.. How does it work





# Active flap actuation





# Measurement campaigns





#### **Measurement campaigns**



View of AFS during phase 1

	Phase 1	Phase 2
Date	Oct 2017 – June 2018	Dec 2018 – June 2019
Turbine	SWT-4.0-130	SWT-4.0-130
AFS type	FT008_rev9	FT008_rev10
Extension	47.5 – 62.5 m	42.5 - 62.5 m





### Blade-2-blade load comparison

#### Example from phase 2 (FT008\_rev10)





Blade-2-blade synchronous analysis helps to:

- Reduce the uncertainty related to period-2period analysis
- Reduce uncertainty due to met-mast / turbine distance (both due to time offset and turbulence coherence issues)
- NOTE: it is important to filter independently for wind speeds above and below rated





# Phase 2: FT008\_rev10: Measurements vs BHawC simulations



#### **Transient step analysis methodology**



<u>Step 1.</u> Read turbine data and define thresholds for auto step detection and classification



Step 2. Read approx. 3 mins of blade loads before and after the pressure shift



### Step analysis methodology



#### Step 3. Offset and filter data



<u>Comment on filtering</u>: impacts calculated response time! Filtering time constants shown below: 1, 2, 3, 5, 10s



#### Step analysis methodology



# Step 4. Difference between blade A vs average of B and C

# Step 5. Ensemble averaging among hundreds of shifts







# Summary

Two versions of an active flap system (AFS) developed within the scope of the Induflap2 project (AFS FT008rev9 and FT008rev10) were successfully tested in full scale on a SWT-4.0-130 turbine

Successful culmination of WP4, including the <u>manufacturing</u> of the individual active flap kits, the <u>development of the installation method</u> of the flaps, development and installation of a pressure supply system, on-site installation, <u>turbine instrumentation</u>, and <u>two independent test campaigns</u> (each of duration of approx. 6 months).

Development of methods to validate in an isolated manner the effects on loading of the AFS both in mean levels as well as transient behaviour

The full scale test demonstrated the ability to actively modify the mean load levels with both AFS FT008rev9 and FT008rev10





#### Acknowledgements

The work presented here in was performed in collaboration with DTU Wind Energy and Rehau A/S and was partially funded by EUDP as part of project journal nr. 64015-0069.

Active flow control is a promising technology











# Thanks!

