SCIENTIFIC SECTION

THE DETERIORATION OF "U. S. P." AND "FAT-FREE" TINCTURES OF DIGITALIS.*

BY PAUL S. PITTENGER AND H. K. MULFORD, JR.

Many papers have been published on the subject of digitalis, in which practically all of the authors conclude that the galenical preparations of digitalis deteriorate quite rapidly.

The laboratories connected with the larger pharmaceutical manufacturing houses, although using many different methods of assay, all found that these preparations deteriorated so rapidly that they deemed it necessary to warn their customers of this fact by placing small labels upon the containers reading as follows:

"Owing to the rapid deterioration of preparations of digitalis, they should only be purchased in quantities sufficient to meet immediate demands."

The more ethical manufacturers also state upon the label the date of manufacture in order that the druggist or physician may know the age of the particular preparation he is dispensing or administering.

Dr. George B. Roth, Government Pharmacologist, states in the conclusion of his paper entitled "Digitalis Standardization" in view of the fact that deterioration of digitalis occurs so rapidly it is advisable that, if such preparations are to be marketed, the date of their manufacture should be stated, so that physicians may know the age of the preparations and may not be misguided into-purchasing worthless preparations."

Hatcher, however, in an article entitled "Observations on the Keeping Properties of Digitalis and Some of Its Preparations" gives the results of many tests made upon old samples of the drug, and arrived at the conclusion that preparations of digitalis do not deteriorate. He found that in many cases samples from two to twenty years old were as active, and in some cases more active, than the freshly prepared samples and therefore concluded that digitalis preparations do not deteriorate.

As the investigations of Fränkel,² Edmonds and Hale,⁸ Hale,⁴ Pittenger,⁵ and others show that the digitalis preparations upon the market vary hundreds of percent, there is every reason to believe that the preparations which showed standard activity after several years may have originally been six or eight times standard strength.

It is the authors opinion that the only way in which any definite information can be obtained as to the rate of deterioration of a particular drug is to prepare:

^{*}Read before Scientific Section, A. Ph. A., Indianapolis meeting, 1917.

[†] Roth, Hygienic Laboratory Bulletin, No. 102, 1916.

¹ Hatcher, Druggists' Circular, June 1913, page 325.

² Fränkel, Charite-Ann., Berlin, 1881, VI, page 207.

³ Edmonds and Hale, Hygienic Lab. Bulletin, No. 48, 1909.

⁴ Hale, Hygienic Lab. Bull., No. 74, 1911.

⁵ Pittenger, Text-Book "Biochemic Drug Assay Methods," 1914, page 6.

a fresh tincture or fluid extract of the same, test it immediately after it is prepared and then retest the *same preparation* at intervals of a few months. In this way absolute scientific information can be obtained.

Roth, in the paper referred to, shows that "fat-free" tinctures of digitalis show a marked deterioration after five to seven months. At several of the medical societies, which the authors have attended during the past year, the remark has been made during the discussion of papers that the so-called "fat-free" or "defatted" tinctures of digitalis deteriorate more rapidly than the U. S. P. tinctures. These remarks, however, were made without the support of experimental data. In order to prove, therefore, whether or not tinctures of digitalis deteriorate, and if so, whether the "fat-free" preparations deteriorate more or less rapidly than the regular U. S. P. tinctures, we carried out the following experiments:

A sample of digitalis drug was obtained from different sources, namely, H. K. Mulford Co., P. E. Anderson, Werner & Gerathy, McKesson & Robbins and the National Aniline & Chemical Company. Each lot of drug was divided into three parts, "A," "B," and "C."

"A" was percolated with fifty percent alcohol and made into the regular U. S. P. VIII tincture.

"B" was percolated with benzine until a small quantity of the percolate evaporated to dryness on a watch crystal left no trace of fat, thus proving that the fat had been entirely removed from the drug. The drug was then spread out on paper until the benzine evaporated. The drug was then moistened, repacked in the percolator, macerated, and percolated with fifty percent alcohol to tincture strength.

"C" was "defatted" the same as given under "B," but was macerated and percolated with eighty percent alcohol instead of fifty percent alcohol.

Each of the fifteen samples were assayed immediately after they were prepared, re-assayed after four or five months had elapsed and again after seven or eight months.

As there is no satisfactory chemical method for assaying digitalis, the above preparations were tested by the Reed and Vanderkleed Guinea-Pig Method, which consists in determining the minimum dose per two hundred and fifty gramme body-weight of animal necessary to cause the death of the animal within twenty-four hours, when the preparation is subcutaneously injected. The standard for tincture of digitalis is 1.0 mil (Cc.) per two hundred and fifty gramme body-weight of animal.

The following tables show the detailed results of these experiments:

Sample.	Dose per 250 Gm.	Weight of pig. Gm.	Actual dose.	Results.
	∫ o.4	285	0.45	Recovered
	0.6	215	. 0.51	Recovered
	0.6	225	0.54	Recovered
No. 1 A. H. K. M. Co. drug	, 0.7	250	0.7	Recovered
U. S. P. VIII. Menstruum	0.8 ¹	345	I.I	Recovered
50 percent. Date of test	, ∫ o.8¹	260	0.83	Died
6-28-16	0.81	185	0.95	Died
	0.9	250	0.9	Died
	1.0	210	0.84	Died
	0.1	245	0.98	Died
1 M	I. L. D. =	0.8 = 125.09	70.	

·	Dose per	Weight of	Actual			
Sample.	250 Gm.	pig. Gm.	dose.	Results.		
	1.2	310	1.48	Recovered		
	1.3	350	1.82	Recovered		
	1.3	385	2.0	Recovered		
No. 1 A. Same drug. Second		390	2.18	Recovered		
test. After 5 months. Date		435	2.78	Recovered		
of test, 11-29-16	1.6	335	2.14	Recovered		
· · · · · · ·	1.6	350	2.24	Recovered		
	1.81	485	3 · 49	Died		
	1.81	·340	2.44	Died		
	2.0	250	2.0	Died		
· M	Ļ. D. ≃	= 1.8 = 55.5%)•			
	8.1 }	405	2.91	Recovered		
	2.0	400	3.2	Recovered		
	2.2	420	3.69	Recovered		
	2.5	345	3 - 45	Recovered		
	2.6	405	4.21	Recovered		
No - A Third test often sight	2.6	395	4.1	Recovered		
No. 1 A. Third test after eight	2.6	420	4.36	Recovered		
months. Date of test, 2-1-17	2.71	410	4.42	Died		
	2.71	360	3.88	Died		
	2.71	435	4.69	Died		
	2.8	395	4.42	Died		
	2.8	425	4.76	Died		
	2.8	395	4.42	Died		
	3.0	395	4.74	Died		
¹ M	. L. D. =	2.7 = 37.0%				
	o.8	230	0.73	Recovered		
	1.0	220	0.88	Recovered		
	1.0	. 225	0.9	Recovered		
	1.0	235	0.94	Recovered		
	1.0	245	1.01	Died		
No. 1 B. Same drug as No. 1	1.1	245	1.07	Recovered		
A. "Defatted" U. S. P.	1.1	280	1.23	Recovered		
VIII. Menstruum, 50 per-	1.2	190	0.91	Recovered		
cent. Date of test, 6-28-16	1.2	325	1.6	Recovered		
,	1.3	270	1.4	Recovered		
	1.3	225	1.17	Died		
	1.41	270	1.5	Died		
	1.41	190	1.06	Died		
	1.5	250	1.5	Died		
. ¹ M.		1.4 = 71.5%.	v			
	[I.2	425	2.04	R ecovered		
	1.4	425 235	1.87	Re covered		
No. 1 B. Second test after 5	1.4	335 440	2.46	Recovered		
months. Date of test,	1.61	390	2.49	Died		
11–29–16.	1.61	355	2.27	D ied		
	1.8	333 420	3.02	D ied		
¹ M.	•	1.6 = 62.6%	J	~		
55. 4, 2, 110 02.070						

·				
Sample.	Dose per 250 Gm.	Weight of pig. Gm.	Actual dose.	Results.
	1.4	410	2.29	Recovered
	1.6	405	2.59	Recovered
	1.8	405	2.91	Recovered
	1.8	405	2.91	Recovered
	2.0	350	2.8	Recovered
No. 1 B. Third test after	2.0	330	2.64	Recovered
8 months. Date of test,	2.2	405	3.56	Recovered
2-1-17	2.3	370	3 · 4	Recovered
	2.3	410	3 · 77	Recovered
	2.41	305	2.92	Died
	2.41	385	3.61	Died
136	(2.5	405	4.05	Died
· M	. ц. р. =	2.4 = 41.7%	•	
	0.5	225	0.45	Recovered
	0.6	250	0.6	Recovered
	0.6	240	0.57	Recovered
No. 1 C. Same drug as No.	0.6	270	0.64	Died
I A and No. I B. "De-	0.7	205	0.57	Recovered
fatted" 80 percent alcohol	0.7	245	0.68	Recovered
menstruum. Date of test,	0.81	285	0.91	Died
6–28–16	0.81	335	1.07	Died
	0.81	205	0.65	Died
134	(0.0 [D = -	250	0. 1	Died
- M.		0.8 = 125.0%.		
	0.6	390	0.93	Recovered
	0.8	370	1.18	Recovered
	0.9	420	1.51	Died
	0.9	405	1.45	Recovered
	0.9	395	1.42	Recovered
	0.9	250	0.9	Recovered
No. 1 C. Second test after 5	0.1	450	1.8	Died
months. Date of test,	0.1	410	1.04	Recovered
11-29-16	1.0	460	1.84	Recovered
	1.1	250	I.I	Recovered
	1.21	375	1.8	Died
	1.21	395	1.89	Died
	1.3	500	2.6	Died
1 W	(I.3 I.D. — :	$\begin{array}{c} 250 \\ 1.2 = 83.3\%. \end{array}$	1.3	Died
- 141.	,		_	
	1.1	400	1.76	Recovered
	1.1	370	1.62	Recovered
	1.2	400	1.92	Recovered
	1.2	370	I .77	Died
	I.2 I.3 ¹	335	1.6	Recovered
No. 1 C. Third test after 8	1.31	300	1.56	Died
	< -	380	1.97	Died Died
	I.3 ¹	360 375	1.87 2.1	Died
2-1-17	I.4 I.4	375	1.51	Died
	1.4	270 255	1.98	Died
	1.4	355 455	2.73	Died
	1.6	455 455	2.73	Died
	1.8	433 415	2.98	Died
1 M. 1		1.3 = 76.1%		2.104
<u></u> ,	•	5 7570	-	

Sample. I	Dose per 250 Gm.	Weight of pig. Gm.	Actual dose.	Results.
-	0.4	235	0.37	Recovered
	0.6	195	0.47	Recovered
No. 2 A. Drug from P. E.	0.6	295	0.7	Recovered
Anderson, U. S. P. VIII.	0.7	250	0.7	Recovered
Menstruum, 50 percent.	0.81	200	0.64	Died
First test, 7-6-16	0.81	185	0.59	Died
1 1101 1000, 7 0 10	1.0	265	1.06	Died
	1.2	295	1.41	Died
¹ M. I		8 = 125%.		
	0.7	325	0.91	Recovered
	0.8	440	I.4	Recovered
	1.0	435	I.74	Recovered
	1.1	295	1.29	Recovered
No. 2 A. Second test after 4	1.2	380	I.82	Recovered
months. Date of test,	1.2	375	1.8	Recovered
11-29-16	1.3	325	1.67	Recovered
•	1.3	425	2.21	Recovered
	1.41	310	I.73	Died
	1.41	450	2.52	Died
1 M. 1		.4 = 71.4%		
	1.4	355	1.98	Recovered
	1.4	385	2.15	Recovered
	1.5	350	2 . I	Died
No. 2 A. Third test after 7	1.5	305	1.83	Recovered
months. Date of test,	1.5	355	2.13	Recovered
2-1-17	1.6 ¹	360	2.3	Died
,	1.61	325	2.08	Died
	1.61	380	2.43	Died
1 M. 1		.6 = 62.5%		
	o.8	330	1.05	Recovered
	0.8	240	0.76	Recovered
	0.9	235	0.75	Recovered
No. 2 B. Same drug as No.	1.0	245	0.98	Recovered
2 A. "Defatted" U. S. P.	1.0	265	1.06	Recovered
VIII. Menstruum, 50 per-	1.0	230	0.92	Recovered
cent. Date of test, 7-6-16	1.0	265	1.06	Recovered
	1.11	425	1.87	Died
•	1.11	500	2.2	Died
	1.2	250	I . 2	Died
¹ M.]	L. D. = 1	$\mathbf{r} = 90.9\%$	•	
	(.6	- 04	Descri
	1.0	465	1.86	Recovered
	1.1	390	I.7I	Recovered
No. 2 B. Second test after 4	1.2	390	1.87	Recovered
No. 2 B. Second test after 4	{ I.2,	375	1.8	Recovered
months. Date of test,	1.41	365	2.04	Died
11–29–16	1.4 ¹ 1.4 ¹ 1.6 1.6	365	2.04	Died
	1.6	395	2.52	Died
1 3.4	(I.6)	355	2.41	Died
· M.	பு. D. = 1	1.4 = 71.4%).	

01-	Dose per 250 Gm.	Weight of	Actual	Danulta
Sample.	,	pig. Gm.	dose.	Results.
	1.2	435	2.08	Recovered
	1.3	335	1.76	Recovered
	1.3	250	1.3	Recovered
37 73 291 1.4 4.4 -24	1.41	330	1.84	Died
No. 2 B. Third test after 7	1 .	255	1.42	Died
months. Date of test,	1.41	430	2.4	Died
2-1-17	1.5	420	2.52	Died Died
	1.5	320	1.92	Died
	1.6	350	2.24	Died
¹ M.	(1.6 L. D. = 1.4	450 $4 = 71.4%$	2.88	Died
	0.2	220	0.176	Recovered
	0.3	260	0.31	Recovered
	0.4	185	0.29	Recovered
_	0.4	260	0.41	Recovered
No. 2 A. "Defatted" 80 per-	0.4	215	0.34	Recovered
cent alcohol menstruum.	0.51	270	0.54	Died
Date of test, 7-6-16	0.51	310	0.62	Died
	0.6	310	0.74	Died
•	0.6	215	0.51	Died
	0.7	250	0.7	Died
¹ M.	L. D. = 0.5	= 200%.		
	0.4	365	0.58	Recovered
	0.5	485	0.97	Recovered
-	0.5	385	0.77	Recovered
No. 2 C. Second test after 4	0.61	340	0.81	Died
months. Date of test,	0.6	335	0.8	Recovered
11-29-16	0.61	415	0.99	Died
	0.61	395	0.94	Died
	0.7	250	0.7	Died
¹ M.	L. D. = 0.6	5 = 133%.		
	∫ 0.6	390	0.93	Recovered
	0.6	395	0.94	Recovered
	0.7	500	I.4	Recovered
	0.7	400	1,12	Recovered
No. 2 C. Third test after 7	0.7	305	1.13	Recovered
months. Date of test,	0.81	250	0.8	Died
2-1-17	0.81	450	1.44	Died
	0.8	410	1.31	Died
	0.9	250	0.9	Died
	(0.9	500	1.8	Died
¹ M.	L. D. = 0.8	= 125%.		
	1.0	305	I.22	Recovered
	1.0	305	1.22	Recovered
	1.1	235	1.03	Recovered
No. 3 A. Drug from Werner	1 1	250	1.1	Recovered
& Gerathy, U. S. P. VIII.	<	275	1.32	Died
Menstruum, 50 percent.	1 .	335	1.6	Died
Date of test, 7-6-16	I.21	245	1.1	Died
	1.3	250	1.3	Died
	1.3	500	2.6	Died
	1.4	245	1.37	Died
¹ M	L. D. = 1.2	2 = 83.3%.		

Sample.	Dose per 250 Gm.	Weight of pig. Gm.	Actual dose.	Results.
	1.2	350	1.61	Recovered
No A. Consul Assa office	_ 1.4	245	1.37	Recovered
No. 3 A. Second test after	4	400	2.24	Recovered
4 months. Date of test	1.61	390	2.49	Died
11–29–16	1.6	245	1.56	Died
	1.7	365	2.48	Died
1 M	I. L. D. =	1.6 = 62.5%		
		0,0		
	∫ 1.4	345	1.93	Recovered
	1.4	405	2.26	Recovered
	1.4	305	1.7	Recovered
	1.4	335	1.87	Died
No. 3 A. Third test after	r 1.51	300	r.8	Died
7 months. Date of test	· ·	415	2.49	Died
2-1-17	1.51	345	2.07	Died
,	1.6	415	2.65	Died
	1.6	425	2.72	Died
	1.6	475 475	3.04	Died
1 χ		1.5 = 62.0%		Dica
-		1.5 02.070	•	
	(o.6	195	0.46	Recovered
	0.6	225	0.54	Recovered
	0.8	295	0.94	Recovered
	0.8	285	0.91	Recovered
No. 3 B. Same drug as No.	1	230	0.92	Recovered
3 A. "Defatted" U. S. H		220	1.05	Recovered
VIII. Menstruum, 50 per	<	230	1.28	Recovered
cent. Date of test, 7-6-16	1 -	260		Recovered
cent. Date of test, 7 o 10			I.45	Recovered
	1.5	250 285	1.5 2.8	Died
	1.6 ¹ 1.6 ¹	-		Died
	7.0	305	1.95 1.8	Died
1 1	(1.0 4 L D =	250 $1.6 = 62.5%$		Died
10	1. 14. 15. –	1.0 - 02.5/6) •	
	[1 4	330	r.84	Recovered
	1.4	375	2 . I	Recovered
No. 3 B. Second test after	- r 61	445	2.84	Died
4 months. Date of tes	$t, \left\{\begin{array}{c} 1.6^1 \\ 1.6^1 \end{array}\right.$	375	2.4	Died
11-29-16	1.8	285	2.05	Died
	1.8	360	2.59	Died
1 N		1.6 = 62.5%		Died
-	_, _, _,	-11 7-1370	,	
	1.4	355	1.98	Recovered
	1.4	350	1.96	Recovered
	1.4	340	1.9	Recovered
	1.5	435	2.61	Recovered
No. 3 B. Third test after		375	2.25	Died
7 months. Date of tes		345	2.07	Recovered
2-1-17	1.5	250	1.5	Recovered
,	1.61	405	2.59	Died
	1.6 ¹	390	2.49	Died
	1.7	250	1.7	Died
1 <u>1</u>		1.6 = 62.5%		
		370		

Sample,	Dose per 250 Gm.	Weight of pig. Gm.	Actual dose.	Results.
	0.4	205	0.32	Recovered
No. 3 C. Same drug as No.	0.4	240	0.38	Recovered
3 A. "Defatted" 80 percent	0.5	250	0.5	Recovered
alcohol menstruum. Date	1	180	0.43	Died
of test, 7-6-16	0.61	230	0.55	Died
• •	0.8	290	0.92	Died
¹ M.	\hat{L} . $D_{\cdot} = 0$	0.6 = 166%.	-	
	0.6	465	1.11	Recovered
	0.6	• =	0.98	Recovered
No. 3 C. Second test after	0.81	410	1.07	Died
4 months. Date of test,	0.81	335 380	1.21	Died
11–29–16	1.0	365	1.46	Died
	1.0	4.10	1.64	Died
¹ M.	•	0.8 = 150%	1.04	Dica
	, .	-		
	0.6	385	0.92	Recovered
	0.6	395	0.94	Recovered
	0.71	365	I.O2	Died
No. 3 C. Third test after	0.7	390	1.09	Recovered
7 months. Date of test,	0.71	420	1.17	Died
2-1-17	0.71	310	0.86	Died
	0.71	365	1.02	Died
136	(o.8	420	1.34	Died
¹ M.	L. D . = C	0.7 = 143%.		
	∫ o.5	290	0.58	Recovered
	0.6	205	0.49	Recovered
	0.6	190	0.45	Recovered
	0.8	230	0.73	Recovered
	1.0	285	1.14	Recovered
	1.0	190	0.76	Recovered
No. 4 A. Drug from McKes-	1.1	240	1.05	Recovered
son & Robbins, U. S. P.	1.1	240	r.05	Died
VIII. Menstruum, 50 percent.	1.1	295	1.29	Recovered
Date of test, 7-13-16	I.I	285	1.25	Recovered
	I.21	200	0.96	Died
	I.21	250	1.2	Died
	1.21	375	1.80	Died
	1.3	315	1.63	Died
	1.3	250	1.3	Died
	1.4	195	1.09	Died
¹ M.	L. D. = 1	1.2 = 83.3%	•	
	1.o	465	1.86	Recovered
	1.1	390	1.71	Recovered
	1.2	435	2.08	Recovered
	1.2	375	1.8	Recovered
No. 4 A. Second test after	1.3	335	1.76	Recovered
4 months. Date of test,	1.41	365	2.04	Died
11-29-16	1.41	330	1.84	Died
	I.4 ¹	365	2.04	Died
	1.6	450	2.88	Died
	1.6	395	2.52	Died
¹ M.	L. D. = 1	1.4 = 71.5%		

Sample.	Dose per 250 Gm.	Weight of pig. Gm.	Actual dose,	Results.
	1.5	340	2.04	Recovered
	1.5	435	2.61	Recovered
	1.6	350	2.24	Recovered
	1.6	355	2.27	Recovered
	1.7	3 25	2,21	Recovered
No. 4 A. Third test after	, ,	325	2.21	Recovered
7 months. Date of test.	1.8	350	2.52	Recovered
2-1-17	1.8	420	3.02	Recovered
	1.9	250	1.9	Recovered
	2.01	485	3.88	Died
	2.01	440	3.52	Died
	2.2	385	3.38	Died
¹ M.	L. D. =	2.0 = 50%.		
	∫ 0.8	270	0.86	Recovered
	0.8	245	0.78	Recovered
	1.0	230	0.92	Recovered
No. 4 B. Same drug as No.	1	285	1.14	Recovered
4 A. "Defatted" U. S. P.		215	1.03	Recovered
VIII. Menstruum, 50 percent.	1.2	230	0.92	Recovered
Date of test, 7-13-16	1.3	210	1.09	Recovered
	1.3	285	1.48	Recovered
	1.51	255	1.41	Died
	1.51	250	1.5	Died
1 M	I. L. D. =	= 1.5 = 76.8	5%.	
	j 1.1	390	1.71	Recovered
N	1.2	375	1.8	Recovered
No. 4 B. Second test after	1 4.2	275	1.32	Recovered
4 months. Date of test,	1.31	345	1.79	Died
11-29-16	I.31	285	1.48	Died
	1.4	450	2.52	Died
¹ M.	L. D. =	1.3 = 70.7%	•	
	0.1	385	1.54	Recovered
	1.1	250	1.1	Recovered
	1.2	400	1.92	Recovered
No. 4 B. Third test after	1	340	1.63	Recovered
7 months. Date of test,	۲ .	385	2.0	Died
2-17	1.31	335	1.76	Died
,	1.4	425	2.38	Died
	1.4	400	2.24	Died
¹ M.		1.3 = 70.7%	•	
	∫ 0.7	310	o.86	Recovered
	0.8	235	0.75	Recovered
	0.9	295	1.0	Recovered
No. 4 C. Same drug as 4 A.	1	270	0.97	Recovered
"Defatted" 80 percent alco-		235	0.94	Recovered
hol menstruum. Date of		225	0.90	Recovered
test, 7-13-16	1.11	275	I.2I	Died
· · ·	1.11	175	0.77	Died
	1.2	250	1.2	Died
	1.2	340	1.63	Died
¹ M.	L. D. =	1.1 = 90.9%	•	

Sample.	Dose per 250 Gm.	Weight of pig. Gm.	Actual dose.	Results.
Sumpre.	1.9	250	1.9	Recovered
	2.0	=	-	Recovered
	2.0	375 260	3.0 2.88	Recovered
	2.1	250	2.00 2.I	Recovered
No. 4 C. Second test after	•	=	4.2	Recovered
4 months. Date of test	•	500 250	2.2	Died
11-29-16	2.21	49 5		Died
, .,	2.21	395	4·35 3·47	Died
	2.3	250	2.3	Died
	2.3	315	2.89	Died
1 7		2.2 = 45.4%	-	Dicu
	, 14. D. –	2.2 - 43.470	•	
	3.0	280	3.3	Recovered
	3.0	280	3.3	Recovered
	4.0	310	4.9	Recovered
	4.0	275	4.4	Recovered
No. 4 C. Third test after	r 5.0	330	6.6	Recovered
7 months. Date of test	t, 5.0	165	3.3	Recovered
2-1-17	6.01	340	8.1	Died
	6.0 ¹	300	7.2	Died
	7.0	270	7.5	Died
	(8 .o	370	11.8	Died
1 M	I. L. D. =	6.0 = 16.6%	•	
	0.6	285	o.68	Recovered
	0.8	230	0.73	Recovered
	1.0	215	0.86	Recovered
No. 5 A. Drug from Nationa	1 1.2	235	1.12	Recovered
Analine Co., U. S. P. VIII	ſ	365	1.17	Recovered
Menstruum, 50 percent	1	225	1.17	Recovered
Date of test, 7-13-16	1.3	250	1.3	Recovered
	1.41	235	1.31	Died
	1.41	260	1.45	Died
	1.5	250	1.5	Died
1 M	, -	1.4 = 71.5%	-	
	1.4	385	2.15	Recovered
	1.5	355	2.13	Recovered
	1.6	325	2.08	Recovered
No. 5 A. Second test afte	11.7	355	2.41	Recovered
4 months. Date of test	1.8	360	2.59	Recovered
11-29-16	2.01	365	2.9	Died
	2.01	410	2.08	Died
	2.2	240	2.1	Died
1 M		2.0 = 50.0%	•	
	(2.5	385	3.85	Recovered
	2.5	295	2.95	Recovered
	2.7	415	4.48	Recovered
No. 5 A. Third test afte	r 2.7 2.7 2.9	360	3.88	Recovered
7 months. Date of test	2.0	250	2.9	Recovered
2-1-17	2.0	250	2.9	Recovered
	2.9 3.0 ¹	390	4.68	Died
	3.01	430	5.16	Died
1 74	(J. D. =	3.0 = 33.3%	-	2.04
147		Ų. S 33·3/0	-	

Sample :	Dose per 250 Gm.	Weight of pig. Gm.	Actual dose.	Results.
	O.8	305	0.97	Recovered
	1.0	250	1.0	Recovered
	1.2	275	1.32	Recovered
	1.4	225	1.26	Recovered
No. 5 B. Same drug as No.	1.6	275	1.76	Recovered
5 A. "Defatted" U. S. P.	1.8	235	1.69	Recovered
VIII. Menstruum, 50 per-	2.0	205	1.64	Recovered
cent. Date of test, 7-13-16	2.0	250	2.0	Recovered
, , .g	2.1	250	2.I	Recovered
	2.21	265	2.33	Died
	2.21	295	2.59	Died
	2.5	200	2.0	Died
¹ M.		2.2 = 45.4%		2.00
	3.0	305	3.66	Recovered
	3.3		4.28	Recovered
	3.2	335 440	5.63	Recovered
No. 5 B. Second test after	3.4	250	3.4	Recovered
4 months. Date of test,	1	=		Recovered
11-29-17	3.5	320	4.48 5.81	Recovered
	3.5	415	5.62	Died
	$3 \cdot 7^1$	380	-	Died
1 M	3.71 I D -	250 $3.7 = 27%$	3 · 7	Died
- IVI.	L. D. =	3.7 = 27%.		
	3.0	435	5.22	Recovered
	3.2	335	4.28	Recovered
	3 · 4	250	3 · 4	Recovered
	3.5	280	5.32	Recovered
No. 5 B. Third test after	3.6	500	7.2	Recovered
7 months. Date of test,	3.71	425	6.29	Died
2-1-17	3.71	310	4.58	Died
	4.0	415	6.64	Died
	4.0	250	4.0	Died
	4.2	320	5 - 37	Died
¹ M.	L. D =	3.7 = 27.0%		
	0.6	285	0.68	Recovered
	0.8	195	0.62	Recovered
	1.0	415	1.66	Recovered
No. 5 C. Same drug as No.	1.4	410	2.29	Recovered
5 A. "Defatted" 80 percent	1.51	435	2.61	Died
alcohol menstruum. Date of	I.51	340	2.04	Died
test, 7–13–16	1.6	350	2.24	Died
	1.8	285	2.05	Died
	8.1	360	2.59	Died
	2.0	400	3.2	Died
¹ M.	L. D. =	1.5 = 66.6%		
	[1.4	425	2.38	Recovered
	1.4	405	2.26	Recovered
No s C Sogand tast after	1.6	385	2.46	Recovered
No. 5 C. Second test after		420	3.02	Recovered
4 months. Date of test,	2.01	375	3.0	Died
11-29-16	2.01	360	2.88	Died
	2.2	495	4.35	Died
	2.3	315	4.35	Died
¹ M.	. L. D. =	= 2.0 = 50%.		

Sample.	Dose per 250 Gm.	Weight of pig. Gm.	Actual dose.	Results.
	1.2	335	ı.6	Recovered
	1.3	210	1.09	Recovered
	1.4	270	1.5	Recovered
	1.6	300	2.3	Recovered
	1.8	405	2.91	Recovered
No. 5 C. Third test after	2.0	350	2.8	Recovered
7 months. Date of test	, 2.2 ¹	405	3.56	Died
2-1-17	2.21	465	4.13	Died
•	2.3	410	3 · 79	Died
	2.5	345	3 · 45	Died
	2.7	410	4.42	Died
	2.8	395	4.42	Died
¹ M	$. \hat{L}. D = 2$.2 = 45.4%		

The summary of the results obtained from the previous experiments is given in the following tables:

in the following tubles:		D		
50	MMARY OF		3rd	77-4-1
Sample.	lst assay.	2nd assay.	assay.	Total deterioration.
No. 1 A. H. K. M. drug, U. S. P.	6-28-16	11-29-16	2-1-17	6-28-16 to 2-1-17
VIII, 50% menstruum	125%	55.5%	37 .0%	68%
No. 1 B. Same drug as No. 1 A. "De-	6-28-16	** ** *6		6 00 26 40 0 2 20
fatted" U. S. P. VIII, 50% men-	>	11-29-16	2-1-17	6-28-16 to 2-1-17
struum	71.5%	62.5%	41.7%	33.8%
No. 1 C. Same drug as No. 1 A and				6 .0 .64
No. 1 B. "Defatted" 80% alcohol	6-28-16	11-29-16	2-1-17	6-28-16 to 2-1-17
menstruum	125%	83.3%	76.1%	48.9%
No. 2 A. Drug from P. E. Anderson,	∫ 7–6–16	11~29~16	2-1-17	7–6–16 to 2–1–17
U. S. P. VIII, 50% menstruum	125%	71.4 $\%$	62.5%	62.5%
No. 2 B. Same drug as No. 2 A. "De-	∫ 7 - 6-16	11-29-16	2-1-17	7-6-16 to 2-1-17
fatted" U.S.P. VIII, 50% menstruum	90.9%	71.4%	71.4%	19.5%
No. 2 C. Same drug as No. 2 A and	∫ 7–6–16	11-29-16	2-1-17	7-6-16 to 2-1-17
No.2B. "Defatted" 80% menstruum	200%	133%	125%	75.0%
No. 3 A. Drug from Werner & Ger-	∫ 7 -6 -16	11-29-16	2-1-17	7–6–16 to 2–1–17
athy, U. S. P. VIII, 50% menstruum	83.3%	62.5%	62.0%	21.3%
No. 3 B. Same drug as No. 3 A. "De-	7-6-16	11-29-16	2-1-17	7-6-16 to 2-1-17
fatted" U.S. P. VIII, 50% menstruum	62.5%	62.5%	62.5%	0.0%
No. 3 C. Same drug as No. 3 A and	∫ 7 - 6-16	11-29-16	2-1-17	7-6-16 to 2-1-17
No. 3 B. "Defatted" 80% menstruum	166%	150%	143 $\%$	23%
No. 4 A. McKesson & Robbins drug,	∫ 7-13 - 16	11–29–16	2-1-17	7-13-16 to 2-1-17
U. S. P. VIII, 50% menstruum	83%	71.5%	50.0%	33%
No. 4 B. Same drug as No. 4 A. "De-	1			
fatted" U. S. P. VIII, 50% men-	7-13-16	11-29-16	2-1-17	7-13-16 to 2-1-17
struum	76.8%	70.7%	70.7%	6.1%
No. 4 C. Same drug as No. 4 A and	[
No. 4 B. "Defatted" 80% alcohol	7-13-16	11-29-16	2-1-17	7-13-16 to 2-1-17
menstruum	90.9%	45 · 4%	16.6%	74.3%
No. 5 A. Drug from National Aniline	∫ 7-13-16	11–29–16	2-1-17	7-13-16 to 2-1-17
Co., U. S. P. VIII, 50% menstruum	71.5%	50.0%	$33 \cdot 3\%$	38.2%
No. 5 B. Same drug as No. 5 A.	[
"Defatted" U. S. P. VIII, 50%	7-13-16	11-29-16	2-1-17	7-13-16 to 2-1-17
menstruum	45.4%	27 .0 $\%$	27 .0%	18.4%

Summary	or Resul	Ts-Continued.		
Sample.	lst	2nd	3rd	Total
	assay.	assay.	assay.	deterioration.
No. 5 C. Same drug as No. 5 A and No. 5 B. "Defatted" 50% alcohol {	7-13-16	11-29-16	2-1-17	7-13-16 to 2-1-17
	66.6%	50%	45 · 4%	21.2%

It will be noted from the above results that every sample except one, i. e., No. 3 B, showed marked deterioration, some samples deteriorating as much as 75 percent in seven months. These results will, therefore, tend to prove that in most cases tincture of digitalis not only deteriorates but deteriorates very rapidly.

The results also show that the "fat-free" or "defatted" tinctures of digitalis do not deteriorate more rapidly than the regular U. S. P. VIII tincture, as the ten "defatted" tinctures only show an average deterioration of 32 percent for the seven months' period of test, whereas the five U. S. P. VIII tinctures show a deterioration of 44.6 percent for the same period of time.

From the results of these experiments we can, therefore, draw the following conclusions:

- (1) Most tinctures of digitalis deteriorate very rapidly.
- (2) "Fat-free" or "defatted" tinctures of digitalis do not deteriorate at a greater rate than the U.S. P. VIII tinctures.

PHARMACODYNAMIC LABORATORY, H. K. MULFORD COMPANY,

August 24, 1917.

AN IMPROVED LIME METHOD FOR ASSAYING OPIUM.*

BY WM. MASKE, JR.

This assay method has been the outcome of several which the writer has read and experimented with but the foundation of the assay outlined herein is a somewhat crude process by A. Guerin as given in the Jahresberichte der Pharmazie, Vol. 48, Page 45. As this writer collects 52 Cc. filtrate instead of the 50 Cc. as advised in this paper, and the first quantity can not readily be measured accurately, one can just as well collect 50 Cc., an amount which can be accurately measured in a volumetric flask or sucked up in a volumetric pipette, and add the correction factor, which amounts to the same thing as collecting 52 Cc. of filtrate.

The lime method of assaying opium for its morphine content is probably used more than any other method of assaying this drug. The U.S. P. uses a lime process which gives good results in the hands of experienced workers, but which for a beginner is apt to prove cumbersome. Moreover, the method takes more time and work than is necessary. The writer has successfully used the following modification of the lime method, which is simpler, less cumbersome than the U.S. P. method, and gives just as accurate results:

Method: Weigh out 7.5 Gm. of opium and dry at 60° C. Transfer the dried opium to a mortar containing 5 Gm. of fine, clean quartz sand and 3 Gm. of slaked lime. Triturate the three ingredients thoroughly until a finely divided homo-

^{*} Read before Scientific Section A. Ph. A., Indianapolis meeting, 1917.