

neither as man of action nor as man of letters did he deserve particularly well of his nation." Bentwich regrets also "that he did not seek to convey to his readers the fundamental spiritual conceptions of the Jews, which might have endowed his history with a unique distinction. His record of two thousand years of Israel's history gives but the shadow of the glory of his people." That is true, but the glory had come in another sense. And yet Josephus is an indispensable book for both Jew and Christian.

A. T. ROBERTSON.

An Introduction to the Old Testament in Greek. By H. B. Swete, D.D., Second Edition, Revised by R. R. Ottley, M.A. The University Press, Cambridge, England, 1914. 626 pp.

In a note to the writer Dr. Swete says that he is now eighty years old, but he is full of eagerness and zest for Biblical scholarship. However, he secured one of his disciples to revise this noble and useful volume. Mr. Ottley has sought to bring the book up to date and in line with the new knowledge of the *Kovij* from the papyri and the inscriptions. The grammars of the Septuagint by Helbing and Thackeray are used and referred to repeatedly. This is the best volume in existence for an introduction to the problems of the Septuagint. The student of the Old Testament and of the New Testament must know the Septuagint. Get this book and Swete's edition of the text and use them.

A. T. ROBERTSON.

The Vocabulary of the Greek Testament Illustrated from the Papyri and Other Non-literary Sources. By James Hope Moulton, D.D., D.Theol., and George Milligan, D.D. Part I. Hodder & Stoughton, New York and London, 1914. 6s net.

We have it only in Part I, but it is a noble beginning and we hope the other parts will follow soon. As the title shows, the book is not a lexicon of the New Testament, but offers the new material for a lexicon. It can be used to supplement Thayer-Grimm and is, in fact, essential to bring that book up

to date. The lexical aspect of the papyri and the inscriptions is here presented with great skill and the result of wide research. The book will be a help to all who wish to use the new knowledge of New Testament words.

A. T. ROBERTSON.

Codex B and Its Allies. A Study and an Indictment. By H. C. Hoskier. Two Volumes (497, 412 pp). London: Bernard Quaritch. 1914.

Mr. Hoskier has spent an enormous amount of time and labor to show that B does not always represent the Neutral Text. Volume one is devoted to B and Volume II to Aleph. There is an enormous amount of detail much of which will be of service in the comparison of these manuscripts. Mr. Hoskier seems a bit aroused by some criticisms of Dr. A. Souter, into which it is not necessary to enter. There is, I think, no doubt that Tischendorf shows an excessive fondness for Aleph as Hort does for B. Neither manuscript is an absolute guide for the true text. It is well to have all the data offered by Mr. Hoskier. All that scholars wish is the truth and such a study as this will help towards that end. On page 92 Mr. Hoskier insists on a difference of mood between *παράδοι* and *παράδῃ* (Mk. 4:29). Both forms are aorist active subjunctive.

A. T. ROBERTSON.

The Primitive Text of the Gospels and Acts. By Albert C. Clark, Corpus Professor of Latin. The Clarendon Press, Oxford, England. 112 pp. 4s net.

The author has been led by his study of the text of Cicero to conclude that scribes more frequently omit lines than they add to them. This is the opposite of Hort's view. He has applied this principle to the text of the Gospels and Acts with the result that he finds the Western Text to be the true text since it is longer than the text of Aleph and B. Professor Clark does not claim to be an expert in New Testament Textual Criticism and uses only one method in his study, the breaking of the lines into sense clauses. His work is interesting, but cannot