

*Lamé's Differential Equation.* By A. G. GREENHILL.

[*Read May 10th, 1888.*]

1. This differential equation, in the form

$$\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = n(n+1) k^2 \operatorname{sn}^2 x + h,$$

employing Jacobi's notation of the elliptic functions, has been solved completely by M. Hermite (*Sur quelques applications des fonctions elliptiques*, Paris, 1885, a collection of articles from the *Comptes Rendus*).

But the advantage of the notation of Weierstrass has been pointed out by M. Halphen (*Mémoire sur la réduction des équations différentielles linéaires aux formes intégrables*, Paris, 1884); the differential equation of Lamé then takes the form

$$\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = n(n+1) \wp x + h \dots\dots\dots (1),$$

where  $\wp x$  is Weierstrass's elliptic function; and the solution of Hermite then takes the form

$$y = CF(x) + C'F(-x) \dots\dots\dots (2),$$

where  $F(x)$  is a doubly periodic function of the second kind (*fonction doublement périodique de seconde espèce*), which, according to Hermite, can be expressed in the form

$$F(x) = D_x^{n-1} \phi(x) - A_1 D_x^{n-3} \phi(x) + A_2 D_x^{n-5} \phi(x) - \dots \dots\dots (3),$$

where  $\phi(x)$ , called the simple element, expressed by Weierstrass's  $\sigma$  and  $\zeta$  functions, is of the form

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\sigma(x+\omega)}{\sigma x \sigma \omega} \exp(\lambda - \zeta \omega) x \dots\dots\dots (4);$$

and Halphen has shown (*Fonctions elliptiques*) that, when  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $\phi(x)$  satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = \frac{\phi'' x}{\phi x} = 2\wp x + \wp \omega \dots\dots\dots (5),$$

Lamé's differential equation for  $n = 1$ .

2. In order to obtain the coefficients  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  in (3), the functions  $F(x)$  and  $\wp x$  are expanded in powers of  $x$  in the neighbourhood of  $x = 0$ , in the form

$$(-1)^{n-1} F(x) = \frac{(n-1)!}{x^n} - \frac{A_1(n-3)!}{x^{n-2}} + \frac{A_2(n-5)!}{x^{n-4}} - \dots \quad (6),$$

$$\wp x = \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{g_2 x^2}{20} + \frac{g_3 x^4}{28} + \dots \quad (7),$$

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{x} + \lambda + (\lambda^2 + P_2) \frac{x}{2!} + (\lambda^3 + 3P_2\lambda + P_3) \frac{x^2}{3!} + \dots$$

(Halphen, *Fonctions elliptiques*, 1., p. 231), and then, substituting in the differential equation, we find

$$A_1 = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2(2n-1)} h,$$

$$A_2 = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{8(2n-1)(2n-3)} \left\{ h^2 - \frac{n(n+1)(2n-1)}{10} g_2 \right\},$$

$$A_3 = \dots \quad (8).$$

3. But, if we suppose that a particular solution  $\Phi(x)$  of (1) is of the form

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{\sigma(x+a_1)\sigma(x+a_2)\dots\sigma(x+a_n)}{\sigma a_1 \sigma a_2 \dots \sigma a_n (\sigma x)^n} \exp(-\zeta a_1 - \zeta a_2 - \dots - \zeta a_n) x,$$

the product of  $n$  simple elements, each of the form

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\sigma(x+a)}{\sigma a \sigma x} \exp(-\zeta a) x,$$

and if we seek to satisfy the differential equation (1), we shall have, putting  $y = \Phi(x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{y} \frac{dy}{dx} &= \Sigma \{ \zeta(x+a) - \zeta x - \zeta a \} \\ &= \Sigma \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp' x - \wp' a}{\wp x - \wp a}, \\ \frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} &= \Sigma \{ \wp x - \wp(x+a) \} + \left( \frac{1}{y} \frac{dy}{dx} \right)^2 \\ &= \Sigma \{ \wp x - \wp(x+a) \} + \frac{1}{4} \Sigma \left( \frac{\wp' x - \wp' a}{\wp x - \wp a} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma \left( \frac{\wp' x - \wp' a_r}{\wp x - \wp a_r} \right) \left( \frac{\wp' x - \wp' a_s}{\wp x - \wp a_s} \right) \\ &= 2n \wp x + \Sigma \wp a + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma ( ) ( ) \\ &= n(n+1) \wp x + (2n-1) \Sigma \wp a, \end{aligned}$$

provided that we can make

$$\frac{1}{3} \Sigma \left( \frac{\rho' x - \rho' a_r}{\rho x - \rho a_r} \right) \left( \frac{\rho' x - \rho' a_s}{\rho x - \rho a_s} \right) = n(n-1) \rho x + (2n-2) \Sigma \rho a,$$

and then  $h = (2n-1) \Sigma \rho a$ .

The necessary conditions for the above relation to hold are

$$\Sigma \rho' a = 0, \quad \Sigma \rho a \rho' a = 0, \quad \Sigma (\rho a)^2 \rho' a = 0, \quad \dots \quad \Sigma (\rho a)^{n-2} \rho' a = 0,$$

as Brioschi has demonstrated (*Comptes Rendus*, xcii., p. 325); then

$$\Sigma (\rho a)^{n-1} \rho' a = C = f'(\rho) \rho' v,$$

putting, with Brioschi,  $h = n(2n-1)\rho$ , and then  $\rho = \rho v$ .

Here  $f(\rho v)$  denotes the product  $\Phi(x)\Phi(-x)$  of two particular solutions  $\Phi(x)$  and  $\Phi(-x)$  of (1); and thus

$$f(\rho v) = \Pi(\rho v - \rho a),$$

and  $\Sigma \rho a = n\rho = n\rho v$ ,

$$h = n(2n-1)\rho v.$$

These conditions of Brioschi may be replaced by

$$\Sigma \rho' a = 0, \quad \Sigma \rho'' a = 0, \quad \dots \quad \Sigma \rho^{(2n-3)} a = 0,$$

and  $\Sigma \rho^{(2n-1)} a = N f'(\rho) \rho' v$ .

4. In order to compare the two solutions  $F(x)$  and  $\Phi(x)$  of the differential equation (1), it will be necessary to decompose  $\Phi(x)$  into simple elements of the form  $\phi(x)$  of (4), and of its derivatives (Halphen, *Fonctions elliptiques*, i., p. 228), and then we shall find

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \dots + a_n = \omega,$$

$$\zeta\omega - \zeta a_1 - \zeta a_2 - \dots - \zeta a_n = \lambda.$$

Differentiating  $\Phi(x)$  logarithmically,

$$\frac{\Phi'(x)}{\Phi(x)} = \Sigma \{ \zeta(x+a) - \zeta x - \zeta a \}$$

$$= -\frac{n}{x} + B_2 \frac{x}{1!} + B_3 \frac{x^2}{2!} + B_4 \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_2 &= -\Sigma \wp a = -n \wp v, \\
 B_3 &= -\Sigma \wp' a = 0, \\
 B_4 &= -\Sigma \wp'' a + \frac{n g_2}{10}, \quad B_5 = 0, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{x^n} \left( 1 + P_2 \frac{x^2}{2!} + P_4 \frac{x^4}{4!} + \dots \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_2 &= B_2, \quad P_4 = B_4 + 3B_2^2, \\
 P_6 &= B_6 + 15B_2 B_4 + 15B_2^3, \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently the decomposition of  $\Phi(x)$  into simple elements is of the form

$$(-1)^{n-1} \Phi(x) = \frac{D_x^{n-1} \phi(x)}{(n-1)!} + \frac{P_2}{2!} \frac{D_x^{n-3} \phi(x)}{(n-3)!} + \frac{P_4}{4!} \frac{D_x^{n-5} \phi(x)}{(n-5)!} + \dots,$$

and

$$F(x) = (n-1)! (-1)^{n-1} \Phi(x),$$

$$P_2 = -n \wp v,$$

$$P_4 = \frac{3n}{2n-3} \left\{ n(2n-1) \wp^3 v - \frac{1}{10} (n+1) g_2 \right\}$$

whence

$$\Sigma \wp v = n \wp v,$$

$$\Sigma \wp'' v = -\frac{n^2 \wp'' v}{2n-3},$$

$\Sigma \wp^{(2n)} a$  being, in general, an integral function of  $\wp v$ .

5. The differential equation for  $Y = f(\wp v)$ , the product of  $\Phi(x)$  and  $\Phi(-x)$ , two solutions of Lamé's equation, is easily formed (Halphen, *Fonctions elliptiques*, II., p. 498).

For, if we take the linear differential equation of the second order in its canonical form,

$$\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = I,$$

and if  $y$  and  $z$  are two particular solutions, so that

$$Y = yz,$$

then, denoting differentiation by accents,

$$Y' = y'z + yz',$$

$$Y'' = y''z + 2y'z' + yz'',$$

$$= 2Iyz + 2y'z',$$

or 
$$Y'' - 2IY = 2y'z',$$

and 
$$Y''' - 2IY' - 2I'Y = 2y''z' + 2y'z''$$

$$= 2I(yz' + y'z) = 2IY',$$

or 
$$Y''' - 4IY' - 2I'Y = 0,$$

a differential equation of the third order for  $Y$ , the general solution of which is 
$$ay^2 + 2byz + cz^2,$$

with  $y^2$ ,  $yz$ , and  $z^2$  for particular solutions.

6. In Lamé's differential equation

$$I = n(n+1)\wp v + h,$$

$$Y''' - 4\{n(n+1)\wp v + h\}Y' - 2n(n+1)\wp'xY = 0,$$

and this equation has, as a particular solution, a rational integral function of  $\wp v$  of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  degree, which is

$$Y = f(\wp v) = \Pi(\wp v - \wp a).$$

Then 
$$\frac{Y'}{Y} = \frac{y'}{y} + \frac{z'}{z} = \Sigma \frac{\wp'x}{\wp v - \wp a};$$

also, since 
$$y''z - yz'' = 0,$$

therefore 
$$y'z - yz' = C,$$

or 
$$\frac{y'}{y} - \frac{z'}{z} = \frac{C}{Y} = \frac{C}{\Pi(\wp v - \wp a)},$$

and this, according to Briochi, may, when resolved into partial fractions, be replaced by 
$$\Sigma \frac{\wp'a}{\wp v - \wp a}$$

Thus 
$$\frac{y'}{y} = \Sigma \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'x + \wp'a}{\wp v - \wp a},$$

$$\frac{z'}{z} = \Sigma \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp'x - \wp'a}{\wp v - \wp a},$$

leading to the solution given above in § 3.

7. For certain particular values of  $h$  we obtain the solutions originally considered by Lamé (Ferrers, *Spherical Harmonics*, Chap. vi.), which

are rational integral functions of  $\wp x$  and  $\wp'x$ ; but these have recently been shown by Halphen (*Fonctions elliptiques*, II., p. 273) to be identical with binary forms  $Z$ , which are identical with the covariant

$$\Phi_{22} Z_{11} - 2\Phi_{12} Z_{12} + \Phi_{11} Z_{22},$$

composed of  $Z$  and a form  $\Phi$  of the fourth degree.

8. Consider the particular cases of  $n = 1, 2$ , and 3.

Case I.,  $n = 1$ . The differential equation is then

$$\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = 2\wp x + \wp v,$$

the solution of which is

$$y = CF(x) + C'F(-x),$$

where  $F(x) = \frac{\sigma(x+v)}{\sigma x \sigma v} \exp(-x\zeta v)$   
(Halphen, p. 235).

Case II.,  $n = 2$ . The differential equation is then

$$\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = 6\wp x + \zeta \wp v;$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= D_x \frac{\sigma(x+\omega)}{\sigma x \sigma \omega} \exp(\lambda - \zeta \omega)x, \\ &= -\frac{\sigma(x+\alpha_1)\sigma(x+\alpha_2)}{\sigma \alpha_1 \sigma \alpha_2 (\sigma x)^2} \exp(-\zeta \alpha_1 - \zeta \alpha_2)x, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \omega,$$

$$\lambda = \zeta \omega - \zeta \alpha_1 - \zeta \alpha_2,$$

$$\wp \alpha_1 + \wp \alpha_2 = 2\wp v,$$

$$\wp' \alpha_1 + \wp' \alpha_2 = 0,$$

$$\wp v - \wp \omega = \frac{\wp'^2 v}{2\wp'' v},$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\wp' \omega}{\wp v - \wp \omega} = \zeta \omega - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(\omega + v) - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(\omega - v) = \frac{\wp' \omega \wp'' v}{\wp'^2 v},$$

$$\lambda^2 - 3\wp \omega = 2(\wp v - \wp \omega) = \frac{\wp' \omega}{\lambda}, \text{ \&c.}$$

Case III.,  $n = 3$ . The differential equation is

$$\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = 12\wp x + 1\wp \wp v,$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(x) &= D_x^2 \frac{\sigma(x+\omega)}{\sigma x \sigma \omega} \exp(\lambda - \zeta \omega) x \\
 &\quad - 3\wp v \frac{\sigma(x+\omega)}{\sigma x \sigma \omega} \exp(\lambda - \zeta \omega) x, \\
 &= 2 \frac{\sigma(x+a_1) \sigma(x+a_2) \sigma(x+a_3)}{\sigma a_1 \sigma a_2 \sigma a_3 (\sigma x)^3} \exp(-\zeta a_1 - \zeta a_2 - \zeta a_3) x,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 + a_2 + a_3 &= \omega, \\
 \lambda &= \zeta \omega - \zeta a_1 - \zeta a_2 - \zeta a_3, \\
 \wp a_1 + \wp a_2 + \wp a_3 &= 3\wp v, \\
 \wp' a_1 + \wp' a_2 + \wp' a_3 &= 0, \\
 \Sigma \wp' a &= 0, \\
 \frac{\wp' \omega}{\lambda} &= \lambda^2 - 3\wp \omega - 9\wp v \\
 &= 2(\wp v - \wp \omega) - \frac{3\wp^2 v}{2\wp'' v}, \text{ \&c.}
 \end{aligned}$$

In interpreting the results of M. Hermite (*Sur quelques applications*, &c., pp. 124-129) in this notation, we must take his

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega &= \wp \omega, \quad \Omega_1 = \frac{1}{2} \wp' \omega, \\
 \Omega_2 &= \wp^2 \omega - \frac{1}{2} g_2, \quad \Omega_3 = \frac{1}{2} \wp \omega \wp' \omega, \dots \\
 h &= -5l = 15\wp v,
 \end{aligned}$$

and generally

$$h = n(2n-1)\wp v, \quad a = \frac{3}{4}g_2, \quad b = \frac{3}{4}g_2, \quad 4a^3 - b^3 = \frac{3}{16}\Delta, \dots$$

The cases of  $n = 4$  and  $n = 5$  are also investigated by Halphen in his *Fonctions elliptiques*, II., p. 529, but the complexity increases very rapidly.

9. The origin of Lamé's differential equation in connection with physical problems relating to confocal quadric surfaces was explained in *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, XVIII., p. 275, employing the notation of Weierstrass.

Putting, in the usual notation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a^2 + \lambda &= \wp u - e_1, \quad b^2 + \lambda = \wp u - e_2, \quad c^2 + \lambda = \wp u - e_3, \\
 a^2 + \mu &= \wp v - e_1, \quad b^2 + \mu = \wp v - e_2, \quad c^2 + \mu = \wp v - e_3, \\
 a^2 + \nu &= \wp w - e_1, \quad b^2 + \nu = \wp w - e_2, \quad c^2 + \nu = \wp w - e_3,
 \end{aligned}$$

then Poisson's equation becomes

$$(\wp v - \wp w) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial u^2} + (\wp w - \wp u) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial v^2} + (\wp u - \wp v) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial w^2} = 0,$$

and supposing that  $\phi$  may be decomposed into terms of the form  $UVW$ , where  $U$  is a function of  $u$ ,  $V$  of  $v$ , and  $W$  of  $w$  only, then

$$(\wp v - \wp w) \frac{d^2 U}{U du^2} + (\wp w - \wp u) \frac{d^2 V}{V dv^2} + (\wp u - \wp v) \frac{d^2 W}{W dw^2} = 0,$$

equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{U} \frac{d^2 U}{du^2} = g \wp u + h,$$

$$\frac{1}{V} \frac{d^2 V}{dv^2} = g \wp v + h,$$

$$\frac{1}{W} \frac{d^2 W}{dw^2} = g \wp w + h;$$

and  $g$  must be put equal to  $n(n+1)$  for the solution of these equations to be a *uniform* function.

It is usual to take  $e_1 > e_2 > e_3$ , so that we must suppose  $a^2, b^2, c^2$  to be in ascending order of magnitude.

10. In dealing with spheroidal harmonics, two of these three quantities are equal.

For oblate spheroids,  $b^2 = c^2$ , and  $e_2 = e_3$ ; and we can choose the constants so that

$$\wp u - e_1 = \cot^2 u, \quad \wp u - e_2 = \operatorname{cosec}^2 u,$$

by making

$$e_1 = \frac{2}{3}, \quad e_2 = e_3 = -\frac{1}{3}.$$

For prolate spheroids,  $a^2 = b^2$ , and  $e_1 = e_2$ , and then, by making

$$e_1 = e_2 = \frac{1}{3}, \quad e_3 = -\frac{2}{3},$$

$$\wp u - e_2 = \coth^2 u, \quad \wp u - e_1 = \operatorname{cosech}^2 u.$$

The corresponding Lamé equations are then of the form

$$\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{du^2} = n(n+1) \operatorname{cosec}^2 u + h,$$

or

$$= n(n+1) \operatorname{cosech}^2 u + h,$$

the solution of which can be expressed in Hermite's manner by the corresponding degenerate circular or hyperbolic functions.



11. For instance, the solution in general being written

$$y = CF(x) + C'F(-x),$$

for the particular case of ( $n = 1$ )

$$\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = 2 \operatorname{cosec}^2 x + \cot^2 a$$

we have  $F(x) = \frac{\sin(x+a)}{\sin x \sin a} e^{-x \cot a} = (\cot x + \cot a) e^{-x \cot a}$ ;

and for ( $n = 2$ )  $\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = 6 \operatorname{cosec}^2 x + \cot^2 a$ ,

$$F(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \frac{\sin(x+b)}{\sin x \sin b} e^{-x \cot a} \right\},$$

where  $\cot b = \frac{1}{3} \cot a - \frac{2}{3} \tan a$ ;

with corresponding expressions when the circular functions are replaced by hyperbolic functions; and so on for other particular cases which can be indefinitely multiplied.

12. A still more degenerate case is obtained by supposing that

$$e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = 0;$$

then  $a^2 = b^2 = c^2$ ,

and  $\rho u = \frac{1}{u^2}$ ,

and we obtain the ordinary spherical harmonics as the solution of Laplace's equation.

Then Lamé's equation degenerates into

$$\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = \frac{n(n+1)}{x^2} + h,$$

the differential equation discussed in Boole's *Differential Equations*, p. 424; Forsyth's *Differential Equations*, p. 176; also by Glaisher.

Thus, if we take  $n = 1$  and  $h = q^2$ , we have

$$y = CF(x) + C'F(-x),$$

the solution of  $\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = \frac{2}{x^2} + q^2$ ,

where  $F(x) = \left( \frac{1}{x} + q \right) e^{-qx}$ ;

while  $y = C \left( \frac{1}{x} \cos qx + q \sin qx \right) + O' \left( \frac{1}{x} \sin qx - q \cos qx \right)$

is the solution of  $\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = \frac{2}{x^2} - q^2$ .

13. The differential equation for the propagation of an impulsive jerk  $T$  along a uniform chain lying in a curve on a smooth table is

$$\frac{1}{T'} \frac{d^2 T}{ds^2} = \frac{1}{\rho^2},$$

and is therefore soluble in the manner explained above for curves in which the intrinsic equation

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2} = I = n(n+1) \wp s + h;$$

but these curves do not appear to possess any simple properties.

14. Consider the differential equation

$$\frac{1}{y} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sech}^2 x;$$

this is the form assumed by the differential equation for  $K$  and  $K'$ , given in Cayley's *Elliptic Functions*, p. 51, when we put

$$k^2 = \frac{1}{1+e^{2x}}, \quad k'^2 = \frac{1}{1+e^{-2x}};$$

or  $k^2 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \tanh x)$ ,  $k'^2 = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \tanh x)$ ,

so that its solution is  $y = CK + O'K'$ ;

or  $T = CK + O'K'$

is the solution of  $\frac{1}{T'} \frac{d^2 T}{ds^2} = \frac{1}{\rho^2}$ ,

if  $\frac{1}{\rho^2} = \frac{1}{4c^2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{s}{c}$ ,

and then  $k^2 = \frac{1}{1+e^{2s/c}}$ ,  $k'^2 = \frac{1}{1+e^{-2s/c}}$ ,

or  $\cos 2\theta = k'^2 - k^2 = \tanh s/c$ ,

$\theta$  denoting the modular angle, so that

$$\frac{1}{2}\pi - 2\theta = \operatorname{gd} s/c.$$

15. In this case the equation of the curve in which the chain lies may be evaluated; for

$$\frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{d\psi}{ds} = -\frac{\operatorname{sech} s/c}{2c},$$

taking the negative sign; and then

$$2\psi = \sin^{-1} \operatorname{sech} s/c,$$

$$\sin 2\psi = \operatorname{sech} s/c,$$

$$\cos 2\psi = \tanh s/c,$$

so that we find

$$\theta = \psi.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \frac{dx}{ds} &= \cos \psi = \sqrt{\left\{ \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos 2\psi) \right\}} \\ &= \sqrt{\left\{ \frac{1}{2} (1 + \tanh s/c) \right\}} = \frac{e^{s/c}}{\sqrt{(e^{2s/c} + 1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{dy}{ds} = \sin \psi = \frac{e^{-s/c}}{\sqrt{(1 + e^{-2s/c})}};$$

and integrating, from  $s = 0$ ,

$$x/c = \sinh^{-1} e^{s/c} - \sinh^{-1} 1,$$

$$y/c = \sinh^{-1} 1 - \sinh^{-1} e^{-s/c};$$

or, putting  $\sinh^{-1} 1 = \alpha = \cosh^{-1} \sqrt{2} = \log(\sqrt{2} + 1)$ ,

$$e^{s/c} = \sinh(x/c + \alpha),$$

$$e^{-s/c} = \sinh(\alpha - y/c),$$

so that

$$\sinh(x/c + \alpha) \sinh(\alpha - y/c) = 1,$$

the Cartesian equation of the curve of the chain, a catenary in which the linear density varies as  $e^{-s/c}$ .

16. We see that

$$x/c + \alpha = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha - y/c = 0$$

are asymptotes; and, changing to them for coordinate axes,

$$\sinh x/c \sinh y/c = 1.$$

This may be written,  $\sinh y/c = \operatorname{cosech} x/c$ ,

$$\cosh y/c = \coth x/c,$$

$$e^{y/c} = \coth x/c + \operatorname{cosech} x/c$$

$$= \coth \frac{1}{2} x/c,$$

or  $y/c = \log \coth \frac{1}{2} x/c,$

or  $x/c = \log \coth \frac{1}{2} y/c.$

17. Lamé's equation has received considerable attention of recent years, and has led to the discovery of a large class of differential equations, also soluble by elliptic functions, for which Halphen's Chapter XIII., t. II., *Fonctions elliptiques*, may be consulted.

Besides the references already given, the following articles may be consulted :—Brioschi, *Annali di Matematica*, IX., p. 11; Fuchs, *Annali di Matematica*, IX., p. 25; Brioschi, *Annali di Matematica*, X., pp. 1 and 74; Mittag-Leffler, *Annali di Matematica*, XI., p. 65; K. Henn, *Math. Annalen*, XXXI. and XXXIII.; A. Pick, *Wiener Sitz.*, Nov., 1887.

Thursday, April 11th, 1889.

J. J. WALKER, Esq., F.R.S., President, in the Chair.

Mr. C. E. Haselfoot was admitted into the Society.

The following communications were made :—

On the Free Vibrations of an Infinite Plate of Homogeneous Isotropic Elastic Matter: Lord Rayleigh, Sec. R.S.

Ueber die constanten Factoren der Thetareihen im allgemeinen Falle  $p = 3$ : von Felix Klein in Gottingen.

On the generalised Equations of Elasticity, and their application to the Theory of Light: Prof. K. Pearson.

On the Reduction of a complex Quadratic Surd to a Periodic Continued Fraction: Prof. G. B. Mathews.

Construction du Centre de Courbure de la développée de la Courbe de Contour apparent d'une surface que l'on projette orthogonalement sur un plan: Prof. Mannheim.

The President made a few remarks "On an unsymmetric quadri-nomial form of the general plane cubic, for which the fundamental invariants are both binomial only."

The Treasurer also made a brief impromptu communication.

The following presents were received :—

"Proceedings of the Royal Society," Vol. XLV., No. 277.

"Proceedings of the Physical Society of London," Vol. X., Part I.

"The Educational Times," for April.