



XXIII. On a proposition relating to the theory of equations

James Cockle M.A.

To cite this article: James Cockle M.A. (1846) XXIII. On a proposition relating to the theory of equations , Philosophical Magazine, 28:185, 132-133, DOI: [10.1080/14786444608645371](https://doi.org/10.1080/14786444608645371)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14786444608645371>



Published online: 30 Apr 2009.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 2



View related articles [↗](#)

XXIII. *On a Proposition relating to the Theory of Equations.* By JAMES COCKLE, M.A., of Trinity College, Cambridge; of the Middle Temple, Special Pleader*.

1. LET x be the root of the general equation of the n th degree, and

$$y = \Lambda^I x^{\lambda'} + \Lambda^{II} x^{\lambda''} + \Lambda^{III} x^{\lambda'''} + \Lambda^{IV} x^{\lambda^{IV}}; \dots \quad (a.)$$

also let ${}_m Y$ be composed of symmetric functions of, and be homogeneous and of the m th degree with respect to y ; then, if $n > 2$, ${}_2 Y$ may be reduced to the form

$$(a'_1 \Lambda^I + a''_1 \Lambda^{II} + b')^2 + (a''_2 \Lambda^{II} + b'')^2, \dots \quad (b.)$$

where b' and b'' are not both zero.

2. For, let

$$\Lambda^{III} x_n^{\lambda'''} + \Lambda^{IV} x_n^{\lambda^{IV}} = l' x_n^{\lambda'} + l'' x_n^{\lambda''}, \dots \quad (c.)$$

then if $y_r = (\Lambda^I + l') x_r^{\lambda'} + (\Lambda^{II} + l'') x_r^{\lambda''} + l_r$. . . (d.)

$$l_n = 0. \dots \dots \dots (e.)$$

Now ${}_2 Y$ is to be reduced, by means of (d.), to the form (b.), independently of Λ , or, what is the same thing, of $\Lambda + l$; but†

$${}_2 Y = (b.) + [l_1 \dots l_{n-1}]^2, \dots \dots \dots (f.)$$

[]^m denoting a homogeneous function of the enclosed quantities of the m th degree. And, if $n - 1 > 1$,

$$[l_1 \dots l_{n-1}]^2 = 0 \dots \dots \dots (g.)$$

may be satisfied without making the l 's zero.

3. Following a notation similar to that used in my last paper‡, let (p, q) represent the coefficient of $\Lambda^{(p)} \Lambda^{(q)}$ in the development of

$$t p_2 - s p_1^2 = {}_2 Y = 0, \dots \dots \dots (h.)$$

p_2 and p_1 being respectively the coefficients of the third and second terms of the transformed equation in y ; then, if (h.) be reducible to the form (b.), we have

$$\dots + \dots + b' \pm \sqrt{-1} . b'' = 0; \dots \dots (i.)$$

and both the values of the above expression can only vanish when $b' = 0 = b''$. Substitute for b' and b'' , equate each expression to zero, and eliminate $\frac{\Lambda^{III}}{\Lambda^{IV}}$ between the two; then we have

$$(1, 3)(2, 4) - (1, 4)(2, 3) = 0, \dots \dots (j.)$$

where, for instance,

$$(1, 3) = t \sum (x_1^{\lambda'} x_2^{\lambda''}) - 2s \sum (x_1^{\lambda'}) . \sum (x_1^{\lambda''}); \dots (k.)$$

* Communicated by the Author.

† For the process, see par. 3 of the place which I have before cited, at the first line of p. 126 of vol. xxvii. of the Phil. Mag. S. 3.

‡ Phil. Mag. S. 3. vol. xxvii. p. 292.

so that, on developing, we shall have on writing $\lambda' . \lambda''$ for $\Sigma (x^{\lambda'}) . \Sigma (x^{\lambda''})$, &c.,

$$0 = (t-2s) \times \{ \lambda' . \lambda^{iv} . (\lambda'' + \lambda''') + \lambda'' . \lambda''' . (\lambda' + \lambda^{iv}) - \lambda' . \lambda''' . (\lambda'' + \lambda^{iv}) - \lambda'' . \lambda^{iv} . (\lambda' + \lambda''') \} + t \{ (\lambda' + \lambda''') . (\lambda'' + \lambda^{iv}) - (\lambda' + \lambda^{iv}) . (\lambda'' + \lambda''') \}. \quad (l.)$$

Let $t = 2n$, and $s = n - 1$, then, if $n < 3$, the last equation is identically true, but not in any other case. The method of the two first paragraphs, consequently, detects every case of failure; the last-mentioned instance of which is connected with the fact that, implicitly at least, every expression of the form (a.) contains in its right-hand side a term free from x which, with the above values of t and s , vanishes from ${}_2Y$. These values are those which occur in exterminating the 2nd, 3rd, and r th terms of an equation.

4. If, in the case of $n=2$, $t=4$, and $s=1$, we reject in (g.) the solution $l_1=0$, we are conducted to

$$(x_2^{\lambda'} - x_1^{\lambda'})^2 (x_2^{\lambda''} - x_1^{\lambda''}) = 0, \quad (m.)$$

having multiplied by the coefficient of $\Lambda^{1/2}$ before commencing our operations. This agrees with what we have inferred from (l.).

5. It seems to follow from this, that biquadratics can be reduced to a binomial, and equations of the fifth degree to a trinomial form, by an expression for y consisting of four terms, determinable by one linear*, one quadratic, and one cubic equation.

6. At p. 384 of the 26th vol. of this work, I have only alluded to the equation (3.), which, for cubics, conducts to the reducing equation

$$\xi^2 + \xi \Sigma \left(\frac{\phi'_1}{\phi_1} \right) + \frac{\phi'_1 \phi'_2}{\phi_1 \phi_2} = 0; \quad (3.)'$$

and to a similar one for biquadratics; but if we discuss the equation $\phi \{ (\Lambda x^\lambda + M x^\mu)^{-1} \} = 0, (3.)''$

it will be found that, though in appearance more complicated, it is in reality simpler than the former, inasmuch as the case of $\lambda=0$ is not excluded; and if $\lambda=0$ and $\mu=1$, we have the form actually taken by the reducing equation in my solution of a perfect cubic at p. 248 of vol. ii. of the Cambridge Mathematical Journal.

Devereux Court, Temple Bar,
December 29, 1845.

JAMES COCKLE, JUN.

* The 'base' equations are linear, as will be seen on referring to my definition at note † of p. 126 of this (27th) vol. If the roots of the trinomial equation of the fifth degree are given by the expression $b \psi(a)$, then ψ is contained in the solutions of the functional equation $\psi^2(a) - a = 0$.