Aerial Detection of Forest Fires with Automated 3D Fuel Volume Estimation

Artur Krukowski¹, Emmanouela Vogiatzaki¹

¹ RFSAT Ltd, Sheffield, United Kingdom, <u>Artur.Krukowski@rfsat.com</u>, <u>Emmanouela@rfsat.com</u>

Keywords: forest wildfires; fuel volume estimation; 3D modelling; UAV; surveillance; firefighting; ignition detectors

Abstract

This article presents work performed in the frame of ongoing FP7-SEC project "Advanced Forest Fire Fighting" in areas of UAV-based 3D surveillance and 3D area mapping using high-resolution photogrammetric methods from multispectral imaging. We will also present our proprietary embedded sensor system used for detection of fire ignitions in the forest using near-infrared based scanner with weight and form factors allowing it to be easily deployed on standard commercial micro-UAVs, such as DJI Inspire or Matrix. Results of real-life tests of both technologies during trials in Greece (May 2016), Spain (mid-November 2016) and Israel (June 2017) are reported.

The performance of the proposed modelling approach was tested during both project trials where stacks of tree branches were set-up to be extinguished using aerial firefighting. The models based on a set of images taken by UAV's HD-resolution camera during 10-minute flyby over a 1000 square meter area at an altitude of 40 meters was such that shapes of individual tree branches with sizes below 2 centimetres could be clearly seen in the model featuring over 100-million-point cloud and 10 million faces. Furthermore, thermal images of same areas were captured with 640x480 resolution FLIR camera. The 3D models resulting from those images have been processed and are also presented here in. An embedded sensor device has been developed, aimed for mounting on a micro-UAV for detecting fire ignitions, with first version fitted onto DJI Inspire 1, while a reduced version was developed for a smaller DJI Mavic Pro.

The concept of its operation is based on detecting changes in near-infrared spectrum corresponding to significant changes to ionised potassium and oxygen levels. The sensor uses a commercial STS-NIR micro spectrometer with either Raspberry PI revision 3 of PI-Zero 1.3 used to host acquisition software and an on-board WEB server used for transmitting the measurements as well as alerts to the C4I. Additional multi-constellation GPS receiver was added to the RASPI device to allow for precise positioning of incident areas without depending on the UAV positioning system. This way the device can be effortlessly deployed on any other flying or ground vehicle. The weight of the sensor node including spectrometer and rechargeable 2300mAh battery does not exceed 300 grams (1st version) with the latest release not exceeding 100 grams, allowing more than 4 to 6 hours of continuous operation. The real-life validation test in Athens in May 2016 and in Spain in November 2016 have confirmed initial expectations and the anticipated performance of the sensor when used both on ground and on board of the DJI Inspire 1 and DJI Matrix UAV platforms.

Introduction

Forest-fire fighting commonly depends on operational data acquired by firefighters from visual observations. Such estimations are subject to various errors and inaccuracies due to smoke obstructing the flames, human inability to perform visual estimation and errors in the identification of fire location. Several modern technologies have been applied to firefighting over the recent years helping to resolve such problems. However, many of these still have suffer from practical problems in the operational conditions, such as low reliability and accuracy, excessive costs and other ones. Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) can play a key role for forest fire response. They have been already successfully demonstrated in the past for fire detection, localization and observation [3][4]. Fire monitoring is generally related to real-time analysis of the evolution of the most important parameters related to the fire propagation, including shape and position of the fire front, its evolution in time and the average height of the flames [5]. When integrated within a Geographical Information System (GIS), such information can be used to advantage in more efficient deployment of firefighting resources, being the core of its use in the presented AF3 project.

The AF3 aims to enhance fire detection and monitoring systems capabilities in the perspective of the project purpose, integrating traditional and alternative means of observation and exploiting sensor, surveillance and other relevant technologies beyond the state of the art. For this purpose, a wide array of sensors is deployed including satellite, airborne as well as ground mobile and stationary systems, with monitoring and detection data being fused, managed and visualized into an integrated environment. In AF3, an additional essential objective is the seamless capability of monitoring and fire detection through all fire development phases as well as during post-fire and pre-fire phases, also beyond fire categories (interface fires). In such a system, the UAVs play an important role for early detection of fire ignitions and validation of fire alerts by performing both visual and sensor-based verification of the actual incidents. During firefighting, combined with unmanned ground vehicles they support close range surveillance of firefighting operations, search and rescue as well

as on the spot analysis of situation at the fire front. After the incidents, they can be used to survey the area in search for possible re-ignitions, assess damages to infrastructures, livestock as well as search for possible casualties and survivors.

In the AF3 project both mini and micro UAV systems are used. First ones perform high-altitude visual and thermal surveillance aiding in firefighting operations. On the other hand, micro-UAVs have been used for fire detection and verification using on-board range of sensors and multi-spectral cameras. Sensors include CO/CO2 measurements and spectrometers aimed at detection a range of ionised gases, such as potassium and oxygen, commonly associated with biomass fire [1]. Manual and autonomous UAV-based aerial surveillance, fire detection and 3D area modelling system has been developed and successfully tested during the 1st trials in Scaramanga near Athens in Greece and then during 2nd trials near Leon in Spain. The first set of trials focussed on spectral sensing and visual imaging in daylight, while the second trials included also observations and 3D modelling also in the thermal spectrum. The technologies used in those tests are described below.

NIR Spectroscopy for Detection of Fire Ignitions

Embedded NIR spectrometer deployed either on ground or aerial vehicles can be used for close-range monitoring of temporal changes in the atmospheric oxygen absorption and ionised potassium over forest fire areas for detection of possible (re-)ignitions of the biomass. As shown in Fig. 1, there are number of characteristic features that can be detected in the NIR spectrum for forest fires.




Fig. 1 Sample of measured data from NIR spectrometer during tests in Athens (left) as compared to expected absorption effects of atmospheric gases and common types of materials (right) [1]

The easiest way to detect biomass fires have proven to be potassium emissions (double peaking in the NIR spectrum at 767.4nm and 770.7nm) with additional oxygen absorption (both shown on the left graph in Fig. 1), the former one appearing more significantly than the latter one, hence it has been adopted in the test system for indicating a possibility of a biomass fire. When deployed on autonomous UAVs, this can be combined with imaging in both visual and thermal surveillance, thus providing visual confirmation of a potential incident. The same images can be also used for 3D modelling and volumetric fuel estimation of the biomass in the given area, as well as post-incident damage analysis, as it is described in the next section.

In the AF3 project, the NSTS-NIR spectrometer from Ocean Optics [7] covering the range of 650-1100 nm has been used, which delivers sufficient performance and accuracy in performing low-concentration absorbance measurements for forest fire detection. Its rugged design with small weight (50g) and size (4x4x3 cm) makes this sensor a very attractive option for deployment on micro-UAVs that cannot lift heavy payloads, not to mention also its small footprint. In the test design (Fig. 2) an STS Developers Kit has been used that integrates an STS-NIR spectrometer, Raspberry Pi microcomputer, as well as customizable software with wireless networking. This allowed deploying the complete system without much additional development. By adding a Wi-Fi on a USB, the Raspberry could be used as a WEB server this allowing to provide measurements both in real-time for remote clients on the ground and for storing on an embedded SD memory.

Two versions of the sensor system are shown in Fig. 2. The one on the left is a modification of the original STS-NIR Development Kit from Ocean Optics, where extra NIR camera and GPS receiver were added to allow geolocated sensor measurements with visual confirmation. Since such a design is quite bulky, the custom deployment on a Raspberry PI Zero Revision W has been made (right) to lower the size and weight of the entire system, thus making it small enough for deployment on smaller types of drone, such as DJI Mavic Pro. The latter version includes an embedded Wi-Fi interface to allow both remote configurability of the sensor node as well as permitting direct pull of sensor data in real time from the spectrometer, subject to client devices not exceeding maximum range of 300 meters in line of sight. If the distance is exceeded the data from eth sensor can be stored on the onboard SD memory card for post processing on return of the UAV to base.



Fig. 2 First version of the fire detection sensor on RASPI 3 (a) and the final one on PI-Zero W (b).

To further reduce the weight, the rechargeable battery from the first version of the sensor node has been be replaced with a custom DC-DC downconverter to allow drawing the power to the sensor from the onboard battery of the UAV. In the subsequent version the GPS received will be integrated directly onto GPIO pins of the RASPI-Zero-W while current off-the-shelf USB connectors will be replaced with direct ribbon cables, thus lowering the overall weight to a minimum. Such an approach will also allow deploying the sensor on any type of commercial or custom drone.

In the first version of the sensor, the total weight including the spectrometer and the rechargeable 2300mAh battery does not exceed 300 grams, while in the latest release the total weight does not exceed 100 grams. The overall weight of the sensor has a direct impact on the total flying time of the drone. It has been established that while the first version may reduce the total flying time of the drone by nearly 8 minutes, the latest version does not reduce it more than 2-3 minutes, under the same environmental conditions (e.g. wind speed). Measurements were made by placing both sensors on two UAV of same type and lifting them 10 meters above the ground, few meters apart.

An important part of the whole design is an embedded WEB server built upon the SDK from Ocean Optics. The development Kit came with a demo software that included the most important features such as capture, configuration and data transfer, written in PHP, and accessible remotely via on-board WEB server. This requires clients to make direct connection to the drone for accessing NIR sensor information. Custom adaptations included additional configurations, peak-based waveform analysis for determining existence of potassium emission with oxygen absorption for creating fire alerts, as well as geolocation of the measurements independently from GPS used by the UAV. The screenshots of main pages of the WEB server are shown in Fig. 3. They include fire detector screen with list of peaks detected at various frequencies at a given location (left), record of GNSS satellites showing location precision down to a meter (centre) and original configuration page (right).

<u> </u>		- 🗆 ×	-	ΟX	×
(<-) (-) 🧭 🙆 http://192	2.168.42.1/cg 🔎 👻 🖉 Waiti	ng for 192.168.42.1 ×	(<-) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->) (<->)	Server ×	🗲 🛞 🏉 http://192.168.42.1/cgi-bin/af3-config.php?channel=0 🛛 🖓 😴 🔮 🧐
Date: 13/03/2017 23:5:	53:44	^	AF3-NIR 'gpsd' server hosted on DJI micro-UAV by RF5	SAT Ltd.	
Latitude: 37.93746833	33		Current Information Time (UTC) 2017-03-13T21:44:06.000Z		
Logitude: 23.7643383	33		Latitude 37.937468333		Acquisition settings
Wavelength (nm) Int	tensity [%] w.r.t. Average		Longitude 23.764338333 Altitude 219.7		Capture Results Settings WiFi host Shutdown Detect GPS
	2323 18.34% 2406 22.57 %		Fix Type 3		NOTE: Changes will only take effect on re-start.
	2100 22.57 70		Satellites 12		Software version: 2.0
Result: Ionised K+ detected - FIRE detected!			HDOP 1.08 VDOP 2.06		Serial number: S05104
			VDOP 2.00		INTEGRATION TIME 100000
List of other maxima (1% above an average of: 1963)			Current Satellites		BOXCAR 0
Wavelength (nm) Int	tensity [%] w.r.t. Average		PRN Elevation Azimuth SS Used		SCANS_TO_AVERAGE 0
	1983 1.02 %		21 67 29 22 Y		SAVE_INTERVAL 1000
	1989 1.32 %		26 65 236 41 Y		SAVE_MULTIPLE 1
	1984 1.07 %		18 59 144 24 Y 16 55 298 44 Y		SAVE MAX 0
	1984 1.07 %		16 55 298 44 Y 49 41 208 42 N		SHOW SCOPE 1
	1988 1.27 %		49 41 208 42 N 10 36 181 22 Y		REFRESH INTERVAL 5
	1984 1.07 %		20 29 50 27 Y		FILE PREFIX
	2000 1.88 %		27 28 305 50 Y		SAVE FORMAT default
	1997 1.73 %		29 25 98 27 Y		SAVE_PORMA default
	2009 2.34 %		15 10 82 0 N		
689.248 2	2008 2.29 %		31 3 211 41 N		FILE_EXTENSION .txt
689.716 2	2009 2.34 %		13 1 53 0 N		Submit
690.185 1	1999 1.83 %	~			Submit
		🔍 95% 👻 🚬		🔍 90% 👻 🚊	€ 100% ◄

Fig. 3 Screenshots of the embedded WEB server for accessing spectrometer on the micro-UAV in real time: fire alerts based on finding potassium maxima (L), GNSS daemon (M) and WEB application for NIR spectrum capture (R)

The original software from Ocean Optics allows also for real-time monitoring of spectrum e.g. during the flight, while measurements are saved on the memory card for possible processing at the control centre, if required. By allowing custom filtering and wide range of observation times (integration period), the sensitivity can be increased that might be useful for e.g. surveillance from higher altitudes and/or larger distances, at a price of a lower flight speed.

UAV-Based 3D Modelling of Fuel Volume and Surveillance of Large Areas

Images acquired from UAVs and especially from micro-UAVs can be used to create 3D maps of the area to allow e.g. estimation of forestation and especially volume of areas under tree canopies corresponding to the amount of potential flammable material, identification of urban areas and for damage analysis after the incidents, being its main purpose in the AF3 project. Such images need to be taken using specially designed fly paths and hence preferably automatic flight routes need to be used, although it is also possible to perform manual fly byes if full area coverage is performed.

Diverse types of software have been used to produce 3D models of the areas, including Pix4D Mapper [8], Autodesk ReMake [9], Agisoft Photoscan [10] and Artec 3D Studio [11]. The first one proved to be most versatile and offering options for highest accuracy especially when combined with the Pix4D Capture [12] software running on the Android and/or iOS-based platforms to perform autonomous fly-overs above the areas of interest. Images captures automatically can be processed either online by uploading them to the Pix4D online server for processing, or off-line on a custom PC workstation to produce 3D models in formats suitable for visualisation on the C4I (e.g. 3D-PDF, KLM etc.) and/or subsequent processing (e.g. OBJ, etc.). Since processing of 3D models is a very computationally intensive process, this cannot be performed in real-time, especially when high resolution is required. Typical processing time on a quad-core 2.7GHz I7 Intel processor takes approximately 3-4 hours or more, depending on the number of images and complicated areas, reaching even days on slower workstations and/or when pushing the limits of the photogrammetric technology e.g. for centimetre accuracies from altitudes of 30 meters or above.

Hence such models would be most applicable for advance surveillance of the forest areas and in crisis situations e.g. for assessment of damages during recovery. During firefighting, its applicability might be decreased due to smoke, dust and air turbulences that might affect the visibility and precise positioning of the UAV platforms over the surveyed areas. In such cases, the 3D models can be also built from images captures from e.g. thermal cameras that can penetrate the smoke and to some level also the first canopies. Examples of both types of models were produced in the AF3 project.



Fig. 4 Trials in Athens (May 2016): area with fly paths (left) and a complete 3D model (right) for Test Area #1

Two trials have already taken place in the project, one in Greece at Scaramanga naval base near Athens in March 2016. During those tests, visual imaging has been made in both urban and mountain areas using DJI inspire 1 to perform comparative tests of 3D modelling performance with diverse flying paths. The results for urban area are shown in Fig. 4. In this test, regular grid has been made with UAV performing fully autonomous image capture, stopping at each capture location (left) resulting in highly precise representation of the area of interest (right) down to detailed car models. In this test images were taken from an altitude of 30 meters using DJI X3 camera (HD resolution). For comparison purposes manual flight was also conducted as shown in Fig. 4 (right).




Fig. 5 Trials in Athens (May 2016): 195x40-meter area with fly paths (left) and 3D volume analysis for Test Area #5

Additional tests in Athens trials were made in the nearby mountain area to test the accuracy of the 3D models (Fig. 5). Similar fully autonomous image capture from altitude of 30 meters was used with same DJI X3 camera over a dense three-path grid. Significantly more images were captured (204 as compared to 30 from previous test), which resulted in much more accurate representation of ground features down to individual branches clearly visible on the stacks of wood used for target practice. This allowed for very precise (5-10% accuracy) volumetric analysis of the amount of wood placed at each target, as shown on the right in Fig. 5.

Second trials of the AF3 project took place in Leon in Spain during November 2016. During those tests both visual and thermal images were captured, from which 3D models were produced. In those tests, manual UAV operations was performed (irregular grid and/or single corridor) to validate the possibilities of building models from both regular and irregular observations. The same area has been scanned multiple times using either visual (20MP) or thermal (640x480 pixels) camera. Using different types of cameras with diverse resolutions allowed also to verify the compromise between the resolution, the number of images and the density of image captures (overlap). In all those tests the drone did NOT stop when capturing images. The results of 3D modelling are shown in Fig. 6.




Fig. 6 Models of test area from UAV observation during test trials in Leon (November 2016): result from 200 visual HD-quality images (left) and when modelled from 2060 low-resolution (640x480) thermal images (right).

The image capture strategy adopted during trials in Spain has demonstrated clearly negative effects of performing nonstructured image captures on the accuracy of final 3D model. From one side, it has proven that regular grid along multiple corridors can offer high resolution and accuracy, whereby accurate representations of individual vehicles can be produced as well as fire front and targets well defined (left in Fig. 6) with only 22 images taken.

On the other hand, thermal images were taken very densely (nearly 3000 images over 200-meter long area), considering their low-resolution. However, no attention was paid to the camera orientation (forward looking) without stopping for images capture. Hence, although the high density of images allowed for accurate representation of ground details (right in Fig. 6), sufficient for assessing biomass content to 30% accuracy, the bending of the UAV nose down when moving forward (similarly to helicopters) has caused false ground bending in the resulting 3D model, since such information is not included with camera parameters (only viewpoint with respect to the drone body is registered). This requires either post processing to flatten the ground or the a-priori knowledge of the drone bending during flight. The latter one is dependent on the drone and its speed and hence could be easily compensated for during 3D model processing.

The third and last trials have been conducted in Ashkelon in Israel and coordinated by ELBIT Systems during the middle of April 2017. Two UAV flights have been performed. One using commercial DJI Mavic Pro UAV at the height of 10 meters in four corridors and lasting only 5 minutes. The second flight was made by Skylark 1 produced by ELBIT Systems, from the height of 100 meters, which circled around the test area for half an hour. The 3D models have been produced from both UAVs, which are shown in Fig. 7. As expected, the low altitude flight along regular corridors has resulted in a very accurate 3D model, thus allowing to determine the volume of the wood at the target area with accuracy of 0.5%, better than during trails in Athens where accuracy was about 6-8%. However, the models from Skylark 1 have resulted in a very poor model, showing excess errors in discrimination of heights. This was clearly a result of images being taken along a narrow cone path over the target area, i.e. exhibiting small angular separation. This is analogous to achieving poor height determination in GNSS system for closely positioned satellites.




Fig. 7 Models of test area from UAV observation during test trials in Israel (April 2017): using images from DJI Mavic Pro (left) and Skylark 1 (right).

Considering that 3D model generation using photogrammetry may lead to extensively long processing times, investigation of speeding up the processing using custom built workstation has been conducted. To achieve a working compromise between computational performance and the overall cost of the system, the coprocessing with CUDA parallel computing platform and programming model invented by NVIDIA [14] has been adopted. CUDA cores have long been suggested for coprocessing od simple fixed-point operations like those required by the 3D modelling. The latest cards from NVidia [13], such as GeForce GTX 1080 (TI) and Titan X include large number of CUDA cores, 2560 and 3584 respectively. Hence, a custom workstation has been built that uses 3.6 GHz 8-core Intel-I7 processor with 32Mbytes of RAM and dual NVidia GeForce GTX 1080 cards, i.e. adding a total of 5120 CUDA cores, total cost closing at 3000 Euros. Such an approach allowed for speeding up 3D model processing more than double for the same size of the project. Encouraged by those results, consideration is made for building the second version of the workstation with dual Intel-I7 processor server board and quad NVidia GTX Titan X graphics cards (connected internally via 4-slot SLI bridge), i.e. adding 14336 CUDA cores in total. This is expected to increase the current processing speed almost five times more, in practical terms allowing to produce high-res 3D models currently needing 16 hrs in under an hour in ultimate quality, while only needing tens of minutes for near-real-time surveillance purposes.

Conclusions

In this article, the results of research and development in the areas of UAV based forest fire detection using NIR spectrometers, visual and thermal surveillance leading to 3D photogrammetric modelling of areas of interest performed in eth AF3 project have been presented along with analysis of data captured during two real-life forest firefighting trials. The NIR spectrometer design based on the Raspberry PI Zero microcomputer, with size and weight suitable for deployment on micro-UAVs such as DJI Mavic Pro and Inspire 1 has been presented. Such sensors have proven to provide accurate detection of biomass burns from distances of tens of meters (sensitivity depending on the selected integration time) making them suitable for automatic detection of ignitions and/or fires hidden under canopies and obstacles and hence less likely to be detected by on-board cameras either visual or thermal ones, especially when used for detection of possible re-ignitions due to raised ambient temperatures post firefighting. Both real-time access to sensor data via WEB server embedded into the sensor node and possibility to offload the raw measurements after return to base offer opportunities for both real-time surveillance and for precise analysis of the condition of the surveyed area at the control centre. Note that RAW data can be downloaded during aerial surveillance as well. The performance of the sensor nod has been verified in Athens trials showing sufficient sensitivity from tens of meters.

The article has also presented the usage of images and videos that could be captured by UAVs during their aerial operations as short as few minutes over wide areas of interest for highly precise (down to centimetres, allowing for discrimination of such details as individual tree branches) 3D modelling of the environment, allowing for precise assessment of the burning biomass. Various strategies for surveying the areas, from regular grids to free hand flybys have been discussed with respect to their effect on the accuracy of the achieved models. It has been determined that to avoid false ground bending, images should be captured when UAV is stationary, while highest accuracy can be achieved when regular grids along multiple corridors are used with image overlap over 50%. In such cases observations from altitudes of 30 meters using HD cameras may reach accuracies of single centimetres (subject to favourable weather conditions). Comparisons between high and low-resolution cameras has been also discussed along with analysis of the compromise with number of images and their respective overlap. This has been demonstrated by comparing small number of high-resolution images to large number of low-resolution ones over the same area. It has shown that both approaches may result in similar quality of 3D models, although processing time are significantly longer with the increase of the number of images.

Means of speeding up processing by CUDA based coprocessing has been investigated, showing a significant decrease of the processing times as compared to computations made by main processor only. Use of dual NVidia GeForce GTX 1080 graphics cards with 2560 cores each, which allowed for the reduction of the overall processing time more than twice for the same types of projects. The real-life validation test in Athens in May 2016 and in Spain in November 2016 have confirmed initial expectations and the anticipated performance of the sensor when used both on ground and on board of the DJI Inspire 1 and DJI Matrix UAV platforms. Further work on the reduction of sensor size and weight, increasing 3D modelling speed and accuracy as well as autonomous UAV operations were further conducted towards middle of 2017 whereby real-life tests have been conducted during final real-life firefighting trials in Israel, which are were completed by end of April 2017. They allowed to produce even more accurate maps of fire test areas with precise assessment of the fuel volumes of the targets. The final test in Israel have also included swarm operation and real-time streaming of information from micro-drones, which could be controlled either locally or from a Ground Station integrated into Remote Control Centre and overall incident Mission Control.

Acknowledgments

The research leading to these results has been partially funded by the European Union Seventh Framework Program (FP7-SEC) under Grant Agreement N° 607276: AF3 "Advanced Forest Firefighting" and from the Reflective Societies program of the Horizon'2020 Research Framework under Grant Agreement N° 665091: SCAN4RECO. Authors would like also to acknowledge the University of Westminster, London (UK), for co-funding of this R&D work through subcontracting agreement in the context of the AF3 project.

References

- [1] Vodacek A. et al (2001) "Spectral Features of Biomass Fires". Digital Imaging and Remote Sensing Laboratory. https://www.cis.rit.edu/~rlkpci/PDFs/OPTONe_SPectral.PDF
- [2] ISO 16730-1:2015 Fire safety engineering -- Procedures and requirements for verification and validation of calculation methods -- Part 1: General. (2015): <u>http://www.iso.org/iso/home/store/catalogue_tc/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=62111</u>
- [3] Ambrosia, V., Wegener, S., Sullivan, D., Buechel, S., Brass, S. D. J., Stoneburner, J., and Schoenung, S. (2003) "Demonstrating UAV-Acquired Real-Time Thermal Data over Fires". Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, 69(4):391–402.
- [4] Merino, L., Caballero, F., Martínez de Dios, J., Ferruz, J., and Ollero, A. (2006) "A cooperative perception system for multiple UAVs: Application to automatic detection of forest fires". Journal of Field Robotics, 23(3-4):165–184.
- [5] Viegas, D. X., Cruz, M., Ribeiro, L., Silva, A., Ollero, A., Arrue, B., Martínez de Dios, J., Gómez-Rodríguez, F., Merino, L., Miranda, A., and Santos, P. (2002) "Gestosa fire spread experiments". Proceedings of the IV International Congress on Forest Fire Research (ICFFR), pp. 1–13, Coimbra, Portugal.
- [6] Artur Krukowski and Emmanouela Vogiatzaki, " UAV-based photogrammetric 3D modelling and surveillance of forest wildfires", Workshop on "UAV & SAR: using drones in rescue operations", ISA, Rome (Italy), 29th of March 2017
- [7] STS-NIR Development Kit (15/03/2017): https://oceanoptics.com/product/sts-developers-kit
- [8] Pix4D Mapper Pro (15th of March 2017): <u>https://pix4d.com/product/pix4dmapper-pro</u>
- [9] Autodesk ReMake (15th of March 2017): <u>https://remake.autodesk.com</u>
- [10] Agisoft Photoscan (15th of March 2017): <u>http://www.agisoft.com</u>
- [11] ArTec 3D Studio (15th of March 2017): https://www.artec3d.com/3d-software/artec-studio
- [12] Pix4D Capture (15th of March 2017): <u>https://pix4d.com/product/pix4dcapture</u>
- [13] NVidia GeForce graphics cards (15/03/2017): <u>https://www.nvidia.com/en-us/geforce/products</u>
- [14] CUDA (15th of March 2017): <u>http://www.nvidia.com/object/cuda_home_new.html</u>

Presenter's bio:

Dr Artur Krukowski has been a Research Projects Manager, leading the "ICT and Communications Technology" at RFSAT. He is also a visiting Industrial Consultant at the University of Westminster in London (UK), and a Projects Manager at Intracom S. A. Telecom Solutions in Greece. His interest areas include Digital Signal Processing (DSP) for telecommunication systems, VLSI integrated circuit design, embedded wireless sensing devices, ultra-low power technologies, Digital Audio (DAB) and Video Broadcasting (DVB), computer and network security, satellite (GNSS) and wireless positioning technologies, Smart Infrastructures, Building Management Systems, and GIS information systems. He has participated in a number of industrial and European Framework research projects since 1999. His publication portfolio includes several books and over 50 papers in peer-reviewed journals, IEE/IEEE conferences, invited lectures and speeches. He is a frequent reviewer for IEEE journals, IEEE conference organizer, acting often as external expert and reviewer/rapporteur for proposal submitted for funding to national and European Framework R&D programs. He is a member of Expert Advisory Group of the NEM-ETP and NetWorld2020 ETP, a member of the Steering Committee and a co-chair of TA2 and TA4 group on "Communications" and "Resilience" at IMG S, as well as a founding member of MENSA-Poland. He has coordinated and been involved in over 30 national UK/Greek and international research projects since FP5 through to H2020, other programs like COST, Artemis, AAL and international cooperation ones, etc. He serves frequently as a rapporteur to the European Commission in the evaluation of proposals submitted to calls like FP7-SME, ERA-NET-Africa/RUS, Creative Europe, COST, Eureka/EuroStars, H2020-Galileo, H2020-SMEINST and other national ones.