# PAPERS

#### PUBLISHED IN THE

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE LONDON MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

## ON SUB-GROUPS OF A FINITE ABELIAN GROUP

### By HAROLD HILTON.

[Received October 7th, 1906.—Read November 8th, 1906.]

1. Let *H* be a sub-group of order  $p^r$  in a finite Abelian group *G* whose order is  $p^a$  (*p* prime). Let  $t_1$  of the invariants of *H* be 1,  $t_2$  be 2, ...,  $t_m$ be *m*. Let  $u_1$  of the invariants of *G* be 1,  $u_2$  be 2, ...,  $u_m$  be *m*. The quantities  $t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots, u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots$  are zeros or positive integers ( $u_m > 0$ ).

Then 
$$a = u_1 + 2u_2 + 3u_3 + \dots$$
,  $r = t_1 + 2t_2 + 3t_3 + \dots$ ;  
let  $u = u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + \dots$ ,  $t = t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + \dots$ .

We first find the number (X) of ways in which a base  $[g_1, g_2, g_3, ...]$  can be chosen for a sub-group of G of the same type as H.

Let  $[h_1, h_2, h_3, ...]$  be a base of G. Then G contains  $(p^{n_m}-1)p^{a-u_m}$ elements of order  $p^m$ ; namely,  $h_1^{\beta_1}h_2^{\beta_2}h_3^{\beta_3}...$ , where at least one of  $\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_{u_m}$  is prime to  $p.^*$  Therefore  $g_1$  can be chosen in  $(p^{u_m}-1)p^{a-u_m}$  ways.

When  $g_1$  is chosen  $[g_1, h_2, h_3, ...]$  may be taken as a base of G. Then G contains  $(p-1) p^{a^{-n}m}$  elements of order  $p^m$  whose  $p^{m-1}$ -th powers are in  $\{g_1\}$ ; namely,  $g_1^{\beta_1} h_2^{\beta_2} h_3^{\beta_3} ...$ , where  $\beta_2, \beta_3, ..., \beta_{n_m}$  are multiples of p,  $\beta_1$  is prime to p, and  $\beta_{n_m+1}, \beta_{n_m+2}, ...$  are any integers. Therefore G contains  $[(p^{n_m}-1)-(p-1)]p^{n-n_m} = (p^{n_m-1}-1)p^{1+a-n_m}$ 

#### \* See Netto's Algebra, Vol. II., p. 246.

SER. 2. VOL. 5. NO. 944.

elements of order  $p^m$  whose  $p^{m-1}$ -th powers are not in  $\{g_1\}$ ; *i.e.*,  $g_2$  may be chosen in  $(p^{u_m}-1) p^{1+a-u_m}$  ways when  $g_1$  is given.

Again, when  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are chosen  $[g_1, g_2, h_3, h_4, \ldots]$  may be taken as a base of G. Then G contains  $(p^2-1) p^{a^{-u_m}}$  elements of order  $p^m$  whose  $p^{m-1}$ -th powers are in  $\{g_1, g_2\}$ ; namely,  $g_1^{\beta_1} g_2^{\beta_2} h_3^{\beta_3} h_4^{\beta_4} \ldots$ , where  $\beta_3, \beta_4, \ldots, \beta_{u_m}$  are multiples of p,  $\beta_1$  or  $\beta_2$  is prime to p, and  $\beta_{u_m+1}, \beta_{u_m+2}, \ldots$  are any integers. Therefore G contains

$$[(p^{u_m}-1)-(p^2-1)]p^{a-u_m} = (p^{u_m-2}-1)p^{2+a-u_m}$$

elements of order  $p^m$  whose  $p^{m-1}$ -th powers are not in  $\{g_1, g_2\}$ ; *i.e.*,  $g_3$  may be chosen in  $(p^{u_m-2}-1) p^{2+a-u_m}$  ways when  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are given.

This reasoning may be continued to show that the first  $t_m$  generators of the base may be chosen in  $\frac{f(u_m)}{f(u_m - t_m)} p^{t_m(a-u_m)+\frac{1}{2}t_m(t_m-1)}$  ways; where f(k) denotes  $(p^k-1)(p^{k-1}-1)\dots(p^2-1)(p-1)$  and f(0) = 1.

Now, when  $[h_1, h_2, h_3, ...]$  is a base of G every element of order  $p^{m-1}$  in G is contained in the group whose base is

$$[h_1^p, h_2^p, \ldots, h_{u_m}^p, h_{u_m+1}, h_{u_m+2}, \ldots],$$

and conversely. Hence, by reasoning precisely similar to that used above, (? contains  $(p^{u_m+u_{m-1}}-1) p^{a-u_{m-1}-2u_m}$  elements of order  $p^{m-1}$ , and the  $p^{m-2}$ -th powers of  $(p^{t_m}-1) p^{a-u_{m-1}-2u_m}$  of these elements are in  $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{t_m})$ . Hence, as before,  $g_{t_m+1}$  can be chosen in

$$(p^{u_m+u_{m-1}-t_m}-1)p^{t_m+a-u_{m-1}-2u_m}$$

ways when  $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{t_m}$  are given.

Proceeding as before, we see that  $g_{t_m+2}$  can be chosen in

$$(p^{u_m+u_{m-1}-t_m-1}-1)p^{1+t_m+a-u_{m-1}-2u_m}$$

ways when  $g_1, g_2, ..., g_{t_m+1}$  are given. Thus we show that the first  $t_m + t_{m-1}$  generators of the base may be chosen in

$$\frac{f(u_m)}{f(u_m-t_m)} \frac{f(u_m+u_{m-1}-t_m)}{f(u_m+u_{m-1}-t_m-t_{m-1})} \times p^{t_m(a-u_m)+t_{m-1}(a-u_{m-1}-2u_m)+\frac{1}{2}[t_1(t_1-1)+t_2(t_2-1)]+t_mt_{m-1}}$$

ways.

Proceeding in this way, we get

$$X = \frac{f(u_m)}{f(u_m - t_m)} \frac{f(u_m + u_{m-1} - t_m)}{f(u_m + u_{m-1} - t_m - t_{m-1})} \times \frac{f(u_m + u_{m-1} + u_{m-2} - t_m - t_{m-1})}{f(u_m + u_{m-1} + u_{m-2} - t_m - t_{m-1} - t_{m-2})} \cdots p^c$$

$$[c = \frac{1}{2}t(t-1) + u_1(t-t_1) + u_2(2t - t_2 - 2t_1) + u_3(3t - t_3 - 2t_2 - 3t_1) + \dots].$$

To find the number (Y) of distinct bases of any sub-group of G of the same type as H we put  $u_1 = t_1$ ,  $u_2 = t_2$ ,  $u_3 = t_3$ , ... in X. The total number (N) of sub-groups of G of the same type as H is then

$$\frac{X}{Y} = \frac{f(u_m)}{f(t_m) f(u_m - t_m)} \frac{f(u_m + u_{m-1} - t_m)}{f(t_{m-1}) f(u_m + u_{m-1} - t_m - t_{m-1})} \times \frac{f(u_m + u_{m-1} + u_{m-2} - t_m - t_{m-1})}{f(t_{m-2}) f(u_m + u_{m-1} + u_{m-2} - t_m - t_{m-1} - t_{m-2})} \cdots p^d$$

$$[d = (u_1 - t_1)(t - t_1) + (u_2 - t_2)(2t - t_2 - 2t_1) + (u_3 - t_3)(3t - t_3 - 2t_2 - 3t_1) + \dots].$$

The above reasoning shows that the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of sub-groups such as H are

$$u_m + u_{m-1} + \ldots + u_{m-q+1} \ge t_m + t_{m-1} + \ldots + t_{m-q+1}$$
 (q = 1, 2, ..., m);

*i.e.*, the k-th invariant of H is not greater than the k-th invariant of G (k = 1, 2, 3, ...).\*

2. To find the total number (M) of sub-groups of order  $p^r$  in G, we have only to find every set of values of  $t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots$  satisfying the relations

$$u_m + u_{m-1} + \dots + u_{m-q+1} \ge t_m + t_{m-1} + \dots + t_{m-q+1}$$
$$r = t_1 + 2t_2 + 3t_3 + \dots$$

and

Then M is the sum of the corresponding values of N. A general formula giving M for every value of r would probably be somewhat complicated. We can, however, find the simple expression  $\frac{f(u+r-1)}{f(r)f(u-1)}$  for M when  $r \leq$  the smallest invariant of G. In this case

$$u_m = u, \quad u_{m-1} = u_{m-2} = u_{m-3} = \ldots = 0$$

for every sub-group considered, while

$$N = \frac{f(u)}{f(u-t) f(t_1) f(t_2) \dots} p^d$$
  
[d = u(r-t)-rt+t\_1^2+(t\_2+2t\_1) t\_2+(t\_8+2t\_2+3t\_1) t\_8+\dots].  
We have to prove 
$$\frac{f(u+r-1)}{f(r) f(u-1)} = \Sigma(N),$$

the sum being taken for all positive integral or zero values of  $t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots$ 

<sup>\*</sup> In the notation of Burnside's Theory of Groups, § 47,  $n_t \leq m_t$ . Since the above was written Prof. Burnside has informed me that this corrected form of his result was communicated to him by Prof. E. H. Moore, of Chicago, in 1899.

[Nov. 8,

such that ,

$$t = t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + \ldots \leq u, \qquad t_1 + 2t_2 + 3t_3 + \ldots = r.$$

This is obviously true when u = 1. We assume it true for all values of u less than the one considered, and use induction to prove the theorem true in general.

Now 
$$\frac{f(u+r-1)}{f(r)f(u-1)}$$
  
= the coefficient of  $x^r$  in  $p^{-\frac{1}{2}r(r+1)}(1+px)(1+p^2x)\dots(1+p^{u+r-1}x)$ ,

$$p^{-\frac{1}{2}r(r+1)}(1+px)(1+p^{2}x)\dots(1+p^{u}x)(1+p\cdot p^{u}x)(1+p^{2}\cdot p^{u}x)\dots(1+p^{r-1}\cdot p^{u}x)$$
  
=  $p^{-\frac{1}{2}r(r+1)}\sum_{i}p^{\frac{1}{2}t(t+1)}\frac{f(u)}{f(t)f(u-t)}p^{(r-t)u+\frac{1}{2}(r-t)(r-t+1)}\frac{f(r-1)}{f(r-t)f(t-1)}.$ 

But, by our assumption,

$$\frac{f(r-1)}{f(r-t)f(t-1)} = \sum \frac{f(t)}{f(t-\tau)f(\tau_1)f(\tau_2)\dots} p^{\epsilon}$$

$$[e = t(r - t - \tau) - (r - t)\tau + \tau_1^2 + (\tau_2 + 2\tau_1)\tau_2 + (\tau_3 + 2\tau_2 + 3\tau_1)\tau_3 + \dots]$$

for all integral values of  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $\tau_3$ , ... such that

$$\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 + \ldots \leqslant t, \qquad \tau_1 + 2\tau_2 + 3\tau_3 + \ldots = r - t.$$

But, if we put  $t-\tau = t_1$ ,  $\tau_1 = t_2$ ,  $\tau_2 = t_3$ ,  $\tau_3 = t_4$ , ..., we have

$$t = t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + \dots, \qquad t_1 + 2t_2 + 3t_3 + \dots = r.$$

Hence

$$f(r) f(u-1) \qquad f(u-t) f(t_1) f(t_2) \dots^{-1}$$
  
[ $f = e + (r-t) u + \frac{1}{2} \{ t(t+1) + (r-t)(r-t+1) - r(r+1) \} ]$ 

for all values of  $t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots$  such that

$$t = t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + \dots \leq u, \qquad t_1 + 2t_2 + 3t_3 + \dots = r.$$

We readily verify f = d, which completes the proof.

3. We may illustrate the result of § 1 by finding an expression for the number of normal (self-conjugate) sub-groups of index  $p^2$  in any group G. Let  $H_1, H_2, H_3, \ldots$  be these normal sub-groups, and let D be their greatest common sub-group. Since  $G/H_1, G/H_2, G/H_3, \ldots$  are Abelian (being of order  $p^2$ ), the commutant of G is contained in  $H_1, H_2, H_3, \ldots$ , and is therefore contained in D. Hence  $\Gamma \equiv G/D$  is Abelian. Moreover, the  $p^2$ -th power of every element of G is in  $H_1, H_2, H_3, \ldots$ , and is therefore in D. Hence the  $p^2$ -th power of every element of  $\Gamma = 1$ . It follows that  $\Gamma$  is an Abelian group of the type (2, 2, 2, ..., 1, 1, 1, ...) [y 2's and z 1's] whose order is a power of p.\* The number of normal sub-groups of index  $p^2$  in G is the same as the number of sub-groups of index  $p^2$  in  $\Gamma$ .

Now, by § 1,  $\Gamma$  contains (i.)  $\frac{f(z)}{f(2)f(z-2)}p^{2y}$  sub-groups with y invariants 2 and z-2 invariants 1; (ii.)  $\frac{f(y)}{f(2)f(y-2)}$  with y-2 invariants 2 and z+2 invariants 1; (iii.)  $\frac{f(y)f(z+1)}{f(1)f(1)f(y-1)f(z)}p^{y-1}$  with y-1 invariants 2 and z invariants 1. The factor-group of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $\frac{f(y)}{f(y-1)f(1)}p^{y+z-1}$  of the sub-groups (iii.) is cyclic; the factor-group of  $\Gamma$  with respect to the remaining  $\frac{f(y+z)}{f(2)f(y+z-2)}$  sub-groups of index  $p^2$  is non-cyclic. This is readily proved directly or by considering the reciprocal sub-groups.<sup>+</sup>

Hence the factor-group of G with respect to  $\frac{f(y)}{f(y-1) f(1)} p^{y+z-1}$  normal sub-groups of index  $p^2$  is cyclic, and the factor-group with respect to the remaining  $\frac{f(y+z)}{f(2) f(y+z-2)}$  normal sub-groups of index  $p^2$  is non-cyclic.

The total number of normal sub-groups of index  $p^2$  in G is therefore  $\frac{(p^{y+z}-1)(p^{y+z-1}-1)}{(p^2-1)(p-1)} + \frac{p^{y}-1}{p-1} p^{y+z-1}$ , where y and z are zero or positive integers. As an example we may take the group

integers. As an example we may take the group

 $a^{p^{a-1}} = b^p = 1, \qquad ab = ba^{1+p^{*-2}},$ 

for which y = z = 1.

<sup>\*</sup> See M. Bauer, Nouv. Ann. Math. [3], Vol. xix. (1900), p. 508.

<sup>+</sup> Weber's Algebra, Vol. II., p. 56.