

1 This manuscript has been published in Meat Science.  
2 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.07.019>. Published online: 13 July 2018. This version is free  
3 to view and download for private research and study only. Not for redistribution, re-sale or use in  
4 derivative works. The final publication is available at [https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/meat-](https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/meat-science)  
5 science.

6 **Title:** Effect of natural antioxidants from grape seed and chestnut in combination with  
7 hydroxytyrosol, as sodium nitrite substitutes in Cinta Senese dry-fermented sausages

8 **Authors:**

9 Chiara Aquilani<sup>a\*</sup>, Francesco Sirtori<sup>a</sup>, Monica Flores<sup>b</sup>, Riccardo Bozzi<sup>a</sup>, Benedicte Lebet<sup>c</sup>, Carolina  
10 Pugliese<sup>a</sup>

11 <sup>a</sup>DISPAA - Department of Agrifood Production and Environmental Sciences, Animal Science  
12 Section, Universita' degli Studi di Firenze, 50144 Firenze, Italy

13 <sup>b</sup>IATA - Institute of Agrochemistry and Food Technology (IATA-CSIC), Paterna, Valencia, Spain

14 <sup>c</sup>PEGASE, INRA, Agrocampus-Ouest, 35042 Rennes, France

15 \* **Corresponding author:** [chiara.aquilani@unifi.it](mailto:chiara.aquilani@unifi.it)

16 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.07.019>

17 **Abstract:**

18 Dry-fermented pork sausages, from Cinta Senese local breed, were manufactured replacing sodium  
19 nitrite (NIT) with two mixtures of natural antioxidants consisting of: i) grape seed extract and olive  
20 pomace hydroxytyrosol (GSE); ii) chestnut extract and olive pomace hydroxytyrosol (CHE). The  
21 effects on physical-chemical, aromatic and sensory traits, as well as the microbiological safety,  
22 were tested. Nitrite replacement lowered the pH in GSE and CHE samples and resulted in several  
23 differences in physical traits between CHE and NIT samples. *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella*

24 and *Clostridium botulinum* were not found in any samples. GSE and CHE mixtures showed a  
25 slightly lower antioxidant activity. Volatile profile showed a similar aromatic profile among the  
26 three treatments with differences mainly to abundance of the single compounds, indicating that  
27 replacement of nitrite by natural antioxidants did not affect the overall aroma profile, as outlined by  
28 olfactometry results. In addition, the replacement did not affect the overall acceptability, except for  
29 color-related traits, underscored in GSE and CHE products.

30 **Keywords:** Volatile compounds; meat quality; GC-olfactometry; local pig breed; lipid oxidation;  
31 pork products

## 32 **1. Introduction**

33 Dry cured meat products are typical of the Mediterranean area and they represent a high-value  
34 production in European countries, considering that curing process allows extension of meat shelf-  
35 life (Marco et al., 2006) and leads to typical pork products with specific eating quality and regional  
36 identity (Pugliese and Sirtori, 2012). In Southern Europe, salami and dry-fermented sausages, are  
37 generally characterized by slowly air-drying and mold-ripening (Flores, 1997). This curing process  
38 leads to peculiar characteristics and flavors that are widely appreciated by consumers; but is also  
39 related to longer curing times that may cause higher lipid oxidation levels. Moreover, natural  
40 fermentation, avoiding the addition of lactic acid-producing starter cultures, is more susceptible to  
41 the growth of harmful bacteria, such as *Listeria monocytogenes* or *Clostridium botulinum* (Lücke,  
42 2000). Thus, to avoid a severe deterioration of nutritive and organoleptic attributes, as well as to  
43 ensure food safety, several synthetic food preservatives are commonly included. Among them, the  
44 most used are nitrites and nitrates (Hammes, 2012). Nitrite positively affects color, inhibits the  
45 growth of pathogenic bacteria, contributes to the development of typical cured meat flavor and  
46 delays oxidative rancidity (Marco et al., 2006). Despite their effectiveness as curing agents, the  
47 nitrite/nitrate intake represents a risk to human health, i.e. the formation of carcinogenic  
48 nitrosamines is one of the most current concerns (De Mey et al., 2017). Several studies have

49 focused on nitrate/nitrite reduction or substitution (Purriños et al., 2013; Özvural and Vural, 2014;  
50 Pateiro et al., 2015), but the main issue remains finding an alternative able to address the multiple  
51 activities they perform. Up until now, most of the alternatives proposed are plant extracts, largely  
52 obtained from agricultural by-products. These compounds are very rich in polyphenols, flavonoids  
53 and terpenoids and are able to perform a double antioxidant-antimicrobial functions (Falowo et al.,  
54 2014; Hygreeva et al., 2014; Shah et al., 2014). These compounds might also constitute a great  
55 opportunity to exploit agricultural by-products, which otherwise would be wasted. The aim of this  
56 study was to assess the feasibility of producing dry-fermented sausages by replacing sodium nitrite  
57 with natural antioxidants while trying to maintain quality traits. Grape seed extract, chestnut extract  
58 and hydroxytyrosol (extracted from defatted olive pomace), were chosen due to their great  
59 availability as by-products of important Tuscan agricultural products. Moreover, among the  
60 investigated plant extracts, they have shown an interesting potential both for antioxidant activity  
61 and microbial inhibition. This innovation also aimed to valorize Cinta Senese, a local pig breed  
62 strongly linked to the Tuscan region.

## 63 **2. Materials and methods**

### 64 **2.1. Antioxidant mixtures**

65 The natural antioxidants employed in the present studies were provided by Phytolab (Sesto  
66 Fiorentino, Florence, Italy). They consisted of grape seed and chestnut extracts, tocopherol and  
67 hydroxytyrosol (extracted by defatted olive pomace). The manufacturer provided the phenolic  
68 profile (Table 1), total phenolic content and antiradical scavenging activity ( $EC_{50}$ ) (Table 2) of each  
69 extract. The grape seed and chestnut extracts were combined with the same amount of  
70 hydroxytyrosol and tocopherol to form two different mixtures; grape seed (GSE) and chestnut  
71 (CHE) mixtures.

### 72 **2.2. Sausages manufacturing**

73 In an industrial plant (Azienda Agricola Savigni, Pistoia, Italy), 24kg of pork lean and 6kg of  
74 subcutaneous backfat from Cinta Senese pig breed were minced and equally divided in three  
75 batches. Salt (23g/kg), sucrose (35g/kg) and black pepper (0.2g/kg) were added to each batch  
76 following the recipe traditionally used by the manufacturer. Thirty ppm of sodium nitrite (E250)  
77 were added to the first batch to constitute the control (NIT). In second batch, 10g/kg of GSE  
78 mixture were used to replace sodium nitrite, while 10g/kg of CHE were added to the third batch.  
79 Sausages were weighed, dried at 28°C and RH 85% for 4 days and then ripened 21 days (T 13°C,  
80 RH 70%). Once ripened, six samples of each batch were collected, pH, color, and processing loss  
81 were immediately measured. Samples were vacuum packed and stored at -80°C for physical,  
82 chemical and aromatic analysis. Another 3 samples of each batch were stored at 4°C to be  
83 employed for sensory analysis the following day. This design was replicated to have two totally  
84 independent batches for each treatment.

### 85 **2.3. Physical, chemical and microbiological parameters**

86 At the end of ripening, physical parameters were assessed on 12 samples of each batch (6 for each  
87 replication). Sausage pH was measured at room temperature (20 °C) using a pH meter Crison  
88 GLP21 (Barcelona, Spain), the instrument was introduced in a sausage portion. Color (L\*, a\* and  
89 b\*) was determined by a Minolta Chromameter CR-200 (Tokyo, Japan) immediately after slicing.  
90  $a_w$  was measured following the method ISO 21807:2004. Two 10 mm-thick and 10 mm-width slices  
91 of each sample, were cut and immediately analyzed at room temperature (22 °C), using a Zwick  
92 Roell Z2.5 apparatus (Ulm, Germany) with a loading cell of 1 kN at the crosshead speed of 1  
93 mm/sec. Texture profile analysis (TPA) was performed assessing the following parameters:  
94 hardness, cohesiveness, gumminess, springiness and chewiness. Moisture was determined by  
95 lyophilizing to constant weight 40g of sample, according to AOAC methods (1990). Weight loss  
96 was measured as the difference between weight at time zero and end of ripening (after 24 days).  
97 Total protein, fat and ash contents were determined following AOAC (1990) methods. Lipid

98 oxidation was determined according Vyncke (1970), using a PerkinElmer Lambda EZ150  
99 spectrophotometer (Waltham, MA, USA). Results were expressed as mg of malondialdehyde  
100 (MDA)/kg of samples. Fatty acids were determined using a Varian GC-430 apparatus equipped  
101 with a flame ionization detector (FID) (Palo Alto, CA, USA) as reported by Sirtori et al. (2015).  
102 The individual methyl esters were identified by their retention time using an analytical standard  
103 (F.A.M.E. Mix, C8-C22 Supelco 18920-1AMP). Response factors based on the internal standard  
104 (C19:0) were used for quantification and results were expressed as mg/100g of sample. The fatty  
105 acid content was reported as saturated (SFA), monounsaturated (MUFA) and polyunsaturated  
106 (PUFA) fatty acids. Microbiological analyses were carried out in an external accredited laboratory  
107 to determine the products' safety. The following bacteria were investigated: *Escherichia coli* (ISO  
108 16649-2:2001), *Listeria monocytogenes* (UNI EN ISO 11290-1:2005), coagulase positive  
109 *Staphylococcus* spp. (UNI EN ISO 6888-1:2004), *Clostridium botulinum* (ISO 15213:2003) and  
110 *Salmonella* spp. (UNI EN ISO 6579:2008).

## 111 **2.4. Volatile compounds analysis**

### 112 **2.4.1. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis (GC-MS)**

113 Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) and GC-MS analysis were performed following the method  
114 described by Corral, Salvador, & Flores (2013) using a 85 µm Carboxen/Polydimethylsiloxane  
115 (CAR/PDMS) fiber (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA) installed in a Gerstel MPS2 multipurpose sampler  
116 (Gerstel, Germany) and an Agilent HP 7890 series II GC with an HP 5975C mass selective detector  
117 (Hewlett-Packard Palo Alto, CA, USA). The volatile compounds (VOCs) detected were identified  
118 by comparison with mass-spectra from the library database (Nist'05), linear retention index (van  
119 Den Dool and Dec. Kratz, 1963) and by comparison with authentic standards. The quantification of  
120 volatile compounds was done in SCAN mode using either total or extracted ion chromatogram (TIC  
121 or EIC) on an arbitrary scale.

### 122 **2.4.2. Gas chromatography-olfactometry analysis (GC-O)**

123 A gas chromatograph (Agilent 6890, USA) equipped with an FID detector and sniffing port (ODP3,  
124 Gerstel, Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany) was used to analyze aroma compounds extracted by  
125 SPME as described by Corral et al. (2013). The detection frequency (DF) method was used to  
126 estimate the aromatic impact of each volatile and each assessment was carried out according  
127 to Olivares, Navarro, & Flores (2011). Four trained panelists evaluated the odors from the GC-  
128 effluent. Each assessor evaluated 3 sausages for a total of 12 assessments, the final DF was obtained  
129 by summing the 12 sniffings. The detection of an odor by less than three assessors was considered  
130 noise. Compounds were identified by comparison with mass spectra, with linear retention indices  
131 of authentic standards injected in the GC-MS and GC-O, and by coincidence of the assessors'  
132 descriptors with those reported by Burdock (2010).

### 133 **2.5. Sensory analysis**

134 Sensory analysis was carried out in an equipped laboratory by 8 trained panelists using a  
135 quantitative-descriptive analysis method. Fourteen attributes (grease appearance, abnormal colors,  
136 firmness, color uniformity, redness, cured meat flavor, off odor, salty, rancid, off flavor, hardness,  
137 juiciness, aftertaste, general acceptability) were evaluated, each attribute was scored in a 10 cm  
138 non-structured line (Pugliese et al., 2010). Select subjects underwent an introductory session, where  
139 the testing procedures and the chosen sensory traits were discussed using two types of comparable  
140 commercial products. During three sessions, panelists evaluated a total of 9 sausages (3 samples x 3  
141 treatments) identified by an alphanumeric code. The sausages were divided in 0.5cm-thick x 2cm-  
142 diameter slices and two slices of each samples were randomly served to judges at room temperature  
143 (20°C). Panelists were invited to eat a cracker and drink a glass of water between samples.

### 144 **2.6. Statistical analysis**

145 Data were analyzed by SAS software. Two-way ANOVAs were performed on physical and  
146 chemical data according to the following model:

147  $Y_{ijk} = \mu + T_i + B_j + \varepsilon_{ijk}$

148 Where  $\mu$  is the mean, T is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  treatment, B is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  batch and  $\varepsilon$  is the error. For sensory data,  
149 effect of panelist was included in the previous model. The interaction between Treatment and Batch  
150 factors was tested but being not significant, it was not included in the model.

151 Volatile compounds data were also analyzed by a multivariate approach to determine the presence  
152 of characteristic compounds able to be allocated to samples among different treatments. A stepwise  
153 discriminant analysis (SDA) was first used to reduce the space-variables, selecting the subset of  
154 variables that better discriminated groups. Canonical discriminant analysis (CDA) were performed  
155 using SDA selected variables, resulting in 2 new variables, called canonical functions (CAN1,  
156 CAN2). They consisted of a series of canonical coefficients (CC) that indicate the partial  
157 contribution of each variable in composing the CANs. The greater the CC, the more the variable  
158 contributes to CAN composition.

### 159 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 160 ***3.1. Physical, chemical and microbiological parameters***

161 The major foodborne pathogens (*Escherichia coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Staphylococcus* spp.,  
162 *Clostridium* spp and *Salmonella* spp.) were absent or below the limit required (Reg CE/2073/05) in  
163 all samples (Table 3). Several studies on plant phenolic suggest these components have  
164 antimicrobial activity (Kao et al., 2010; Mujić et al., 2014; Fasolato et al., 2016). Further studies  
165 are, however, required to determine the effectiveness of the studied antioxidants against the  
166 development of the main foodborne pathogens. The  $a_w$  values (Table 4) recorded for GSE and CHE,  
167 being below 0.89, contributed to control pathogenic organisms development (Toldrá and Flores,  
168 2014). Moisture, fat, protein and ash contents and weight loss were not affected by treatment and  
169 they were in line with values reported for dry-cured sausages (Olivares, Navarro, & Flores, 2015;  
170 Ribas-Agustí et al., 2014; Škrlep, Čandek-Potokar, Tomažin, Batorek Lukač, & Flores, 2017),  
171 except for weight loss, which was slightly greater in the present study; likely the smaller diameter

172 of samples could have enhanced the water loss during ripening. The pH observed was in the range  
173 reported for natural fermented meat products at a similar curing time (Özvural and Vural, 2014;  
174 Škrlep et al., 2017); indeed, they were characterized by a higher pH compared to commercial  
175 products (Hospital et al., 2015; Montanari et al., 2018). Moreover, significant differences among  
176 treatments were found for pH, with lower values observed for GSE and CHE samples, suggesting  
177 that *Lactobacillus* (LAB) growth, that takes place during the first fermentation phase, could be  
178 slightly promoted in these products. Indeed, low or nitrites-free sausages showed an increased  
179 presence of LAB (Hospital et al., 2015). Growth of LAB was not, however, assessed in the present  
180 experiment, and further studies will be required to assess effects of GSE and CHE. Concerning  
181 color attributes,  $L^*$  was not affected by treatment,  $a^*$  showed significant greater values in GSE and  
182 NIT samples than in CHE ones, while  $b^*$  was significant higher in NIT compared to the modified  
183 products. A change in  $a^*$  was expected considering the role nitrites play in nitrosomyoglobin  
184 formation, the characteristic red curing pigment (Hammes, 2012). Since neither chemical  
185 composition nor oxidation resulted in significant differences among groups, a different pathway for  
186 red color formation in GSE samples should be considered. A stable red color compound called Zn-  
187 protoporphyrin, derived from the substitution of heme iron with zinc, has been observed in Parma  
188 ham, an Italian nitrite/nitrate-free ham (Wakamatsu et al., 2004a). Up to now, mechanisms leading  
189 to its formation are not well-known (Hammes, 2012), but the absence of nitrites, low levels of  
190 oxygen, meat endogenous enzymes as well as microorganism, are all factors that may contribute to  
191 its formation (Wakamatsu et al., 2004b). Apart from the absence of nitrites, some compounds  
192 contained in grape seed extract may have promoted the Zn-protoporphyrin formation for the GSE  
193 group, while no formation occurred in the CHE samples. These results are partially in agreement  
194 with those reported by Lorenzo, González-Rodríguez, Sánchez, Amado, & Franco (2013), although  
195 their study was conducted on chorizo where pigmentation due to added paprika may have  
196 interfered.



197 According to TPA results (Table 5), cohesiveness, springiness and chewiness were affected by  
198 nitrite replacement, being highest in CHE samples, lowest in NIT, whereas GSE samples were  
199 similar to both. Since no differences in moisture and weight loss were found among the treatments,  
200 the results obtained were attributable basically to the differences in pH, which, declining, causes the  
201 aggregation of myofibrillar proteins and leads to gel formation (Lücke, 2000). The higher pH of  
202 NIT samples likely inhibited this phenomenon thus reducing the sausage cohesiveness and  
203 chewiness. The results reported are partially in agreement with Lorenzo et al., (2013), who also  
204 noticed the highest chewiness values for chorizo with added chestnut extract and ripened for 19  
205 days, compared to the same product manufactured with GSE or synthetic antioxidant (BHT in this  
206 case).

207 The groups did not differ in SFA, MUFA and PUFA contents. Their relative amounts reflect those  
208 of fresh pork composition, slightly richer in MUFA than SFA, with PUFA being approximately a  
209 third of either SFA or MUFA categories (Škrlep et al., 2017). PUFA can also be considered an  
210 indicator of meat oxidative status, due to their double bonds being preferred substrates for oxidative  
211 reactions (Pateiro et al., 2015). Results suggest that the natural antioxidants employed were as  
212 effective as nitrites in control lipid oxidation during manufacturing and ripening. This is supported  
213 by TBARs results, showing no significant differences among treatments, however, further studies  
214 will be required to evaluate the antioxidant activity during the shelf-life. Nitrites exert their  
215 antioxidant activity in cured meat by forming the myoglobin-stable compounds and making the iron  
216 inaccessible for oxidation (Riazi et al., 2018); phenolic compounds instead, follow different  
217 pathways, acting as hydrogen donors. The phenolic hydroxyl groups intercept the free radicals to  
218 form stable end-products, interrupting and avoiding further lipid oxidation, especially of  
219 unsaturated FAs (Jayaprakasha et al., 2003). To the best of our knowledge, few data are available  
220 about dry-fermented pork sausages with added natural extracts, but the great variability of these  
221 traditional products makes comparisons difficult. The efficacy of hydroxytyrosol in preventing lipid

222 oxidation was reported by Cofrades et al., (2011) for n-3 enriched frankfurters, while Lorenzo et al.,  
223 (2013) observed comparable TBARS values in Spanish chorizo with added BHT, grape seed extract  
224 or chestnut extract.

### 225 ***3.2. Volatile profile and olfactometry***

226 Ninety-one VOCs were identified by HS-SPME-GS-MS (Table 6). The most abundant groups  
227 originated from spices (51-61%) and carbohydrate fermentation (30-39%), followed by amino acid  
228 degradation (6-7%), while VOCs derived from lipid  $\beta$ -oxidation and lipid oxidation processes  
229 represented 1% of total extracted areas.

230 Among the 14 VOCs related to lipid auto-oxidation, 5 showed significant differences with NIT  
231 resulting in the lowest, while GSE and CHE products showed intermediate or higher abundances.  
232 These VOCs originate by autocatalytic fat oxidation and involves mostly unsaturated fatty acids.  
233 Among the identified VOCs, hexanal is of key-importance to better outline the products' oxidation  
234 status. The correlation between this compound and lipid oxidation is well-known and its low  
235 perception threshold makes hexanal an important contributor to overall aroma (Marco et al., 2006).  
236 The higher hexanal content in GSE and CHE samples than NIT is consistent with TBARS results,  
237 suggesting greater PUFA oxidation, even if the extent was limited and did not affect the parameters  
238 previously examined. The total lipid auto-oxidation values confirmed these differences, with NIT  
239 being the lowest, GSE the highest and CHE similar to both, likely related to a higher EC<sub>50</sub> for GSE.  
240 Hence, even though the phenolic extracts used contributed to maintain lipid oxidation below the  
241 perception threshold of rancid flavor, they appeared less effective than nitrites in controlling lipid  
242 oxidation. Partially in agreement with this, Purriños et al. (2013) reported grape seed was a less  
243 effective antioxidant in chorizo, but on the contrary, chestnut extract was found to have higher  
244 antioxidant activity than BHT.

245 Spice derived VOCs were the major group, due to the use of black pepper. Indeed, limonene, a  
246 compound particularly abundant in pepper (Moretti et al., 2004) represented approximately half of

247 the total amount for each treatment. As the same amount of pepper was added to all treatments,  
248 differences among the 3 groups might be due to several external factors such as an irregular  
249 distribution of the ground pepper in the raw matrix (Montanari et al., 2018), as well as a  
250 heterogeneity of the spices themselves caused by different grinding techniques and/or storage times  
251 that could have led to differential oxidative status, odorant losses and sensory attribute changes  
252 (Orav et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2013).

253 Microbial enzymes degrade free fatty acids through  $\beta$ -oxidation reactions, generating methyl  
254 ketones as final products (Flores & Olivares, 2007). Two VOCs belonging to this group were  
255 identified, but significant differences were found only for total abundance, which was highest for  
256 NIT, the lowest in GSE and CHE samples were similar to both. Since the main microbial  
257 populations were not examined in this study, the differences in volatile development due to  
258 microbial fermentation cannot be explained directly. However, the role of genus staphylococcus in  
259 incomplete  $\beta$ -oxidation are well-known, so the presence of 2-pentanone and 3-octanone were likely  
260 related to the presence of these bacteria (Chen et al., 2017).

261 Contrarily to Chen et al., (2017) and Marco et al. (2006), only one ester was observed. However,  
262 they worked on fermented sausages manufactured with starter cultures containing staphylococci  
263 strains. Staphylococci promote the formation of esters with staphylococci esterase activity being  
264 one of the main factors leading to ester formation in dry-fermented sausages (Wang et al., 2016).

265 Among VOCs generated by bacterial metabolism, products of carbohydrate fermentation form an  
266 important group, consisting in 13 identified compounds. Acetaldehyde, 2,3-butanedione and 2,3-  
267 butanediol were higher in GSE samples than in CHE and NIT; ethyl alcohol and butanoic acid were  
268 lowest in NIT samples, while 3-hydroxy-2-butanone was lowest in CHE samples. VOCs from  
269 carbohydrate metabolism were generally the highest for GSE. The great abundance of 2-butanone is  
270 remarkable, considering that this compound is known as a by-product LAB metabolism (Montanari  
271 et al., 2018), originating from 2,3-butanedione (significantly higher in GSE). Then, 2-butanone is

272 reduced to 2-butanol and 3-hydroxy-2-butanone, that again, was significantly higher in GSE  
273 samples and preferentially formed in small diameter sausages (Montanari et al., 2018).

274 The last group of VOCs related to bacterial metabolism consisted of 19 VOCs from amino acid  
275 degradation. 2-methylpropanal and ethylbenzene were higher in CHE samples, while the lowest  
276 amounts of 3-methylbutanol and 2-acetyl-1-pyrroline were observed for natural antioxidant  
277 products and benzeneacetaldehyde and benzylalcohol were higher in GSE samples than for CHE  
278 and NIT. The highest total amino acid degradation products were observed in NIT samples,  
279 followed by CHE and then GSE. This was likely due to 3-methylbutanol, whose content almost  
280 doubled in NIT samples while toluene, the most abundant compound, was similar for the three  
281 groups. The compounds observed are characteristic of dry-fermented sausages, being reported by  
282 several authors (Marco et al., 2006; Corral et al., 2013; Škrlep et al., 2017).

283 Despite the variability within each group of VOCs, total amounts of microbial metabolites suggest a  
284 greater development of microflora in NIT samples that might be related to the antimicrobial activity  
285 of phenolic extracts during ripening. Several studies have reported phenolic compounds diffuse into  
286 bacterial cells walls and interact with cytoplasmatic proteins, affecting Gram positive bacteria and,  
287 particularly, Gram positive cocci (Jayaprakasha et al., 2003; Fasolato et al., 2016; Riazi et al.,  
288 2018). It is worth noting that the main populations involved in sausage fermentation processes,  
289 LAB and Staphylococci, are both Gram positive bacteria.

290 The role each compound plays in defining the aromatic profile strongly depends on its abundance  
291 and on its perception threshold (Olivares et al., 2015). During the GC-O sessions, 31 aroma notes  
292 were perceived by trained assessors (Table 7). Seven aroma compounds were associated with  
293 spices, 1 to lipid beta-oxidation, 4 to carbohydrate fermentation, 1 to esterase activity, 11 to amino  
294 acid degradation, 4 to lipid auto-oxidation, 2 to unknown compounds (not identified with any of the  
295 VOCs identified by GC-MS) and 1 to contaminants. Considering their DF value as an aroma impact  
296 index, 11 VOCs had a DF higher than 8. Despite the differences outlined by SPME-GC-MS

297 analysis, panelists did not detect any differences in the olfactometric profile of the three groups.  
298 This is likely because all the identified VOCs were observed in the three groups and the main  
299 differences among GSE, CHE and NIT samples were attributable only to differences in the single  
300 compounds abundances. As a consequence, GC-O data were displayed combined in a single  
301 aromatic profile (Table 7). Most of the identified compounds were previously observed as recurrent  
302 in dry-fermented sausages (Flores & Olivares, 2015; Söllner and Schieberle, 2009; Schmidt and  
303 Berger, 1998). Amino acid degradation compounds have a key-role in flavor development,  
304 contributing with malty, fruity, sweaty flavors and ripened aroma (Hospital et al., 2015; Chen et al.,  
305 2017). Indeed, more than half of SPME-GC-MS identified VOCs were also observed in GC-O  
306 sessions as odor active compounds in Cinta Senese dry-fermented sausages. They accounted for one  
307 third of the compounds forming the GC-O profile and had high DF values. Among them, 2 acetyl-  
308 1-pyrroline and methional are considered as the most potent odor active compounds in dry-  
309 fermented sausages (Corral, Leitner, Siegmund, & Flores, 2016; Söllner and Schieberle, 2009).  
310 Also 3-methylbutanoic acid is considered a potent aroma contributor, giving cheesy, lactic and fatty  
311 notes (Flores & Olivares 2015), while 2,5-dimethylpyrazine is related to meaty and cooked potatoes  
312 notes.

313 The second most represented VOC group were spice-derived, especially  $\alpha$ -terpinene,  $\beta$ -myrcene  
314 and terpinolene, which were previously reported as odor active compounds (Olivares et al., 2015;  
315 Schmidt & Berger, 1998). Another important group was composed of lipid oxidation VOCs, among  
316 them hexanal was the most potent odorant (Marco, Navarro, & Flores, 2007; Olivares et al., 2015;  
317 Söllner and Schieberle, 2009; Schmidt and Berger, 1998). Indeed, hexanal produces fresh and green  
318 notes (Table 7), but it turns to rancid notes as its abundance increases. Lastly, also 2,3-butanedione,  
319 derived from carbohydrate fermentation, due to its low threshold (about 4  $\mu\text{g/l}$ ) (Hospital et al.,  
320 2015), was an important aroma contributor, characterized by buttery-sweet notes and a DF of 10.

321 Figure 1 displays the 19 compounds identified by SDA. The selected compounds were able to  
322 discriminate the three treatments. Can1 accounted for a great part of variance, separating CHE from  
323 GSE and NIT, while Can 2 sharply divided GSE and CHE from NIT. Multivariate analysis showed  
324 how lipid auto-oxidation compounds, comprising half of the compounds identified by SDA, were  
325 central in differentiating the three groups. Tetradecane, ethylbenzene and 3-octanone having the  
326 greatest negative Can1 scores, were considered mainly responsible for separating GSE and NIT  
327 from CHE, in agreement with ANOVA results. Concerning Can2, compounds with higher weighing  
328 were decane and octane for GSE and CHE, while 3-methylbutanol seems to characterize NIT  
329 samples. Among the 19 compounds identified, 8 were also perceived by GC-O panelists. However,  
330 considering their DF and CCs together, only hexanal and 2.5-dimethylpyrazine might have an  
331 effective potential in discriminating the groups also from a sensory point of view.

### 332 **3.3. Sensory analysis**

333 Figure 2 shows sensory results. Abnormal colors, off-flavors, off-odors and rancid were scored as 0  
334 (not present) and were not shown. As expected, the most affected traits were color related, with  
335 both color uniformity ( $P < 0.01$ ) and redness ( $P < 0.01$ ) scoring lower for GSE and CHE samples  
336 compared to NIT ones, while firmness was scored highest for CHE samples ( $P < 0.05$ ),  
337 agreeing with TPA results. Likely, the lower moisture and fat contents observed in CHE could have  
338 affected the firmness, even if neither moisture nor fat, significantly differed among treatments.  
339 Despite the differences in lipid auto-oxidation VOCs, no perceivable rancid notes were detected by  
340 panelists, as the level of MDA found in the samples did not exceed the organoleptic perception of  
341 lipid oxidation (Campo et al., 2006). Effects of adding grape seed and chestnut extracts to dry-  
342 fermented sausages have not, however, always been positive. Ribas-Agustí et al. (2014) reported  
343 that panelists discarded grape seed extract added products, as there were judged to be abnormal  
344 compared to control samples. Similarly, Özvural and Vural (2011) observed a decrease in overall

345 acceptability of frankfurters with grape seed extract added, even when products manufactured with  
346 concentrations lower than 0.05% resulted in scores similar to control.

#### 347 **4. Conclusions**

348 The results on VOCs profiles suggested a greater antimicrobial activity of natural antioxidant  
349 mixtures (GSE and CHE) compared to sodium nitrite (NIT), likely due to their phenolic  
350 constituents; further none of the main foodborne pathogens were found in any sample. No  
351 significant differences among treatments were found for lipid oxidation, even if lipid auto-oxidation  
352 VOCs suggested a slightly lower antioxidant activity of GSE and CHE compared to sodium nitrite.  
353 Despite the differences in single VOCs abundances, the replacement did not affect the overall  
354 aroma profile, as outlined by GC-O results and sensory analysis. Some differences in instrumental  
355 color and texture negatively affected GSE and CHE products, but the overall acceptability was not  
356 influenced. GSE and CHE effects on microbiota in dry-fermented sausages should be studied in  
357 depth, however, the results so far indicated that tested antioxidants are valid alternatives to sodium  
358 nitrite in Cinta Senese dry-fermented sausages.

#### 359 **5. Acknowledgments**

360 The research was carried out with funds from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and  
361 innovation program under grant agreement No 634476 (acronym TREASURE). The content of this  
362 works reflects only the author's view and the European Union Agency is not responsible for any use  
363 that may be made of the information it contains. We acknowledge Prof. Romani and Phytolab for  
364 providing the antioxidant mixtures. Authors also acknowledge the financial support of AGL2015-  
365 64673-R (MINEICO, Spain) and FEDER funds.

#### 366 **6. References**

367 Burdock GA 2010. Fenaroli's handbook of flavor ingredients.

368 Campo MM, Nute GR, Hughes SI, Enser M, Wood JD and Richardson RI 2006. Flavour perception

369 of oxidation in beef. *Meat Science* 72, 303–311.

370 Chen Q, Kong B, Han Q, Xia X and Xu L 2017. The role of bacterial fermentation in lipolysis and  
371 lipid oxidation in Harbin dry sausages and its flavour development. *LWT - Food Science and*  
372 *Technology* 77, 389–396.

373 Cofrades S, Salcedo Sandoval L, Delgado-Pando G, López-López I, Ruiz-Capillas C and Jiménez-  
374 Colmenero F 2011. Antioxidant activity of hydroxytyrosol in frankfurters enriched with n-3  
375 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Food Chemistry* 129, 429–436.

376 Corral S, Leitner E, Siegmund B and Flores M 2016. Determination of sulfur and nitrogen  
377 compounds during the processing of dry fermented sausages and their relation to amino acid  
378 generation. *Food Chemistry* 190, 657–664.

379 Corral S, Salvador A and Flores M 2013. Salt reduction in slow fermented sausages affects the  
380 generation of aroma active compounds. *Meat Science* 93, 776–785.

381 van Den Dool H and Dec. Kratz P 1963. A generalization of the retention index system including  
382 linear temperature programmed gas-liquid partition chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A*  
383 11, 463–471.

384 Falowo AB, Fayemi PO and Muchenje V 2014. Natural antioxidants against lipid-protein oxidative  
385 deterioration in meat and meat products: A review. *Food Research International* 64, 171–181.

386 Fasolato L, Carraro L, Facco P, Cardazzo B, Balzan S, Taticchi A, Andreani NA, Montemurro F,  
387 Martino ME, Di Lecce G, Toschi TG and Novelli E 2016. Agricultural by-products with bioactive  
388 effects: A multivariate approach to evaluate microbial and physicochemical changes in a fresh pork  
389 sausage enriched with phenolic compounds from olive vegetation water. *International Journal of*  
390 *Food Microbiology* 228, 34–43.

391 Flores J 1997. Mediterranean vs northern European meat products. *Processing technologies and*



392 main differences. *Food Chemistry* 59, 505–510.

393 Flores M and Olivares A 2015. 25 25.1 Flavors.

394 Flores M, Olivares A, Chen M, Tu R and Wang S 2015. *Handbook of Fermented Meat and Poultry*.  
395 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford, UK.

396 Hammes WP 2012. Metabolism of nitrate in fermented meats: The characteristic feature of a  
397 specific group of fermented foods. *Food Microbiology* 29, 151–156.

398 Hospital XF, Carballo J, Fernández M, Arnau J, Gratacós M and Hierro E 2015. Technological  
399 implications of reducing nitrate and nitrite levels in dry-fermented sausages: Typical microbiota,  
400 residual nitrate and nitrite and volatile profile. *Food Control* 57, 275–281.

401 Hygreeva D, Pandey MC and Radhakrishna K 2014. Potential applications of plant based  
402 derivatives as fat replacers, antioxidants and antimicrobials in fresh and processed meat products.  
403 *Meat Science* 98, 47–57.

404 Jayaprakasha GK, Selvi T and Sakariah KK 2003. Antibacterial and antioxidant activities of grape  
405 (*Vitis vinifera*) seed extracts. *Food Research International* 36, 117–122.

406 Kao TT, Tu HC, Chang WN, Chen BH, Shi YY, Chang TC and Fu TF 2010. Grape seed extract  
407 inhibits the growth and pathogenicity of *Staphylococcus aureus* by interfering with dihydrofolate  
408 reductase activity and folate-mediated one-carbon metabolism. *International Journal of Food*  
409 *Microbiology* 141, 17–27.

410 Liu H, Zeng F, Wang Q, Ou S, Tan L and Gu F 2013. The effect of cryogenic grinding and hammer  
411 milling on the flavour quality of ground pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.). *Food Chemistry* 141, 3402–  
412 3408.

413 Lorenzo JM, González-Rodríguez RM, Sánchez M, Amado IR and Franco D 2013. Effects of  
414 natural (grape seed and chestnut extract) and synthetic antioxidants (butylatedhydroxytoluene,

415 BHT) on the physical, chemical, microbiological and sensory characteristics of dry cured sausage  
416 'chorizo'. *Food Research International* 54, 611–620.

417 Lücke FK 2000. Utilization of microbes to process and preserve meat. *Meat Science* 56, 105–115.

418 Marco A, Navarro JL and Flores M 2006. The influence of nitrite and nitrate on microbial, chemical  
419 and sensory parameters of slow dry fermented sausage. *Meat Science* 73, 660–673.

420 Marco A, Navarro JL and Flores M 2007. Quantitation of selected odor-active constituents in dry  
421 fermented sausages prepared with different curing salts. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*  
422 *Chemistry* 55, 3058–3065.

423 De Mey E, De Maere H, Paelinck H and Fraeye I 2017. Volatile N-nitrosamines in meat products:  
424 Potential precursors, influence of processing, and mitigation strategies. *Critical Reviews in Food*  
425 *Science and Nutrition* 57, 2909–2923.

426 Montanari C, Gatto V, Torriani S, Barbieri F, Bargossi E, Lanciotti R, Grazia L, Magnani R,  
427 Tabanelli G and Gardini F 2018. Effects of the diameter on physico-chemical, microbiological and  
428 volatile profile in dry fermented sausages produced with two different starter cultures. *Food*  
429 *Bioscience* 22, 9–18.

430 Moretti VM, Madonia G, Diaferia C, Mentasti T, Paleari MA, Panseri S, Pirone G and Gandini G  
431 2004. Chemical and microbiological parameters and sensory attributes of a typical Sicilian salami  
432 ripened in different conditions. *Meat Science* 66, 845–854.

433 Mujić I, Rudić D, Živković J, Jukić H, Jug T, Nikolić G and Trutić N 2014. Antioxidant and  
434 antibacterial properties of *castanea sativa* mill. Catkins extracts.

435 Olivares A, Navarro JL and Flores M 2011. Effect of fat content on aroma generation during  
436 processing of dry fermented sausages. *Meat Science* 87, 264–273.

437 Olivares A, Navarro JL and Flores M 2015. Characterization of volatile compounds responsible for

438 the aroma in naturally fermented sausages by gas chromatography-olfactometry. *Food Science and*  
439 *Technology International* 21, 110–123.

440 Orav A, Stulova I, Kailas T and Müürisepp M 2004. Effect of Storage on the Essential Oil  
441 Composition of *Piper nigrum* L. Fruits of Different Ripening States. *Journal of Agricultural and*  
442 *Food Chemistry* 52, 2582–2586.

443 Özvural EB and Vural H 2011. Grape seed flour is a viable ingredient to improve the nutritional  
444 profile and reduce lipid oxidation of frankfurters. *Meat Science* 88, 179–183.

445 Özvural EB and Vural H 2014. Which is the best grape seed additive for frankfurters: Extract, oil or  
446 flour? *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 94, 792–797.

447 Pateiro M, Bermúdez R, Lorenzo J and Franco D 2015. Effect of Addition of Natural Antioxidants  
448 on the Shelf-Life of ‘Chorizo’, a Spanish Dry-Cured Sausage. *Antioxidants* 4, 42–67.

449 Pugliese C and Sirtori F 2012. Quality of meat and meat products produced from southern European  
450 pig breeds. *Meat Science*.

451 Pugliese C, Sirtori F, Adorante SD, Parenti S, Rey A, Lopez-bote C and Franci O 2010. Effect of  
452 pasture in oak and chestnut groves on chemical and sensorial traits of cured lard of Cinta Senese  
453 pigs. *Italian Journal Of Animal Science* 8, 131–142.

454 Purriños L, García Fontán MC, Carballo J and Lorenzo JM 2013. Study of the counts, species and  
455 characteristics of the yeast population during the manufacture of dry-cured ‘lacón’ Effect of salt  
456 level. *Food Microbiology* 34, 12–18.

457 Riazi F, Zeynali F, Hoseini E, Behmadi H and Savadkoohi S 2018. Corrigendum to ‘Oxidation  
458 phenomena and color properties of grape pomace on nitrite-reduced meat emulsion systems’ [*Meat*  
459 *Sci.* (121) (2016) 350–358], (S0309174016302182), (10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.07.008). *Meat Science*  
460 135, 189.

461 Ribas-Agustí A, Gratacós-Cubarsí M, Sárraga C, Guàrdia MD, García-Regueiro JA and Castellari  
462 M 2014. Stability of phenolic compounds in dry fermented sausages added with cocoa and grape  
463 seed extracts. *LWT - Food Science and Technology* 57, 329–336.

464 Schmidt S and Berger RG 1998. Aroma Compounds in Fermented Sausages of Different Origins.  
465 *LWT - Food Science and Technology* 31, 559–567.

466 Shah MA, Bosco SJD and Mir SA 2014. Plant extracts as natural antioxidants in meat and meat  
467 products. *Meat Science* 98, 21–33.

468 Sirtori F, Crovetto A, Acciaioli A, Bonelli A, Pugliese C, Bozzi R, Campodoni G and Franci O  
469 2015. Effect of Replacing a Soy Diet with *Vicia Faba* and *Pisum Sativum* on Performance, Meat  
470 and Fat Traits of Cinta Senese Pigs. *Italian Journal of Animal Science* 14, 3659.

471 Škrlep M, Čandek-Potokar M, Tomažin U, Batorek Lukač N and Flores M 2017. Properties and  
472 aromatic profile of dry-fermented sausages produced from Krškopolje pigs reared under organic  
473 and conventional rearing regime. *Animal*, 1–8.

474 Söllner K and Schieberle P 2009. Decoding the key aroma compounds of a Hungarian-type salami  
475 by molecular sensory science approaches. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 57, 4319–  
476 4327.

477 Toldrá F and Flores M 2014. SAUSAGES, TYPES OF | Dry and Semidry. In *Encyclopedia of Meat*  
478 *Sciences*, pp. 248–255. Elsevier.

479 Vyncke W 1970. Direct Determination of the Thiobarbituric Acid Value in Trichloroacetic Acid  
480 Extracts of Fish as a Measure of Oxidative Rancidity. *Fette, Seifen, Anstrichmittel* 72, 1084–1087.

481 Wakamatsu J, Nishimura T and Hattori A 2004a. A Zn-porphyrin complex contributes to bright red  
482 color in Parma ham. *Meat Science* 67, 95–100.

483 Wakamatsu J, Okui J, Ikeda Y, Nishimura T and Hattori A 2004b. Establishment of a model

484 experiment system to elucidate the mechanism by which Zn-protoporphyrin IX is formed in nitrite-  
 485 free dry-cured ham. Meat Science 68, 313–317.

486 Wang Y, Li Y, Yang J, Ruan J and Sun C 2016. Microbial volatile organic compounds and their  
 487 application in microorganism identification in foodstuff. TrAC - Trends in Analytical Chemistry 78,  
 488 1–16.

489 **Table 1. Phenolic profile of olive pomace and defatted grape seed and chestnut extracts.**

	<b>Olive Pomace (g/L)</b> <b>(hydroxytyrosol)</b>	<b>Grape Seed</b> <b>(mg/g)</b>	<b>Chestnut</b> <b>(mg/g)</b>		
Hydroxytyrosol	11.65	Gallic acid	0.01	Vescalin	9.34
Tyrosol & hydroxytyrosol derived compounds	15.13	Catechin B3 (dimers)	2.22	Castalin	8.99
Verbascosid	5.84	Catechin	11.07	Pedunculagin I	3.88
		Catechin (trimers)	3.21	Monogalloil glucose I	3.58
		Catechin B6 (dimers)	2.61	Gallic acid	18.50
		Catechin B2 (dimers)	5.37	Monogalloil glucose II	2.73
		Epicatechin	13.62	Roburin D	10.51
		Catechina trimer	3.71	Vescalagin	32.15
		Epicatechin gallate (PM 730)	6.65	C-glucoside tergallic dehydrate	2.73
		Epicatechin gallate (PM 442)	6.10	Castalagin	31.03
		Oligomers (tetramers)	54.88	Digalloil glucose I	10.03
		Epicatechin gallate (PM 882)	180.65	Digalloil glucose II	2.09
		Epicatechin gallate oligomers (trimers)	382.97	Hydrolyzable tannin <i>m/z</i> 1085	8.05
		Epicatechin gallate oligomers (trimers)	149.66	Trigalloil glucose I	4.61
				Trigalloil glucose II	6.74

Tetragalloil  
glucose 2.05

Ellagic acid 4.08

490

491

492 **Table 2.** Total phenolic content and radical scavenging activity of natural antioxidant constituting  
493 the mixtures

	<b>Total phenolic content</b>	<b>Antiradical scavenging activity (EC<sub>50</sub>)</b>
<b>Grape seed extract</b>	822.709 (mg/g)	0.147
<b>Chestnut extract</b>	161.091 (mg/g)	0.085
<b>Olive pomace (hydroxytyrosol)</b>	32.62 (g/l)	0.196
<b><math>\alpha</math>-tocopherol</b>	-	0.184

494 **Table 3.** Microbiological safety parameters on Cinta Sense dry-fermented sausages manufactured  
495 with natural antioxidant (GSE=grape seed extract; CHE=chestnut extract) as replacement of sodium  
496 nitrite (NIT).

	<b>GSE</b>	<b>CHE</b>	<b>NIT</b>
<b>Escherichia coli</b>	<10	<10	<10
<b>Listeria monocytogenes</b>	-	-	-
<b>Coagulase positive Staphilococcus spp.</b>	<10	<10	<10

<b>Sulfite-reducing bacteria</b> <b>(Clostridium botulinum)</b>	<10	1.2 10 <sup>2</sup>	0.7 10 <sup>2</sup>
<b>Salmonella spp.</b>	-	-	-

497 Results are expressed as ufc/g; the symbol “-“ indicates that the organism was not present

498 **Table 4.** Physical and chemical parameters on Cinta Sense dry-fermented sausages manufactured  
499 with natural antioxidant as replacement of sodium nitrite.

	<b>GSE</b>	<b>CHE</b>	<b>NIT</b>	<b>SEM<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>P<sup>b</sup></b>
<b>pH 0 days</b>	6.13	6.40	6.35	0.05	n.s.
<b>pH 24 days</b>	6.02b	6.04b	6.10a	0.04	**
<b>a<sub>w</sub></b>	0.89	0.88	0.90	0.01	n.s.
<b>L*</b>	43.21	41.67	42.37	0.67	n.s.
<b>a*</b>	17.22a	15.92b	18.06a	0.41	**
<b>b*</b>	5.31b	4.87b	6.48a	0.21	**
<b>Weight loss (%)</b>	40.23	43.30	42.92	1.35	n.s.
<b>Moisture (%)</b>	30.43	29.97	30.94	0.66	n.s.
<b>Fat (g/100 g dm)</b>	41.82	41.01	41.32	0.33	n.s.
<b>Protein (g/100 g dm)</b>	48.88	49.74	49.14	0.31	n.s.
<b>Ash (g/100g dm)</b>	8.46	8.69	8.80	0.12	n.s.
<b>TBARs (mg MDA/kg)</b>	1.05	0.98	0.93	0.05	n.s.
<b>SFA (mg/100g)</b>	8.05	7.57	7.71	0.23	n.s.
<b>MUFA (mg/100g)</b>	9.72	9.17	9.10	0.25	n.s.
<b>PUFA (mg/100g)</b>	3.32	3.38	3.47	0.10	n.s.

500 Values are reported as means of the two replications within the same treatment, where GSE is grape  
 501 seed extract added group, CHE the chestnut extract added group and NIT the control group added  
 502 with sodium nitrite

503 <sup>a</sup> Standard error

504 <sup>b</sup>P value of natural antioxidant effect \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, different letters in the same row indicate  
 505 significant differences at p<0.05.

506

507 **Table 5.** Texture traits of Cinta Sense dry-fermented sausages manufactured with natural  
 508 antioxidant as replacement of sodium nitrite.

	<b>GSE</b>	<b>CHE</b>	<b>NIT</b>	<b>SEM<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>P<sup>b</sup></b>
<b>Hardness (N)</b>	104.93	102.82	102.68	6.38	n.s.
<b>Cohesiveness</b>	0.38ab	0.42a	0.35b	0.01	**
<b>Gumminess</b>	39.57	42.93	35.99	2.11	n.s.
<b>Springiness</b>	3.04ab	3.26a	2.70b	0.13	*
<b>Chewiness (N)</b>	120.67ab	139.55a	96.79b	8.36	**

509 Values are reported as means of the two replications within the same treatment, where GSE is grape  
 510 seed extract added group, CHE the chestnut extract added group and NIT the control group added  
 511 with sodium nitrite

512 <sup>a</sup>Standard error

513 <sup>b</sup>P value of natural antioxidant effect \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, different letters in the same row indicate  
 514 significant differences at p<0.05.

515 **Table 6.** Volatile compounds in Cinta Sense dry-fermented sausages manufactured with natural  
 516 antioxidant as replacement of sodium nitrite.



Compound	LRI <sup>a</sup>	RI <sup>b</sup>	GSE	CHE	NIT	SEM <sup>c</sup>	P <sup>d</sup>
<b>Lipid auto-oxidation</b>							
Pentane	500	a	0.59	0.60	0.56	0.19	
Propanal	524	a	0.19	0.13	0.13	0.06	
Isopropanol (45)	539	-	1.20	0.79	1.13	0.40	
Hexane	600	a	0.76	0.74	0.56	0.22	
1-Propanol	613	a	4.90	4.90	3.72	2.37	
Octane	800	a	4.20	3.498	3.90	0.98	
Propanoic acid (74)	814	a	0.14a	0.05b	0.02b	0.05	**
1-Pentanol	827	a	0.34ab	0.43a	0.22b	0.16	*
Hexanal	841	a	2.62a	2.27 a	1.36 b	0.27	*
1-Hexanol	924	a	0.79	0.75	0.88	0.16	
Decane	1000	a	1.10a	1.26a	0.48b	0.18	**
Dodecane	1200	a	0.96	1.14	0.92	0.37	
Tridecane	1300	a	0.36	0.44	0.34	0.10	
Tetradecane	1400	a	0.18b	0.87a	0.22b	0.16	**
<b>Total</b>			19.79a	16.19ab	14.57b	0.96	**

### *Spices*

$\alpha$ -Thujene	934	b	24.40	26.07	27.29	6.22	
$\alpha$ -Pinene	940	a	17.84	19.00	17.33	3.68	
Sabinene+ $\beta$ -pinene	986	a	133.28	149.70	145.97	19.83	
$\beta$ -Myrcene	1003	a	37.19b	53.47a	39.01b	9.25	**
$\alpha$ -Phellandrene (93)	1019	b	8.71b	12.39a	9.41b	2.41	**

3-Carene	1023	a	73.20	86.24	77.49	15.77	
$\alpha$ -Terpinene	1035	a	3.66b	4.54a	3.28b	0.78	*
Unknown (57)	1042	b	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.11	
Limonene	1046	a	307.31b	401.19a	289.74b	60.75	**
$\beta$ -Phellandrene (93)	1051	b	13.98b	19.28a	14.42b	3.74	*
p-Cymene (119)	1052	b	8.49	9.078	8.98	2.73	
$\beta$ -Ocimene	1067	b	1.18b	1.87a	1.15b	0.44	**
4-Carene	1073	b	0.21	0.27	0.22	0.12	
Unkwon	1075	b	3.21b	4.28a	3.16b	0.93	*
Styrene	1091	a	2.20	2.43	2.34	0.31	
Terpene	1099	b	0.95	1.46	1.35	0.31	
Terpinolene	1101	b	4.64b	6.48a	4.46b	1.20	**
Unknown (93)	1120	b	0.51	0.55	0.56	0.11	
Linalool (93)	1150	a	0.28b	0.33a	0.32ab	0.04	*
$\beta$ -Terpinene/ $\gamma$ -Terpinene	1158	b	1.4	1.37	1.43	0.24	
4-Terpineol	1231	a	2.14	2.31	2.12	0.29	
Estragole	1249	a	1.28	1.33	1.20	0.21	
$\alpha$ -terpineol	1256	a	0.82	0.91	0.88	0.13	
$\delta$ -Elemene	1342	b	3.58a	4.19a	2.74b	0.66	**
$\alpha$ -Cubebene	1348	b	0.85a	0.92a	0.68b	0.12	**
Cyclosativene (161)	1402	b	0.02b	0.04a	0.02b	0.00	*
Copaene (161)	1406	b	1.50a	1.42a	1.16b	0.28	*
$\beta$ -Cubabene (161)	1421	b	0.20a	0.19a	0.16b	0.04	*
$\beta$ -Elemene (93)	1423	b	0.11b	0.13a	0.09b	0.04	**
$\alpha$ -Bergamotene	1433	b	0.79a	0.91a	0.69c	0.13	**

trans- $\alpha$ -Bergamotene	1450	b	0.67	0.84	0.76	0.18	
$\beta$ -Caryophyllene	1455	b	107.97a	113.01a	91.99b	13.04	**
$\alpha$ -Caryophyllene	1486	b	4.87a	4.99a	4.19b	0.68	*
Isocaryophyllene	1498	b	0.65	0.84	0.57	0.26	
Isolongifolene	1510	b	10.64b	11.05b	25.91a	10.05	**
Valencene	1513	b	0.00b	5.31a	0.00b	1.78	**
$\gamma$ -Cadinene	1518	b	1.72b	1.89b	2.92a	0.56	**
$\delta$ -Cadinene	1529	b	0.96b	1.04b	1.29a	0.20	**
Total			783.55b	984.05a	785.83b	134.67	**

### Bacterial metabolism

#### Lipid $\beta$ -oxidation

2-Pentanone	734	a	5.64	5.96	6.92	1.79	
3-Octanone	1032	a	2.23	2.51	2.63	0.65	
<b>Total</b>			7.87b	8.47ab	9.55a	0.63	*

#### Esterase activity

3-Methyl-1-butanol acetate	907	a	0.59	0.49	0.51	0.12	
----------------------------	-----	---	------	------	------	------	--

### Carbohydrate

#### fermentation

Acetaldehyde	462	a	1.26a	0.86b	0.89b	0.25	**
Ethyl alcohol	507	a	6.79a	5.65ab	3.68b	2.23	*
Acetone	529	a	72.92	76.47	72.72	20.58	

2,3-Butanedione	627	a	9.76a	7.47b	7.83b	2.01	*
2-Butanone	632	a	241.96	287.47	332.22	91.70	
2-Butanol	644	a	19.99	20.52	29.19	11.02	
Acetic acid (60)	720	a	11.99	12.19	7.08	5.34	
1-Butanol (56)	727	a	0.28	0.18	0.71	0.67	
2-Pentanol	756	a	0.49	0.43	0.38	0.15	
3-Hydroxy-2-butanone	782	a	124.14a	89.35b	136.91a	31.84	**
2,3-Butanediol (45)	884	a	6.20a	1.50b	3.76b	2.92	**
2,3-Butanediol (45)	892	a	4.17	2.30	2.36	1.98	
Butanoic acid (60)	894	a	0.52a	0.49a	0.18b	0.254808	*
<b>Total</b>			499.97b	489.85b	597.61a	23.69	**

### Amino acid degradation

2-Methylpropanal	595	a	0.54b	0.66a	0.39b	0.17	**
3-Methylbutanal	691	a	11.34	12.43	15.34	4.53	
2-Methylbutanal	701	a	6.18	7.53	8.85	2.88	
Toluene	789	a	51.99	56.94	59.18	6.88	
3-Methylbutanol	795	a	7.39b	8.46b	15.13a	5.92	*
2-Methylbutanol	797	a	1.27	1.44	1.82	5.92	
Pyrrrole	845	a	0.31	0.30	0.25	0.05	
2-Methylpropanoic acid	868	a	2.01	1.70	2.70	1.06	
Ethylbenzene (91)	884	a	0.09b	0.18a	0.09b	0.05	**
3-Methylbutanoic acid (60)	942	a	2.52	2.25	2.27	1.05	
2,5- Dimethylpirazine (108)	943	a	0.34	0.34	0.36	0.09	
2-Methylbutanoic acid	948	a	2.32	2.73	2.98	1.25	

2-Acetyl-1-pyrroline	961	a	0.72b	0.91b	1.37a	0.37	**
Methional	986	a	0.16	0.18	0.15	0.02	
Benzaldehyde (106)	1020	a	1.14	1.40	1.27	0.33	
Benzeneacetaldehyde	1110	a	6.61a	4.14b	2.72b	3.17	*
Tetramethylpyrazine	1118	a	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	
Benzylalcohol (79)	1122	a	0.27a	0.18b	0.19b	0.06	**
Phenylethyl alcohol (91)	1195	a	0.53	0.64	0.81	0.35	
<b>Total</b>			96.59b	103.09ab	115.63a	4.55	*

---

**Total microbial metabolism**

			605.04b	601.91b	723.03a	24.32	**
--	--	--	---------	---------	---------	-------	----

---

**Unknown or contaminant compounds**

Carbon disulfide (76)	537	a	5.80	6.42	6.70	1.89	
p-Xylene (91)	892	a	0.09b	0.31a	0.11a	0.09	**
2-Butoxyethanol	953	a	2.45	2.23	2.33	0.33	
4-Methylphenol (108)	1199	a	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.03	
<b>Total</b>			8.45	8.98	9.23	0.55	

517 Values are reported as means of the two replications within the same treatment, where GSE is grape  
518 seed extract added group, CHE the chestnut extract added group and NIT the control group added  
519 with sodium nitrite.

520 Abundance expressed as AU x 10<sup>-6</sup> (AU: abundance unit, expressed as total ion chromatogram  
521 (TIC) or area of the target ion shown in parenthesis).

522 <sup>a</sup>Linear retention indices (LRI) of the compounds eluted from the GC-MS using a DB-624 capillary  
523 column.

524 <sup>b</sup>Reliability of identification: a, identification by mass-spectrum and by coincidence with the LRI of  
525 an authentic standard; b, tentatively identification by mass-spectrum.

526 <sup>c</sup>Standard error

527 <sup>d</sup>P value of natural antioxidant effect \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, different letters in the same row indicate  
528 significant differences at p<0.05.

529 **Table 7.** Odor active compounds identified by GC-O in Cinta Sense dry-fermented sausages manufactured with natural antioxidant as replacement  
 530 of sodium nitrite.

Compound	GC-MS <sup>1</sup>		GC-O <sup>2</sup>		RI <sup>3</sup>	Odour Description	DF <sub>4</sub>
	LRI	LRI std	LRI initial	LRI final			
<b>Lipid auto-oxidation</b>							
1-Propanol	613	611	613	618	a	vegetal, green, pungent, fresh, floral,	5
Propanoic Acid	814	806	800	810	a	tasty, fresh, green, cheese, cured, pungent	4
1-Pentanol	827	823	821	827	a	vegetable, pungent, unpleasant, cabbage, acid	6
Hexanal	841	839	834	844	a	green, grass, vegetable, fresh	8
<b>Spices</b>							
Linalool	1150	1145	1141	1149	a	fresh, floral, cabbage, unpleasant, soap	7
$\beta$ -Terpinene/ $\gamma$ -Terpinene	1158		1160	1167	b	cooked, cooked vegetable, floral, pungent, resin	8
$\beta$ -Myrcene	1003	1003	1000	1004	a	irritating, spicy, pepper, green, leaves, earthy	10
$\alpha$ -Terpinene	1035	1035	1030	1034	a	mushrooms, wetness, burnt, unpleasant, pungent, pine, woody, earthy	12
Unkown terpene	1075		1076	1080	c	earthy, green, vegetable, fresh, fruity, cologne	5
Terpinolene	1101	1106	1107	1113	a	floral, rose, grass, green	11

$\alpha$ -Thujene	934		929	933	c	sour, vinager, unpleasant, fruity,	<b>5</b>
<b>Lipid <math>\beta</math>-oxidation</b>							
2-Pentanone	735	731	725	733	a	floral, green, fresh, oxidized fat, cheese	<b>8</b>
<b>Esterase activity</b>							
3-Methyl-1-butanol acetate	907	905	905	911	a	sweet, fresh, floral	<b>7</b>
<b>Carbohydrate fermntation</b>							
2-Butanone	632	629	631	642	a	sweet, slightly unpleasant, green, grass	<b>5</b>
2-Butanol	644	643	650	661	a	sweet, caramel, malt, unpleasant,	<b>5</b>
Acetic acid	720	718	714	722	a	grass, vegetable, fresh, wine, green	<b>5</b>
<b>Amino acid degradation</b>							
2-Methylpropanal	595	590	602	609	a	acid, floral, green, weak	<b>4</b>
3-Methylbutanal	691	687	690	697	a	caramel, chocolate, grass, fresh	<b>6</b>
2-Methylbutanal	702	699	691	700	a	sweet, floral, fruity, toasted,	<b>6</b>
3-Methylbutanol	795	793	791	793	a	sweet, spicy, toasted, floral	<b>4</b>
2-Methylpropanoic acid	868	864	869	872	a	cheese, roasted, cured, green, slightly sweet, unpleasant	<b>6</b>



Ethylbenzene	884	881	884	891	a	earthy, fresh, green, mushroom	<b>4</b>
3-Methylbutanoic acid	942	941	922	926	a	cheese, rancid, oxidized fat	<b>8</b>
2,5-Dimethylpyrazine	943	943	936	943	a	meaty, cooked potatoes, sweet, buttery	<b>7</b>
2-Acetyl-1-pyrroline	961	960	960	964	a	roasted, nuts, bread, pop-corn, biscuits, fried potatoes	<b>12</b>
Methional	986	964	966	969	a	mashed potato, cooked onion, roasted meat	<b>9</b>
Tetramethylpyrazine	1118	1118	1115	1121	a	earthy, green, grass, wetness, fresh	<b>7</b>
<b>Unknown or contaminant compounds</b>							
Carbon Disulfide	537	537	531	543	a	weak, burnt, malt	<b>4</b>
Unknown		776	762	766	c	cured, meat, acid, fresh, acid	<b>6</b>
Unknown	1190	1182	1176	1182	c	roasted, fried nuts, biscuits	<b>11</b>

531 <sup>1</sup>Linear retention index (LRI) of the compounds eluted from the GC-MS and LRI of standard compound

532 <sup>2</sup>Initial and end linear retention index of aroma compound in GC-FID-O

533 <sup>3</sup>Reliability of identification (RI): a, identification by mass spectrum, coincidence with LRI of an authentic standard and by coincidence of the  
534 assessor's descriptors with those described in the Fenaroli's handbook of flavor ingredients (Burdock, 2002); b, tentatively identification by mass  
535 spectrum; c, unknown compounds.

536 <sup>4</sup>Detection frequency value.