



The sign of each term in the developed expression is positive in the case of a divisor of the form  $4m+1$ , and negative in the case of a divisor of the form  $4m+3$ . Thus  $E(n)$  is equal to the value of this product when  $a, b, c, \dots$  are all replaced by unity; whence it follows that  $E(n) = 0$ , unless  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$  are all even, in which case  $E(n) = 1$ . Next, suppose that  $n = a^\alpha b^\beta c^\gamma \dots r^\rho s^\sigma t^\tau \dots$  where  $a, b, c, \dots$  are, as before, prime factors of the form  $4m+3$ , and where  $r, s, t, \dots$  are prime factors of the form  $4m+1$ . Then, reasoning as above, we see that  $E(n)$  is equal to the value of

$$(1 - a + a^3 \dots \pm a^\alpha) (1 - b + b^3 \dots \pm b^\beta) \dots \\ \times (1 + r + r^3 \dots + r^\rho) (1 + s + s^3 \dots + s^\sigma) \dots,$$

when  $a, b, \dots r, s, \dots$  are all replaced by unity.

Denoting, as above, by  $\phi(p)$  the number of divisors of  $p$ , we have therefore  $E(n) = E(a^\alpha b^\beta c^\gamma \dots) \times \phi(r^\rho s^\sigma t^\tau \dots)$ ,

which, by means of the result found in the first case,  $= \phi(r^\rho s^\sigma t^\tau \dots)$  or  $0$ ,

according as  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$  are all even, or are not all even.

It has thus been shown that  $E(n) = 0$  unless all the prime factors of  $n$ , which are of the form  $4m+3$ , occur with even exponents; in which case, if  $n = 2^p uv^2$ , all the prime factors of  $u$  being of the form  $4m+1$ , and all the prime factors of  $v$  of the form  $4m+3$ , then  $E(n) = \phi(u)$ . We see also that  $E(n)$  cannot ever be negative.

3. It follows from the preceding investigation that, if  $n = n_1 n_2 n_3 \dots$ , where  $n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots$  are any relatively prime numbers, then

$$E(n) = E(n_1) E(n_2) E(n_3) \dots$$

It is evident that, if  $p$  be a prime of the form  $4m+1$ , then

$$E(p^r) = r + 1,$$

and that, if  $p$  be a prime of the form  $4m+3$ , then

$$E(p^r) = 1 \text{ or } 0,$$

according as  $r$  is even or uneven.

Also  $E(2^r) = 1$ .

By means of these formulæ we may write down at once the value of  $E(n)$ , when  $n$  has been resolved into its prime factors. For example,

since  $495000 = 2^3 \times 3^3 \times 5^4 \times 11,$

we have  $E(495000) = 1 \times 1 \times 5 \times 2 = 10.$

4. The following table, which was calculated in the manner just explained, contains the values of  $E(n)$  for all the values of  $n$ , up to  $n = 1000$ , for which  $E(n)$  is not equal to zero.

TABLE OF THE VALUES OF  $E(n)$  FROM  $n = 1$  TO  $n = 1000$ .

$n$	$E(n)$	$n$	$E(n)$	$n$	$E(n)$	$n$	$E(n)$	$n$	$E(n)$	$n$	$E(n)$
1	1	136	2	293	2	464	2	640	2	820	4
2	1	137	2	296	2	466	2	641	2	821	2
4	1	144	1	298	2	468	2	648	1	829	2
5	2	145	4	305	4	477	2	650	6	832	2
8	1	146	2	306	2	481	4	653	2	833	2
9	1	148	2	313	2	482	2	656	2	841	3
10	2	149	2	314	2	484	1	657	2	842	2
13	2	153	2	317	2	485	4	661	2	845	6
16	1	157	2	320	2	488	2	666	2	848	2
17	2	160	2	324	1	490	2	673	2	850	6
18	1	162	1	325	6	493	4	674	2	853	2
20	2	164	2	328	2	500	4	676	3	857	2
25	3	169	3	333	2	505	4	677	2	865	4
26	2	170	4	337	2	509	2	680	4	866	2
29	2	173	2	338	3	512	1	685	4	872	2
32	1	178	2	340	4	514	2	689	4	873	2
34	2	180	2	346	2	520	4	692	2	877	2
36	1	181	2	349	2	521	2	697	4	881	2
37	2	185	4	353	2	522	2	698	2	882	1
40	2	193	2	356	2	529	1	701	2	884	4
41	2	194	2	360	2	530	4	706	2	890	4
45	2	196	1	361	1	533	4	709	2	898	2
49	1	197	2	362	2	538	2	712	2	900	3
50	3	200	3	365	4	541	2	720	2	901	4
52	2	202	2	369	2	544	2	722	1	904	2
53	2	205	4	370	4	545	4	724	2	905	4
58	2	208	2	373	2	548	2	725	6	909	2
61	2	212	2	377	4	549	2	729	1	914	2
64	1	218	2	386	2	554	2	730	4	916	2
65	4	221	4	388	2	557	2	733	2	925	6
68	2	225	3	389	2	562	2	738	2	928	2
72	1	226	2	392	1	565	4	740	4	929	2
73	2	229	2	394	2	569	2	745	4	932	2
74	2	232	2	397	2	576	1	746	2	936	2
80	2	233	2	400	3	577	2	754	4	937	2
81	1	234	2	401	2	578	3	757	2	941	2
82	2	241	2	404	2	580	4	761	2	949	4
85	4	242	1	405	2	584	2	765	4	953	2
89	2	244	2	409	2	585	4	769	2	954	2
90	2	245	2	410	4	586	2	772	2	961	1
97	2	250	4	416	2	592	2	773	2	962	4
98	1	256	1	421	2	593	2	776	2	964	2
100	3	257	2	424	2	596	2	778	2	965	4
101	2	260	4	425	6	601	2	784	1	968	1
104	2	261	2	433	2	605	2	785	4	970	4
106	2	265	4	436	2	610	4	788	2	976	2
109	2	269	2	441	1	612	2	793	4	977	2
113	2	272	2	442	4	613	2	794	2	980	2
116	2	274	2	445	4	617	2	797	2	981	2
117	2	277	2	449	2	625	5	800	3	985	4
121	1	281	2	450	3	626	2	801	2	986	4
122	2	288	1	452	2	628	2	808	2	997	2
125	4	289	3	457	2	629	4	809	2		
128	1	290	4	458	2	634	2	810	2		
130	4	292	2	461	2	637	2	818	2		

The number of arguments for which  $E(n)$  is not zero, and the sum of the values of  $E(n)$  for each hundred numbers, are as follows:—

	Number of arguments.				Sum of values.	
0—99	...	...	42	...	...	76
100—199	...	...	36	...	...	79
200—299	...	...	35	...	...	82
300—399	...	...	31	...	...	74
400—499	...	...	32	...	...	80
500—599	...	...	32	...	...	80
600—699	...	...	31	...	...	81
700—799	...	...	30	...	...	75
800—899	...	...	28	...	...	73
900—999	...	...	30	...	...	79
<b>Total</b>	<b>0—999</b>	...	...	<b>327</b>	...	<b>779</b>

5. The following two formulæ serve to express  $E(n)$  linearly in terms of the  $E$ 's of numbers less than  $n$ .

I.

If  $n$  be any uneven number, then

$$E(n) - 2E(n-4) + 2E(n-16) - 2E(n-36) + \&c.$$

$$= 0 \text{ or } (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{n}-1)} \times \sqrt{n},$$

according as  $n$  is not, or is, a square number.

Every term in this formula is zero if  $n$  is of the form  $4m+3$ , so that no generality is lost by restricting  $n$  to the form  $4m+1$ .

II.

If  $n$  be any number,

$$E(n) - E(n-1) - E(n-3) + E(n-6) + E(n-10) - \&c.$$

$$= 0 \text{ or } (-1)^n \times \frac{1}{2} \{ (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{8n+1}-1)} \times \sqrt{(8n+1)-1} \},$$

according as  $n$  is not, or is, a triangular number.

The numbers 1, 3, 6, 10, ..., which occur in the second formula, are the triangular numbers, given by the formula  $\frac{1}{2}r(r+1)$ , and, if  $n$  be itself a triangular number, the last term is  $E(n-n) = 0$ . The signs of the terms after the first are negative and positive in pairs, the terms involving even triangular numbers in the argument having the positive sign, and those involving uneven triangular numbers having the negative sign.

Both formulæ are to be continued up to the term preceding the first term in which the argument becomes negative, *i.e.*, a term with negative argument is to be treated as zero. It may be noticed that,

if we define  $E(n-n)$  to denote

$$(-1)^{n-1} \times \frac{1}{4} \{ (-1)^{\pm(\sqrt{8n+1}-1)} \times \sqrt{(8n+1)-1} \},$$

then it follows, from II., that the expression

$$E(n) - E(n-1) - E(n-3) + E(n-6) + E(n-10) - \&c.$$

is equal to zero for *all* values of  $n$ ; and this is perhaps the most convenient form in which to enunciate the theorem.

The following are examples of the formulæ. Putting  $n = 77$  and 81, the formula I. gives

$$E(77) - 2E(73) + 2E(61) - 2E(41) + 2E(13) = 0,$$

$$\text{and } E(81) - 2E(77) + 2E(65) - 2E(45) + 2E(17) = (-1)^{\pm(9-1)} \times 9;$$

$$\text{that is, } 0 - 2 \times 2 + 2 \times 2 - 2 \times 2 + 2 \times 2 = 0,$$

$$1 - 0 + 2 \times 4 - 2 \times 2 + 2 \times 2 = 9.$$

Putting  $n = 20$  and 21, the formula II. gives

$$E(20) - E(19) - E(17) + E(14) + E(10) - E(5) = 0,$$

$$\text{and } E(21) - E(20) - E(18) + E(15) + E(11) - E(6) \\ = (-1)^{21} \times \frac{1}{4} \{ (-1)^{\circ} \times 13 - 1 \},$$

$$\text{that is, } 2 - 0 - 2 + 0 + 2 - 2 = 0,$$

$$\text{and } 0 - 2 - 1 + 0 + 0 - 0 = -3.$$

6. The formulæ in the last section are of the same kind as Euler's celebrated formula

$$\psi(n) - \psi(n-1) - \psi(n-2) + \psi(n-5) + \psi(n-7) - \dots = 0,$$

where  $\psi(n)$  denotes the sum of the divisors of  $n$ ; the numbers 1, 2, 5, 7, ..., which occur in the arguments, are the pentagonal numbers given by the formula  $\frac{1}{2}r(3r \pm 1)$ ; and  $\psi(n-n)$  is defined to denote  $n$ . Euler regarded this formula as very remarkable, since it affords a means of calculating the sum of the divisors of  $n$  by a process in which none of the operations have any reference to the divisors, which remain unknown.\*

7. The equations I. and II. were obtained by Elliptic Functions as follows:—

Denoting  $\frac{2K}{\pi}$  by  $\rho$ , we have the following formulæ :

$$k^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2q^{\frac{5}{2}} + 2q^{\frac{7}{2}} + \&c.,$$

$$k^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho^{\frac{3}{2}} = 1 - 2q + 2q^4 - 2q^9 + 2q^{16} - \&c.,$$

$$k^{\frac{1}{2}} k^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho^{\frac{3}{2}} = 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 6q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 10q^{\frac{5}{2}} - 14q^{\frac{7}{2}} + \&c.,$$

---

\* "Hoc autem merito eo mirabilius videtur, cum nulla operatio sit instituta, quæ ad rationem divisorum ullo modo referri queat; quin etiam divisores, quorum summa per hanc regulam reperitur, ipsi manent incogniti, etiamsi sæpe ex consideratione ipsius summe concludi possint." — *Opera Arithmetica Collecta*, Vol. i., p. 150.

and also  $k^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho = \frac{2q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1+q^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{2q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{1+q^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{2q^{\frac{5}{2}}}{1+q^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \frac{2q^{\frac{7}{2}}}{1+q^{\frac{7}{2}}} + \&c.$   
 $= 2 \{ E(1) q^{\frac{1}{2}} + E(5) q^{\frac{3}{2}} + E(9) q^{\frac{5}{2}} + E(13) q^{\frac{7}{2}} + \&c. \},$   
 $k^{\frac{3}{2}}\rho = 1 - \frac{4q^3}{1+q^3} + \frac{4q^6}{1+q^6} - \frac{4q^{10}}{1+q^{10}} + \frac{4q^{14}}{1+q^{14}} - \&c.$   
 $= 1 - 4E(1) q^3 + 4E(2) q^6 - 4E(3) q^9 + 4E(4) q^{12} - \&c. ;$

whence we deduce the identical equations

$$2 \{ E(1) q^{\frac{1}{2}} + E(5) q^{\frac{3}{2}} + E(9) q^{\frac{5}{2}} + \&c. \} = \frac{2q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 6q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 10q^{\frac{5}{2}} - 14q^{\frac{7}{2}} + \&c.}{1 - 2q + 2q^4 - 2q^9 + 2q^{16} - \&c.},$$

$$1 - 4E(1) q^3 + 4E(2) q^6 - 4E(3) q^9 + \&c. = \frac{2q^{\frac{1}{2}} - 6q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 10q^{\frac{5}{2}} - 14q^{\frac{7}{2}} + \&c.}{2q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2q^{\frac{5}{2}} + 2q^{\frac{7}{2}} + \&c.}.$$

Dividing by  $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$  in the first equation, and writing  $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $q$  in the second, we have

$$E(1) + E(5) q + E(9) q^2 + \&c. = \frac{1 - 3q^2 + 5q^6 - 7q^{10} + 9q^{20} - \&c.}{1 - 2q + 2q^4 - 2q^9 + 2q^{16} - \&c.} \dots (i.),$$

$$1 - 4E(1) q + 4E(2) q^3 - 4E(3) q^6 + \&c. = \frac{1 - 3q + 5q^3 - 7q^6 + 9q^{10} - \&c.}{1 + q + q^3 + q^6 + q^{10} + \&c.} \dots (ii.)$$

Thus  $\Sigma_0^\infty E(4n+1) q^n \times \Sigma_{-\infty}^\infty (-1)^n q^{n^2} = \Sigma_0^\infty (-1)^n (2n+1) q^{n(n+1)},$   
 $\{1 + 4\Sigma_1^\infty (-1)^n E(n) q^n\} \times \Sigma_0^\infty q^{4n(n+1)} = \Sigma_0^\infty (-1)^n (2n+1) q^{4n(n+1)}.$

The formulæ I. and II. may be deduced from these results by equating the coefficients of  $q^n$ .

8. The following investigation is given here on account of the similarity of the resulting formula (which involves the difference between the sums of the even and uneven divisors of a number) to formula II. of § 5. We have

$$\frac{(1-q^2)(1-q^4)(1-q^6)\dots}{(1-q)(1-q^3)(1-q^5)\dots} = 1 + q + q^3 + q^6 + q^{10} + \&c. ;$$

whence, taking the logarithm and differentiating,

$$\frac{q}{1-q} + \frac{3q^3}{1-q^3} + \frac{5q^5}{1-q^5} + \&c. - \frac{2q^2}{1-q^2} - \frac{4q^4}{1-q^4} - \frac{6q^6}{1-q^6} - \&c.$$

$$= \frac{q + 3q^3 + 6q^6 + 10q^{10} + 15q^{15} + \&c.}{1 + q + q^3 + q^6 + q^{10} + q^{15} + \&c.}$$

Now, if we denote by  $\zeta(n)$  the excess of the sum of the uneven divisors of  $n$  over the sum of the even divisors [so that  $\zeta(n) = \psi(n)$ ]

when  $n$  is uneven, and  $\zeta(n)$  is negative when  $n$  is even], then it is evident that the left-hand member of this equation

$$= \zeta(1)q + \zeta(2)q^2 + \zeta(3)q^3 + \zeta(4)q^4 + \&c.,$$

and we therefore find

$$\zeta(1)q + \zeta(2)q^2 + \zeta(3)q^3 + \zeta(4)q^4 + \&c. = \frac{q + 3q^3 + 6q^6 + 10q^{10} + \&c.}{1 + q + q^3 + q^6 + q^{10} + \&c.}$$

Writing this equation in the form .....(iii.)

$$\sum_1^\infty \zeta(n) q^n \times \sum_0^\infty q^{in(n+1)} = \sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{2}n(n+1) q^{in(n+1)},$$

and equating the coefficients of  $q^n$ , we find that

$$\zeta(n) + \zeta(n-1) + \zeta(n-3) + \zeta(n-6) + \zeta(n-10) + \&c. = 0 \text{ or } n,$$

according as  $n$  is not, or is, a triangular number.

If, therefore, we define  $\zeta(n-n)$  to denote  $-n$ , we have

$$\zeta(n) + \zeta(n-1) + \zeta(n-3) + \zeta(n-6) + \zeta(n-10) + \&c. = 0$$

for all values of  $n$ .

As examples of the formula, putting  $n = 20$  and  $21$ , as in Formula II., § 5, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(20) + \zeta(19) + \zeta(17) + \zeta(14) + \zeta(10) + \zeta(5) &= 0, \\ \zeta(21) + \zeta(20) + \zeta(18) + \zeta(15) + \zeta(11) + \zeta(6) &= 21; \end{aligned}$$

that is 
$$\begin{aligned} -30 + 20 + 18 - 8 - 6 + 6 &= 0, \\ 32 - 30 - 13 + 24 + 12 - 4 &= 21. \end{aligned}$$

9. The formula (ii.) may be expressed in a form corresponding to (iii.); viz., we have

$$E(1)q - E(2)q^2 + E(3)q^3 - E(4)q^4 + \&c. = \frac{q - q^3 + 2q^6 - 2q^{10} + \&c.}{1 + q + q^3 + q^6 + q^{10} + \&c.}$$

.....(iv.)

$$\zeta(1)q + \zeta(2)q^2 + \zeta(3)q^3 + \zeta(4)q^4 + \&c. = \frac{q + 3q^3 + 6q^6 + 10q^{10} + \&c.}{1 + q + q^3 + q^6 + q^{10} + \&c.}$$

the numerator in the first equation being .....(iii.)

$$q - q^3 + 2q^6 - 2q^{10} + 3q^{15} - 3q^{21} + 4q^{28} - 4q^{36} + \&c.$$

This expression is evidently divisible by  $1 - q^3$ , and, when divided by this factor, the quotient is

$$q + 2q^5 + 2q^8 + 3q^{15} + 3q^{17} + 3q^{19} + 4q^{28} + 4q^{30} + 4q^{32} + 4q^{34} + 5q^{45} + \&c.,$$

where the law of the terms is that, if  $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, \dots$  denote the triangular numbers 1, 3, 6, 10, ..., then the only exponents that occur are the numbers

$$t_{2r-1}, t_{2r-1} + 2, t_{2r-1} + 4, \dots, t_{2r-1} + 2r - 2$$

(i.e., the  $r$  even numbers intermediate to  $t_{2r-1}-2$  and  $t_{2r}$  if  $t_{2r-1}$  and  $t_{2r}$  are even, and the  $r$  uneven numbers intermediate to these limits when  $t_{2r-1}$  and  $t_{2r}$  are uneven), and the coefficient of each of these terms is  $r$ .

10. Replacing  $\frac{1}{1-q^2}$  by  $1+q^2+q^4+q^6+\&c.$ , we thus find

$$\{E(1)q - E(2)q^3 + E(3)q^5 - E(4)q^7 + \&c.\} \times \{1 + q^2 + q^4 + q^6 + q^8 + \&c.\} \\ = \frac{q + 2q^6 + 2q^8 + 3q^{15} + 3q^{17} + 3q^{19} + \&c.}{1 + q + q^3 + q^6 + q^{10} + q^{15} + \&c.}$$

Now, let  $S(2n-1) = E(1) + E(3) + E(5) \dots + E(2n-1)$ ,

$$S(2n) = E(2) + E(4) + E(6) \dots + E(2n);$$

then the left-hand member of this equation is

$$S(1)q - S(2)q^3 + S(3)q^5 - S(4)q^7 + \&c.,$$

and therefore

$$S(1)q - S(2)q^3 + S(3)q^5 - S(4)q^7 + \&c. = \frac{q + 2q^6 + 2q^8 + 3q^{15} + \&c.}{1 + q + q^3 + q^6 + q^{10} + \&c.} \dots\dots\dots(v.)$$

Equating the coefficients of  $q^n$  in the equation

$$\Sigma_1^n (-1)^{n-1} S(n) q^n \times \Sigma_0^\infty q^{4^n(n+1)} = q + 2q^6 + 2q^8 + 3q^{15} + \&c.,$$

we find that

$$S(n) - S(n-1) - S(n-3) + S(n-6) + S(n-10) - \&c. \\ = 0 \text{ or } (-1)^{n-1} r,$$

according as  $n$  is not, or is, one of the numbers

$$t_{2r-1}, t_{2r-1} + 2, \dots, t_{2r-1} + 2r - 2.$$

This theorem may also be enunciated in the following singular form :

Counting  $S(0)$ , when it occurs, as a term, though assigning to it the value zero, then

$$S(n) - S(n-1) - S(n-3) + S(n-6) + S(n-10) - \&c.$$

is zero, (i.) if the number of terms is uneven, or (ii.) if the argument of the last term is uneven; but (iii.), if the number of terms is even and the argument of the last term is also even (0 being regarded as an even argument), then it is equal to

$$(-1)^{n-1} \times \frac{1}{2} \text{ number of terms.}$$

As examples of the three cases, let  $n = 90, 98,$  and  $99$ . The theorem gives

$$(i.) \\ S(90) - S(89) - S(87) + S(84) + S(80) - S(75) - S(69) \\ + S(62) + S(54) - S(45) - S(35) + S(24) + S(12) = 0,$$



(ii.)

$$S(98) - S(97) - S(95) + S(92) + S(88) - S(83) - S(77) \\ + S(70) + S(62) - S(53) - S(43) + S(32) + S(20) - S(7) = 0,$$

(iii.)

$$S(99) - S(98) - S(96) + S(93) + S(89) - S(84) - S(78) \\ + S(71) + S(63) - S(54) - S(44) + S(33) + S(21) - S(8) = 7.$$

In (i.) the positive terms are  $36 + 34 + 32 + 24 + 22 + 9 + 5 = 162$ ,  
and the negative terms are  $37 + 35 + 30 + 28 + 19 + 13 = 162$ .

In (ii.) the positive terms are  $37 + 36 + 34 + 27 + 24 + 12 + 9 = 179$ ,  
and the negative terms are  $39 + 37 + 31 + 30 + 22 + 17 + 3 = 179$ .

In (iii.) the positive terms are  $39 + 37 + 37 + 28 + 24 + 13 + 8 = 186$ ,  
and the negative terms are  $37 + 36 + 34 + 30 + 22 + 17 + 3 = 179$ ;

the difference being 7, as it should be.

The formula affords a complete verification of the accuracy of the values of a table of  $E(n)$ , for it involves all the arguments less than any given number  $n$ , and in such a manner that all the even-argument terms have one and the same sign, and all the uneven-argument terms have one and the same sign. Whenever, therefore, a term  $E(r)$  enters, it occurs with the same sign, and an error in it would produce an increased effect (and could not be neutralised) by its repeated occurrence in the  $S$ -terms.

This will appear also from the developed form of the  $S$ -expression given in the next section.

11. The expression

$$(-1)^{n-1} \{ S(n) - S(n-1) - S(n-3) + S(n-6) + S(n-10) - \dots \}$$

is identically equal to the coefficient of  $q^n$  in the product

$$\{ E(1)q - E(2)q^2 + E(3)q^3 - \&c. \} \\ \times \{ 1 + q^2 + q^4 + q^6 + q^8 + q^{10} + q^{12} + \&c. \} \\ \times \{ 1 + q + q^3 + q^5 + q^{10} + q^{15} + q^{21} + \&c. \}.$$

Now we find by multiplication

$$(1 + q^2 + q^4 + q^6 + q^8 + \&c.) \times (1 + q + q^3 + q^5 + q^{10} + \&c.) \\ = \\ 1 \\ + q + q^2 \\ + 2q^3 + q^4 + 2q^5 \\ + 2q^6 + 2q^7 + 2q^8 + 2q^9 \\ + 3q^{10} + 2q^{11} + 3q^{12} + 2q^{13} + 3q^{14} \\ + 3q^{15} + \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$$



If  $n$  be any number, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E(n) \\
 & -E(n-1) + E(n-2) \\
 & -2E(n-3) + E(n-4) - 2E(n-5) \\
 & + 2E(n-6) - 2E(n-7) + 2E(n-8) - 2E(n-9) \\
 & \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\
 & \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \pm sE(0)
 \end{aligned}$$

is equal to zero, if we assign to  $E(0)$  the value 0 or 1 in accordance with the following rule: (i.) if  $sE(0)$  is a term of an alternation, or (ii.) if  $sE(0)$  is a term of a sequence and  $n$  and  $s$  are one even and the other uneven, then  $E(0) = 0$ ; (iii.) if  $sE(0)$  is a term of a sequence and  $n$  and  $s$  are both even or both uneven, then  $E(0) = 1$ .

For example, putting  $n = 5, 6, 7$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\alpha) \\
 & E(5) \\
 & -E(4) + E(3) \\
 & -2E(2) + E(1) - 2E(0) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\beta) \\
 & E(6) \\
 & -E(5) + E(4) \\
 & -2E(3) + E(2) - 2E(1) \\
 & + 2E(0) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\gamma) \\
 & E(7) \\
 & -E(6) + E(5) \\
 & -2E(4) + E(3) - 2E(2) \\
 & + 2E(1) + 2E(0) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

In  $(\alpha)$ ,  $E(0)$  falls in an alternation, so that, by (i.), we put  $E(0) = 0$ ; in  $(\beta)$ ,  $E(0)$  falls in a sequence and 6 and 2 are both even, therefore, by (iii.), we put  $E(0) = 1$ ; in  $(\gamma)$ ,  $E(0)$  falls in a sequence, but 7 and 2 are one even and the other uneven, whence, by (ii.), we put  $E(0) = 0$ .

Substituting for the  $E$ 's their values, the equations  $(\alpha)$ ,  $(\beta)$ ,  $(\gamma)$  become

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha) \dots\dots 2-1+0-2+1-0 & = 0, \\
 (\beta) \dots\dots 0-2+1-0+1-2+2 & = 0, \\
 (\gamma) \dots\dots 0-0+2-2+0-2+2+0 & = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The theorem contained in this section affords a complete verification of a table of  $E(n)$ , and it also serves to express  $E(n)$  in terms of the  $E$ 's of all the numbers inferior to  $n$ .

Other formulæ of the same class, but in which the coefficients are





and the denominator being

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 \\
 & + 2q + 2q^2 \\
 & + 3q^3 + 3q^4 + 3q^5 \\
 & + 4q^6 + 4q^7 + 4q^8 + 4q^9 \\
 & + 5q^{10} + \dots \dots \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

We may thus, by equating coefficients, obtain the theorem :

If  $n$  be any number, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E(n) \\
 & - 2E(n-1) + 2E(n-2) \\
 & - 3E(n-3) + 3E(n-4) - 3E(n-5) \\
 & + 4E(n-6) - 4E(n-7) + 4E(n-8) - 4E(n-9) \\
 & + 5E(n-10) - \dots \dots \dots \\
 & \dots \dots \dots + (-1)^{n-1} rE(1) \\
 & = (-1)^{n-1} \times \frac{1}{2}s \text{ or } 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

according as  $s$  is even or uneven, where  $s$  is what the coefficient of  $E(0)$  would be, if the formula were continued one term further. Thus  $s = r$ , unless  $rE(1)$  is the last term in a group, in which case  $s = r + 1$ .

Taking as examples  $n = 5$  and  $6$ , the formula gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E(5) \\
 & - 2E(4) + 2E(3) \\
 & - 3E(2) + 3E(1) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E(6) \\
 & - 2E(5) + 2E(4) \\
 & - 3E(3) + 3E(2) - 3E(1) = (-1)^5 \times 2,
 \end{aligned}$$

since the coefficient of  $E(0)$ , if the formula were continued one term further, would be 4.

Substituting for the  $E$ 's their values, these equations become

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 - 2 + 0 - 3 + 3 & = 0, \\
 0 - 4 + 2 - 0 + 3 - 3 & = -2.
 \end{aligned}$$

15. If we divide by  $1 - q$  (i.e., multiply by  $1 + q + q^2 + q^3 + \dots$ ) the numerator and denominator of the fraction in equation (iii.) of § 9,

viz., 
$$\frac{q + 3q^3 + 6q^5 + 10q^{10} + 15q^{15} + \dots}{1 + q + q^3 + q^6 + q^{10} + q^{15} + q^{21} + \dots}$$

we find

$$\zeta(1)q + \zeta(2)q^2 + \zeta(3)q^3 + \dots = \frac{q + q^3 + 4q^5 + 4q^7 + 4q^9 + 10q^{10} + 10q^{12} + \dots}{1 + 2q + 2q^2 + 3q^3 + 3q^4 + 3q^5 + 4q^6 + \dots},$$

and, by equating coefficients as before, we obtain the theorem :







then it can be shown that

$$P_n = \begin{vmatrix} b_1, & b_2, & b_3, & b_4, & b_5, & \dots \\ 1, & a_1, & a_2, & a_3, & a_4, & \dots \\ 0, & 1, & a_1, & a_2, & a_3, & \dots \\ 0, & 0, & 1, & a_1, & a_2, & \dots \\ 0, & 0, & 0, & 1, & a_1, & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{vmatrix} \text{ (} n \text{ rows),}$$

where the elements of the third row are the same as those of the second, but shifted one place to the right, the first element being a cipher; the elements of the fourth row are the same as those of the third, but shifted one place to the right, with two ciphers prefixed; and so on. Excepting only the first row, all the elements in any diagonal parallel to the principal diagonal are the same. In writing determinants of this form, it is sufficient therefore to give the first two rows.

By means of this theorem we may deduce at once from the formulæ given in this paper the following expressions for  $E(n)$ , &c., in which, for brevity, only the first two rows of the determinants are written:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(i.)} \\ & E(n) \\ & = \\ & \begin{vmatrix} 1, 0, -1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -3, \dots \\ 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots \end{vmatrix} \\ & = \\ & \begin{vmatrix} 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots \\ 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, \dots \end{vmatrix} \\ & = \\ & \begin{vmatrix} 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 3, 0, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots \\ 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 3, 4, 3, \dots \end{vmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

each determinant containing  $n$  rows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(ii.)} \\ & E(4n+1) \\ & = \\ & \begin{vmatrix} 1, 0, -3, 0, 0, 0, 5, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -7, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 9, 0, 0, \dots \\ 1, 2, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots \end{vmatrix} \\ & = \\ & (-)^n \begin{vmatrix} 1, 1, -2, -2, -2, -2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, -4, -4, \dots \\ 1, -1, -1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, \dots \end{vmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

each determinant containing  $n+1$  rows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(iii.)} \\
 & S(n) \\
 & = \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc} 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 2, & 0, & 2, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 3, & 0, & 3, & 0, & 3, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots \end{array} \right|, \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc} 1, & 1, & 0, & 1, & 0, & 0, & 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots \end{array} \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

the determinant containing  $n$  rows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(iv.)} \\
 & (-1)^{n-1} \zeta(n) \\
 & = \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc} 1, & 0, & 3, & 0, & 0, & 6, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 10, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 15, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 21, & 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots \end{array} \right| \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc} 1, & 1, & 0, & 1, & 0, & 0, & 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 1, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 0, & 1, & 0, & 0, & \dots \end{array} \right| \\
 & = \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc} 1, & 1, & 4, & 4, & 4, & 10, & 10, & 10, & 10, & 20, & 20, & 20, & 20, & 20, & 35, & 35, & 35, & 35, & 35, & \dots \end{array} \right|, \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc} 1, & 2, & 2, & 3, & 3, & 3, & 4, & 4, & 4, & 4, & 5, & 5, & 5, & 5, & 5, & 6, & 6, & 6, & 6, & \dots \end{array} \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

each determinant containing  $n$  rows.

It may be added that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (-1)^{n-1} \psi(n) \\
 & = \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 1, & 1, & -4, & -4, & -4, & 10, & 10, & 10, & 10, & -20, & -20, & -20, & -20, & \dots \end{array} \right|, \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccccccccccc} 1, & -2, & -2, & 3, & 3, & 3, & -4, & -4, & -4, & -4, & 5, & 5, & 5, & \dots \end{array} \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

the determinant containing  $n$  rows.

These determinant-values are, of course, quite inappropriate for purposes of calculation, being at best but inconvenient forms of expressing the results given by the formulæ I. and II. of § 5, and the similar formulæ in §§ 8—16. They seem, however, worth notice, as affording, though in an impracticable form, definite numerical expressions for  $E(n)$ , &c.

*The functions  $E(n)$ ,  $\chi(n)$ ,  $\lambda(n)$ .*

19.\* If  $n$  be uneven, the number of primary complex numbers having  $n$  as their norm is equal to  $E(n)$ . In the *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* for June, 1884, Vol. xx., pp. 97—167, I have considered the function  $\chi(n)$ , which denotes the sum of the primary complex numbers having  $n$  as norm, and also  $\lambda(n)$  the sum of their squares. These functions are connected with one another and with  $E(n)$  and  $\psi(n)$  by a number of relations in which the terms follow laws similar to those which occur in this paper. The following two formulæ are perhaps the most curious of these relations; they would afford complete verifications of a table giving the values of  $E(n)$  and  $\chi(n)$ .

---

\* This section has been added since the paper was read.

