

AN EXPRESSION OF  $(1-z)^{-1}$  BY MEANS OF POLYNOMIALS

By H. F. BAKER.

[Read January 13th, 1910.—Received July 14th, 1910.]

It is well enough known (see, for instance, Borel, *Séries divergentes*, 1901, p. 164) how to deduce a Mittag-Leffler star expansion of a monogenic function from a given explicit expansion of  $(1-z)^{-1}$  of the same character. Some readers may be interested in having such an expansion actually set forth; the method is, in part, merely a development of the original method of Runge (*Acta Mathematica*, vi, 1885, p. 237).

Given in the plane of the complex variable  $z$  any region of finite dimensions containing no point infinitely near to any point of the real axis from  $z = 1$  to  $z = +\infty$ , it will be shewn how to form a series of polynomials converging uniformly in this region and representing  $(1-z)^{-1}$  therein.

Taking first a complex variable  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ , enclose the points  $\zeta = 1$ ,  $\zeta = 1+c$ , wherein  $c$  is an arbitrary real positive quantity, by a closed curve consisting of (i) the straight lines  $\eta = \pm a$ , from  $\xi = 1$  to  $\xi = 1+c$ , the quantity  $a$  being real and positive and arbitrary ( $< 1$ ), (ii) a semicircle convex to the origin  $\zeta = 0$  satisfying the equation

$$(\xi-1)^2 + \eta^2 = a^2,$$

(iii) a semicircle concave to the origin, of equation  $(\xi-1-c)^2 + \eta^2 = a^2$ . Keeping  $c$  and  $a$  fixed for the present, take a positive integer  $r$  so that  $c/ra$  is less than unity,  $= \sigma$  say; it is supposed that  $a$  is less than  $c$ , so that  $r > 1$ ; and take

$$c_0 = 1, \quad c_1 = 1 + \frac{c}{r}, \quad c_2 = 1 + \frac{2c}{r}, \quad \dots, \quad c_r = 1 + c,$$

so that the segment from  $\zeta = 1$  to  $\zeta = 1+c$  is divided into  $r$  equal parts. If  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r$  be positive integers, the rational function

$$\frac{1}{1-\zeta} \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{c_1-1}{c_1-\zeta} \right)^{n_1} \right\}$$

is finite at  $\zeta = 1$ , but has a pole of order  $n_1$  at  $\zeta = c_1$ ; the rational function

$$\frac{1}{1-\zeta} \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{c_1-1}{c_1-\zeta} \right)^{n_1} \right\} \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{c_2-c_1}{c_2-\zeta} \right)^{n_2} \right\}^{n_1}$$

is finite at  $\zeta = 1$ ,  $\zeta = c_1$ , but has a pole of order  $n_1 n_2$  at  $\zeta = c_2$ ; in general, writing

$$x_s = \left( \frac{c_s - c_{s-1}}{c_s - \zeta} \right)^{n_s} \quad (s = 1, \dots, r),$$

the rational function of  $\zeta$  expressed by the product

$$U = \frac{1}{1-\zeta} (1-x_1)(1-x_2)^{n_1} (1-x_3)^{n_1 n_2} \dots (1-x_r)^{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{r-1}},$$

has a pole only at  $\zeta = 1+c$ , of order  $n_1 n_2 \dots n_r$ .

The difference 
$$\frac{1}{1-\zeta} - U$$

is of the form  $(1-\zeta)^{-1}P$ , wherein, if  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k$  denote, in turn,  $x_1, x_2$  repeated  $n_1$  times,\*  $x_3$  repeated  $n_1 n_2$  times, and so on,  $P$  is of the form

$$1 - (1-\rho_1)(1-\rho_2) \dots (1-\rho_k),$$

that is of the form 
$$\Sigma \rho_1 - \Sigma \rho_1 \rho_2 + \Sigma \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 - \dots;$$

hence, if  $r_i = |\rho_i|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P| &\leq \Sigma r_1 + \Sigma r_1 r_2 + \Sigma r_1 r_2 r_3 + \dots \\ &\leq (1+r_1)(1+r_2) \dots (1+r_k) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

But, when  $\zeta$  is without the closed curve above described round the segment from  $\zeta = 1$  to  $\zeta = 1+c$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{1-\zeta} \right| < \frac{1}{a}, \quad \left| \frac{c_s - c_{s-1}}{c_s - \zeta} \right| < \frac{c/r}{a}, \quad < \sigma.$$

Wherefore

$$\left| \frac{1}{1-\zeta} - U \right| < \frac{1}{a} \left\{ (1+\sigma^{n_1})(1+\sigma^{n_2})^{n_1} (1+\sigma^{n_3})^{n_1 n_2} \dots (1+\sigma^{n_r})^{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{r-1}} - 1 \right\}.$$

Now, let  $\epsilon$  be an arbitrary real positive quantity; take then  $\mu$  a positive number, such that  $e^\mu - 1 < \epsilon a$ ; suppose further that the positive integers  $n_1, \dots, n_r$  previously used are chosen, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{n_1} &< \frac{\mu}{1+\mu}, \quad \text{OR} \quad \frac{\sigma^{n_1}}{1-\sigma^{n_1}} < \mu, \\ \sigma^{n_2} &< \frac{1}{n_1} \sigma^{2n_1}, \quad \sigma^{n_3} < \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \sigma^{3n_1}, \quad \dots, \quad \sigma^{n_r} < \frac{1}{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{r-1}} \sigma^{r n_1}; \end{aligned}$$

---

\* So that  $(1-x_2)^{n_1}$  is replaced by  $(1-\rho_2)(1-\rho_3) \dots (1-\rho_{n_1+1})$ .

then, as  $1+x < e^x$ , the modulus of  $(1-\xi)^{-1}-U$ , is less than

$$\frac{1}{a} \{ \exp (\sigma^{n_1} + n_1 \sigma^{n_2} + n_1 n_2 \sigma^{n_3} + \dots + n_1 n_2 \dots n_{r-1} \sigma^{n_r}) - 1 \},$$

and thus less than  $\frac{1}{a} \{ \exp (\sigma^{n_1} + \sigma^{2n_1} + \dots + \sigma^{rn_1}) - 1 \}$ ,

which is less than  $\frac{1}{a} \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{\sigma^{n_1}}{1-\sigma^{n_1}} \right) - 1 \right\}$ ;

and therefore less than the arbitrary quantity  $\epsilon$ .

Now make a change of independent variable, putting

$$z = \frac{c\xi}{1+c-\xi},$$

equivalent with  $\xi = \frac{(1+c)z}{c+z}$ ;

thereby the points  $\xi = 0$ ,  $\xi = 1$ ,  $\xi = 1+c$  become respectively the points  $z = 0$ ,  $z = 1$ ,  $z = \infty$ ,  $z$  being real and positive so long as  $\xi$  is real and positive and  $\xi < 1+c$ ; also

$$(1-z)^{-1} = \frac{c}{1+c} (1-\xi)^{-1} + \frac{1}{1+c};$$

the function  $U$  becomes a rational function of  $z$  with a pole only at  $z = \infty$ , that is, it is a polynomial in  $z$ ; this we write in the form

$$U = \frac{1+c}{c} H - \frac{1}{c},$$

equivalent with  $H = \frac{c}{1+c} U + \frac{1}{1+c}$ ,

so that  $H$  is also a polynomial in  $z$ ; thence

$$(1-z)^{-1} - H = \frac{c}{1+c} \{ (1-\xi)^{-1} - U \}.$$

Further, the extreme points of the closed curve, namely,

$$\xi = 1-a, \quad \eta = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = 1+c+a, \quad \eta = 0,$$

become respectively, if  $z = x+iy$ , the points

$$x = c(1-a)/(c+a), \quad y = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad x = -c(1+c+a)/a, \quad y = 0;$$

as  $a$  approaches to zero the limiting positions of these are respectively  $x = 1$ ,  $y = 0$ , and  $x = -\infty$ ,  $y = 0$ . The lines  $\eta = \pm a$  become por-

tions respectively of the two circles

$$(x+c)^2+y^2 = \pm \frac{c(c+1)}{a} y,$$

of which the former can be written

$$y = \frac{(x+c)^2}{2\mu} + \frac{(x+c)^4}{2\mu} \{ \mu + [\mu^2 - (x+c)^2]^\frac{1}{2} \}^{-2},$$

wherein  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}c(c+1)/a$ ; thus, for a given value of  $x$ , by taking  $a$  sufficiently small,  $c$  being kept fixed, the ordinate  $y$  can be supposed arbitrarily small.

With these materials we can now recapitulate as follows:—Take in the plane of  $z$  any region of finite dimensions which does not include any point infinitely near to any point of the real axis from  $z = 1$  to  $z = +\infty$ ; take  $c$  an arbitrary real positive quantity ( $< 1$ ); take  $a$ , also real and positive, so small that the region enclosed as above by portions of the circles

$$(x+c)^2+y^2 = \pm c(c+1)y/a,$$

and curves passing through the points

$$z = c(1-a)/(c+a), \quad z = -c(1+c+a)/a,$$

does not include any point of the originally given region; then take  $r$  so that  $\sigma = c/ra$  is less than unity; and, taking an arbitrary real positive  $\epsilon$ , take the positive integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r$  by the rules previously given. We can then form a polynomial in  $z$ , say  $H$ , of order  $n_1 n_2 \dots n_r$ , such that throughout the originally given region

$$| (1-z)^{-1} - H | < \epsilon.$$

If, then,  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \dots$  be an aggregate of real positive numbers with zero as their limit, and the polynomial  $H$  corresponding to the case when  $\epsilon$  is replaced by  $\epsilon_m$  be denoted by  $H_m$ , the series of polynomials

$$H_1 + (H_2 - H_1) + (H_3 - H_2) + \dots,$$

whose sum to  $m$  terms is  $H_m$ , has a sum converging to  $(1-z)^{-1}$  uniformly for the whole interior of the given region.