I may remark that the soluble forms of equations, found as above, differ from those found by Bronwin, through the application of his Theorems in the Memoir referred to (*Phil. Trans.*, 1851), as must be the case, since the theorems themselves are essentially distinct.

Note on a System of Cartesian Ovals passing through Four Points on a Circle. By R. A. ROBERTS, B.A.

[Read June 9th, 1881.]

Let $S \equiv x^3 + y^3 - k^3 = 0$ be the equation in rectangular coordinates of the circle, and let $a^3 - \beta = 0$, where $a \equiv kx + my$, $\beta \equiv px + qy + r$, denote one of the parabolas whose intersection with S determines the four points; then

$$\vartheta^{s}(a^{s}-\beta)+2(\vartheta a+\lambda)S+S^{s}=0....(1),$$

where ϑ and λ are variable parameters, represents a Cartesian oval passing through the four points; for (1) may be written

showing that the curve is a Cartesian oval of which

 $\lambda^{3} + \mathcal{P}^{3}\beta + 2\mathcal{P}\lambda a = 0 \qquad (3),$

is the double tangent, and the centre of the circle

is the triple focus.

From the equation (4) we see that the triple focus lies on the perpendicular to a at the centre of S, and from (3) that the double tangent touches the parabolu $a^3 - \beta = 0$.

The equation of a circle Σ , having its centre on the axis (the perpendicular from the triple focus on the double tangent), and having double contact with the curve, is evidently, from (2),

or

whence it appears that the radical axis of Σ and S touches the parabola $a^3-\beta=0$.

Hence, when the radius of Σ is given, its centre lies on a fixed circular cubic; for, expressing that $2x'x + 2y'y - (x^2 + y^2 + k^3 - r^3) = 0$, the radical axis of S and $\Sigma \{\equiv (x-x')^3 + (y-y')^3 - r^3\}$, touches the parabola $a^3 - \beta = 0$, we obtain a relation of the form

$$Ax'' + By'' + 2Hx'y' + (Gx' + Fy')(x'' + y'' + k' - r') = 0.$$

When r vanishes, (x', y') is a focus of the curve, and the cubic is the

envelope of circles which cut S orthogonally and have their centres on the parabola $a^2 - \beta = 0$ (see Casey's "Bicircular Quartics").

This latter result was proposed as a question in the Educational Times, July, 1866, by Prof. Sylvester. (See Educational Times, August, 1866, for Prof. Cayley's solution of the above; also October, 1866, for a solution by Prof. Crofton.)

Note on certain Symbolic Operators, and their application to the Solution of certain Partial Differential Equations. By J. W. L. GLAISHER, M.A., F.R.S.

[Read June 9th, 1881.]

1. Poisson's well-known theorem

$$e^{a^*D^*}\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^*}\phi(x+2uu) du$$
(1),

where D denotes $\frac{d}{dx}$, may be proved very simply as follows.

We have
$$\sqrt{\pi} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^*} du$$
(2),

whence, putting u-a for u, a being a constant,

and therefore

Writing aD in place of a, and taking $\phi(x)$ as the subject of operation,

2. This proof is rigorous, for (3), regarded as an equation involving a, is true identically; that is to say, if both members of the equation are expanded in powers of a, we have

$$\sqrt{\pi}\left(1+a^{2}+\frac{a^{4}}{2!}+\&c.\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-u^{2}}\left(1+2au+\frac{(2au)^{2}}{2!}+\&c.\right)du\ ...(5),$$

and it is easily seen that the coefficients of the same powers of a on each side of the equation are equal to one another, the terms involving