

may readily be large enough to have a vertical component equal to the bird's weight, in which case the resultant force on the bird may be horizontal.

Let us suppose, now, that a bird is at any instant moving horizontally, in the same direction as the wind, and with a small velocity relative to the earth. Since the resultant force on him may be horizontal, he may continue to move horizontally with increasing speed. As his speed increases, the velocity of the wind relative to him diminishes, and therefore also, probably, the upward force exerted on him by the wind. Although, therefore, the resultant force on the bird may have been initially horizontal, it will not remain so even for a short time. But it may remain for some time very nearly horizontal; for, as the magnitude of the relative velocity diminishes, its inclination to the normal to the plane of the wings will diminish also. During that time the bird will move slightly downwards, and his velocity will increase. When his velocity has become so great, and therefore the velocity of the wind relative to him so small, that the resultant force on him begins to have a direction differing markedly from the horizontal, let the bird wheel and steer upwards to windward. Let us suppose that in wheeling he maintains his velocity relative to the earth as well as his elevation. Then, starting upwards with a considerable velocity, he will clearly be able to rise through a certain height before his velocity has been reduced to its initial value. Let him then wheel again, and he will now be in a position to repeat the cycle with the same starting conditions as before. Whether soaring has been accomplished or not, will depend on whether or not the height gained when moving to windward is or is not greater than that lost in moving to leeward.

To determine this, consider first the downward part of the cycle. Let W_1 be the mean vertical component, and W_2 the mean horizontal component, of the force exerted by the wind on the bird's wings. Let R be the mean resistance to the relative motion of bird and air (due to friction, etc.), which in this case helps the bird. Let w be the weight of the bird, h the height through which he falls, and d the horizontal distance he traverses. Then the work done on the bird by the vertical and horizontal forces will be $(w - W_1)h$ and $(R + W_2)d$ respectively (we may treat R as a horizontal force, because the path is nearly horizontal). Let H be the energy expended immediately or ultimately in the production of heat. Then the kinetic energy gained by the bird on the downward motion will be —

$$(w - W_1)h + (R + W_2)d - H.$$

During the upward motion against the wind, the mean velocity of the wind relative to the bird will be much greater than during the downward motion with the wind; but while the direction of the relative velocity during the downward motion was upward, during the upward motion it is downward. It seems reasonable, therefore, to suppose that the upward force exerted by the wind may be made by the bird the same as before, and may have, therefore, the same components, W_1 and W_2 . Let R' be the mean resistance of the air due to friction, etc. R' , in this case, impedes the motion of the bird. Let w , as before, be the bird's weight; and let h' be the height through which he rises, and d' the distance traversed horizontally. Then the work done by the bird against the forces acting on him will be —

$$(w - W_1)h' + (R' + W_2)d'.$$

If the bird wheels when the energy expended on the upward motion is just equal to that gained on the downward motion, he will be ready to begin his second cycle under the same starting conditions as his first, and we shall have, for determining the height to which he has risen, the equation —

$$(w - W_1)h + (R + W_2)d - H = (w - W_1)h' + (R' + W_2)d',$$

from which it follows that the gain of elevation

$$h' - h = \frac{Rd - R'd' + W_2(d - d') - H}{w - W_1}.$$

Since during the upward motion against the wind the mean value of the velocity of the air relative to the bird is greater than in the

downward motion, R' will be greater than R . But the bird can so steer his course as to give his path a greater inclination to the horizon than his downward path had: hence d' may be made smaller than d ; and thus $Rd - R'd'$ may, by good steering, be made positive. Also, d' being less than d , $W_2(d - d')$ will be positive. If these two quantities together are greater than H , $h' - h$ will be positive; and if, finally, the increase of energy represented by the elevation $h' - h$ is greater than the inevitable waste during the turns, the bird will have increased his elevation during the cycle.

It seems to me possible, therefore, for a bird to soar in a uniform horizontal wind; because, by falling slowly in the motion to leeward, he allows the wind to do a large amount of work on him, and, by rising rapidly in moving to windward, he may regain his former level without having to do so much work against the wind. If it is possible, the bird's path must clearly be a spiral about a line rising in the direction of the wind, not about a vertical line; and this agrees exactly with observed fact.

J. G. MACGREGOR.

Dalhousie College, Halifax, N.S., Feb. 5.

Some Habits of the Omahas.

In the article entitled "Some Habits of the Omahas," on p. 60 of *Science* for Jan. 25, was a slip of the pen, which I wish to correct. Both Omahas and Ponkas, who speak the same dialect, call the wild honey "bee-dung." The term "bee-gum" was given me in 1872 by a Ponka, my interpreter, who stated that it was not the old name. My Omaha informant, Samuel Fremont, does not wish incorrect statements credited to him.

J. OWEN DORSEY.

Takoma Park, D.C., Feb. 13.

Sawdust Explosion.

I ENCLOSE you a cutting from the *Ottawa Journal* in reference to what is called a "sawdust explosion," as it is a somewhat unique phenomenon. Last winter one occurred in the Ottawa River opposite this city, near the place referred to in this article, which broke up the thick ice over a large space. The river-channel is deep, but it is filled with a great accumulation of sawdust from the large mills just above. This sawdust generates immense quantities of marsh-gas, and once in a while something seems to start it up suddenly in large volumes. These striking the under side of the ice with great force, burst it up in the manner here described. This is why they are called "explosions." The gas is never ignited.

"Mr. J. de St. Denis Lemoine, sergeant-at-arms of the Senate, was blown up in a sawdust explosion on the Ottawa River, Saturday, Feb. 9, 1889, at midnight. He escaped with a wetting, and will not snowshoe to Gatineau Point again. It was a jolly party of gentlemen who left the city Saturday evening for a tramp on the ice-bound Ottawa. It included Messrs. Riddington, Lemoine, R. Fleming, J. Travers Lewis, J. W. Pugsley, Charles Elliott, Laurence Taylor, W. Middleton, Bogert, G. A. Henderson, and some others.

"The snowshoers headed direct to Gatineau Point, where an enjoyable time was spent. They started for home shortly before midnight. Mr. Riddington led the way, the snowshoers following in Indian file at a distance of about ten feet apart. The leader cautiously picked his way, because an ominous crackle here and there gave warning of proximity to cold waters running a few inches beneath.

"Matters went well for a time, until, some little distance below the Rideau Falls, suddenly the snowshoers were startled by a terrific explosion. An instant later they saw Mr. Lemoine hurled in the air, and as suddenly fall back into a mass of broken ice. It was only the work of a moment to grasp the sash of Mr. Lemoine and haul him on to the firm ice, not much the worse for his partial wetting. There would have been a funeral had the sergeant-at-arms been in the middle of the explosion.

"Mr. J. Travers Lewis had a narrow escape. Fortunately he stopped for a moment to fix his snowshoe-strings, and, had he continued in the footsteps of Mr. Lemoine, would also likely have experienced a sad fate.

"The snowshoers say that in their opinion the sawdust question has been solved."

ROBERT BELL.

Ottawa, Can., Feb. 13.