



# Performance Modeling and Scalability for the ICON model

#### Philipp Neumann, Panagiotis Adamidis, Joachim Biercamp Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum (DKRZ)

Luis Kornblueh, Matthias BrückDaniel KlockeNiklas RöberMax-Planck-Institut für MeteorologieDeutscher WetterdienstDKRZ

...and the members of ESiWACE and DYAMOND





## ESiWACE: Centre of Excellence in Simulation of Weather and Climate in Europe

- Goals
  - Substantially improve efficiency and productivity of weather and climate models
  - Prepare models for exascale systems
    - $\rightarrow$  scalability and performance analysis, tuning, ...
- ESiWACE: Kilometre-scale demonstrators (prototypical)
   → models: ICON, IFS, NEMO, EC-Earth
- ESiWACE2: Towards production-ready models at pre-exascale
- Read more: Website: <u>www.esiwace.eu</u> ESiWACE newsletters: <u>www.esiwace.eu/newsletter</u>



Weather









#### ICON Aqua-Planet Experiment @1.25km

- 1.25km resolution,
  335 544 320 horiz. cells,
  45 vert. levels
- 1408 nodes,
   2MPI x 18 OpenMP
- Throughput: 1.8 SDPD, no IO
- Benchmark (160km-5km) available at:

https://redmine.dkrz.de/projects/icon-benchmark/wiki/ Instructions on download execution and analysis ICON Benchmark v160





## DYAMOND: **Dy**namics of the **A**tmospheric General Circulation **M**odeled **o**n **N**on-hydrostatic **D**omains

- Intercomparison of O(3km) atmospheric global models
   → ICON, NICAM, MPAS, GEOS, FV3, SAM, UM, ARPEGE-NH, IFS-H
- ICON 2.5km throughput: ca. 6 SDPD (540 nodes/19 440 cores)
- Scientific use case of ESiWACE demonstrators Read more: <u>www.esiwace.eu/services/dyamond</u>



#### CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN SIMULATION OF WEATH AND CLIMATE IN EUROPE

### Outline

- **1.** Accessible resolutions
- 2. Semi-analytical performance modeling for hi-res runs
- 3. Performance modeling and prediction with sparse grids
- Goal: Provide means to portable scalability estimates (with exascale and high-dimensionality in mind)

Read more:

1. P. Neumann et al.

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A. 377:20180148, 2019

2. T. Schulthess et al.

IEEE Computing in Science & Engineering 21(1):30-41, 2018

3. B. Stevens et al. DYAMOND (Submitted)





# Performance Models for Scalability Predictions at Exascale (ICON-DYAMOND 5km)

- Modeling of atmosphere-only ICON-DYAMOND 5km setup
  - $\rightarrow$  domain decomposition of unstructured grid
    - ightarrow load imbalances due to different subdomain sizes (and varying with message sizes)
  - $\rightarrow$  additional load imbalances due to, e.g., cloud cover
  - → 39 communication phases (nearest-neighbor exchange of cell/vertex/edge data)
- Model 1: *t*(*l*

 $t(N):=t_{compute}(N) + t_{commun}(N) \text{ with } t_{compute}(N):=t_{compute}(1)/N,$ 

- $t_{commun}(N)$  modeled using message sizes, network latency and bandwidth
- $\rightarrow$  fully analytical hardware-aware model, given one measurement t(1)
- Model 2: same as model 1, but additionally models

 $t_{compute}(N):=(t_{compute}(1)-t_{imbalance}(1))/N + t_{imbalance}(N), t_{imbalance}(N)$  measured/extrapolated

ightarrow semi-analytical hardware-aware model





### Performance Models for Scalability Predictions at



8





### Performance Modeling with Sparse Grids: Objectives

- Multi-parameter influence on computational performance
  - → **computational:** OpenMP/MPI decomposition, loop-blocking, vector lengths, ...
  - → algorithmic: time step, number of iterations, error control/tolerance,...
  - ightarrow all aforementioned categories for every model subcomponent

→ high-dimensional parameter space

Objectives: performance estimate for complex ESMs...

...to gain insight into (wanted or unwanted) hotspots

...to improve scheduling (relevant to workflows?)

 <u>Approach:</u> Regression on high-dimensional parameter space via adaptive sparse grids





#### Regression on Sparse Grids in a Nutshell<sup>1</sup>

- Define linear hat function per sparse grid point
   → defines function space V<sub>n</sub>
- Solve regression problem on run time data y<sub>j</sub>, measured for parameter combination x<sub>i</sub>:

$$u = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{v \in V_n} \left( \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M (y_j - v(\vec{x}_j))^2 + \lambda C(v) \right)$$

- with  $v(\vec{x}) := \sum_i \alpha_i \varphi_i(\vec{x})$
- Results in linear system:

$$\left(\frac{1}{M}BB^{\top} + \lambda \mathbb{I}\right)\vec{\alpha} = \frac{1}{M}B\vec{y}$$

1 D. Pflüger. Dissertation, 2010





#### **Evaluation Procedure**

- Data splitting:
   Use *s* % of data for learning and *1-s* % for validation
- Mean relative error:
  - Start from one data split
  - Compute and average relative errors for this data split
  - Repeat this procedure for 10 data splits and average errors
- Consider different initial sparse grid level refinements (level-2 and level-3 grids)
- Apply local refinement (r=3 refinement iterations,  $m=\overline{3}$  refinements/it.)





#### Example: Particle Simulation (5D Parameter Space)

- Parameters: particle density ρ, number of particles N, cut-off radius r<sub>c</sub>, blocksize, no MPI processes P
- Random sampling of run time space
   → 357 samples





### Example: Particle Simulation (5D Parameter Space)

- Parameters: particle density ρ, number of particles N, cut-off radius r<sub>c</sub>, blocksize, no MPI processes P
- Random sampling of run time space
   → 357 samples





#### Example: ICON-DYAMOND 5km Runs (4D Space)

Parameters: # OpenMP threads (2,4,6,12,18), # nodes (100,200,300,400), nproma (col. blocking; 2,4,8,16,32), # vertical levels (60,70,80,90)



(nodes=100,nproma=2/16,lev=60)



(openMP=4,nproma=2/16,lev=90)



(nodes=100,openMP=4,nproma=2/16)



13.06.2019



### Example: ICON-DYAMOND 5km Runs (4D Space)

#### • Parameters:

# OpenMP threads (2,4,6,12,18), # nodes (100,200,300,400), nproma (col. blocking; 2,4,8,16,32), # vertical levels (60,70,80,90)



13.06.2019



#### CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN SIMULATION OF WEATHER AND CLIMATE IN EUROPE

### Summary

- DYAMOND/ESiWACE: Towards production-ready scalable global hi-res modeling
  - ightarrow scalability and performance
  - $\rightarrow$  scientific insight and model intercomparison (DYAMOND)
- Performance shortfall of global high-resolution models (still) circumvents (sub)-kilometre-scale simulations
  - $\rightarrow$  factor O(17) for ICON, similar for other models
  - $\rightarrow$  this factor is (quasi-)independent from the supercomputer's size!
- Scalability investigation and prediction via performance modeling
  - $\rightarrow$  semi-analytical model for ICON-5km describes model's scaling behaviour well
- Performance prediction for arbitrary parameters

ightarrow sparse grid regression for high-dimensional parameter spaces works well

 $\rightarrow$  future: comparison with neural networks, Gaussian processes

P. Neumann acknowledges ESiWACE. ESiWACE has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 675191. This material reflects only the author's view and the European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.