1881.] Mr. T. Craig on Abel's Theorem.

Hence  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(a+b)$ . Also, at the boundary of the given ellipse, we have  $c = \frac{1}{2}(a_1+b_1)$ . The current function for the motion about the

ellipse is therefore  $\psi = -\frac{1}{2}V\left(a+b-\frac{(a_1+b_1)^3}{a+b}\right)\frac{b'}{h}$ .

Here (a, b) are the elliptic coordinates of P, so that the Cartesian coordinates (x, y) of P are

$$x = \frac{aa'}{h}$$
,  $y = \frac{bb'}{h}$ , and  $h^3 = a^3 - b^3 = a'^3 + b'^3$ .

We may also write this current function in the form

$$\psi = -V\left(b - \frac{(a_1 + b_1)b_1}{a + b}\right)\frac{b'}{h}.$$

Note on Abel's Theorem. By THOMAS CRAIG, United States Coast and Geodetic Survey.

[Read February 10th, 1881.]

Denoting by s and z two variables, let

$$F(s,z)=0$$

express an algebraic relation connecting them and one which is incapable of reduction to any simpler form. The solution of this equation gives s as a many-valued function of z, and one for the single-valued spread of which we require a Riemann's, say 2p + 1-fold, surface, which we may denote by R. Denoting then by f a rational function of s and s, and considering, as usual, only discontinuities of a polar nature, let

 $p_1, p_2 \dots p_{\bullet}$ denote the poles of f, and  $q_1, q_2 \dots q_{\bullet}$ the zero points of f. Draw, in the usual manner, the systems of cuts ordinarily denoted by a, b, which have the effect of reducing the surface R to a simply-connected surface, say  $R_1$ . Draw also the non-intersecting lines  $p_1, \dots, q_{I}$ ,

$$p_1 \dots q_1, p_2 \dots q_2, \dots p_2, \dots p_2, \dots q_2,$$

upon  $R_1$ . If we should conceive  $R_1$  cut through along these lines (pq) we should obviously have a new surface, part of whose boundaries would be the two sides of these cuts; without actually cutting the surface, however, we may still consider the lines (pq) as the partial boundaries of the new surface, which we may denote by  $R_1$ . With the ordinary conventions for the positive bounding of a surface, we may

say at once, without entering into elementary explanation, that whatever value  $\log f$  may have upon the negative side of one of the lines (pq), its value on the positive side will be greater than on the negative by  $2\pi i$ . Also, the value of log f on the positive side of a will be greater than on the negative side by  $2m\pi i$ , and on the positive of b greater than on the negative side by  $2n\pi i$ ; m and n being integers which in general depend upon the form of the function f and the position of the cuts a, b. Let  $z_k$  denote a particular point on the surface R where, in general, g sheets hang together. Calling the indefinitely small area immediately surrounding a point the region (Gebiet) of that point, we know that the region of any point z, is formed by a line which goes round the point g times  $(g = 1, 2, 3 \dots p)$ . In order to prove the theorem in view, it is necessary to find a function, say w, which will

give the integral 
$$\int \log f dw = 0$$
  
over the boundary of a modification of the surface  $B_{11}$ ; i.e., we must  
find a function w such that  $\log f \frac{dw}{dz}$   
shall be single-valued over the modified form of  $B_{11}$ . Denoting then by

$$\frac{dw}{dz}$$

a rational function of s and s, we can develop this function in the region of a point  $s_k$  (the points  $z_k$  are supposed not to coincide with the points

(p, q) in ascending powers of  $(z-z_k)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ , i.e.,

$$\frac{dw}{dz} = (s-s_k)^{\frac{p}{p}} \{ E_{p} + (s-z_k) E_{p+1} + (z-z_k)^{\frac{q}{p}} E_{p+2} + \dots \}.$$

For the poles of  $\frac{dw}{ds}$  we need only consider the negative values of  $\mu$ , that is, we need this development only in the regions of points  $z_k$  where

 $\mu$  is negative. For an infinitely distant point we, of course, have

$$\frac{dw}{ds} = s^{-\frac{2}{9}} \{ E'_{*} + s^{-1} E'_{*+1} + s^{-2} E'_{*+2} + \dots \}.$$

Around each point  $s_k$  draw a small circle on  $R_{11}$ , which denote by  $c_k$ ;  $c_k$ in general goes round the point  $c_k g$  times. Similarly, draw a circle  $c_i$ around the origin as centre, enclosing all the points  $s_k$ , and excluding points  $z_{\infty}$ ; let now  $R_{11}$  be cut through along these circles, and a new surface  $R_{\rm m}$  will be formed, which is the modification of  $R_{\rm m}$  referred to above. The boundaries of  $R_{111}$  are formed by the cuts a, b, the lines (pq), and the circular cuts  $c_k$  and  $c_i$ . The function

$$\frac{dw}{dz}\log f$$

is now, as we know by the elementary properties of the theory of functions, a single-valued and continuous function all over  $R_{111}$ , and we

therefore have 
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_{m}} \log f \, dw = 0.$$

Denoting now by A and B the moduli of periodicity of the function w at the cuts a and b, we have, by separation of this integral into its several parts,

$$2\pi i \sum_{p_i} \int_{p_i}^{q_i} dw + 2\pi i \sum_{j} n_j B_j - 2\pi i \sum_{j} m_j A_j + \sum_{k} \int_{c_k} \log f \, dw + \sum_{i} \int_{c_i} \log f \, dw = 0.$$

For the last two integrals we need only, as before, to develop  $\log f$  in the region of the points  $z_k$ ; thus

$$\log f = G_0 + G_1 (z - z_k)^{\frac{1}{g}} + G_3 (z - z_k)^{\frac{g}{g}} + \dots,$$

and outside the circle  $c_t$ 

$$\log f = G'_0 + G'_1 z^{-\frac{1}{g}} + G'_2 z^{-\frac{3}{g}} + \dots$$

For the quantities E, G, E', G', we have obviously

$$E_{n} = \frac{1}{n-\mu} \frac{d^{n-\mu}}{d\zeta^{n-\mu}} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^{-\mu} \frac{dw}{dz} \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} z = z_{k} \\ s = s_{k} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$E_{n}' = \frac{1}{n-\nu} \frac{d^{n-\nu}}{d\eta^{n-\nu}} \begin{bmatrix} \eta^{-\nu} \frac{dw}{dz} \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} z = \infty \\ s = s_{k} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\zeta = (z-z_{k})^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

in which

$$\eta = z^{-\frac{1}{g}},$$

 $s_i$  being the value of s for  $z = \infty$ . Also, we have

$$G_n = \frac{1}{n!} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d^n \log f}{d\zeta^n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} s = s_k \\ s = s_k \end{bmatrix}$$
$$G'_n = \frac{1}{n!} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d^n \log f}{d\eta^n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} s = \infty \\ s = s_k \end{bmatrix}$$

Substitution of these in the values of  $\log f$ , given above, conducts in a very simple manner to

$$\int_{o_k} \log f \, dw = 2\pi i g \{ G_0 E_{-g} + G_1 E_{-g-1} + \dots \},$$
$$\int_{o_t} \log f \, dw = 2\pi i g \{ G_0 E_g' + G_1 E_{g-1}' + \dots \}.$$

We have, therefore, the required theorem in the form

$$\sum_{i} \int_{p_{i}}^{q_{i}} dw + \sum_{j} m_{j} B_{j} - \sum_{j} n_{j} A_{j}$$
$$+ \sum_{k} g \{ G_{0} E_{-g} + G_{1} E_{-g-1} + \dots + G_{-p-g} E_{p} \}$$
$$+ \sum_{j} g \{ G_{0} E_{g} + G_{1} E_{g-1} + \dots + G_{g-p} E_{p} \} = 0,$$

 $2\pi i$  being a common factor, and so disappearing.

On some Definite Integrals expressible in terms of the First Complete Elliptic Integral and of Gamma Functions. By J. W. L. GLAISHER, M.A., F.R.S.

[Read February 10th, 1881.]

1. Theorem.-If the value of the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(t^3)\,dt$$

be denoted by A, then

$$\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty}\varphi\left(x^4+2x^2y^2\cos 2\gamma+y^4\right)dxdy=\frac{1}{2}A\cdot F^1\left(\sin\gamma\right);$$

where  $F^1(\sin a)$  denotes the complete elliptic integral of the first kind whose modulus is  $\sin \gamma$ .

To prove this, substitute  $\sqrt{c} \cdot t$  for t in the equation

$$A = \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(t^{3}) dt,$$
$$\frac{A}{\sqrt{c}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(ct^{3}) dt;$$

and we have

whence, putting  $c = 1 - k^3 \sin^3 \theta$ ,

$$\frac{A}{\sqrt{(1-k^2\sin^2\theta)}} = \int_0^\infty \phi \left(t^2 - k^3 t^2 \sin^2\theta\right) dt;$$

and therefore, integrating with regard to  $\theta$  between the limits 0 and  $\pi_{\star}$ 

$$A \int_0^{\bullet} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{(1-k^3\sin^3\theta)}} = \int_0^{\bullet} \int_0^{\bullet} \phi \left(t^3 - k^3t^3\sin^3\theta\right) dt d\theta$$
$$= \int_0^{\bullet} \int_0^{\bullet} \phi \left(t^3 - 4k^3t^3\sin^3\frac{1}{2}\theta\cos^3\frac{1}{2}\theta\right) dt d\theta.$$

Transforming the double integral by putting  $r^2 = t$  and replacing  $\frac{1}{2}\theta$  by