

## Review

# HPV Vaccines: Effectiveness and Adverse Effects

Ioannis Thanasas<sup>1\*</sup>, Giagkos Lavranos<sup>2</sup>, Pinelopi Gogou<sup>3</sup> and Dimitrios Paraskevis<sup>4</sup>

### Abstract

**Preventive vaccination against human papillomavirus is the new practice in the treatment of cervical cancer. The successful vaccination programs include the use of three vaccines, capable of causing neutralization of antibodies from the virus particles, together with their envelope: the bivalent, quadrivalent and ninevalent HPV vaccines. Following administration of the vaccines, the immune system is being stimulated, producing antibodies to the HPV particles that protect against infection and disease. The safety profile of licensed HPV vaccines based on clinical and post-marketing data is reassuring.**

**Keywords:** Vaccination, Human Papillomavirus, safety, recommendations.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology of General Hospital in Trikala, Trikala, Greece

<sup>2</sup>Medical School and Department of Health Sciences, European University Cyprus, Cyprus

<sup>3</sup>Clinical Oncology Department, Norwich University Hospital, UK

<sup>4</sup>Department of Hygiene Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

\*Corresponding Author's E-mail: [thanasasg@hotmail.com](mailto:thanasasg@hotmail.com)  
Tel.: 00302431029103 / 00306944766469

## INTRODUCTION

The Human Papillomavirus (HPV) and HPV infection should by no means be considered as a problem of the last few decades. HPV is detected tens of thousands of years in the past, following the human and other mammalian species throughout their evolutionary course. Although HPV has been known since antiquity, its infectious nature was not established, until the beginning of the last century. In 1907, with the detection of transmission of the papillomas from human to human, the Italian physician Giuseppe Giuffo documented the viral etiology of the genital warts and laid the foundations for further scientific research, concerning the correlation between HPV and human carcinogenesis (Giuffo, 1907; Javier and Butel, 2008).

HPV infection is the most common sexually transmitted disease nowadays and the main cause of the development of cervical cancer (Herrero et al, 2005). Global research studies have indicated that the greatest incidence of the disease occurs in the female population, mainly among women of reproductive age, five to ten years after commencing their sexual activity (Muñoz et al, 2009). Cervical cancer is the third most common type of malignancies among women in the developed countries. It is estimated that approximately 530000 new cases are diagnosed each year and 275000 deaths are recorded,

with most of them occurring in developed countries (Forman et al, 2012; Bosch et al, 2013). The prevalence of HPV subtypes varies among different geographical areas of a country, as well as among different ones (Moore and Tajima, 2004; Yabroff et al, 2005, Tornesello et al., 2007).

The evidence of a causal relationship between HPV and cervical cancer has led the scientific community to develop HPV prophylactic vaccines. Certainly, in the course of the investigations, although many problems and controversies have arisen with regard to the disposal of vaccines (manufacturing costs, cross-prophylaxis, cost-effectiveness), it is commonly accepted that with the vaccination against HPV one major health issue is now close to its effective treatment (Brookes, 2016). Vaccination changes the course of the disease at a very early stage, resulting in an impact not only on the progression of cancer, but also on the precancerous stages of the disease (Goldie et al, 2004; Taira et al., 2004).

The introduction of vaccination against human papillomavirus is one of the most important developments of modern gynecology. The HPV vaccine has been approved for use in more than 100 countries worldwide (WHO, 2014; WHO, 2014). In Europe, according to a

**Table 1.** Basic characteristics of the HPV prophylactic vaccines.

Characteristics	Quadrivalent HPV vaccine	Bivalent HPV vaccine	Ninevalent HPV vaccine
Manufacturing Company	Merk and Co	GlaxoSmithKline	Merk and Co
Trademark	Gardasil	Cervarix	Gardasil 9
VLPs and genotypes	6, 11, 16, 18	16, 18	6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52, 58
Substrate	Yeasts	Baculovirus	Yeasts
Volume	0.5 ml per dose	0.5 ml per dose	0.5 ml per dose
Synthesis (Antigens)	L1 HPV 6 20 µg	L1 HPV 16 20 µg	L1 HPV 6 30 µg
	L1 HPV 11 40 µg	L1 HPV 18 20 µg	L1 HPV 11 40 µg
	L1 HPV 16 40 µg		L1 HPV 16 60 µg
	L1 HPV 18 20 µg		L1 HPV 18 40 µg
			L1 HPV 31 20 µg
			L1 HPV 33 20 µg
			L1 HPV 45 20 µg
			L1 HPV 52 20 µg
			L1 HPV 58 20 µg
Adjuvant	Aluminum hydroxyphosphate sulphate (225 µg)	AS04 500 µg, Al(OH) <sub>3</sub> 50 µg	Aluminum hydroxyphosphate sulphate
Administration	0 – 2 – 6 months intramuscular	0 – 1 – 6 months intramuscular	0 – 2 – 6 months intramuscular

survey by the European Center for Disease Control and Prevention, most European Union Members have adopted the vaccination against HPV (ECDC, 2014). Organized HPV vaccination programs targeting in high vaccination coverage, are estimated to be able to lead to a reduction in precancerous intraepithelial cervical lesions of up to 90% and invasive cervical cancer to a rate that appears to exceed 70% (Bonanni et al., 2011).

## HPV Vaccines

The detection and typing of HPV using specific molecular methods, led to the development of HPV prophylactic vaccines as the latest scientific achievement in the history of cervical cancer prevention. Three prophylactic vaccines are now available, that are capable of neutralizing antibodies from the virus particles, together with their envelope: the bivalent, quadrivalent and ninevalent HPV vaccines.

### The quadrivalent vaccine (Gardasil®)

The quadrivalent vaccine against HPV types 6, 11, 16 and 18 was the first vaccine that have been administered for cervical cancer prophylaxis. Gardasil® was manufactured in the United States of America by Merk and Co., was approved by the FDA in June 2006 and released by Sanofi Pasteur MSD in September of the same year in several European countries. In Greece, it was available in January of 2007. The mechanisms with which vaccines protect against HPV infection have not been fully elucidated. Most researchers nowadays

estimate that both humoral and cellular immunity appear to be involved. However, what is certain and undeniable is that the use of L1 capsular protein causes the production of antibodies that effectively protect against HPV infection (Christensen et al, 1996; Day et al., 2007).

Gardasil® contains Virus Like Particles (VLPs) from four different types of HPV (HPV 6, 11, 16 and 18) and is produced by gene technology using yeast (Table 1). VLPs particles contain the L1 protein but are lacking viral DNA, so they are not infectious and, as they do not contain the E6 and E7 genes, they are unable to cause malformations, cervical – vulvar – vaginal malignancies, as well as genital warts. The L1 particle bears the same surface structure, shape and size as the infectious natural virus and contains its major neutralizing epitopes. After administration, the immune system is highly stimulated, producing antibodies against the HPV virus particles, protecting against infection and disease (Stanley et al, 2012).

### The bivalent vaccine (Cervarix®)

The bivalent vaccine against HPV types 16 and 18 was released a year later. In 2007, Cervarix® was manufactured in the United States of America by GlaxoSmithKline, approved by the FDA and since December of the same year it has been released in Greece, among other European countries. Like Gardasil®, Cervarix® is an enhanced, non-virulent recombinant vaccine, prepared from highly purified HPV 16 and 18 particles, that can not infect, replicate, or cause disease (Table 1). The vaccine protects against cervical cancer and other forms of malignancies of the

**Table 2.** The estimated contribution of the quadrivalent and ninevalent HPV vaccines, in the reduction of the incidence of both cervical intraepithelial neoplasias and carcinogenesis of the female genital tract

DISEASE	HPV 6, 11, 16, 18	HPV 6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58
Cervical Cancer	70%	90%
Vulvar Cancer	75%	90%
Vaginal Cancer	65%	85%
Anal Cancer	85%	90% – 95%
LGSIL	50%	80%
HGSIL	25%	50%
Warts	90%	90%

rectum and genitalia (Stanley et al, 2012). The high titers of antibodies currently achieved with both vaccines, can provide prolonged protection even after the possible attenuation of the original antibody titer (Stanley et al, 2012).

### The ninevalent vaccine (Gardasil 9®)

The ninevalent vaccine against the HPV types 6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58 was first introduced in America in 2014. In June 2015 the European Commission granted a marketing authorization for the vaccine to the European Union, while from March 2017 is also available in Greece. Similar to the foregoing vaccines, the ninevalent one contains non-contagious virulent particles (Table 2), consisting only of L1 protein without viral DNA and provides protection from precancerous lesions, genital warts, as well as from cervical, vulvar, vaginal and anal cancer. The Committee of Medical Products for Human Use considered that Gardasil 9® provides a wider range of protection against cervical cancer than precursor vaccines, as it can prevent the infection from five additional types of HPV virus (31, 33, 45, 52 and 58). These types, although less common than the oncogenic types 16 and 18, are considered to be of similar risk.

Regardless the selected vaccine, HPV vaccination is best to be done before the onset of sexual intercourse, particularly in ages 11 to 12, not only because the body has not yet been exposed to the virus, but because the response of the organism to the generation of antibodies against the HPV is much greater in younger ages (Markowitz et al 2007; Saslow et al., 2007).

Most researchers nowadays estimate that the early diagnosis of HPV infection in combination with the active immunization offered by the modern HPV vaccines in non exposed individuals, are the cornerstones of the contemporary primary and secondary cervical cancer prevention, promising a lot of auspices prospects for combating and possibly eradicating the disease in the future (Koshiol et al, 2008).

### Effectiveness of HPV Vaccines

The efficacy of the HPV prophylactic vaccines was tested in randomized, double-blind and controlled phase III clinical trials. The post-vaccination immune response, evaluated by the measurement of antibodies in the peripheral blood, was judged to be satisfactory in all clinical trials. The methods used for the measurements were not the same for both vaccines (bivalent and quadrivalent) and therefore can not be comparable. However, irrespective of the type of the vaccine administered (Gardasil® or Cervarix®), the level of the antibodies among women aged up to 26 years who received the three – dose scheme, was significantly higher compared to antibodies observed after natural infection (Castellsagué et al, 2002; Harper et al., 2006; Villa et al., 2006).

Published studies have indicated a very strong immune response after the completion of vaccination, especially when it concerns young people before the beginning of their sexual life. Taking into account the studies published so far, it is estimated that the protection against an HPV infection, persists for up to 5 years after vaccination with the quadrivalent vaccine and for 6.4 years after the administration of the bivalent one. With regard to the current scientific data, it is estimated that long-term predictions for both the quadrivalent and the bivalent vaccines, indicate that the immune response of the individual will remain at satisfactory levels several years after the last dose, without the need for an additional one (Villa, 2007; Barr and Sings, 2008).

Furthermore, the studies revealed that both vaccines demonstrate cross-protection against HPV types that are not included in the vaccine. More specifically, they have been displayed partial protection against HPV types similar to the HPV 16 (39, 45, 59, 68) and HPV 31, while there have been studies from Finland providing significant indications of cross-protection by types , such as HPV 35, among young people (Kemp et al, 2011, Malagón et al, 2012, Hawkes et al., 2013).

### **Efficacy – clinical trials of Gardasil®**

The efficacy in preventing neoplasia, achieved by the quadrivalent HPV vaccine, was evaluated in Phase III clinical trials with FUTURE studies (Females United to Unilaterally Reduce Endo / Ectocervical Disease). Analysis of the results of the FUTURE I study indicated that the quadrivalent HPV vaccine was 100% effective in preventing CIN II - III lesions, genital warts and rectal-intraepithelial lesions (Garland et al, 2007). Furthermore the FUTURE II study concluded that the quadrivalent vaccine proved to be 100% effective in the prevention of CIN II intraepithelial lesions and in situ adenocarcinoma, 97% effective in preventing CIN III uterine cervical lesions, 94% in preventing intraepithelial lesions of the vagina or vulva and 98.9% effective in the prevention of genital warts (FUTURE II Study Group, 2007).

According to the analysis of the FUTURE studies, it was apparent that women who had not been infected by the HPV before the commencement of the study, the vaccine was effective in preventing the 95% - 96% of intraepithelial cervical lesions and the 99% of genital warts. In women who had been in contact with the virus in the past, the efficacy of the vaccine in preventing precancerous cervical lesions and genital warts was 73% and 80.3% respectively. The efficacy of the quadrivalent HPV vaccine in the reduction of persistent HPV infection, intraepithelial cervical lesions and genital warts, among women aged 25 to 45 years as assessed in the FUTURE III study, was 91% (Luna et al., 2007). In addition, other studies concerning the efficacy of the Gardasil® vaccine, indicated high and long lasting protection against genital warts (83%) and the ones associated with HPV types 6 and 11 (100%) (Muñoz et al, 2010).

### **Efficacy - Clinical trials of Cervarix®**

The efficacy in preventing neoplasia achieved by the bivalent HPV vaccine, was evaluated in Phase III clinical trials with the "PATRICIA" (PApilloma TRial against Cancer in Young Adults) study and "The Costa Rica HPV Vaccine Trial". The PATRICIA study, in which women aged 15 to 25 years, from 14 different countries around the world, who did not have more than six sexual partners until the start of the research process, were involved, was the largest trial investigating the efficacy of the bivalent HPV vaccine. The analysis of the final results of the study concluded in a high protection rate of about 93.2%, against high-grade intraepithelial cervical lesions, independent of the HPV type (Lehtinen et al, 2012).

Other research studies indicated that the effectiveness of the bivalent HPV vaccine, was 91.6% in transient infections and 100% in persistent ones, caused by HPV subtypes 16 and 18. The same authors, analyzing the results of their later study, revealed that the protection rate of the bivalent vaccine was about 96.9% in transient

infections and 100% in 12-month persistent ones. During a 4.5 year follow-up, the vaccine was 100% effective in preventing intraepithelial cervical lesions associated with specific HPV subtypes 16 and 18 (Harper et al, 2004; Harper et al., 2006).

Recently, a research study of 8.4 years of follow-up concluded that the efficacy of the bivalent HPV vaccine was high enough in transient infections (95.1%). In addition, according to the results of the same study, the bivalent vaccine proved to be 100% effective against CIN II / III (Roteli - Martins et al, 2012), persisting for a time span of six to twelve months. More recently, De Vincenzo and colleagues in the PATRICIA study demonstrated that the effectiveness of the bivalent HPV prophylactic vaccine was 100% against HPV subtypes 16 and 18 (De Vincenzo et al, 2014).

### **Efficacy – clinical trials of Gardasil 9®**

The increased scientific interest and the systematic research in order to decrease the incidence of all types of squamous cell epithelium malignancies, up to 90% worldwide, led to the development of the ninevalent HPV prophylactic vaccine, by adding another five strains of HPV to the already existing quadrivalent one (Serrano et al , 2012; Dochez et al., 2014). The new Gardasil 9® is far more effective against HPV infection than the two existing vaccines. The new vaccine protects against nine types of the virus, seven of which are estimated to be responsible for the majority of cervical cancers and by extend, for most of the malignancies found in the genital area (Garland et al, 2009; de Sanjose et al, 2010, Guan et al, 2012, de Sanjos et al, 2013, Alemany et al, 2014, Alemany et al, 2015, Joura et al., 2014).

Many studies have commenced since 2014 in order to assess the efficacy of the ninevalent vaccine, while comparing it to the quadrivalent one (Van Damme et al, 2015; Vesikari et al., 2015; Castellsagué et al., 2015; Van Damme et al., 2016; Iversen et al., 2016). The study that investigated the efficacy of the 9-valent vaccine, was conducted in Austria by the Medical School of the University of Vienna. Following the assessment of the efficacy of the above mentioned HPV vaccine in approximately 14,000 women aged 16 to 26, Joura et al. concluded that among women who were not infected by an HPV strain, Gardasil 9® was up to 97% effective in preventing intra epithelial neoplasias and cancer from HPV types 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58, remaining though as effective as the older Gardasil® against HPV 6, 11, 16 and 18 subtypes. Moreover, the vaccine was effective in preventing cervical, vaginal and vulvar disease associated with HPV types 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58, reducing the abnormalities found in cervical cytological examinations and the need for cervical surgery (Joura et al, 2014).

**Table 3.** Adverse effects of the modern HPV vaccines.

Side Effect	9vHPV Vaccine	4vHPV Vaccine
Pain	89.9%	83.5%
Swelling	40%	28.8%
Redness	34%	25.6%
Itching	5.5%	4%
Headache	14.6%	13.7%
Fever	5%	4.3%
Nausea	4.4%	3.7%
Dizziness	3%	2.8%
Fatigue	2.3%	2.1%

### Precautions for HPV Vaccines

The safety of HPV vaccines is a serious Public Health issue. Thus, since the release of HPV prophylactic vaccines has been approved, it has been considered necessary to record any side effects and adverse drug reactions, that they would be subsequently evaluated by a specific scientific team. Even in those cases where some side effects do not appear to be vaccine-related, but coincide with HPV vaccination, it is desirable to be reported, in order to be early identified and recorded. The World Health Advisory Committee for Vaccine Safety of the World Health Organization (WHO Global Advisory Committee for Vaccine Safety – GACVS) has ruled on the full safety of HPV prophylactic vaccines since March 2014 (WHO, 2014).

Comparative safety studies of the HPV vaccines versus placebo, demonstrated no significant differences. However, the vaccinated individuals exhibited a greater degree of skin reactions at the injection site, than the placebo recipients (Harper et al, 2004). Mild pain, redness or swelling and itching at the point of vaccination, are the most common side effects that a modern gynecologist or pediatrician has to combat with, in the daily clinical practice. Regarding the systemic complications, the most common occurrences are decadent feverish movement or fever, headache and nausea. Serious side effects such as bronchospasm, gastroenteritis, hypertension with headache, severe pain and the difficulty in joint movement nearby the injection site, are uncommon and are similar to placebo (Saslow et al, 2007; Reisinger et al, 2007; Petäjälä et al, 2009; Tsakiroglou et al., 2011).

Serious cases, such as the appearance of Guillain - Barre syndrome that have been described in the literature, can not be attributed to HPV vaccination with certainty, as HPV vaccines have been administered parallel with other vaccination regimens (Villa et al, 2005). The number of deaths did not differ between the group of vaccinated women and the control group and none of the deaths were associated with the vaccines (Block et al, 2006; Garland et al., 2007, Paavonen et al, 2007). Although HPV vaccine trials precluded pregnant women, no difference was observed with respect to

congenital abnormalities in women who accidentally became pregnant during the clinical trials (Block et al, 2006).

Finally, the new Gardasil 9® vaccine does not appear to have more side effects than the quadrivalent one (Table 3). With the exception of swelling and erythema at the injection site, usually occurring within five days after Gardasil 9 administration, no other local or systemic side effects were reported (Joura et al, 2014).

### CONCLUSIONS

The vaccination against HPV is a common practice and directive nowadays. Vaccines stimulate human immunity and protect against infection and disease. Three prophylactic vaccines are now available, that are capable of neutralizing antibodies from the virus particles, together with their envelope: the bivalent, quadrivalent and the ninevalent HPV vaccines. The bivalent vaccine protects against infection by HPV types 16 and 18, whereas the quadrivalent one against the HPV types 6, 11, 16 and 18 and it was the first vaccine to be administered for cervical cancer prophylaxis. In recent years, the ninevalent vaccine against HPV 6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58 subtypes, has been developed. The latter provides a wider range of protection against cervical cancer, in comparison to the precursor vaccines.

In studies evaluating the effectiveness of the vaccines, significant conclusions were drawn regarding the provided protection by their administration. The FUTURE I and II studies evaluating the quadrivalent vaccine, indicated that it was 97% to 100% effective in the prevention of CIN III and CIN II intraepithelial cervical lesions respectively, 100% effective in the prevention of warts associated with the included in the vaccine HPV types and 100% effective in the prevention of anal intraepithelial lesions (Garland et al, 2007). Furthermore, the possibility of developing adenocarcinoma in situ has dramatically decreased after the administration of its vaccine. In particular, the FUTURE II study indicated 94% efficacy in the prevention of vaginal and vulvar

intraepithelial lesions and 98.9% in the prevention of genital warts (FUTURE II Study Group, 2007).

The bivalent HPV vaccine proved a high efficacy against transient HPV infections (95.1%) and 100% effectiveness against persistent infections (with a duration more than six months), due to the subtypes 16 and 18 (Roteli-Martins et al, 2012; De Vincenzo et al., 2014).

The newly developed vaccine protects against nine types of the virus, seven of which are estimated to be responsible for the majority of cervical and genital cancers and is more effective than its precursors (Garland et al, 2009; de Sanjose et al, 2010, Guan et al, 2012, de Sanjos et al, 2013, Alemany et al, 2014, Alemany et al, 2015, Joura et al., 2014). Following the administration of the ninevalent vaccine to non-HPV infected women, the efficacy in preventing cancer due to the HPV 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58 subtypes was about 97%, remaining as effective as the older Gardasil® against an infection with the 6, 11, 16 and 18 strains of the virus. Moreover, the vaccine was effective in preventing cervical, vaginal and vulvar disease, decreasing the occurrence of an abnormal cervical cytological examination and the need for cervical surgical procedures in women who had been administered (Joura et al, 2014).

The safety and the recording of possible side effects, displayed an important role in the use of vaccines. The most common of those recorded, were mild pain, redness or swelling at the site of vaccination, as well as itching. Regarding the systemic complications, the most common occurrences are decadent feverish movement or fever, headache and nausea. Serious side effects such as bronchospasm, gastroenteritis, hypertension with headache, severe pain, and difficulty in the movement of joints near the injection site, are uncommon and were similar to placebo (Saslow et al, 2007; Reisinger et al, 2007; Petäjä et al, 2009; Tsakiroglou et al., 2011). Serious life-threatening complications have not been recorded in any of the three types of the vaccines (Block et al, 2006, Garland et al, 2007, Paavonen et al, 2007).

## REFERENCES

- Alemany L, Saunier M, Tinoco L, Quirós B, Alvarado – Cabrero I, Alejo M, Joura EA, Maldonado P, Klaustermeier J, Salmerón J, Bergeron C, Petry KU, Guimerà N, Clavero O, Murillo R, Clavel C, Wain V, Geraets DT, Jach R, Cross P, Carrilho C, Molina C, Shin HR, Mandys V, Nowakowski AM, Vidal A, Lombardi L, Kitchener H, Sica AR, Magaña – León C, Pawlita M, Quint W, Bravo IG, Muñoz N, de Sanjosé S, Bosch FX; HPV VVAP study group (2014). Large contribution of human papillomavirus in vaginal neoplastic lesions: a worldwide study in 597 samples. *Eur J Cancer.*, vol. 50, no. 16, pp. 2846 – 2854.
- Alemany L, Saunier M, Alvarado – Cabrero I, Quirós B, Salmeron J, Shin HR, Pirog EC, Guimerà N, Hernandez – Suarez G, Felix A, Clavero O, Lloveras B, Kasamatsu E, Goodman MT, Hernandez BY, Laco J, Tinoco L, Geraets DT, Lynch CF, Mandys V, Poljak M, Jach R, Verge J, Clavel C, Ndiaye C, Klaustermeier J, Cubilla A, Castellsagué X, Bravo IG, Pawlita M, Quint WG, Muñoz N, Bosch FX, de Sanjosé S; HPV VVAP Study Group (2015). Human papillomavirus DNA prevalence and type distribution in anal carcinomas worldwide. *Int J Cancer.*, vol. 136, no. 1, pp. 98 – 107.
- Barr E, Sings HL (2008). Prophylactic HPV vaccines: new interventions for cancer control. *Vaccine.*, vol. 26, no. 49, pp. 6244 – 6257.
- Block SL, Nolan T, Sattler C, Barr E, Giacoletti KE, Marchant CD, Castellsagué X, Rusche SA, Lukac S, Bryan JT, Cavanaugh PF Jr, Reisinger KS; Protocol 016 Study Group (2006). Comparison of the immunogenicity and reactogenicity of a prophylactic quadrivalent human papillomavirus (types 6, 11, 16, and 18) L1 virus – like particle vaccine in male and female adolescents and young adult women. *Pediatrics.*, vol. 118, no. 5, pp. 2135 – 2145.
- Bonanni P, Levi M, Latham NB, Bechini A, Tiscione E, Lai P, Panatto D, Gasparini R, Boccalini S (2011). An overview on the implementation of HPV vaccination in Europe. *Hum Vaccin.*, vol. 7, no. Suppl, pp. 128 – 135.
- Bosch FX, Broker TR, Forman D, Moscicki AB, Gillison ML, Doorbar J, Stern PL, Stanley M, Arbyn M, Poljak M, Cuzick J, Castle PE, Schiller JT, Markowitz LE, Fisher WA, Canfell K, Denny LA, Franco EL, Steben M, Kane MA, Schiffman M, Meijer CJ, Sankaranarayanan R, Castellsagué X, Kim JJ, Brotons M, Alemany L, Albero G, Diaz M, de Sanjosé S; authors of ICO Monograph Comprehensive Control of HPV Infections and Related Diseases Vaccine Volume 30, Supplement 5, 2012 (2013). Comprehensive control of human papillomavirus infections and related diseases. *Vaccine.*, vol. 31, Suppl 7, pp. H1 – 31.
- Brookes L (2016). The HPV Vaccine: Then and Now – Human Papillomavirus Vaccination: Why is Coverage So Low in the United States? Ανάκτηση από <http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/866591>
- Castellsagué X, Bosch FX, Muñoz N, Meijer CJ, Shah KV, de Sanjose S, Eluf – Neto J, Ngelangel CA, Chichareon S, Smith JS, Herrero R, Moreno V, Franceschi S; International Agency for Research on Cancer Multicenter Cervical Cancer Study Group (2002). Male circumcision, penile human papillomavirus infection, and cervical cancer in female partners. *N Engl J Med.*, vol. 346, no. 15, pp. 1105 – 1112.
- Castellsagué X, Giuliano AR, Goldstone S, Guevara A, Mogensen O, Palefsky JM, Group T, Shields C, Liu K, Maansson R, Luxembourg A, Kaplan SS (2015). Immunogenicity and safety of the 9 – valent HPV vaccine in men. *Vaccine.*, vol. 33, no. 48, pp. 6892 – 6901.
- Christensen ND, Reed CA, Cladel NM, Han R, Kreider JW (1996). Immunization with virus like particles induces long – term protection of rabbits against challenge with cottontail rabbit papillomavirus. *J Virol.*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 960 – 965.
- Cutts FT, Franceschi S, Goldie S, Castellsague X, de Sanjose S, Garnett G, Edmunds WJ, Claeys P, Goldenthal KL, Harper DM, Markowitz L (2007). Human papillomavirus and HPV vaccines: a review. *Bull World Health Organ.*, vol. 85, no. 9, pp. 719 – 726.
- Day PM, Thompson CD, Buck CB, Pang YY, Lowy DR, Schiller JT (2007). Neutralization of human papillomavirus with monoclonal antibodies reveals different mechanisms of inhibition. *J Virol.*, vol. 81, no. 16, pp. 8784 – 8792.
- de Sanjose S, Quint WG, Alemany L, Geraets DT, Klaustermeier JE, Lloveras B, Tous S, Felix A, Bravo LE, Shin HR, Vallejos CS, de Ruiz PA, Lima MA, Guimera N, Clavero O, Alejo M, Lombart – Bosch A, Cheng – Yang C, Tatti SA, Kasamatsu E, Iljazovic E, Odiida M, Prado R, Seoud M, Grce M, Usubutun A, Jain A, Suarez GA, Lombardi LE, Banjo A, Menéndez C, Domingo EJ, Velasco J, Nessa A, Chichareon SC, Qiao YL, Lerma E, Garland SM, Sasagawa T, Ferrera A, Hammouda D, Mariani L, Pelayo A, Steiner I, Oliva E, Meijer CJ, Al – Jassar WF, Cruz E, Wright TC, Puras A, Llave CL, Tzardi M, Agorastos T, Garcia – Barriola V, Clavel C, Ordi J, Andújar M, Castellsagué X, Sánchez GI, Nowakowski AM, Bornstein J, Muñoz N, Bosch FX; Retrospective International Survey and HPV Time Trends Study Group (2010). Human papillomavirus genotype attribution in invasive cervical cancer: a retrospective cross – sectional worldwide study. *Lancet Oncol.*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 1048 – 1056.

- de Sanjosé S, Alemany L, Ordi J, Tous S, Alejo M, Bigby SM, Joura EA, Maldonado P, Laco J, Bravo IG, Vidal A, Guimerà N, Cross P, Wain GV, Petry KU, Mariani L, Bergeron C, Mandys V, Sica AR, Félix A, Usubutun A, Seoud M, Hernández – Suárez G, Nowakowski AM, Wilson G, Dalstein V, Hampf M, Kasamatsu ES, Lombardi LE, Tinoco L, Alvarado – Cabrero I, Perrotta M, Bhatla N, Agorastos T, Lynch CF, Goodman MT, Shin HR, Viarheichyk H, Jach R, Cruz MO, Velasco J, Molina C, Bornstein J, Ferrera A, Domingo EJ, Chou CY, Banjo AF, Castellsagué X, Pawlita M, Lloveras B, Quint WG, Muñoz N, Bosch FX; HPV VVAP study group (2013). Worldwide human papillomavirus genotype attribution in over 2000 cases of intraepithelial and invasive lesions of the vulva. *Eur J Cancer.*, vol. 49, no. 16, pp. 3450 – 3461.
- De Vincenzo R, Conte C, Ricci C, Scambia G, Capelli G (2014). Long – term efficacy and safety of human papillomavirus vaccination. *Int J Womens Health.*, vol. 6, pp. 999 – 1010.
- Dochez C, Bogers JJ, Verhelst R, Rees H (2014). HPV vaccines to prevent cervical cancer and genital warts: an update. *Vaccine.*, vol. 32, no. 14, pp. 1595 – 1601.
- European Medicines Agency. Product Information Gardasil 9. EMA/192711/2016  
[http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=/pages/medicines/human/medicines/003852/human\\_med\\_001863.jsp](http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=/pages/medicines/human/medicines/003852/human_med_001863.jsp)
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (2014). HPV vaccination in EU countries: review of new evidence Available online at: <https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/news-events/hpv-vaccination-eu-countries-review-new-evidence>
- Forman D, de Martel C, Lacey CJ, Soerjomataram I, Lortet – Tieulent J, Bruni L, Vignat J, Ferlay J, Bray F, Plummer M, Franceschi S (2012). Global burden of human papillomavirus and related diseases. *Vaccine.*, vol. 30, Suppl 5, pp. F12 – 23.
- FUTURE II Study Group, (2007). Quadrivalent vaccine against human papillomavirus to prevent high grade cervical lesion *N Engl J Med.*, vol. 356, pp. 1915 – 1927.
- Garland SM, Hernandez – Avila M, Wheeler CM, Perez G, Harper DM, Leodolter S, Tang GW, Ferris DG, Steben M, Bryan J, Taddeo FJ, Railkar R, Esser MT, Sings HL, Nelson M, Boslego J, Sattler C, Barr E, Koutsky LA; Females United to Unilaterally Reduce Endo/Ectocervical Disease (FUTURE) I Investigators, (2007). Quadrivalent vaccine against human papillomavirus to prevent anogenital diseases. *N Engl J Med.*, vol. 356, no. 19, pp. 1928 – 1943.
- Garland SM, Steben M, Sings HL, James M, Lu S, Railkar R, Barr E, Haupt RM, Joura EA (2009). Natural history of genital warts: analysis of the placebo arm of 2 randomized phase III trials of a quadrivalent human papillomavirus (types 6, 11, 16, and 18) vaccine. *J Infect Dis.*, vol. 199, no. 6, pp. 805 – 814.
- Giuffo G (1907). *Imnfesto positive com filtrate di verruca volgrane.* *Giorn Ital Mad Venerrol.*, vol. 48, pp. 12 – 17.
- Goldie SJ, Kohli M, Grima D, Weinstein MC, Wright TC, Bosch FX, Franco E (2004). Projected clinical benefits and cost – effectiveness of a human papillomavirus 16/18 vaccine. *J Natl Cancer Inst.*, vol. 96, no. 8, pp. 604 – 615.
- Guan P, Howell – Jones R, Li N, Bruni L, de Sanjosé S, Franceschi S, Clifford GM (2012). Human papillomavirus types in 115,789 HPV – positive women: a meta – analysis from cervical infection to cancer. *Int J Cancer.*, vol. 131, no. 10, pp. 2349 – 2359.
- Harper DM, Franco EL, Wheeler C, Ferris DG, Jenkins D, Schuind A, Zahaf T, Innis B, Naud P, De Carvalho NS, Roteli – Martins CM, Teixeira J, Blatter MM, Korn AP, Quint W, Dubin G; GlaxoSmithKline HPV Vaccine Study Group (2004). Efficacy of a bivalent L1 virus – like particle vaccine in prevention of infection with human papillomavirus types 16 and 18 in young women: a randomized controlled trial. *Lancet.*, vol. 364, no. 9447, pp. 1757 – 1765.
- Harper DM, Franco EL, Wheeler CM, Moscicki AB, Romanowski B, Roteli – Martins CM, Jenkins D, Schuind A, Costa Clemens SA, Dubin G; HPV Vaccine Study group (2006). Sustained efficacy up to 4.5 years of a bivalent L1 virus – like particle vaccine against human papillomavirus types 16 and 18: follow – up from a randomised control trial. *Lancet.*, vol. 367, no. 9518, pp. 1247 – 1255.
- Hawkes D, Lea CE, Berryman MJ (2013). Answering human papillomavirus vaccine concerns; a matter of science and time. *Infect Agent Cancer.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 22.
- Herrero R, Castle PE, Schiffman M, Bratti MC, Hildesheim A, Morales J, Alfaro M, Sherman ME, Wacholder S, Chen S, Rodriguez AC, Burk RD (2005). Epidemiologic profile of type – specific human papillomavirus infection and cervical neoplasia in Guanacaste, Costa Rica. *J Infect Dis.*, vol. 191, no. 11, pp. 1796 – 1807.
- Iversen OE, Miranda MJ, Ulied A, Soerdal T, Lazarus E, Chokepfaibulkit K, Block SL, Skrivaneck A, Nur Azurah AG, Fong SM, Dvorak V, Kim KH, Cestero RM, Berkovitch M, Ceyhan M, Ellison MC, Ritter MA, Yuan SS, DiNubile MJ, Saah AJ, Luxembourg A (2016). Immunogenicity of the 9 – Valent HPV Vaccine Using 2 – Dose Regimens in Girls and Boys vs a 3 – Dose Regimen in Women. *JAMA.*, vol. 316, no. 22, pp. 2411 – 2421.
- Javier RT and Butel JS (2008). The history of tumor virology. *Cancer Res.*, vol. 68, no. 19, pp. 7693 – 7706.
- Joura EA, Ault KA, Bosch FX, Brown D, Cuzick J, Ferris D, Garland SM, Giuliano AR, Hernandez – Avila M, Huh W, Iversen OE, Kjaer SK, Luna J, Miller D, Monsonego J, Munoz N, Myers E, Paavonen J, Pitisuttithum P, Steben M, Wheeler CM, Perez G, Saah A, Luxembourg A, Sings HL, Velicer C (2014). Attribution of 12 high – risk human papillomavirus genotypes to infection and cervical disease. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 1997 – 2008.
- Kemp TJ, Hildesheim A, Safaeian M, Dauner JG, Pan Y, Porras C, Schiller JT, Lowy DR, Herrero R, Pinto LA (2011). HPV16/18 L1 VLP vaccine induces cross – neutralizing antibodies that may mediate cross – protection. *Vaccine.*, vol. 29, no. 11, pp. 2011 – 2014.
- Koshiol J, Lindsay L, Pimenta JM, Poole C, Jenkins D, Smith JS (2008). Persistent human papillomavirus infection and cervical neoplasia: a systematic review and meta – analysis. *Am J Epidemiol.*, vol. 168, no. 2, pp. 123 – 137.
- Lehtinen M, Paavonen J, Wheeler CM, Jaisamran U, Garland SM, Castellsagué X, Skinner SR, Apter D, Naud P, Salmerón J, Chow SN, Kitchener H, Teixeira JC, Hedrick J, Limson G, Szarewski A, Romanowski B, Aoki FY, Schwarz TF, Poppe WA, De Carvalho NS, Germar MJ, Peters K, Mindel A, De Sutter P, Bosch FX, David MP, Descamps D, Struyf F, Dubin G; HPV PATRICIA Study Group (2012). Overall efficacy of HPV – 16/18 AS04 – adjuvanted vaccine against grade 3 or greater cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: 4 – year end – of – study analysis of the randomised, double – blind PATRICIA trial. *Lancet Oncol.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 89 – 99.
- Luna J, Saah A, Hood S, Bautista O, Barr E, for the FUTURE III Investigators, (2007). Safety, efficacy, and immunogenicity of quadrivalent HPV vaccine (GARDASIL TM) in women aged 24 – 45. Poster presented at: 24<sup>th</sup> International Papillomavirus Congress; November 3 – 9, 2007; Beijing, China.
- Malagón T, Drolet M, Boily MC, Franco EL, Jit M, Brisson J, Brisson M (2012). Cross – protective efficacy of two human papillomavirus vaccines: a systematic review and meta – analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis.*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 781 – 789.
- Markowitz LE, Dunne EF, Saraiya M, Lawson HW, Chesson H, Unger ER; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), (2007). Quadrivalent Human Papillomavirus Vaccine: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Recomm Rep.*, vol. 56, no. RR – 2, pp. 1 – 24.
- Moore MA, Tajima K (2004). Cervical cancer in the Asian pacific – epidemiology, screening and treatment. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 349 – 361.
- Muñoz N, Manalastas R Jr, Pitisuttithum P, Tresukosol D, Monsonego J, Ault K, Clavel C, Luna J, Myers E, Hood S, Bautista O, Bryan J, Taddeo FJ, Esser MT, Vuocolo S, Haupt RM, Barr E, Saah A (2009). Safety, immunogenicity, and efficacy of quadrivalent human papillomavirus (types 6, 11, 16, 18) recombinant vaccine in women aged 24–45 years: a randomised, double – blind trial. *Lancet.*, vol. 373, no. 9679, pp. 1949 – 1957.
- Muñoz N, Kjaer SK, Sigurdsson K, Iversen OE, Hernandez – Avila M, Wheeler CM, Perez G, Brown DR, Koutsky LA, Tay EH, Garcia PJ, Ault KA, Garland SM, Leodolter S, Olsson SE, Tang GW, Ferris DG,

- Paavonen J, Steben M, Bosch FX, Dillner J, Huh WK, Joura EA, Kurman RJ, Majewski S, Myers ER, Villa LL, Taddeo FJ, Roberts C, Tadesse A, Bryan JT, Lupinacci LC, Giacoletti KE, Sings HL, James MK, Hesley TM, Barr E, Haupt RM (2010). Impact of human papillomavirus (HPV) – 6/11/16/18 vaccines on all HPV – associated genital diseases in young women. *J Natl Cancer Inst.*, vol. 102, no. 5, pp. 325 – 339.
- Paavonen J, Jenkins D, Bosch FX, Naud P, Salmerón J, Wheeler CM, Chow SN, Apter DL, Kitchener HC, Castellsague X, de Carvalho NS, Skinner SR, Harper DM, Hedrick JA, Jaisamrarn U, Limson GA, Dionne M, Quint W, Spiessens B, Peeters P, Struyf F, Wieting SL, Lehtinen MO, Dubin G; HPV PATRICIA study group, (2007). Efficacy of a prophylactic adjuvanted bivalent L1 virus – like – particle vaccine against infection with human papillomavirus types 16 and 18 in young women: an interim analysis of a phase III double – blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.*, vol. 369, no. 9580, pp. 2161 – 2170.
- Petäjä T, Keränen H, Karppa T, Kawa A, Lantela S, Siitari – Mattila M, Levänen H, Tocklin T, Godeaux O, Lehtinen M, Dubin G (2009). Immunogenicity and safety of human papillomavirus (HPV) – 16/18 AS04 – adjuvanted vaccine in healthy boys aged 10 – 18 years. *J Adolesc Health.*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 33 – 40.
- Reisinger KS, Block SL, Lazcano – Ponce E, Samakoses R, Esser MT, Erick J, Puchalski D, Giacoletti KE, Sings HL, Lukac S, Alvarez FB, Barr E (2007). Safety and persistent immunogenicity of quadrivalent human papillomavirus types 6, 11, 16, 18 L1 viruses – like particle vaccine in preadolescents and adolescents: a randomized controlled trial. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 201 – 209.
- Roteli – Martins CM, Naud P, De Borja P, Teixeira JC, De Carvalho NS, Zahaf T, Sanchez N, Geeraerts B, Descamps D (2012). Sustained immunogenicity and efficacy of the HPV – 16/18 AS04 – adjuvanted vaccine: up to 8.4 years of follow – up. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 390 – 397.
- Saslow D, Castle PE, Cox JT, Davey DD, Einstein MH, Ferris DG, Goldie SJ, Harper DM, Kinney W, Moscicki AB, Noller KL, Wheeler CM, Ades T, Andrews KS, Doroshenk MK, Kahn KG, Schmidt C, Shafey O, Smith RA, Partridge EE; Gynecologic Cancer Advisory Group, Garcia F (2007). American Cancer Society Guideline for human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine use to prevent cervical cancer and its precursors. *CA Cancer J Clin.*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 7 – 28.
- Serrano B, Alemany L, Tous S, Bruni L, Clifford GM, Weiss T, Bosch FX, de Sanjosé S (2012). Potential impact of a nine – valent vaccine in human papillomavirus related cervical disease. *Infect Agent Cancer.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 38.
- Stanley M, Pinto LA, Trimble C (2012). Human papillomavirus vaccines – immune responses. *Vaccine.*, vol. 30, Suppl 5, pp. F83 – 87.
- Taira AV, Neukermans CP, Sanders GD (2004). Evaluating human papillomavirus vaccination programs. *Emerg Infect Dis.*, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 1915 – 1923.
- Tornesello ML, Duraturo ML, Buonaguro L, Vallefucio G, Piccoli R, Palmieri S, Buonaguro FM (2007). Prevalence of human papillomavirus genotypes and their variants in high risk West Africa women immigrants in South Italy. *Infect Agent Cancer.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 1.
- Tsakiroglou M, Bakalis M, Valasoulis G, Paschopoulos M, Koliopoulos G, Paraskevaidis E (2011). Women's knowledge and utilization of gynecological cancer prevention services in the Northwest of Greece. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol.*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 178 – 181.
- Van Damme P, Olsson SE, Block S, Castellsague X, Gray GE, Herrera T, Huang LM, Kim DS, Pitisuttithum P, Chen J, Christiano S, Maansson R, Moeller E, Sun X, Vuocolo S, Luxembourg A (2015). Immunogenicity and Safety of a 9 – Valent HPV Vaccine. *Pediatrics.*, vol. 136, no. 1, pp. e28 – 39.
- Van Damme P, Meijer CJLM, Kieninger D, Schuyleman A, Thomas S, Luxembourg A, Baudin M (2016). A phase III clinical study to compare the immunogenicity and safety of the 9 – valent and quadrivalent HPV vaccines in men. *Vaccine.*, vol. 34, no. 35, pp. 4205 – 4212.
- Vesikari T, Brodzski N, van Damme P, Diez – Domingo J, Icardi G, Petersen LK, Tran C, Thomas S, Luxembourg A, Baudin M (2015). A Randomized, Double – Blind, Phase III Study of the Immunogenicity and Safety of a 9 – Valent Human Papillomavirus L1 Virus – Like Particle Vaccine (V503) Versus Gardasil® in 9-15-Year – Old Girls. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.*, vol. 34, no. 9, pp. 992 – 998.
- Villa LL, Ault KA, Giuliano AR, Costa RL, Petta CA, Andrade RP, Brown DR, Ferenczy A, Harper DM, Koutsky LA, Kurman RJ, Lehtinen M, Malm C, Olsson SE, Ronnett BM, Skjeldestad FE, Steinwall M, Stoler MH, Wheeler CM, Taddeo FJ, Yu J, Lupinacci L, Railkar R, Marchese R, Esser MT, Bryan J, Jansen KU, Sings HL, Tamms GM, Saah AJ, Barr E (2006). Immunologic responses following administration of a vaccine targeting human papillomavirus Types 6, 11, 16, and 18. *Vaccine.*, vol. 24, no. 27 – 28, pp. 5571 – 5583.
- Villa LL (2007). Overview of the clinical development and results of a quadrivalent HPV (types 6, 11, 16, 18) vaccine. *Int J Infect Dis.*, vol. 11, Suppl 2, pp. S17 – 25.
- WHO (2014). Meeting of the Strategic advisory group of experts on immunization, April 2014 – conclusions and recommendations <http://www.who.int/immunization/policy/sage/en/>
- WHO (2014). Evidence based recommendations on Human Papillomavirus (HPV) Vaccines Schedules [http://www.who.int/immunization/sage/meetings/2014/april/1\\_HPVEvidencebased\\_recommendationsWHO\\_with\\_Appendices2\\_3.pdf?ua=1](http://www.who.int/immunization/sage/meetings/2014/april/1_HPVEvidencebased_recommendationsWHO_with_Appendices2_3.pdf?ua=1)
- WHO (2014). Human papillomavirus vaccines: WHO position paper. *Weekly epidemiological record* <http://www.who.int/wer>
- Yabroff KR, Lawrence WF, King JC, Mangan P, Washington KS, Yi B, Kerner JF, Mandelblatt JS (2005). Geographic disparities in cervical cancer mortality: what are the roles of risk factor prevalence, screening, and use of recommended treatment? *J Rural Health.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 149 – 157.