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## THE OLD ENGLISH 'EXODUS,' <br> ll. 63-134.

As this passage stands there is considerable confusion in the arrangement and order of the matter. The description of the pillar of cloud is immediately followed in 1.86 by the third encampment, with a brief allusion in ll. 93 sqq. to the two pillars, as though both had been already described, whereas only the pillar of cloud has hitherto been spoken of, no mention whatever of the pillar of fire having been made. Then the trumpets summon the host to arise, and the Israelites resume their march. Here the narrative is suddenly interrupted by a description of the pillar of cloud, as though it were something new (over wundor) and had not been alluded to before. Then we are abruptly carried back to the journey of the Israelites to the Red Sea.

I venture to suggest that a simple transposition of $11.86-107$ and ll. 108- 124 will restore order and yield a perfectly natural sequence of events, and I print them below with the arrangement thus altered.

We now get (1) ll. 63-67, second encampment; (2) ll. 68-85, description of the pillar of cloud; (3) ll. 108-124, description of the pillar of fire ; (4) ll. 86-107, and ll. 125-129, third encampment and further march until stopped by the Red Sea; (5) ll. 129-134, fourth encampment.

The cause of the displacement of the two passages was, no doubt, the wrong folding of a couple of leaves in the MS. from which Junius XI was copied or at any rate descended. If we assume that the two joined leaves in the middle of a quire, containing $1 \mathrm{a}+1 \mathrm{~b}(=11.108-124)$ and $2 a+2 b(=11.86-107)$ respectively, got wrongly folded and were thus bound, the sequence in the MS. would be $2 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{a}, 1 \mathrm{~b}$, which is the actual sequence in the Junius MS. That the pages contained so few lines ( $1 a+1 b=17$ lines, and $2 a+2 b=22$ lines) can be accounted for by assuming spaces for pictures.

63 Heht pa ymb twa niht tirfæste hæler, siððan hie feondum offaren hæfdon,
65 ymbwicigean werodes bearhtme, mid ælfere Æthanes byrig, mægne mæste mearclandum on. Nearwe genyddon on norðwegas, wiston him be suð̃an Sigelwara land,
70 forbærned beorhhleoðu, brune leode hatum heofoncolum. pær halig god wi̊ færbryne folc gescylde, bælce oferbrædde byrnendne heofon, halgan nette hatwendne lyft.
75 Hæfde wederwolcen widum fæðัmum eorðan J uprodor efne gedæled, lædde leodwerod; ligfyr adranc, hate heofontorht. Hæler wafedon, drihta gedrymost. Dægscealdes hleo
80 wand ofer wolcnum ; hæfde witig god sunnan siðfæt segle ofertolden, swa pa mæstrapas men ne cuðon, ne $\partial \mathrm{a}$ seglrode geseon meahton eorrbuende ealle cræfte,
85 hu afæstnod wæs feldhusa mæst.
108 Æfena gehwam oðer wundor, syllic æfter sunnan setlrade beheold
110 ofer leodwerum lige scinan, byrnende beam. Blace stodon ofer sceotendum scire leoman, scinon scyldhreoðan, sceado swiðredon; neowle nihtscuwan neah ne mihton
115 heolstor ahydan. Heofoncandel barn; niwe nihtweard nyde sceolde wician ofer weredum, py læs him westengryre, har hæð[broga], holmegum wederum o[n] ferclamme ferhð getwæfde.
120 Hæfde foregenga fyrene loccas, blace beamas, bellegsan hweop
63. MS. tirfæstne. Cp. Cosijn PBB. 19, 460. 67. MS. mægnes. Or read mægna, gen. pl.? 70. MS. burhhleoðu. Cp. note. 81. MS. swegle. 113. MS. scearo. 118. MS. har hæ\%. Cp. Cosijn PBB. 19, 460. 119. MS. o.
in pam herepreate, hatan lige, § he on westenne werod forbærnde,
124 nymðe hie modhwate Moyses hyrde[n].
86 Sirðan he mid wuldre geweorrode peodenholde; pa wæs pridde wic folce to frofre. Fyrd eall geseah hu pær hlifedon halige seglas,
90 lyftwundor leoht; leode ongeton dugor Israhela $\$$ pær drihten cwom, weroda drihten wicsteal metan. Him beforan foran fyr J wolcen in beorhtrodor, beamas twegen,
95 para æghwæðer efngedælde heahpegnunga haliges gastes, deormodra sio dagum $J$ nihtum. $p_{a}$ ic on morgen gefregn modes rofan hebban herebyman hludan stefnum
100 wuldres woman. Werod eall aras modigra mægen, swa him Moyses bebead, mære magoræswa metodes folce, fus fyrdgetrum ; for gesawon lifes latpeow liftweg metan.
105 Segl siðe weold, sæmen æfter foron flodwege; folc wæs on salum,
107 hlud herges cyrm. Heofonbeacen astah;
125 scean scir werod, scyldas lixton, gesawon randwigan rihte stræte, segn ofer sweoton, of $\ddagger$ sæfæsten landes æt ende leodmægne forstod, fuson forðweg. Fyrdwic aras,
130 wyrpton hie werige; wiste genægdon modige metepegnas hyra mægen beton. Bræddon æfter beorgum, sirðan byme sang, flotan feldhusum; pa wæs feorðe wic, randwigena ræst be pan readan sæ.
124. $M S$. hyrde. 87. $M S$. pridda. 104. $M S$. lifweg. 105. MS. swegl. 128. MS. leo mægne.

## NOTES.

68. This line of course means, 'The difficulties facing them (nearwe, i.e. the heat, if they took a southerly direction) forced them to the north.' It does not mean with Grein, ' Mit Nachdruck eilten sie an Nordwege,' nor with Johnson, 'Straitly they pressed on the northern roads.'
69. MS. burhhleoðu. The original no doubt had beorhhleoðu 'mountain slopes.' It is true that a similar misspelling is recorded once, viz. Riddle $28^{2}$, but the eo form is the only one found elsewhere. It occurs Elene 788 and 1009 ; Exodus 448; Riddle $58^{2}$; Genesis 2159 (where the MS. has beorh-, not burh-, as is erroneously given in Grein's Sprachschatz). Conversely in the glosses we meet with the misspelling beorhleod 'municeps' for burh-, where the original undoubtedly had burh-: cp. Napier, O. E. Glosses, note to 7, 293.
70. The difficulty in this line seems to be the beheold. One expects a verb meaning 'began,' and, though ongann would be a bold emendation, it would yield good sense. 'Each evening another wonder, a strange one, after the setting of the sun, began to shine with flame over the people, a burning pillar.' There is however great difficulty in seeing how the mistake could have arisen.
71. Heofonbeacen astah, 'The heavenly beacon (the sun) arose.'
72. Instead of fus on for $\begin{aligned} & \text { weg, } \\ & \text {, the reading of the MS., which is retained in }\end{aligned}$ most of the editions, I propose to read fuson fortweg. 'Until the sea-fastness (i.e. the Red Sea) at the limit of the land blocked the further journey to the host, to the eager [troop].' For fuson $=$ fusum, cp. sweoton, 1. 127 etc. Forriweg is the object of forstod. In most cases where it occurs it is preceded by the preposition on, and the phrase fus on forrweg (Menologium 218 and Guthlac 918, cp. also Guth. 773) must have been familiar to the scribe. This led him to divide fuson $f$. into fus on $f$.

Arthur S. Napier.
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