

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH



FACTORS REFRACTORY TO CONVENTIONAL INTERFERON BASED THERAPY IN CHRONIC HEPATITIS C

Sunil dat Maheshwari¹, Hafiz Wajid Ali Buriro², Samiullah Shaikh¹, Bikharam Devrajani¹

¹Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences (LUMHS) Hospital, Jamshoro, Sindh, Pakistan. ²Isra University Hospital, Hyderabad, Sindh, Pakistan.

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article history Received 17/01/2017 Available online 31/01/2017	OBJECTIVE: To determine the factors in patients suffering from chronic HCV, refractory to conventional interferon therapy. MATERIAL & METHODS: This descriptive case series study was conducted at Out Patient's Department of Hepatitis Control Program at Medical Unit IV, LUMHS Hospital Jamshoro from June2013 to December 2013. Based on Inclusion and Exclusion criteria, a total of Eighty (80) patients with the established diagnosis of chronic Hepatitis 'C' were recruited by Non-
Keywords HCV, Non Responders, Break Through Responders, Relapsers.	probability consecutive sampling technique after obtaining a well informed and written consent. Socio- demographic data and history of alcohol addiction (>50gm/day), examination of weight and height to calculate BMI and Investigations including: HCV RNA by PCR and Liver Biopsy were recorded on a semi-structured proforma specifically designed for the study. RESULTS: A total of eighty (80) patients were included in the study who are refractory to conventional interferon therapy from which 49(61.20%) were male and 39(38.80%) were female. The mean age and SD were 40.70+6.37 years. On the basis of refractory category 57(71.2%) were non responders, 06(7.5%) were break through responders and 17(21.2%) were relapsers. On the BMI distribution mostly patients 55(68.80%) were with >30 and 25(31.20%) cases were between 25-30. Grade II steatosis was found in the mostly the cases 31(38.8%), Grade I steatosis was also commonest n in 30(37.5%) of the cases, while 15(18.8%) patients were found with Grade O steatosis and only 4(5%)cases were documented with Garde III steatosis. From total number only 25(31.25%) had history of alcohol addiction and from 25, 15(80.0%) patients were consuming >50 gm/day of alcohol and 05(20.0%) <50 gram/day. 56(70%) patients were noted with VL >8, 00000 IU/ml while 24(30%) patients were with viral load of <8, 00000 IU/ml. F2 was found commonest n in the patients 43(53.8%), while F1, F3, F0 and F4 were noted with frequency/ percentage of 21(26.2%), 08(10.0%), 06(7.5%), 02(2.5%) respectively. CONCLUSION: This study had concluded that there were a number of factors responsible for refractory treatment with conventional interferon based therapy in the cases of chronic HCV infection. Gender (male), age (>40 years), (BMI>30kg/m2), elevation of grade of steatosis, stage of fibrosis and high viral load are highly responsible factors. Therefore, it is recommended that all these common factors should be kept in mind during interferon therapy and future advance multidisciplinary studies will be conducted at

<u>Corresponding author</u> Dr. Sunil Dat Maheshwari

B-48, Street III, Al Mustafa town Phase II, Behind PSO Petrol Pump Naseem Nagar Chowk, Qasimabad, Hyderabad, Sindh, Pakistan. Cell #: 092- 300-3049868/ 092-22-2651094 dr_sgm@yahoo.com

Please cite this article in press as **Dr. Sunil Dat Maheshwari** et al. Factors Refractory to Conventional Interferon Based Therapy in Chronic Hepatitis C. Indo American Journal of Pharmaceutical Research.2017:7(01).

Copy right © 2017 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Indo American journal of Pharmaceutical Research, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis 'C' is a rising health problem, about180 million populations is suffering from Hepatitis 'C' worldwide [1].No doubt that developing countries are not so far in sharing the huge global burden of Hepatitis 'C'. The prevalence of Hepatitis C is 4.5-8% in Pakistan affecting nearly 10million peoples throughout country.[2,3] About 66% of Pakistan peoples are belongs to rural areas, where the rate of Hepatitis 'C' is much higher as compared to urban areas.[3] The natural history of Hepatitis 'C' is variable,[1] according to an estimate Hepatitis C Virus leads to Chronic Hepatitis in 50-80% of infected patients,[2] 15-30% cases of Chronic Hepatitis develop Cirrhosis and about 1-3% of Cirrhotic patients develop HCC .[1] WHO have estimated 785,000and 308,000 deaths annually by Hepatitis C related Cirrhosis and Liver Cancer respectively.[2,3]

The therapy have evolve to Interferon (3 MIU thrice weekly) plus Ribavirin (800-1200mg/ day) and it becomes a standard therapy with 38-43% sustained virologic response.[4] Peginterferon and Ribavirin are used as a regular therapy.[4]The response to standard treatment is different in different patients; more than 60% of patients either shows no response or relapse after the completion of therapy.[5,6] The factors responsible for the failure of therapy are:

GENDER: Estrogen enhances the response of therapy and because of this Female responds better than Male.[7]

AGE: Elder individuals (Age>39yrs.) shows poor response to therapy as compared to young age population due to level of immunity and stage of liver disease.[7,8]

OBESITY: Increased Body Fat Mass (BMI>25) and Hepatic Steatosis are factors responsible for non-response of therapy in obese patients. Both factor decreases the bioavailability of Interferon and Ribavirin, decreases the immune response to Hepatitis 'C' Virus and increases the Fibrosis.[9,10]

ALCOHOL: Alcohol directly inhibits the activated signals of interferon alpha, beta and gamma in the hepatocytes via activation of p42/44 MAP kinase and protein kinase C.[11]

GRADE OF FIBROSIS: The intrahepatic changes due to fibrosis or it's progression to Cirrhosis leads to poor response to therapy.[12,13]

VIREMIA: HCV RNA level (>8,00000 IU/ml)is responsible for non-response of therapy. HCV mediated cellular immune responses and antiviral proteins such as PKR effects the actions of therapy.[14-18]

The proposed of this study was to determine the factors responsible for failure of conventional interferon based treatment of patients with chronic HCV in our population, which will help in reduce the burden by suggesting alternative treatment options with good efficacy and preventing them from adverse effects of low efficacy treatment as early stratification and management of those factors can prevent the patient to acquire advance liver disease.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This descriptive case series of six months was conducted at out Patient's Department of Hepatitis Control Program at Medical Unit III, LUH Jamshoro. The inclusion criteria of the study were hepatitis C 'Non-Responders', Breakthrough Responders and 'Relapsers' (see operational definition) to conventional interferon therapy taken for six months (24 weeks), while the exclusion criteria were; Patient's with decompensated liver cirrhosis, hepatoma and other co-morbidities like diabetes mellitus. The source of this study was the patients attending Out Patients Department of Hepatitis Control Program at Medical Unit III, Liaquat University Hospital Jamshoro. On the basis of Inclusion and Exclusion criteria, the patients were selected after the informed consent and subjected to, Specific History like Name, Age, Gender, Address, Contact Number, history of alcohol addiction(>50gm/day), examination of weight and height to calculate BMI()and Investigations including: HCV RNA by PCR and Liver Biopsy. To prepare the patient for Liver biopsy some pre-requisite investigations were also be carried out. All the investigations were sent to Diagnostic and Research Laboratory, LUH, and Ultrasound was from Department of Radiology, LUH Jamshoro. The Liver biopsy samples were sent to Histopathology Department of LUMHS Jamshoro. All the information specifically designed proforma. SPSS version 16 was used for data analysis.

RESULTS

Total 80 patients were included in the study from which male were found in the majority 49(61.20%) as compared to the female that were 39(38.80%). FIG: 1.

Mean age and SD was 40.70 ± 6.37 years in this study with the range of 23-55 years. TABLE: 1.

Moslty patients were from urban areas 45(56.20%) and from rural areas were 35(43.80%). FIG:2.

According to refractory category, non responder patients were noted in the majority 57(71.2%), while break through responders and relapsers were found 6(7.5%) and 17(21.2%) respectively. TABLE:2.

On the BMI distribution, moslty patients 55(68.80%) were with >30kg/m² BMI and 25(31.20%) cases were between 25-30 kg/m².TABLE: 3.

From total 80 number, only 25(31.25%) had history of alcohol addiction and from 25, 15(80.0%) patients were high alcohol consumers (>50 gm/day of alcohol) and 05(20.0%) were low alcohol consumers (<50 gram/day of alcohol). TABLE: 3

This study had used the grades of steatosis which are divided as: GRADE 0: No Steatosis(<1% total Hepatocytes), GRADE I:Mild Steatosis(Between 1%-30% of Hepatocytes), GRADE II:Moderate Steatosis (Between 30%-60% of Hepatocytes) and GRADE III: Severe Steatosis (Between >60% of Hepatocytes)²⁰ Grade II (Moderate steatosis) was found in the moslty the cases 31(38.8%), Grade I (Mild steatosis) was also commonest n in 30(37.5%) of the cases, while 15(18.8%) patients were found with Grade O (No steatosis) and only 4(5%) cases were documented with Grade III (severe steatosis). TABLE: 3.

Page 742

According to Metavir Staging System fibrosis is classified as,STAGE 0(F0):No Fibrosis, STAGE I (F1):Fibreous Portal Expansion, STAGE II (F2):Fibreous bridging Fibrosis, STAGE III (F3): BF with Lobular distortion and STAGEIV (F4):Cirrhosis. ²⁰F2stage was found commonest n in the patients 43(53.8%), while F1, F3, F0and F4 were noted with frequency/ percentage of 21(26.2%), 08(10.0%), 06(7.5%), 02(2.5%) respectively. TABLE: 3.

Moslty patients 56(70%) patients were noted with VL >8, 00000 IU/ml while 24(30%) patients were with viral load of <8, 00000 IU/ml. TABLE: 3.



FIGURE: 1. GENDER DISTRIBUTION. N=80

FIGURE: 1. GENDER DISTRIBUTION. N=80.

TABLE: 1. AGE OF THE PATIENTS. N= 80.

AGE	
Mean	40.70 years
SD	6.37
Range	23-55 years



FIGURE: 2. RESIDENTIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE PATIENTS. N=80

FIGURE: 2. RESIDENTIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE PATIENTS. N=80.

TABLE:2. REFACTORY CATEGORY OF THE PATIENTS. N=80.

CATEGORY	FREQUENCY	%
Non Responders	57	71.2
Break through Responders	06	07.5
Relapsers	17	21.2

TABLE: 3. FREQUENCY OF FACTORS REFRACTORY TO THERAPY IN PATIENTS. N=80.

Factors	Frequency	Percentage
BMI		
<25	00	00
25-30	25	31.2
>30	55	68.8
Alcohol Consumption		
Low alcohol consumption	05	20
High alcohol consumption	20	80
Steatosis		
Grade 0	15	18.8
Grade I	30	37.5
Grade II	31	38.8
Grade III	04	05
Fibrosis		
FO	06	7.5
FI	21	26.2
F 2	43	53.8
F 3	08	10
F 4	02	2.5
Viral Load		
>800,000 IU/ml	56	70
<800,000 IU/ml	24	30

DISCUSSION

Day by day Hepatitis C is increasing worldwide and its refractory status of treatment to antiviral therapy is very common. [19] With the advent of anti-viral therapies, more and more peoples are seeking treatment. Non-response and relapse are an emerging issue with the use of conventional regimen. Therefore, it is important to study the effect of different causes of non-response and relapse.

In this study, male was found more with refractory to treatment that is 61.20% as compared to the female that is 38.80% and mean age was 40.70 ± 6.37 years. Shafi MS et al, [20] reported that male were in the majority as compared to female in the list of refractory treatment compared to former literature [21].

This study had divided the patients on the basis of refractory category and non- responders were found in the majority 71.2%, while break through responders and relapsers were s data is dissimilar from studies. Qureshi S et al [22], mentioned 18.4% were non responders and 27.7% were relapsers. Shaikh S et al [23], reported frequency of relapsers were 42.6%, breakthrough responders 20.5% and non-responders were 36.6%.

According to BMI distribution in this study, no patient found with BMI<25, 31.20% cases were between 25-30 while mostly patients 68.80% were with >30 which is nearly same result as mentioned in the study of Shaikh S et al [23], that showed 62.4% with > 30 kg/m2 and < 30 kg/m2 in 37.6% patients. Hickman I.J et al [24] stated that obesity is associated with a reduced response to treatment as well as increased steatosis and fibrosis.

Caroline Le Lan et al [25],discussed the alcohol consumption and mentioned that 65% patients were abstinent, 25% patients with low consumption of alcohol and 20% patients were with extra use alcohol during treatment. While in this study same data recorded, according to the history of alcohol, 68% were abstinent, 25% were noted with low alcohol utilization and 7% cases were found with unnecessary alcohol uses. Singal A Ket al.[26] concluded that utilization of Alcohol linked to reduce standard interferon response.

Genotype 3 not linked to lower sustained response [27]. In this series Grade II or moderate steatosis was found in the mostly the cases that is 38.8%, grade I or mild steatosis was also commonest n in 37.5% of the cases, while 18.8% patients were found grade O or No steatosis and only 5% cases were documented with grade III or severe steatosis. L Caste´ra et al [28] also divided the Steatosis in the same way but differs in data and reported, 55.0% of cases were with grade I or mild steatosis, grade II or moderate in 21.2%, and grade III or severe in 23.8%. The data by P. Fabris, et al, [29] reports same result as this study. Grade II or moderate steatosis was found in 40%, 35% grade I or mild steatosis and cases in grade O and grade III were 15% and 10% respectively.

Hepatic fibrosis is the in response to stimuli in acute or chronic liver disease [30,31]. The present series described that Stage II fibrosis was found commonest n in 53.8% of patients, while Stage I fibrosis, Stage III fibrosis and Stage O fibrosis and Stage 4 fibrosis or Cirrhosis were noted with frequency/ percentage of 26.2%, 10.0%, 7.5%, 2.5% respectively. Shaikh S et al [23], described in his study that fibrosis stage 2 was present in 65.5%, stage 3 in 25.6% and fibrosis stage 4 was present in 8.9% patients. Everson et al [32] mentioned that the presence of advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis is a major independent predictor of nonresponse to antiviral therapy. Poynard et al[33]. reported that cases having chronic HCV undergoing IFN treatment, the presence of no fibrosis or fibrosis limited to the portal tract alone was independently associated with SVR on multivariate analysis.

Majority (70%) of patients were found with VL >8, 00000 IU/ml while only 30% patients were with viral load of <8, 00000 IU/ml compared with several former studies [34-36].

CONCLUSION

This study had concluded that there were several factors responsible for refractory treatment with conventional interferon based therapy in the cases of chronic HCV infection. Gender (male), age (>40 years), (BMI>30kg/m²), elevation of grade of steatosis, stage of fibrosis and high viral load are highly responsible factors. This study had also look and found a strong association between the BMI, Steatosis, Fibrosis and non response, break through response and relapse. However, our understanding of the variable response in different patients is still unclear and more research is required to further elucidate the complex interactions between the chronic HCV condition and its response to conventional interferon therapy.

Therefore, it is recommended that all these common factors should be kept in mind during interferone therapy and future advance multidisciplinary studies will be conducted at various health care centers to compile the data and observe the other factors responsible for refractory treatment as far as HCV treatment is concerned.

ABBREVIATION:

Body mass index
Fibrosis
Hepatitis C virus
Interferon
International unit
Liaquat University Hospital
Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences
mitogen-activated protein kinase
Polymerase chain reaction
Ribonucleic acid
Standard Deviation
Statistical Package for the Social Science.
Viral load

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Mr. Muhammad Jan for computer assistance and record maintainance.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Rosen HR. Chronic Hepatitis C Infection. N Engl J Med.2011;364:2429-38.
- 2. Waheed Y, Shafi T, Safi SZ. Hepatitis C virus in Pakistan: A systematic review of prevalence, genotypes and risk factors.World J Gastroenterol.2009; 15(45):5647-53.
- 3. Jiwani N, Gul R. A Silent Storm: Hepatitis C in Pakistan. JPMS.2011;1(3):89-91.
- 4. Munir S, Saleem S, Idrees M. Hepatitis C Treatment: current and future perspectives. Virology Journal.2010;7:296:1-6.
- 5. Liang TJ, Rehermann B, Seeff LB. Pathogenesis, natural history, treatment, and prevention of hepatitis C. Ann Intern Med.2000;132:296–305.
- 6. Davis GL. Current therapy for chronic hepatitis C. Gastroenterology 2000; 118:104-14.
- 7. Hayashi J, Kishihara Y, Ueno K. Age related response to interferon alfa treatment in women vs men with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. Arch Intern Med 1998;158:177-81.
- 8. Martinot-Peignoux M, Marcellin P, Pouteau M. Pretreatment serum hepatitis C virus RNA levels and hepatitis C virus genotype are the main and independent prognostic factors of sustained response to interferon alfa therapy in chronic hepatitis C. HEPATOLOGY.1995;22:1050-6.
- 9. McCullough AJ. Obesity and its nurturing effect on hepatitis C. HEPATOLOGY 2003;38:557-9.
- 10. Bressler BL, Guindi M, Tomlinson G. High body mass index is an independent risk factor for nonresponse to antiviral treatment in chronic hepatitis C. HEPATOLOGY 2003;38:639-44.
- 11. Nguyen VA, Chen J, Hong F. Interferons activate the p42/44 mitogen-activated protein kinase and JAK-STAT (Janus kinasesignal transducer and activator transcription factor) signalling pathways in hepatocytes: differential regulation by acute ethanol via a protein kinase C-dependent mechanism. Biochem J.2000;349:427-34.
- 12. Banner BF, Barton AL, Cable EE. A detailed analysis of the Knodell score and other histologic parameters as predictors of response to interferon therapy in chronic hepatitis C. Mod Pathol.1995;8:232-38.
- 13. Myers RP, Patel K, Pianko S. The rate of fibrosis progression is an independent predictor of the response to antiviral therapy in chronic hepatitis C. J Viral Hepat 2003;10:16-22.
- 14. Trepo C. Genotype and viral load as prognostic indicators in the treatment of hepatitis C. J Viral Hepat.2000;7:250-57.
- 15. Pawlotsky JM. Hepatitis C virus resistance to antiviral therapy. HEPATOLOGY. 2000;32:889-96.
- 16. Taylor DR, Shi ST, Lai MM. Hepatitis C virus and interferon resistance. Microbes Infect 2000;2:1743-56.
- 17. Pawlotsky JM. Mechanisms of antiviral treatment efficacy and failure in chronic hepatitis C. Antiviral Res. 2003;59:1-11.
- 18. He Y, Katze MG. To interfere and to anti-interfere: the interplay between hepatitis C virus and interferon. Viral Immunol.2002;15:95–119.
- 19. Mathew A, Peiffer LP, Rhoades K, McGarrity T. Sustained viral response to pegylated interferon alpha-2b and ribavirin in chronic hepatitis C refractory to prior treatment. Dig Dis Sci.2006; 51:1956-61.
- 20. Shafi MS, Anjum J, Beg MA. End Treatment Response with Pegylated Interferon among Chronic Hepatitis C Non-Responders. Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan 2011;21(6):334-37.
- 21. Bressler BL, Guindi M, Tomlinson G, Heathcote J. High Body Mass Index Is an Independent Risk Factor for Nonresponse to Antiviral Treatment in Chronic Hepatitis C. Hepatology.2003;38;3;639-64.
- 22. Qureshi S, Batool U, Iqbal M. Response rates to standard interferon treatment in hcv genotype 3A. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad.2009;21:4:10-14.
- 23. Shaikh S, Devrajani BR, Kalhoro M. Efficacy of Peg-Interferon Based Treatment in Patients with Hepatitis C Refractory to Previous Conventional Interferon-Based Treatment. Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan.2012;22 (10): 635-39.
- 24. Hickman IJ, Powell EE, Prins JB. In overweight patients with chronic hepatitis C, circulating insulin is associated with hepatic fibrosis: implications for therapy. J Hepatol 2003;39:1042-48.
- 25. Lan CL, Guillygomarc'h A, Danielou H. A multi-disciplinary approach to treating hepatitis C with interferon and ribavirin in alcohol-dependent patients with ongoing abuse. Journal of Hepatology 2012;56: 334-40.
- 26. Singal AK, Anand BS. Mechanisms of synergy between alcohol and hepatitis C virus. J ClinGastroenterol 2007;41:761-72.
- 27. Poynard T, Ratziu V, McHutchison J. Effect of treatment with peginterferon or interferon alfa-2b and Ribavirin on steatosis in patients infected with hepatitis C. Hepatology. 2003;38:75-85.
- 28. L Caste´ra, C He´zode, F Roudot-Thoraval. Effect of antiviral treatment on evolution of liver steatosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C: indirect evidence of a role of hepatitis C virus genotype 3 in steatosis. Gut.2004;53:420-24.
- 29. Fabris p, Floreani a, Carlotto A. Impact of liver steatosis on virological response in elderly Italian patients with chronic hepatitis C treated with peg-interferon alpha-2b plus ribavarin. Aliment PharmacolTher.2005; 21: 1173-78.
- 30. Bataller R, Brenner DA. Liver fibrosis. J Clin Invest.2005;115:209-18.
- 31. Tiniakos D, Kittas C. Pathology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Ann Gastroenterol 2005;18:148-59.
- 32. Poynard T, McHutchison J, Goodman Z. combination interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin regimen possible for the first line treatment in patients with chronic hepatitis C? The ALGOVIRC Project Group. Hepatology.2000;31:211-18.
- Everson GT, Hoefs JC, Seeff LB. Impact of disease severity on outcome of antiviral therapy for chronic hepatitis C: lessons from the HALT-C trial. Hepatology 2006;44:1675-84.
- 34. Jensen DM, Morgan TR, Marcellin P. Early identification of HCV genotype 1 patients responding to 24 weeks peginterferon alpha-2a (40 kd)/ribavirin therapy. Hepatology. 2006;43:954–60.

- 35. Zeuzem S, Buti M, Ferenci P. Efficacy of 24 weeks treatment with peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin in patients with chronic hepatitis C infected with genotype 1 and low pretreatment viremia. J Hepatol.2006;44:97-103.
- 36. von Wagner M, Huber M, Berg T. Peginterferon-alpha-2a (40KD) and ribavirin for 16 or 24 weeks in patients with genotype 2 or 3 chronic hepatitis C. Gastroenterology. 2005;129:522-7.
- 37. Eguchi Y, Mizuta T, Yasutake T. High serum leptin is an independent risk factor for non response patients with low viremia to antiviral treatment in chronic hepatitis C. World J Gastroenterol 2006 January 28; 12(4):556-60.
- 38. Fujimoto K, Sakata T, Etou H. Charting of daily weight pattern reinforces maintenance of weight reduction in moderately obese patients. Am J Med Sci 1992;303:145-50.
- Akuta N, Suzuki F, Suzuki Y. Hepatocyte steatosis is an important predictor of response to interferon (IFN) monotherapy in Japanese patients infected with HCV genotype 2a: Virological features of IFN-resistant cases with hepatocyte steatosis. Journal of Medical Virology.2005;75;4; 550-58.
- 40. Shiffman ML, Di Bisceglie AM, Lindsay KL. Peg-interferon alfa-2a and ribavirin in patients with chronic hepatitis C who have failed prior treatment. Gastroenterology 2004; 126:1015-23.
- 41. Poynard T, Colombo M, Bruix J. Peginterferon alfa-2b and Ribavirin: Effective in Patients with Hepatitis C Who Failed Interferon alfa/Ribavirin Therapy. Gastroenterology 2009;136:1618-28.



