





Impact of sea-ice initialization on decadal prediction in the Arctic

Tian Tian¹, Pasha Karami², Shuting Yang¹, Torben Koenigk^{2,3}, Klaus Wyser², François Massonnet^{4,5}, Mihaela Caian⁶

- 1. Danish Meteorological Institute, Denmark
- 2. Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, Sweden
- 3. Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Sweden
- 4. Georges Lemaitre Centre for Earth and Climate Research, Earth and Life Institute, Université Catholique de L ouvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
- 5. Earth Science Department, Barcelona Supercomputing Center, Barcelona, Spain
- 6. Department of climate prediction, National Meteorological Administration, Romania

Motivation

Identify added-value from sea ice multi-category initialization in prediction of the Arctic SAT



- 2K increase, vs 90s
- Model bias~ 0.5 K
- Less variability
- O-Al no obvious improvement
- OSI-AI best fit in the warm regime



Free run (CMIP6-historical)



Ocean-only initialisation (O-AI)



The EC-Earth3 decadal prediction system

Objectives: seasonal-to-decadal prediction

- CMIP6 Decadal Climate Prediction Project (DCPP)
- Climate services



OSI-Anomaly initialisation

Methods, limitation & prediction skills over FFI (Volpi et al, 2017a,b)





- Avoid introducing anomalies that are out to the model internal variability range
- Model biases with a spatial drift: locate the anomalies at the wrong place
- A sharp sea ice reduction from the model state: negative values cannot be assigned to the sea ice concentration and thickness in AI

Median ice edge

OBS Anomaly

Defined as a field's deviation from the mean state (climate), calculated over a period of at least 30 years (WMO)

• Sea ice cover, ice and snow volume, 3D T & S



5 10 15 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 85 90 95 99

5 10 15 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 85 90 95 99

-55 -45 -35 -25 -15 -5 5 15 25 35 45 55

MOD Anomaly

Sea ice cover

• Sea ice volume

• Free run 2007-11-01 •



5	10	15	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	85	90	95	99

MOD mean

 \mathbf{O}

0









Anomaly initialisation

The intial state is obtained by replacing the model anomalies with the observed ones (Volpi, et al, 2017b)

- Limitation in SI-AI in the warming climate: negative values cannot be assigned to the sea ice concentration and thickness
- Giving the potential to refine ice volume AI (unobserved variable) to increase the prediction skill of TAS in the Arctic (Kimmritz et al, 2018)



5 10 15 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 85 90 95 99

5 10 15 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 85 90 95 99

Errors in initial state

Sea ice concentration, total



5	1(0 1	5 2	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	85	90	95	99



3014

5 10 15 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 85 90 95 99

• MOD - OBS •





Errors in initial state

1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 5.5 6

Sea ice volume, total



1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 5.5 6

-2.5	_ 2 _	15 _	1 -0	5 -0	1 0	1 0	5	1 1	5	2 2	5

Best with Ocean and sea ice initialisation

RMSE (Experiments – Observations)

• For the cold winter 1993, OSI-AI also won, suggesting a dominant role of the external forcing. (Hunke 2016)



• Near surface temperature •

Unit in K DJF 60-90N



lce area minimum

0

Unit in 1e12 m2.

 \bigcirc

Great improvement in concentration area by multi-sea ice assimilation (Kimmritz et al, 2018)

Free run O-Al

OSI-AI

OSI-AI-Fmin

OSI-AI-Fmean

OSI-AI-Funiform

Better with no initialization?

RMSE (Experiments – Observations), beyond 3 years, OSI-AI began to drift away

• Internal ice physics parametrizations play a role with persistent positive bias with a lot of ice.



• Ice extent minimum

Unit in 1e12 m2.

Persistent model bias with a lot of ice



• Ice volume maximum •

Unit in 1e12 m3

Challenge in multi-category SI-AI with a sharp reduction from the original model state.



OSI-AI

0

OSI-AI-Fmin

OSI-AI-Fmean

OSI-AI-Funiform

Next steps

1. Pool regional skill in AMOC (Sterl, 2016; Liu et al., 2017; Polkova et al., 2019)

Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) between 500m-1.5km depth, 26.5N



Next steps

2. Anomaly correlation: improve skill by regional sea ice removal

• Relatively high skill in the subpolar N. Atlantic and part of the Nordic and Barents Seas (Matei et al. 2012; Hazeleger et al., 2013; Langehaug et al. 2017)

• Very patchy, possibly caused by the inconsistency between the observed anomalies with the underlying background state of the model as a possible cause (Bellucci et al., 2014, Volpi et al., 2017b)



Anomaly: yearly mean minus obs. climate

Perspective

Reducing model drift in predicting the Arctic sea ice decline with OSI-AI

- To refine sea ice volume in order to minimize regional errors in sea ice reduction in the initial state.
- To identify the spatial shift between the model and the observed variability (Volpi et al. 2017b)
- To apply weighted anomaly initialisation to make their amplitude more consistent with the simulated variability (Volpi et al. 2017a)







The Blue-Action project has received funding from t he European Union's Horizon 2020 research and inn ovation programme under grant agreement No 727 852