Funder Template	BBSRC						
Purpose of rubric	Providing feedback to researchers						
	BBSRC expects data sharing to take place in the following research areas: Data arising from high volume experimentation. Low throughput data arising from long time series or cumulative approaches. Models generated using systems approaches. Data sharing is also expected in other areas where there is a strong scientific case and where it is cost effective. The general expectation for research data is that it will be available at the end research project, and that the DMPs will be used to describe how it where the project is not available. If data are not to be shared, there should be a clear case why not, and if a full dataset is not available, why a partial dataset, or restricted dataset might not be available. (From Michael Ball, BBSRC)						
Notes	Maximum plan length is one A4 page.						
Documents Used	BBSRC Data Sharing Policy v1.2 (March 2016) BBSRC Data Sharing FAQ v1.4 (March 2016) BBSRC Data Sharing in the Biosciences Leaflet BBSRC Data Management Plan Guidance (http://www.bbsrc.ac.uk/funding/apply/application-guidance/data-management/)						
Version History	/0 - basic template with performance criteria /1.1 - performance levels added /1.2 - feedback from working group incorporated /1.3 - feedback from funder incorporated (29Nov16) /2.0 - download for deposit in RDN. /2.1 - formatting gliches corrected (06Feb17).						
	Performance Criteria						
	renormance Chiena		Performance Levels Addressed but incomplete /				
		Detailed	unsatisfactory	Not addressed			
	What type of data will be collected?	Data types clearly defined. Eg experimental measurements, models, recordings, video, images, machine logs etc.	Data types mentioned for some of project / dataset but not all.	No details included.			
	What scale / volume of data will be collected	Clear estimate of dataset size given for each data type.	Dataset size given but not broken down by data type. Size not give for all data types. Dataset size is clearly unrealistic (it will not always be possible to judge this).	No indication of data volume is given.			

	Data management methodology is	Mathadalagu ia dagaribad but lagka	
	clearly stated or referenced. eg file naming conventions, file architechture etc.	Methodology is described, but lacks detail or only covers a subset of the data to be collected.	No methodology is mentioned.
Which community standards for data	Clear references are made to collection and/or administrative standards eg MIAME for microarray data. Standards stated here may dictate responses in other sections (eg documentation and metadata). This may be difficult to judge without specialist knowledge.	Some mention of collection and/or administrative standards but lacks detail or only covers a subset of the data to be collected.	No community standards are mentioned and no project-specific approach is described.
What documentation/metadata will	Clear outline of documentation and metadata strategy with references to existing good practice in the community or detailed project-specific approach where community standards don't exist. Information may also be included about wider research documentation such as those relating to biological samples and constructs, or software and code.	Some mention of documentation or metadata, but lacking detail about community standards or a project-specific approach. Information provided does not cover all data to be collected.	No mention of documenation or metadata.
How does the data relate to other	Clear description of relationship to existing datasets, or explanation that there are no related datasets available in public repositories. Or, explanation is provided that there are no related datasets available in public repositories.	Mention of existing datasets, but lacking detail about what data exist or where they are stored.	No mention of existing datasets.
What are the intended future/forseeable uses of the dataset	Clear description of possible future uses of dataset(s) by both researcher and others. Clear indication that dataset(s) would not be suitable for future use with reasons given.	Some mention of future use, but lacking details. Statement is given that data won't be suitable for future use, but no reason is given. Future use only considered for subset of data generated.	No mention of possible future uses.

How will dataset(s) be	Clear consideration of where, how and to whom the data will be made available, for example though research portals or repositories of via journals which promote data sharing. Stragety is in line with go practice in the area of research (if able to judge!). Assessment of specific access mechanisms if needed.	de r pod	No mention of how dataset will be shared.
How long will dataset	Clear data retention statement (funder requires 10 years, or as appropriate for the discipline and	Clear data retention statement but not in accordance with funder requirement.	No mention of period for data retention.
Are there any restrict sharing?	project.	ight . If ate will Mentions a need to restrict access to data/subset of data but without giving reasons why.	No mention of data sharing restrictions.
When will the dataset publicly?	A clear timescale is indicated eg later than the publication of the m findings of the research (funder reccomendation), at the end of th award, within 3 years of the generation of the dataset (recommendation for fields where there is no community best practicular where a delay to release is indicated, reasons are given.	rain Timescale is mentioned but this is not clear, or not clear for all datasets. Timescale is clearly not in accordance with funder expectations. ce). A necessity to delay the release of	ot Timescale for release of data is not mentioned.

	Clearly describes the file formats that will be used for storing data and explains the rationale or complicating		
	factors. Indication may be given	Only partially describes data formats	Does not describe data formats that
	when dataset format needs to be	that will be used for storing data	will be used for storing data and
What formats will the final dataset(s)	migrated for sharing or presevation	and/or the rationale or complicating	does not provide a rationale or
be in?	purposes.	factors.	discuss complicating factors.