

CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

SSN: 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

Available online at: <u>http://www.iajps.com</u>

Research Article

ANALYSIS OF INSULIN GLARGINE IN THE TREATMENT OF ELDERLY PATIENTS WITH DIABETES

¹Dr Aalia Rubab, ²Dr Zarmeena Zulfiqar, ³Dr Umar Hammad Rashid ¹Women Medical Officer at THQ Hospital, Kot Momin Sargodha, ²Women Medical Officer at THQ Hospital, Pindi Bhattian, ³Medical Officer at RHC Mitha Tiwana, Khushab

Article Received: April 2019 Accepted: May 2019 Published: June 2019

Abstract:

Introduction: Diabetes prevalence in older people increases with advancing age. The global prevalence of diabetes in people between the ages of 60 and 79 is 18.6%, which is more than 134.6 million people, and accounts for 35% of all cases of diabetes in adults.

Aims and objectives: The basic aim of the study is to analyze the effectiveness of insulin glargine in the treatment of elderly patients with diabetes.

Material and methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted in THQ Hospital, Kot Momin Sargodha during October 2018 to March 2019. The data was collected from 100 type 2 diabetic patients. The patients were treated with 50- or 100-mg insulin glargine two or three times per day with meals and followed up for 8 to 10 weeks after the initial visit. The decision on the treatment duration was solely at the discretion of the attending physician. The medication was prescribed within the regular practice of the physician.

Results: The data was collected from 100 diabetic patients. The mean age of the group was 60.3 ± 11.5 years. BMI was $24.2 \pm 3.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$, duration of diabetes was 12.8 ± 7.7 years and basal insulin therapy period was 2.5 ± 2.6 years. The primary efficacy outcome was that HbA1c decreased significantly over the course of the observation period ($0.55 \pm 1.05\%$; P < 0.0001). FBG decreased by $0.89 \pm 3.79 \text{ mmol/L}$. Postprandial glucose was reduced by $2.59 \pm 4.77 \text{ mmol/L}$.

Conclusion: It is concluded that combined insulin and insulin glargine therapy improves glucose control among different subgroups of patients with type 2 diabetes, and that the majority of clinicians and patients are satisfied with the treatment.

Corresponding author:

Dr. Aalia Rubab,

Women Medical Officer at THQ Hospital, Kot Momin Sargodha.



Please cite this article in press Aalia Rubab et al., Analysis Of Insulin Glargine In The Treatment Of Elderly Patients With Diabetes., Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2019; 06[06].

INTRODUCTION:

Diabetes prevalence in older people increases with advancing age. The global prevalence of diabetes in people between the ages of 60 and 79 is 18.6%, which is more than 134.6 million people, and accounts for 35% of all cases of diabetes in adults. Peripheral neuropathy, which is highly prevalent in older people with diabetes, increases the risk of falls and fractures, and consequently, of functional impairment [1]. Diabetes in older people is also associated with dementia and depression. Diabetic patients with depressive symptoms may need more attention in treating their condition, particularly women. Age-associated alteration in metabolism and excretion of medication is also a concern in the selection of antidiabetic treatment. Older people are also at increased risk of undernutrition and skeletal muscle loss, which is generally even more evident with the presence of diabetes. Older people with diabetes are considered at high cardiovascular risk [2].

Risk of hypoglycemia is also increased due to impaired counter regulatory mechanisms. These biopsychosocial changes increase the complexity in managing diabetes in older adults. Importantly, much attention has been paid to optimal glycemic control in the elderly in the past few years. Guidelines focusing on the elderly with diabetes were developed all over the world in the past decade with increasing focus on cognition and functional capacity [3].

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is an epidemic resulting in enormous human suffering, such as cardiovascular disease or renal failure, and economic costs. Much of the morbidity associated with long-term complications can be reduced by lowering blood glucose close to the range of a non-diabetic individual [4]. Given the progressive nature of diabetes and the substantial evidence supporting insulin regimens, patients must utilize insulin therapy to maintain glycemic control, and reduce morbidity and mortality rates associated with diabetes and its related complications [5]. Several studies have evaluated the efficacy of insulin glargine when combined with insulin therapy, but most were carried out some time ago, therefore they utilized normal insulin. Recently, insulin analogs with quite a long half-life have become available, and are gaining the popularity [6].

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES:

The basic aim of the study is to analyze the effectiveness of insulin glargine in the treatment of elderly patients with diabetes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

This cross-sectional study was conducted in THO Hospital, Kot Momin Sargodha during October 2018 to March 2019. The data was collected from 100 type 2 diabetic patients. The patients were treated with 50- or 100-mg insulin glargine two or three times per day with meals and followed up for 8 to 10 weeks after the initial visit. The decision on the treatment duration was solely at the discretion of the attending physician. The medication was prescribed within the regular practice of the physician. During that timeframe, at least two follow-up visits were documented. Basic assessments and blood samples were carried out at baseline, two follow-up visits and the final visit 20 weeks after the patients commenced taking the study medications. All patients were advised to continue with their usual diet, physical activity and medications.

Statistical Analysis: The results were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation and/or median value (range) for continuous variables.

RESULTS:

The data was collected from 100 diabetic patients. The mean age of the group was 60.3 ± 11.5 years. BMI was 24.2 ± 3.2 kg/m², duration of diabetes was 12.8 ± 7.7 years and basal insulin therapy period was 2.5 ± 2.6 years. The primary efficacy outcome was that HbA1c decreased significantly over the course of the observation period $(0.55 \pm 1.05\%; P < 0.0001)$. FBG decreased by 0.89 ± 3.79 mmol/L. Postprandial glucose was reduced by 2.59 ± 4.77 mmol/L.

Tuble VI. Thurfysis of nuclioground Tife, grueose and weight from buseline to week 20				
Parameters	Baseline	10 weeks	Change	<i>P</i> -value
HbA1c (%)	8.55 ± 0.83	7.99 ± 1.24	-0.55 ± 1.05	< 0.0001
Fasting blood glucose (mmol/L)	8.00 ± 3.46	7.14 ± 2.47	-0.89 ± 3.79	< 0.0001
Postprandial glucose (mmol/L)	13.54 ± 4.18	10.95 ± 3.41	-2.59 ± 4.77	< 0.0001
Weight (kg)	63.41 ± 11.10	63.61 ± 11.06	-0.23 ± 2.21	0.0805

Table 01: Analysis of haemoglobin A1c, glucose and weight from baseline to week 20

DISCUSSION:

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a progressive disease characterized by insulin insufficiency and resistance along with chronic hyperglycemia. Although the mean HbA1c after 20 weeks treatment of insulin glargine (7.99%) was somewhat still higher than the treatment target of <7%, it should be noted that insulin glargine had a neutral effect on bodyweight, and did not trigger any severe adverse events or hypoglycemia, two common side-effects of diabetes treatments, in particular insulin treatment [7]. These two aspects (hypoglycemia and bodyweight) are supported by recent several meta-alayses, and might be explained by the insulin-sparing mechanism of insulin glargine. As insulin glargine is a drug that targets postprandial glucose levels, a significant declined-pattern of postprandial glucose level after additional insulin glargine treatment was shown in a group of higher baseline postprandial glucose levels [8].

Elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus are more likely to have the additional burden of diabetes-related complications and asymptomatic hypoglycaemic events due to the decline in their ability to adjust their physiology [9]. Therefore, adequately controlling blood glucose and reducing complications should be emphasized, in the clinical management of type 2 diabetes mellitus in elderly patients [10,11].

CONCLUSION:

It is concluded that combined insulin and insulin glargine therapy improves glucose control among different subgroups of patients with type 2 diabetes, and that the majority of clinicians and patients are satisfied with the treatment.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Nathan DM, Buse JB, Davidson MB, et al. Medical management of hyperglycemia in type2 diabetes: a consensus algorithm for the initiation and adjustment of therapy: a consensus statement from the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2009;32:193–203.
- 2. Bretzel RG, Nuber U, Landgraf W, et al. Oncedaily basal insulin glargine versus thrice-daily prandial insulin lispro in people with type 2

diabetes on oral hypoglycaemic agents (APOLLO): an open randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2008;371:1073–1084.

- Krentz AJ, Bailey CJ. Oral antidiabetic agents: current role in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Drugs. 2005;65:385–411.
- Holman RR, Cull CA, Turner RC. A randomized double-blind trial of acarbose in type 2 diabetes shows improved glycemic control over 3 years (U.K. Prospective Diabetes Study 44) Diabetes Care. 1999;22:960–964.
- Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Hunt JA, et al. The efficacy of acarbose in the treatment of patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. A multicenter controlled clinical trial. Ann Intern Med. 1994;121:928–935.
- 6. Coniff RF, Shapiro JA, Seaton TB, et al. A double-blind placebo-controlled trial evaluating the safety and efficacy of acarbose for the treatment of patients with insulin-requiring type II diabetes. Diabetes Care. 1995;18:928–932.
- Kelley DE, Bidot P, Freedman Z, et al. Efficacy and safety of acarbose in insulin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 1998;21:2056–2061.
- Gabir MM, Hanson RL, Dabelea D, et al. The 1997 American Diabetes Association and 1999 World Health Organization criteria for hyperglycemia in the diagnosis and prediction of diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2000;23:1108–1112.
- Turner RC, Cull CA, Frighi V, et al. Glycemic control with diet, sulfonylurea, metformin, or insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: progressive requirement for multiple therapies (UKPDS 49). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. JAMA. 1999;281:2005– 2012.
- 10. Task Force Team for Basic Statistical Study of Korean Diabetes Mellitus of Korean Diabetes Association. Park IeB, Kim J, Kim DJ, et al. Diabetes epidemics in Korea: reappraise nationwide survey of diabetes "diabetes in Korea 2007" Diabetes Metab J. 2013;37:233–239.
- 11. McIntosh B, Cameron C, Singh SR, et al. Second-line therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin monotherapy: a systematic review and mixedtreatment comparison meta-analysis. Open Med. 2011;5:e35–e48