



ARTICLE INFO

©2026 RS Publication

Paper ID: IJCA-
6A817BB610B02

Published: 2026-08-17

DOI:
<https://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21980050>

Page No: 16/1-16/11



Original Article

A Mathematical Study of Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graphs

Takaaki Fujita^{1 *}

¹ Independent Researcher,

Tokyo, Japan.

Cite This Paper: Takaaki Fujita (2026). "A Mathematical Study of Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graphs". *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER APPLICATION (IJCA)*, vol. 16, Issue 4, 2026, pp. 16/1-16/11, DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21980050>

This work is licensed under creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license (CC BY-NC 4.0)

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Abstract

Graph-based models provide a fundamental mathematical framework for representing relational systems in terms of vertices and their connections. Fuzzy sets extend classical set theory by assigning each element a membership degree in the interval $[0,1]$, thereby allowing partial membership rather than restricting membership to binary values. An intuitionistic fuzzy set assigns both membership and non-membership degrees to each element, subject to the condition that their sum does not exceed one, thus explicitly representing the degree of hesitation. Similarly, an intuitionistic fuzzy graph assigns membership and non-membership degrees to vertices and edges, enabling uncertain relationships to be modeled while maintaining compatibility between vertex and edge information. Motivated by these frameworks, this paper investigates Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graphs, which extend intuitionistic fuzzy models by incorporating a tripolar representation of uncertain information.

Keywords: Tripolar Fuzzy Graph, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set, Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set, Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graph

1. Introduction

1.1. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets

A fuzzy set assigns to each element a membership degree in the interval $[0,1]$, thereby allowing partial membership rather than restricting membership to the binary values used in classical set theory [1]. Since their introduction, fuzzy sets have provided a fundamental framework for representing vagueness and uncertainty, and numerous extensions have subsequently been developed. Representative examples include Neutrosophic Sets [2–4], HyperFuzzy Sets [5,7,8], Plithogenic Sets [5,6], and other generalized uncertainty models.

Among these extensions, a Bipolar Fuzzy Set represents information from two opposite viewpoints, typically through positive and negative membership components [7–9]. Related frameworks include Bipolar Neutrosophic Sets [9,10],

m-Polar Fuzzy Sets [11,12], and various other multipolar uncertainty models. A Tripolar Fuzzy Set may be regarded as a particular m-polar structure with three poles [13–16]. By incorporating three distinct membership perspectives, it extends the bipolar representation and provides a richer framework for situations in which information cannot be adequately described using only two opposing poles. It should nevertheless be noted that several formulations of Tripolar Fuzzy Sets have been proposed in the literature, depending on the interpretation and mathematical treatment of the three components.

Fuzzy Sets have also been extended to graph-based and higher-order relational structures, leading to concepts such as Fuzzy Graphs [18,19], Fuzzy HyperGraphs [20-22], and Fuzzy SuperHyperGraphs [23,24]. Several related graph frameworks have also been studied, including Bipolar Fuzzy Graphs [25,26], Bipolar Neutrosophic Graphs [27-29], Neutrosophic Graphs [30-32], Interval-Valued Neutrosophic Graphs [33,34], Plithogenic Graphs [35,36], Neutrosophic HyperGraphs [37,38], and Neutrosophic SuperHyperGraphs [39,40]. These extensions provide mathematical tools for representing uncertain, vague, indeterminate, or multipolar relationships in increasingly complex network structures.

Another important extension of the Fuzzy Set is the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set [41,42], in which membership and non-membership degrees are considered simultaneously. Related concepts include Bipolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets [43,44] and Intuitionistic Neutrosophic Sets [45,46]. The intuitionistic fuzzy framework has also been incorporated into graph-theoretic structures, giving rise to Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graphs [47,48], Intuitionistic Fuzzy HyperGraphs [49,50], and Intuitionistic Fuzzy SuperHyperGraphs [51,52]. These developments demonstrate that intuitionistic fuzzy information can be naturally combined with graph, hypergraph, and higher-order network structures to represent relational systems involving both positive membership and explicit non-membership information.

1.2. Our Contributions

Accordingly, the study of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and their related concepts constitutes an important and necessary area of research. Although various extensions of these concepts have attracted increasing attention, some aspects remain insufficiently explored. Motivated by this observation, this paper investigates Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graphs. A Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set assigns membership and non-membership degrees across three poles, thereby simultaneously representing hesitation and multi-perspective uncertainty. A Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graph assigns tripolar membership and non-membership degrees to vertices and edges, providing a framework for modeling multi-perspective uncertain relational structures.

2. Preliminaries

This section introduces the fundamental concepts and notation required for the subsequent discussion. Unless otherwise specified, every graph considered throughout this paper is assumed to be finite.

2.1. Tripolar Fuzzy Set and Graph

Tripolar fuzzy modeling characterizes each object through three coordinated degrees corresponding to positive, neutral, and counter-property viewpoints [13-16]. This three-component description allows uncertainty to be expressed from perspectives that are not captured by a single fuzzy membership value. In the graph setting, the same tripolar information is attached to vertices and edges, together with incidence constraints that relate every edge to its endpoints.

Definition 1 (Tripolar Fuzzy Set) (cf. [13-16]). Let X be a nonempty set. Under the Rao-type convention, a Tripolar Fuzzy Set (TFS) A over X is specified by the structure

$$A = \{(x, \rho_A(x), \nu_A(x), \delta_A(x)) : x \in X\},$$

where the three component mappings are given by

$$\rho_A, \nu_A : X \rightarrow [0,1], \quad \delta_A : X \rightarrow [-1,0],$$

and the following admissibility requirement is imposed for each $x \in X$.

$$0 \leq \rho_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1.$$

In this representation, $\rho_A(x)$ measures the positive membership component, $\nu_A(x)$ records the neutral, indeterminate, or irrelevant component, whereas $\delta_A(x)$ quantifies membership in the corresponding counter-property. Consequently, every element $x \in X$ is encoded by the tripolar triple

$$A(x) = (\rho_A(x), \nu_A(x), \delta_A(x)).$$

Given two admissible tripolar triples

$$a = (a_p, a_0, a_c), \quad b = (b_p, b_0, b_c),$$

we employ the following natural partial order on tripolar information:

$$a \leq_T b \Leftrightarrow a_p \leq b_p, \quad a_0 \geq b_0, \quad a_c \geq b_c.$$

The meet induced by this order is expressed as

$$a \wedge_T b = (\min\{a_p, b_p\}, \max\{a_0, b_0\}, \max\{a_c, b_c\}).$$

Definition 2 (Fuzzy Graph) . Let $G^* = (V, E)$ be a finite simple graph. A Fuzzy Graph supported on G^* is described by a pair $G_F = (\sigma, \mu)$, where

$$\sigma : V \rightarrow [0, 1],$$

$$\mu : E \rightarrow [0, 1],$$

and, for every edge $\{u, v\} \in E$,

$$\mu(\{u, v\}) \leq \min\{\sigma(u), \sigma(v)\}.$$

For a vertex v , $\sigma(v)$ specifies its membership grade, while $\mu(\{u, v\})$ gives the membership grade associated with the edge $\{u, v\}$. The incidence restriction therefore ensures that an edge can never receive a membership value larger than that of either endpoint.

Definition 3 (Tripolar Fuzzy Graph). Consider

$$G = (V, E), \quad E \subseteq \binom{V}{2},$$

as a finite simple graph. Suppose that

$$A_V = (\rho_V, \nu_V, \delta_V)$$

is a Tripolar Fuzzy Set defined over V , and suppose that

$$A_E = (\rho_E, \nu_E, \delta_E)$$

is a Tripolar Fuzzy Set defined over E . Accordingly,

$$\rho_V, \nu_V : V \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad \delta_V : V \rightarrow [-1, 0],$$

$$\rho_E, \nu_E : E \rightarrow [0, 1], \quad \delta_E : E \rightarrow [-1, 0],$$

where

$$0 \leq \rho_V(v) + \nu_V(v) \leq 1 \quad (v \in V),$$

and

$$0 \leq \rho_E(e) + \nu_E(e) \leq 1 \quad (e \in E).$$

We call the resulting structure

$$\mathcal{G} = (G, A_V, A_E)$$

a Tripolar Fuzzy Graph (TFG) whenever, for each edge $e = \{u, v\} \in E$, the following compatibility condition holds:

$$A_E(e) \leq_T A_V(u) \wedge_T A_V(v).$$

In componentwise form, for every $\{u, v\} \in E$, this requirement is equivalent to

$$\rho_E(\{u, v\}) \leq \min\{\rho_V(u), \rho_V(v)\},$$

$$\nu_E(\{u, v\}) \geq \max\{\nu_V(u), \nu_V(v)\},$$

together with

$$\delta_E(\{u, v\}) \geq \max\{\delta_V(u), \delta_V(v)\}.$$

The positive coordinate satisfies the standard fuzzy-graph incidence bound. By contrast, the neutral and counter-property coordinates are ordered in the reverse direction under the tripolar order introduced above; hence their corresponding inequalities are reversed. These three componentwise restrictions ensure that the tripolar value assigned to every edge remains consistent with the values attached to both of its incident vertices.

2.2. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set

An intuitionistic fuzzy set extends a fuzzy set by assigning to each element both a membership degree and a non-membership degree. The two degrees are constrained so that their sum does not exceed one, and the remaining part represents hesitation or indeterminacy.

Definition 4 (Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set). Let X be a nonempty set. An *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set* (IFS) A on X is a structure

$$A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\},$$

where

$$\mu_A, \lambda_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

satisfy, for every $x \in X$,

$$0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \lambda_A(x) \leq 1.$$

Here, $\mu_A(x)$ and $\lambda_A(x)$ denote the membership and non-membership degrees, respectively. The associated hesitation degree is

$$\pi_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) - \lambda_A(x) \in [0, 1].$$

Several tripolar intuitionistic formulations have appeared in the literature [17]. To remain consistent with the tripolar value and the tripolar order used in Definition 1, this paper adopts the following coordinatewise intuitionistic refinement.

Definition 5 (Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set). Let X be a nonempty set. A *Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set* (TIFS) A on X is a structure

$$A = \{\langle x, \mathbf{m}_A(x), \mathbf{n}_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\},$$

where the tripolar membership and non-membership profiles are

$$\mathbf{m}_A(x) = (\rho_A^m(x), \nu_A^m(x), \delta_A^m(x)), \quad \mathbf{n}_A(x) = (\rho_A^n(x), \nu_A^n(x), \delta_A^n(x)).$$

For each $s \in \{m, n\}$,

$$\rho_A^s, \nu_A^s: X \rightarrow [0,1], \quad \delta_A^s: X \rightarrow [-1,0],$$

and, for every $x \in X$,

$$0 \leq \rho_A^s(x) + \nu_A^s(x) \leq 1.$$

In addition, the membership and non-membership profiles satisfy the intuitionistic compatibility conditions

$$0 \leq \rho_A^m(x) + \rho_A^n(x) \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \nu_A^m(x) + \nu_A^n(x) \leq 1,$$

and

$$-1 \leq \delta_A^m(x) + \delta_A^n(x) \leq 0.$$

Consequently, the three hesitation magnitudes

$$\pi_{A,\rho}(x) = 1 - \rho_A^m(x) - \rho_A^n(x), \quad \pi_{A,\nu}(x) = 1 - \nu_A^m(x) - \nu_A^n(x),$$

and

$$\pi_{A,\delta}(x) = 1 + \delta_A^m(x) + \delta_A^n(x)$$

all belong to $[0,1]$. If the non-membership profile is identically zero, the construction reduces to the Tripolar Fuzzy Set of Definition 1. If the neutral and counter-property coordinates are suppressed, the positive coordinate reduces to an ordinary Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set.

3. Results: Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graph

A tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy graph assigns membership and non-membership degrees across three poles to vertices and edges, modeling multi-perspective uncertainty.

For tripolar values $a = (a_p, a_0, a_c)$ and $b = (b_p, b_0, b_c)$, define the tripolar join corresponding to the order $\leq_T$ by

$$a \vee_T b = (\max\{a_p, b_p\}, \min\{a_0, b_0\}, \min\{a_c, b_c\}).$$

This is the least upper bound of a and b under $\leq_T$.

Definition 6 (Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graph). Let $G^* = (V, E)$ be a finite simple graph. Let

$$A_V = (\mathbf{m}_V, \mathbf{n}_V)$$

be a Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set on V , and let

$$A_E = (\mathbf{m}_E, \mathbf{n}_E)$$

be a Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set on E . The structure

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{TIF}} = (G^*, A_V, A_E)$$

is called a *Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graph* (TIFG) if, for every edge $e = \{u, v\} \in E$,

$$\mathbf{m}_E(e) \leq_T \mathbf{m}_V(u) \wedge_T \mathbf{m}_V(v), \quad \mathbf{n}_E(e) \geq_T \mathbf{n}_V(u) \vee_T \mathbf{n}_V(v).$$

Equivalently, the tripolar membership profile satisfies

$$\rho_E^m(e) \leq \min\{\rho_V^m(u), \rho_V^m(v)\}, \quad \nu_E^m(e) \geq \max\{\nu_V^m(u), \nu_V^m(v)\},$$

$$\delta_E^m(e) \geq \max\{\delta_V^m(u), \delta_V^m(v)\},$$

whereas the tripolar non-membership profile satisfies

$$\rho_E^n(e) \geq \max\{\rho_V^n(u), \rho_V^n(v)\}, \quad \nu_E^n(e) \leq \min\{\nu_V^n(u), \nu_V^n(v)\},$$

and

$$\delta_E^n(e) \leq \min\{\delta_V^n(u), \delta_V^n(v)\}.$$

Thus, the first condition generalizes the edge-compatibility requirement of a Tripolar Fuzzy Graph to the membership profile, while the second is its order-dual condition for non-membership. Restricting the model to the positive coordinate yields the usual intuitionistic fuzzy graph compatibility inequalities.

Theorem 1 (Well-definedness of the Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graph). Let $G^* = (V, E)$ be a finite simple graph with $E \neq \emptyset$, and let $A_V = (\mathbf{m}_V, \mathbf{n}_V)$ be a Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set on V in the sense of Definition 5. For every edge $e = \{u, v\} \in E$, define

$$\mathbf{m}_E(e) = \mathbf{m}_V(u) \wedge_T \mathbf{m}_V(v), \quad \mathbf{n}_E(e) = \mathbf{n}_V(u) \vee_T \mathbf{n}_V(v).$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathbf{m}_E(e) = (\min\{\rho_V^m(u), \rho_V^m(v)\}, \max\{\nu_V^m(u), \nu_V^m(v)\}, \max\{\delta_V^m(u), \delta_V^m(v)\}),$$

and

$$\mathbf{n}_E(e) = (\max\{\rho_V^n(u), \rho_V^n(v)\}, \min\{\nu_V^n(u), \nu_V^n(v)\}, \min\{\delta_V^n(u), \delta_V^n(v)\}).$$

Then $A_E = (\mathbf{m}_E, \mathbf{n}_E)$ is a Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set on E , and

$$G_{TIF} = (G^*, A_V, A_E)$$

is a Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graph in the sense of Definition 6. Hence, the canonical construction of a Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graph from vertex data is well-defined.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary edge $e = \{u, v\} \in E$. First, the construction is independent of the order in which the endpoints are written. Indeed, $\min$ and $\max$ are symmetric in their arguments, so replacing (u, v) by (v, u) leaves both $\mathbf{m}_E(e)$ and $\mathbf{n}_E(e)$ unchanged. Thus the two profiles are well-defined functions on the set of unordered edges E .

Since all vertex components ρ_V^s and ν_V^s , $s \in \{m, n\}$, take values in $[0, 1]$, their minima and maxima also belong to $[0, 1]$. Similarly, because δ_V^s takes values in $[-1, 0]$, both the minimum and maximum of two such values remain in $[-1, 0]$. Hence all six edge components have the required ranges.

We next verify the admissibility condition inside the membership profile. Choose $w \in \{u, v\}$ such that

$$\nu_V^m(w) = \max\{\nu_V^m(u), \nu_V^m(v)\}.$$

Then

$$\rho_E^m(e) + \nu_E^m(e) = \min\{\rho_V^m(u), \rho_V^m(v)\} + \nu_V^m(w) \leq \rho_V^m(w) + \nu_V^m(w) \leq 1.$$

Nonnegativity is immediate. Therefore

$$0 \leq \rho_E^m(e) + \nu_E^m(e) \leq 1.$$

For the non-membership profile, choose $w \in \{u, v\}$ such that

$$\rho_V^n(w) = \max\{\rho_V^n(u), \rho_V^n(v)\}.$$

Since $v_E^n(e) = \min\{v_V^n(u), v_V^n(v)\} \leq v_V^n(w)$, we obtain

$$\rho_E^n(e) + v_E^n(e) \leq \rho_V^n(w) + v_V^n(w) \leq 1,$$

and hence

$$0 \leq \rho_E^n(e) + v_E^n(e) \leq 1.$$

It remains to verify the intuitionistic compatibility between the membership and non-membership profiles. For the positive coordinate, let $w \in \{u, v\}$ attain $\max\{\rho_V^n(u), \rho_V^n(v)\}$. Then

$$\rho_E^m(e) + \rho_E^n(e) = \min\{\rho_V^m(u), \rho_V^m(v)\} + \rho_V^n(w) \leq \rho_V^m(w) + \rho_V^n(w) \leq 1.$$

For the neutral coordinate, let $w \in \{u, v\}$ attain $\max\{v_V^m(u), v_V^m(v)\}$. Since $v_E^n(e) \leq v_V^n(w)$,

$$v_E^m(e) + v_E^n(e) \leq v_V^m(w) + v_V^n(w) \leq 1.$$

Finally, choose $w \in \{u, v\}$ such that

$$\delta_V^n(w) = \min\{\delta_V^n(u), \delta_V^n(v)\}.$$

Because $\delta_E^m(e) = \max\{\delta_V^m(u), \delta_V^m(v)\} \geq \delta_V^m(w)$, Definition 5 gives

$$\delta_E^m(e) + \delta_E^n(e) \geq \delta_V^m(w) + \delta_V^n(w) \geq -1.$$

Both terms are nonpositive, so also

$$\delta_E^m(e) + \delta_E^n(e) \leq 0.$$

Thus

$$-1 \leq \delta_E^m(e) + \delta_E^n(e) \leq 0.$$

All conditions of Definition 5 are therefore satisfied by A_E . Moreover, by construction,

$$\mathbf{m}_E(e) = \mathbf{m}_V(u) \wedge_T \mathbf{m}_V(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{n}_E(e) = \mathbf{n}_V(u) \vee_T \mathbf{n}_V(v),$$

so the two compatibility inequalities in Definition 6 hold with equality. Consequently, G_{TIF} is a Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graph. Since e was arbitrary, the conclusion holds for every edge in E . $\square$

4. Conclusion

This paper investigates Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graphs and establishes a mathematical framework for representing uncertainty from three distinct perspectives while incorporating both membership and non-membership information. These concepts provide a natural extension of intuitionistic fuzzy structures to tripolar settings and offer a basis for modeling more complex forms of uncertain and multi-perspective information.

Future research may further develop these concepts by incorporating higher-order relational structures, particularly HyperGraphs [55,56] and SuperHyperGraphs [23], thereby enabling the representation of more complex and hierarchical interactions under tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy information. It would also be worthwhile to investigate analogous extensions in other areas of mathematics, including topology, algebra, and group theory. Such developments may contribute to a broader theoretical framework for Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Structures and facilitate their application to increasingly complex mathematical and real-world systems.

Funding

This study did not receive any financial or external support from organizations or individuals.

Acknowledgments

We extend our sincere gratitude to everyone who provided insights, review, inspiration, and assistance throughout this research. We particularly thank our readers for their interest and acknowledge the authors of the cited works for laying the foundation that made our study possible. We also appreciate the support from individuals and institutions that provided the resources and infrastructure needed to produce and share this paper. Finally, we are grateful to all those who supported us in various ways during this project.

Data Availability

This research is purely theoretical, involving no data collection or analysis. We encourage future researchers to pursue empirical investigations to further develop and validate the concepts introduced here.

Ethical Approval

As this research is entirely theoretical in nature and does not involve human participants or animal subjects, no ethical approval is required.

Use of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Tools

I use generative AI and AI-assisted tools for tasks such as English grammar checking, and I do not employ them in any way that violates ethical standards.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors confirm that there are no conflicts of interest related to the research or its publication.

Disclaimer (Conversion from LaTeX to Word)

Since this manuscript was generated by converting LaTeX source into a Word document, minor formatting discrepancies may have occurred. We have made every effort to eliminate errors as much as possible, but we kindly ask for your understanding in advance.

References

1. Pedrycz, Witold, and Fernando Gomide. *An introduction to fuzzy sets: analysis and design*. the MIT Press, 1998.
2. Wang, Haibin, et al. *interval neutrosophic sets and logic: theory and applications in computing*. Vol. 5. Infinite Study, 2005.
3. Ali, Mumtaz, and Florentin Smarandache. "Complex neutrosophic set." *Neural computing and applications* 28.7 (2017): 1817-1834.
4. Mallick, Rama, and Surapati Pramanik. *Pentapartitioned neutrosophic set and its properties*. Vol. 36. Infinite Study, 2020.
5. Smarandache, Florentin. *Plithogenic set, an extension of crisp, fuzzy, intuitionistic fuzzy, and neutrosophic sets-revisited*. Infinite study, 2018.
6. Leyva-Vázquez, Maikel Yelandi, and Florentin Smarandache. *Plithogenic Tensor Neutrosophic Logic: A Formal Foundation for Declared-Loss Evaluation in Large Language Models*. Infinite Study, 2026.
7. EBANEZAR, LINCY, and MAHESWARI VANNIARAJAN. "DOMINATING ENERGY IN CUBIC BIPOLAR FUZZY GRAPHS." *Journal of Science and Arts* 26.2 (2026): 435-448.
8. Rehman, Ubaid ur, et al. "Selection of Optimal Combined Heat and Power Systems for Industrial Energy Needs by Using Bipolar Fuzzy Rough MCDM Based on Schweizer Sklar Operators." *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems* (2026).

9. Deli, Irfan, Mumtaz Ali, and Florentin Smarandache. "Bipolar neutrosophic sets and their application based on multi-criteria decision making problems." *2015 International conference on advanced mechatronic systems (ICAMechS)*. IEEE, 2015.
10. Pramanik, Surapati, et al. "Cross entropy measures of bipolar and interval bipolar neutrosophic sets and their application for multi-attribute decision-making." *Axioms* 7.2 (2018): 21.
11. Maity, Krishna Rani, and Madhumangal Pal. "A novel approach on m-polar fuzzy set with Dombi power aggregation operators to solve multi-attribute decision-making problems." *TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics* 15.9 (2025): 2313-2330.
12. Alharbi, Hilah Awad, and Kholood Mohammad Alsager. "Fermatean m-polar fuzzy soft rough sets with application to medical diagnosis." *AIMS Mathematics* 10.6 (2025): 14314-14346.
13. Wattanasiripong, Nuttapong, Nuchanat Tiprachot, and Somsak Lekkoksung. "On tripolar complex fuzzy sets and their application in ordered semigroups." *International Journal of Analysis and Applications* 23 (2025): 139-139.
14. Phukhaengsi, Anothai, Pannawit Khamrot, and Thiti Gaketem. "Tripolar Fuzzy (m, n)-Quasi-Ideals of Ordered Semigroups." *International Journal of Analysis and Applications* 24 (2026): 156-156.
15. Minhaj, et al. "Advancing AI-Powered Assistive Technologies with Tripolar Fuzzy Integration: Enhancing Decision-Making for Individuals with Disabilities" *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems* 28.3 (2026): 972-981.
16. Rao, M. Murali Krishna, and B. Venkateswarlu. "Tripolar fuzzy interior ideals of a γ -semiring." *Asia Pacific Journal of Mathematics*. Vol. 5, No. 2 (2018), pp. 192–207.
17. Anbarasi, P., and A. Arokiamary. "Balanced Intuitionistic Tripolar Fuzzy Graphs." *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research* 5.8 (2018): 166-176.
18. Azeem, Muhammad, et al. "Plithogenic fuzzy graph: A study of fundamental properties and potential applications." *Journal of Dynamics and Games* 12.3 (2025): 196-214.
19. Firmansah, Fery. "The development of new operations for tensor products of product fuzzy graphs, strong product fuzzy graphs, and complete product fuzzy graphs." *Sainmatika: Jurnal Ilmiah Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam* 22.2 (2025): 140-145.
20. Zhao, Kaina, et al. "A synthetic minority class oversampling technique based on fuzzy hypergraph." *Applied Soft Computing* (2026): 115813.
21. Datta, Rajashik, et al. "Fuzzy Hypergraph Feature Association Map for High-Dimensional Feature Selection in Agriculture and Remote Sensing." *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems* (2026): 1-14.
22. Yin, Tao, et al. "Fuzzy Dynamic Hypergraph Neural Network Based on Fused Granule." *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence* (2026).
23. Takaaki Fujita, Ajoy Kanti Das, Suman Das, Sankar Prasad Mondal, Fuzzy Off-SuperHyperGraphs: Extending Uncertainty Modeling Beyond Classical Boundaries, Neutrosophic Knowledge, 14-30, Vol. 09 , 2026.
24. Fujita, Takaaki, and Florentin Smarandache. "Fuzzy and Neutrosophic Directed Superhypergraphs: Definitions, Properties, and Illustrative Real-World Applications in Decision-Making." *IAENG Int. J. Appl. Math* 56.5 (2026).
25. Krishnaraj, Sivaranjani, et al. "Seidel Laplacian energy of bipolar fuzzy graphs and enhanced score functions for decision-making applications." *AIMS Mathematics* 10.7 (2025): 16865-16888.
26. Some, Biswajit, Sourav Mondal, and Anita Pal. "Sombor Index of Bipolar Fuzzy Graph and its Applications in Choosing Mutual Fund Investment and Human Trafficking." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section A: Physical Sciences* (2026): 1-24.
27. Rashmanlou, Hossein, et al. "Some properties of bipolar complex neutrosophic graph." *International Journal of Advanced Intelligence Paradigms* 30.5 (2025): 450-471.
28. Linganathan, Shayathri, and Purusotham Singamsetty. "BIPOLAR NEUTROSOPHIC MINIMUM SPANNING TREE NETWORK USING UPPER TRIANGULAR WEIGHT/COST ALGORITHM." *Reliability: Theory & Applications* 20.2 (84) (2025): 259-264.
29. Jeevitha, R., D. Arivuoli, and K. Meena. "Optimizing network structures using bipolar single valued neutrosophic minimal spanning tree in tree topology." *2025 3rd International Conference on Advancements in Electrical, Electronics, Communication, Computing and Automation (ICAECA)*. IEEE, 2025.
30. Ghods, Masoud, et al. *Topological indices on single-valued Neutrosophic Graphs*. Infinite Study, 2026.

31. Syropoulos, Apostolos, Basil Papadopoulos, and Binod Kumar Sharma. "Neutrosophic graph rewriting as a computational model." *Neutrosophic Computing and Vague Data Analysis*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2026. 49-63.
32. Deva, K., et al. "Modeling traffic-light systems: A neutrosophic graph approach." *Journal of the Nigerian Society of Physical Sciences* (2026): 3505-3505.
33. TP, Sreelakshmi, and K. Uma Samundesvari. "Total domination concepts in interval-valued neutrosophic graphs." *AIMS Mathematics* 11.6 (2026): 15435-15447.
34. Keerthana, R., S. Venkatesh, and R. U. Gobithaasan. "Replenishment of Bhadla Solar Park using interval valued neutrosophic threshold graph." *International Journal of Mathematics in Operational Research* 34.2 (2026): 137-153.
35. Fujita, Takaaki, Raed Hatamleh, and Ajoy Kanti Das. "Plithogenic Vertex Graph and Neutrosophic Vertex HyperGraph." *Statistics, Optimization & Information Computing* (2026).
36. Fujita, Takaaki, and Florentin Smarandache. "Mixed graph in fuzzy, neutrosophic, and plithogenic graphs." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 74.1 (2024): 41.
37. Fujita, Takaaki. "Hesitant Neutrosophic Network Models for Operations Research: Graphs, Hypergraphs, and Superhypergraphs." *Advances in Neutrosophic Mathematics and Informatics* 1.2 (2026): 25-38.
38. Akram, Muhammad, and Hafiza Saba Nawaz. "Implementation of single-valued neutrosophic soft hypergraphs on human nervous system: M. Akram, HS Nawaz." *Artificial Intelligence Review* 56.2 (2023): 1387-1425.
39. Fujita, T. "On spherical neutrosophic superhypergraphs and complex spherical neutrosophic superhypergraphs." *Int. J. Open Problems Complex Analysis* 18.1 (2026).
40. Fujita, Takaaki. "Extensions of multidirected graphs: Fuzzy, neutrosophic, plithogenic, rough, soft, hypergraph, and superhypergraph variants." *International journal of topology* 2.3 (2025): 11.
41. Chen, Dajiang, Jialiang Xie, and Honghui Wang. "Intrusion detection in industrial internet of things based on granular-ball intuitionistic fuzzy sets." *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems* (2026).
42. Zhao, Zheng, Doo Heon Song, and Kwang Baek Kim. "Fuzzy Attention Convolutional Neural Networks: A Novel Approach Combining Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Deep Learning." *Computers, Materials and Continua* 87.2 (2026).
43. Alkouri, Abd Ulazeez, Osama Ogilat, and Hasan Almutairi. "Bipolar Complex Intuitionistic Fuzzy Lie Algebras." *Mathematics* 14.15 (2026): 2835.
44. Iričanin, Bratislav, et al. "The New Bipolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Space (NBIFM-Space) with Applications." *Axioms* 15.3 (2026): 239.
45. Bhowmik, Monoranjan, and Madhumangal Pal. *Intuitionistic neutrosophic set*. Infinite Study, 2009.
46. Bhowmik, Monoranjan. "Intuitionistic neutrosophic set relations and some of its properties." *Journal of Information and Computing Science* (2010).
47. Parvathi, R., M. G. Karunambigai, and Krassimir T. Atanassov. "Operations on intuitionistic fuzzy graphs." *2009 IEEE international conference on fuzzy systems*. IEEE, 2009.
48. Gani, A. Nagoor, and S. Shajitha Begum. "Degree, order and size in intuitionistic fuzzy graphs." *International journal of algorithms, Computing and Mathematics* 3.3 (2010): 11-16.
49. Parvathi, R., S. Thilagavathi, and M. G. Karunambigai. "Intuitionistic fuzzy hypergraphs." *Cybernetics and Information Technologies* 9.2 (2009): 46-53.
50. Thangaraj, Brinthaguru, and Karthick Palanisamy. "An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Hypergraph Model Enhanced by Forest-of-Walks Dynamics for Medical Image Segmentation." *Mansoura Engineering Journal* 51.4 (2026): 19.
51. Fujita, Takaaki. "Recursive Intuitionistic Fuzzy SuperHyperGraphs." *Journal of Analytical Uncertainty* 1.2 (2026): 52-64.
52. Fujita, Takaaki. "Modeling hierarchical and higher-order uncertainty in IT systems management using strong intuitionistic fuzzy hypergraphs and superhypergraphs." *Journal of Engineering Management and Systems Science* 1.1 (2026): 1-25.
53. Takaaki Fujita (2026). "On Tripolar Fuzzy OffGraph". *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER APPLICATION (IJCA)*, vol. 16, Issue 4, 2026, pp. 13/1-13/9.
54. Takaaki Fujita (2026). "A Mathematical Study of Tripolar Neutrosophic Graphs". *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING & SCIENCE (IJRES)*, vol. 16, Issue 4, 2026, pp. 13/1-13/8.
55. Han, Xiangmin, et al. "Hypergraph foundation model for brain disease diagnosis." *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* 36.10 (2025): 17702-17716.

56. Yue, Juwei, et al. "Hypermem: Hypergraph memory for long-term conversations." *Proceedings of the 64th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. 2026.
57. Fujita, Takaaki. "The hyperfuzzy vikor and hyperfuzzy dematel methods for multi-criteria decision-making." *Spectrum of Decision Making and Applications* 3.1 (2026): 292-315.
58. Priyanka, M., et al. "Hyperfuzzy Solvable and Nilpotent Lie Algebras: Theory and Applications." *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics* 56.7 (2026).