Results

## A coupling strategy to run daily cycle simulations of thermally stratified flows over forests

Matias Avila, Roberto Chavez, Hugo Olivares, Johan Arnqvist

Bilbao, NEWA, FINAL Workshop, April 2<sup>nd</sup> 2019

Description of the model setup •00 Results

Dynamic Forest simulation

## Outline

#### Description of the model setup

Results

Dynamic Forest simulation

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

## Daily cycle modeling of stratified flows over forest



- Turbulent transport decreases inside forests. Isolating temperature at ground level from the wind above the forest.
- To impose a time dependent ground temperature is an ineffective methodology to run daily cycles in a forested wind farm.
- Along the day radiation is absorbed/emitted by the forest.
- Temperature evolution is driven by the prescribed radiative heat flux at the top of the canopy; obtained from field measurements.

イロト 不得 とうほう イヨン

## Daily cycle modeling of stratified flows over forest



- Turbulent transport decreases inside forests. Isolating temperature at ground level from the wind above the forest.
- To impose a time dependent ground temperature is an ineffective methodology to run daily cycles in a forested wind farm.
- Along the day radiation is absorbed/emitted by the forest.
- Temperature evolution is driven by the prescribed radiative heat flux at the top of the canopy; obtained from field measurements.
- We assume a radiative heat flux decreasing inside the canopy (Following Brown and Covey (1966). Radiation penetrates different layers of the canopy.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Modeling of thermally stratified flows over forested site

- Modelling of flat Ryningsnäs forested site. Height of forest  $z_c = 20m$ . LAI=3.
- A prescribed radiative heat flux at the top of the canopy  $Q(z_c, t)$ , obtained from field measurements, drives the heat transport through the Armospheric Boundary Layer.
- Pressure gradient, obtained from mesoscalar simulation, drives the wind velocity in the ABL.
- No slip velocity and fixed temperature at the ground.
- Humidity is not modelled.

## Measured radiative heat flux is imposed at canopy top

#### Turbulent heat flux at 40m and 138 agl along 9 days.



• Large differences of heat fluxes found during nights at 40 m.

Description of the model setup

Results

## Daily cycle simulation

#### Imposed geostrophic pressure gradient, from WRF, driving the flow



Wind Direction.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



• Geostrophic pressure gradient is assumed as uniform.

## Daily cycle simulation



- Friction velocity determined from 40 m sonic as  $\overline{u'w'}$
- Large variations of height of PBL from day to night.
- Lower height of PBL during 3rd and 4th nights due to the low geostrophic wind speed may indicate strong nocturnal stratification.

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

## Daily cycle simulation. Results vs measurements.



#### Velocity Profiles at different times along 4rd day

• Nocturnal boundary layers too shallow compared to measurements.

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

## Daily cycle simulation. Results vs measurements.

#### Temperature profiles at different times along 4rd day



- Similar modeled and measured temperature gradients.
- Opposite temperature gradient found inside the forest at midnight.

## Daily cycle simulation. Time series.



- Reasonably good agreement at lower height z=40m
- Underestimation of wind speed during nightime at height 138m. Maybe because nocturnal boundary layers are modeled too shallow.

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

## Daily cycle simulation. Time series.

#### Temperature at 40m and 138m agl



• Although temperature is held constant at ground level, temperature variation above the forest is well captured by the model.

## Daily cycle simulation. Time series.

#### Turbulent Kinetic Energy at 40m and 138m agl.



- Strong variations of TKE from day- to night-time
- TKE is underestimated at noon.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Conclusion

- A methodology for full daily cycle simulation over forested terrains has been presented. Which uses mesoscalar results or wind measurement data.
- Very simple coupling prescribing net radiative heat flux balance at the top of the canopy, and geostrophic pressure gradient.
- Reasonably good agreement against measurements. Capture of convective and stably stratified profiles.
- Future work is to extend this methodology to complex terrain.
- Details of the methodology have been submitted to wake conference.

Description of the model setup

Results

Dynamic Forest simulation

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

# Thank you!