A C*-Algebraic Zero Model for Emergent Space-Time, Standard-Model Sectors, and the Graviton

Ilgiz Faritovich Murtazin

June 2026

Abstract

This article formulates a preliminary, mathematically explicit zero model for a possible unified physical framework. The model is not claimed to be a completed theory of everything. Its purpose is more modest: to define a precise algebraic-geometric language in which matter sectors, gauge bosons, the Higgs field, effective space-time, and the graviton can be represented within one scheme. The fundamental input is a quadruple

$$\mathfrak{T}_0 = (\mathcal{A}, \omega, \alpha_t, D_\omega),$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital noncommutative C^*-algebra of observables, ω is a physical state, α_t is a one-parameter group of automorphisms representing dynamics, and D_ω is a state-dependent spectral operator acting in the GNS representation. Classical space-time is treated as an effective commutative or almost-commutative limit. Fermions are represented as sectors of the GNS Hilbert space, gauge bosons as quanta of algebraic connections or inner fluctuations, the Higgs boson as an internal finite-geometric fluctuation, and the graviton as a quantum of the effective space-time spectral geometry. The article gives formal definitions, low-energy consistency requirements, and falsifiability criteria for the proposed zero model.

Keywords: C^*-algebra; GNS construction; noncommutative geometry; spectral triple; emergent space-time; Standard Model; graviton; quantum gravity; algebraic quantum theory.

1. Introduction

The Standard Model of particle physics is a quantitatively successful quantum field theory based on the gauge structure

$$G_{\mathrm{SM}} = SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y,$$

whereas general relativity describes gravitation through the dynamics of a Lorentzian metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ on a differentiable manifold. These two frameworks are not naturally unified at the level of their primitive objects. Quantum field theory usually starts from fields over a background space-time, while general relativity makes the geometry of space-time dynamical.

The purpose of this paper is to define a *zero model*, denoted by $\mathfrak{T}_0$, whose primitive object is not a manifold but an algebra of observables. The adjective "zero" is used deliberately: this is a starting framework, not a completed physical theory. The goal is to specify what must be represented in the model and how the known low-energy particle content can be encoded.

The guiding principle is:

$$\boxed{\text{Observables and states are fundamental; classical space-time is effective.}}$$

This principle is compatible with the operator-algebraic view of quantum theory and with the noncommutative-geometric idea that spaces can be studied through algebras of functions or generalized noncommutative algebras [1, 2, 7].

2. Background and Motivation

2.1. From observables to states

In ordinary quantum mechanics, observables are represented by self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space. In the algebraic formulation one reverses the logical order: one begins with an abstract algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of observables, and a state is a positive normalized functional

$$\omega : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \omega(I) = 1, \qquad \omega(A^*A) \geq 0.$$

The quantity $\omega(A)$ is interpreted as the expectation value of the observable A. The Gelfand-Naimark-Segal construction then reconstructs a Hilbert-space representation from $(\mathcal{A}, \omega)$ [2, 3].

2.2. From commutative algebras to spaces

If X is a compact Hausdorff space, then $C(X)$, the algebra of continuous complex-valued functions on X, is a commutative unital C^*-algebra. Conversely, the Gelfand-Naimark theorem states that any commutative unital C^*-algebra is isomorphic to $C(X)$ for a unique compact Hausdorff space X, up to homeomorphism [1]. Thus, in the commutative case, the space can be reconstructed from its algebra of functions.

This observation suggests a powerful generalization:

$$\boxed{\text{A noncommutative algebra may be interpreted as a generalized space.}}$$

To recover metric geometry, however, an algebra alone is insufficient. A spectral operator, typically a Dirac-type operator, must be added. This is the central idea of spectral triples in noncommutative geometry [7, 9].

2.3. The physical problem

The Standard Model contains matter fermions, gauge bosons, and the Higgs boson. It does not contain gravity as a quantum interaction in the same framework. General relativity, conversely, treats gravitation geometrically but does not supply the usual perturbative quantum-field structure of a renormalizable interaction. The zero model proposed here tries to describe both sides within a single algebraic-spectral language.

3. Mathematical Preliminaries

Definition 1 (Unital C^*-algebra)**.** *A unital C^*-algebra is a complex Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with a unit I, an involution $A \mapsto A^*$, and a norm satisfying*

$$\|A^*A\| = \|A\|^2$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Self-adjoint elements,

$$A = A^*,$$

are interpreted as observables. Noncommutativity,

$$[A, B] = AB - BA \neq 0,$$

is the algebraic signature of quantum incompatibility.

Definition 2 (State)**.** *A state on $\mathcal{A}$ is a linear functional $\omega : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\omega(I) = 1, \qquad \omega(A^*A) \geq 0$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 3 (GNS representation)**.** *Given $(\mathcal{A}, \omega)$, define*

$$\mathcal{N}_\omega = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : \omega(A^*A) = 0\}.$$

The quotient $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{N}_\omega$ carries the inner product

$$\langle [A], [B] \rangle_\omega = \omega(A^*B).$$

Its Hilbert-space completion is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_\omega$. The representation

$$\pi_\omega(A)[B] = [AB]$$

and the cyclic vector

$$\Omega_\omega = [I]$$

satisfy

$$\omega(A) = \langle \Omega_\omega, \pi_\omega(A)\Omega_\omega \rangle_\omega.$$

Thus the Hilbert space is not postulated independently; it is produced from the algebra and the state.

Definition 4 (Spectral triple, informal form)**.** *A spectral triple is a triple*

$$(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D),$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is represented on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and D is a self-adjoint Dirac-type operator such that commutators $[D, a]$ are bounded for a dense subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$.

In the commutative Riemannian case, the metric distance can be recovered from Connes' formula

$$d(x, y) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : \|[D, f]\| \leq 1\}.$$

This formula motivates the use of a spectral operator in the zero model.

4. Definition of the Zero Model

Definition 5 (Zero model)**.** *The proposed zero model is the quadruple*

$$\boxed{\mathfrak{T}_0 = (\mathcal{A}, \omega, \alpha_t, D_\omega),}$$

where:

(i) $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital noncommutative C^*-algebra of fundamental observables;

(ii) ω is a physical state on $\mathcal{A}$;

(iii) $\alpha_t \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ is a strongly continuous one-parameter group of $*$-automorphisms satisfying

$$\alpha_{t+s} = \alpha_t \circ \alpha_s, \qquad \alpha_0 = \mathrm{id};$$

(iv) D_ω is a self-adjoint spectral operator acting in the GNS Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\omega$.

The state dependence of D_ω is intentional. In general relativity geometry depends on the physical distribution of matter and energy; in the zero model this dependence is encoded by allowing the spectral operator to depend on ω.

Postulate 1 (Algebraic primacy)**.** *The fundamental physical content is encoded in the pair* $(\mathcal{A}, \omega)$*. Hilbert space, particles, and effective geometry are derived structures.*

Postulate 2 (Dynamical automorphisms)**.** *Time evolution in the Heisenberg picture is represented by automorphisms:*

$$A(t) = \alpha_t(A), \qquad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

If differentiable, the infinitesimal generator is a derivation

$$\delta(A) = \frac{d}{dt}\alpha_t(A)\Big|_{t=0}, \qquad \delta(AB) = \delta(A)B + A\delta(B).$$

Postulate 3 (Emergent geometry)**.** *Classical space-time is not fundamental. It emerges when a commutative or almost-commutative effective subalgebra can be identified:*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{eff}} \simeq C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathcal{A}_F,$$

with M *an effective smooth manifold and* $\mathcal{A}_F$ *a finite internal algebra.*

5. Low-Energy Reconstruction Requirements

A zero model is physically acceptable only if it reproduces known low-energy physics. We impose the following reconstruction requirements.

5.1. Classical geometry

There must exist a regime in which

$$(\pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{H}_\omega, D_\omega) \longrightarrow (C^\infty(M), L^2(M, S), D_M),$$

where D_M is the Dirac operator on a spin manifold M, and the metric derived from D_M agrees with a classical space-time metric after analytic continuation or Lorentzian extension.

5.2. Almost-commutative internal geometry

The effective algebra should have the schematic form

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{eff}} \simeq C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathcal{A}_F.$$

A standard candidate inspired by noncommutative geometry is

$$\mathcal{A}_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\mathbb{H}$ denotes the quaternions. This finite algebra is known to be closely related to the gauge structure of the Standard Model in the noncommutative-geometric approach [7, 9, 10].

The associated low-energy gauge group must reduce, modulo conventional finite identifications, to

$$SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y.$$

5.3. Spectral decomposition

The GNS Hilbert space must admit an effective factorization

$$\mathcal{H}_\omega \simeq L^2(M,S) \otimes \mathcal{H}_F,$$

where $L^2(M,S)$ is the space of square-integrable spinors and $\mathcal{H}_F$ is a finite internal Hilbert space carrying color, weak isospin, hypercharge, chirality, generation, and particle-antiparticle information.

The spectral operator must admit the low-energy decomposition

$$D_\omega \simeq D_M \otimes I + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F.$$

Here D_M controls the effective space-time geometry, while D_F controls internal masses, Yukawa couplings, and mixings.

6. Representation of the Known Particle Content

The popular count of known fundamental particles is

$$12 \text{ fermions} + 4 \text{ gauge boson types} + 1 \text{ Higgs boson} = 17.$$

The gluon has eight color components, but it is usually counted as one gauge-boson type in this simplified enumeration. The Particle Data Group review and CERN summaries provide the standard experimental organization of these sectors [11, 12].

6.1. Fermions

In the zero model, fermions are represented as sectors of $\mathcal{H}_\omega$, more precisely as irreducible or superselected sectors of the representation of the effective algebra. The twelve fermion types are organized as follows:

Sector	Particles	Algebraic interpretation
Up-type quarks	u, c, t	color triplet sectors with up-type charge
Down-type quarks	d, s, b	color triplet sectors with down-type charge
Charged leptons	e, μ, τ	color singlet charged sectors
Neutrinos	ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ	color singlet neutral sectors

A particle is not identified with a classical point. It is identified with a stable sector of representation carrying quantum numbers under

$$SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y.$$

For example, a left-handed quark doublet has the schematic representation content

$$Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (3, 2, 1/6),$$

while a left-handed lepton doublet has

$$L_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (1, 2, -1/2).$$

6.2. Gauge bosons

Gauge bosons are represented not as matter vectors in $\mathcal{H}_F$, but as quanta of algebraic connections or inner fluctuations associated with the effective internal algebra. In ordinary gauge theory the covariant derivative has the schematic form

$$\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + ig_s G^a_\mu T^a + igW^i_\mu \tau^i + ig' B_\mu Y.$$

Thus the zero model identifies gauge bosons with quantized connection degrees of freedom:

Boson	Gauge origin	Zero-model interpretation
Gluon g	$SU(3)_c$	quantum of color connection
Weak bosons $W^\pm, Z$	electroweak sector	quanta of weak/electroweak connection
Photon γ	$U(1)_{\text{em}}$	quantum of electromagnetic connection

6.3. Higgs boson

The Higgs field is represented as an internal spectral fluctuation. If

$$D_\omega \simeq D_M \otimes I + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F,$$

then fluctuations of the finite part D_F can behave as scalar fields from the four-dimensional perspective. In noncommutative geometry this mechanism gives a natural geometric interpretation of the Higgs sector [9, 10].

The zero-model identification is therefore

$$\boxed{H = \text{quantum of internal finite-geometric fluctuation of } D_F.}$$

6.4. Graviton

In perturbative general relativity one writes

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \qquad |h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1,$$

and the quantum of the spin-2 perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ is called the graviton.

In the zero model, the metric is not fundamental. It is reconstructed from the spectral structure. Hence the graviton is interpreted as a quantum of the space-time part of the spectral fluctuation:

$$D_M \longrightarrow D_M + \delta D_M.$$

When this corresponds to

$$g_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow g_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu},$$

the quantized excitation of $h_{\mu\nu}$ is the effective graviton.

Thus:

$$\boxed{\text{graviton} = \text{quantum of emergent spectral metric fluctuation.}}$$

7. Locality, Causality, and von Neumann Algebras

A complete version of the zero model should include local algebras. In algebraic quantum field theory, one assigns to each suitable space-time region O a local algebra $\mathcal{A}(O)$, and spacelike separation implies commutativity:

$$[A, B] = 0, \qquad A \in \mathcal{A}(O_1), \quad B \in \mathcal{A}(O_2).$$

This expresses causal independence. In the zero model this logic can be reversed: the commutation structure of subalgebras may define effective causal relations.

In a GNS representation one may pass from C^*-algebras to von Neumann algebras by weak closure:

$$\mathcal{M}_\omega(O) = \pi_\omega(\mathcal{A}(O))''.$$

This is expected to be necessary for local quantum field theory, thermodynamic limits, KMS states, modular theory, and superselection sectors [5, 6, 4].

8. Falsifiability and Consistency Criteria

The zero model is scientific only if it imposes nontrivial constraints and can fail. We therefore define explicit criteria.

Criterion 1 (Standard Model recovery)**.** *The model must reproduce the observed gauge group, chiral fermion representations, anomaly cancellation, the Higgs sector, and the observed pattern of three generations.*

Criterion 2 (General-relativistic limit)**.** *In a suitable classical limit the effective geometry must satisfy Einstein-type field equations*

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$$

up to computable higher-order corrections.

Criterion 3 (Quantum limit)**.** *In weak-field and low-energy regimes the model must reduce to ordinary quantum mechanics and quantum field theory on an approximately classical background.*

Criterion 4 (Predictive deviation)**.** *The model must imply at least one quantitative deviation from existing theory. Examples include:*

$$E^2 = p^2c^2 + m^2c^4 + \alpha\frac{E^3}{E_P} + O(E^4/E_P^2),$$
$$S_{\mathrm{BH}} = \frac{k_B A}{4\ell_P^2} + \beta \log\frac{A}{\ell_P^2} + O(\ell_P^2/A),$$

where α and β must be derived constants rather than free parameters.

If these criteria are not met, the construction remains an interpretation rather than a physical theory.

9. Discussion

The proposed zero model has several strengths. First, it uses a rigorous mathematical language: C^*-algebras, states, GNS representations, automorphism groups, and spectral operators. Second, it naturally incorporates the idea that Hilbert space and classical geometry are derived rather than primitive. Third, it gives a unified dictionary for fermions, gauge bosons, the Higgs field, and the graviton.

However, the model is incomplete in several essential respects. It does not yet derive the finite algebra $\mathcal{A}_F$, the number of fermion generations, the Yukawa matrices, the neutrino sector, or the value of the cosmological constant. It also does not yet provide a full Lorentzian noncommutative geometry, a complete quantum dynamics for D_ω, or a derivation of Einstein's equations from an algebraic variational principle.

Therefore the present article should be read as a formal research program, not as a final theory. Its value lies in defining a precise target: a physical theory must construct $\mathcal{A}$, ω, α_t, and D_ω so that all observed low-energy physics follows as an effective limit.

10. Conclusion

We have defined a C*-algebraic zero model

$$\mathfrak{T}_0 = (\mathcal{A}, \omega, \alpha_t, D_\omega)$$

for a possible unified framework. The model treats observables and states as fundamental, reconstructs Hilbert space through the GNS construction, obtains effective geometry from a state-dependent spectral operator, and represents known particle sectors through the low-energy decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{eff}} \simeq C^\infty(M) \otimes \mathcal{A}_F, \qquad \mathcal{H}_\omega \simeq L^2(M, S) \otimes \mathcal{H}_F, \qquad D_\omega \simeq D_M \otimes I + \gamma^5 \otimes D_F.$$

Within this framework, fermions are representation sectors, gauge bosons are connection quanta, the Higgs boson is an internal spectral fluctuation, and the graviton is a quantum of the emergent metric or space-time spectral operator.

The next mathematical task is to derive, rather than assume, the effective finite algebra $\mathcal{A}_F$, the three generations of fermions, the Yukawa structure, and the gravitational field equations. Until these derivations are achieved, $\mathfrak{T}_0$ should be regarded as a disciplined zero-level formulation of a research program toward unification.

Acknowledgements

The author presents this paper as a conceptual and mathematical starting point for further development of an algebraic-spectral approach to fundamental physics.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The author declares no conflict of interest in relation to this theoretical work.

Data Availability Statement

No experimental data were generated or analyzed in this work.

References

[1] I. Gelfand and M. Neumark, "On the imbedding of normed rings into the ring of operators in Hilbert space," *Recueil Mathematique [Mat. Sbornik] N.S.*, vol. 12(54), no. 2, pp. 197–217, 1943.

[2] I. E. Segal, "Irreducible representations of operator algebras," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 53, pp. 73–88, 1947.

[3] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 1*. Springer, 2nd ed., 1987.

[4] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 2*. Springer, 2nd ed., 1997.

[5] R. Haag, *Local Quantum Physics: Fields, Particles, Algebras*. Springer, 1992.

[6] S. Doplicher, R. Haag, and J. E. Roberts, "Local observables and particle statistics I," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 23, pp. 199–230, 1971; "Local observables and particle statistics II," vol. 35, pp. 49–85, 1974.

[7] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press, 1994.

[8] A. Connes and J. Lott, "Particle models and noncommutative geometry," *Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements*, vol. 18B, pp. 29–47, 1991.

[9] A. H. Chamseddine and A. Connes, "The spectral action principle," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 186, pp. 731–750, 1997.

[10] A. H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, and M. Marcolli, "Gravity and the Standard Model with neutrino mixing," *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 11, pp. 991–1089, 2007.

[11] F. Takahashi et al. (Particle Data Group), "Review of Particle Physics," *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, vol. 41, 2630011, 2026.

[12] CERN, "The Standard Model," CERN Science, online scientific resource, accessed 2026.