



Approximate Determination of Positions in South-Western China

Author(s): G. Colborne Baber

Source: The Journal of the Royal Geographical Society of London, Vol. 49 (1879), pp. 421-

433

Published by: Wiley on behalf of The Royal Geographical Society (with the Institute of

British Geographers)

Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1798696

Accessed: 27-06-2016 03:33 UTC

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://about.jstor.org/terms

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



The Royal Geographical Society (with the Institute of British Geographers), Wiley are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to The Journal of the Royal Geographical Society of London

VIII.—Approximate Determination of Positions in South-Western China. By G. Colborne Baber.

[Communicated by the Foreign Office.]

With the exception of the points established by Captain Blakiston and Lieutenant Garnier, our knowledge of the geographical position of places in Western China rests entirely upon the authority of the Jesuit surveyors, whose results, laid down partly from observation with inefficient instruments, and partly from the collation of native information, are necessarily erroneous in many details, and are never exact. Their observations for latitude often deviate from the truth by so much as 6 or 7 miles, and their longitudes, even as re-arranged by modern geographers, are probably vitiated by a still greater Nevertheless their map is for general purposes a most admirable work, and since it was never designed to serve as a route-map for tourists, or a chart for river-pilots, it would be ungracious to find fault with its deficiencies; especially when it is remembered that all existing maps of Eastern Asia are more or less modified reproductions of their survey.

Modern explorers are, however, fair game, and it is at once the duty and the delight of a traveller to search out the defects of his predecessors. But, with the best will in the world, I cannot establish any charge against Captain Blakiston. severe test of his work is to observe the latitude of places the position of which he had obtained by dead reckoning only, and over a long distance; tried in this way he is always practically exact. But I have applied the still more searching criterium of longitude by chronometer. His lunar observations, as adopted by Mr. Arrowsmith, give 1° 55′ (one degree fifty-five minutes) for the difference of longitude between Sü chow and Chung ching. Selecting a season when a quick run could be made, I carried a chronometer down from Sü chow, and obtained a difference of 1° 59′ (one degree fifty-nine minutes); a most satisfactory agreement. Captain Blakiston's lunar observations seemed to have gained in trustworthiness as he travelled farther west, and at Sü chow his results east and west of the moon are very close together. There seems every reason for assuming that his absolute longitude of Sü chow is as near the truth as lunar series will admit of.

But then comes Lieutenant Garnier and shocks the complacent feeling of finality by removing the position twenty-six minutes westwards. The discrepancy is, after all, not very serious, as sextant observations go; but still it is disagreeable, and I have devoted a good deal of time and labour to its

examination. The first place in which, after much wandering and waiting, I at last found an almost unexceptionable opportunity for obtaining lunar series, was Tzu-ta-ti, the head-quarters of a Sifan chief, in lat. 29° 16′ 45″, and a few days later another good opportunity occurred at the village of Na-erh-pa, 8 miles to the eastward. The two results, as may be seen by the record of observations hereto appended, agree exceedingly well, and place the mouth of the Lao-wa torrent, which lies half-way between the stations, in long. 102° 41'. Extending this result by careful dead reckoning to Chia-ting-fu, and thence by chronometer to Sü chow, I came almost exactly upon the point laid down by Captain Blakiston: the four walls of the city would have nearly included both determinations. It seems, therefore, safe to prefer Captain Blakiston's position to that adopted by Lieutenant Garnier, and to suppose that it is very slightly in error.

The position of the more southern portions of my chart, as regards longitude, rests upon the accuracy of dead reckoning corrected by frequent observations for latitude and variation of compass. In this way, on reducing the route-chart which I kept when travelling with Mr. Grosvenor, Yünnan Fu falls upon 102° 41′ (oddly enough the longitude of the Lao-wa river mouth determined as above), differing by four or five minutes only from Lieutenant Garnier's result. Again, if my chart of the mission-route from Yünnan Fu to T'êng-yüeh (Momein) be examined, it will be seen that the difference of longitude between those points, according to the dead reckoning, is 4° 17′ (four degrees seventeen minutes), which, if the position of T'êng-yüeh according to the Sladen mission, viz. 98° 26′, be accepted, would place Yünnan Fu in 102° 43′, practically the situation in which I found it.

I put Tali Fu, by the same process, in long. 100° 3′, some twenty-five minutes west of Lieutenant Garnier's acceptation. But his position also depends upon dead reckoning alone; and since my account of the distance between Yünnan Fu and T'êng-yüeh, taking Tali Fu en route, seems correct enough, I submit that probabilities are strongly in my favour.

Accepting Blakiston's determination for Sü chow Fu, Garnier's for Yünnan Fu, and the received position of T'eng-yüeh, all my route-work falls comfortably into place without straining or distortion.

I may add that I obtained a lunar series of poor value at Ch'iao-chia Ting (B.), but I prefer to depend upon dead reckoning for the position. The record marked (D.) is the history of a failure, and I only append it for the sake of fairness. As far as the *observation* is concerned, it was the best

and most deliberate of my lunar series; its want of success may be attributed to two causes: the Eastern Star was the most ineligible, with one exception, of the whole year's category, and the hill-forest below it was on fire.

The record of latitudes needs no comment except in one particular, viz. the rather serious difference from the positions adopted by Lieutenant Garnier between Sü chow and Tungch'uan. I do not know if his results for that section rest upon sextant observations. It may be objected that my latitudes in that part of the route depend upon altitudes taken only upon one side of the zenith, but this stricture will not apply to the station of Chiang-ti, where the discrepancy is equally apparent. At Tung-ch'uan and farther south the agreement is satisfactory. For the position of Tali Fu Mr. Garnier appears to have accepted the Jesuits' latitude; indeed, as he had barely time to escape from personal danger in that neighbourhood, it is not to be supposed that he could have devoted much attention to sextant manipulation.

It will be seen that my latitude observations from No. 48 downwards exhibit a considerable intrinsic sextant error, apart and distinct of course from index error; but, being constant, it was of no importance whatever, and I thought it well to refrain from "tormenting the instrument."

The observations for compass variation (Table G.) were all made by sun's altitude and azimuth, no sunset or sunrise sights

being anywhere obtainable.

In Table (H.) I have compared my deduced positions of the most important points with the determinations of the Jesuits and of Mr. Garnier. The latter have been measured from his general map, and are therefore somewhat loose.

E. COLBORNE BABER.

Chung-ching, 25th July, 1879.

APPENDIX.

(A.) OBSERVATIONS for LATITUDE.

STATION.	Position of Object observed N. or S. of Zenith.	Result.	Mean, or accepted, Lat.	Remarks.
1. P'ing-shan Hsien (River bank at east end of City).	Star N. (a Urs. Ma.)	28 39 6	28 39 8	Fair.
2. Ditto	Sun	28 39 10	11 1	Good.
3. Yen-tzŭ-ngai	Sun	28 24 0	28 24 0	Good.
4. T'an-t'ou	Star S.	28 19 50	28 19 50	Very good.
•	(Sirius)			• •
5. Lin-chiang-ch'i	Sun	28 9 23	28 9 23	Good.
6. Top of Li-shan	Sun	28 3 21	28 3 21	Fair.
7. Ta-ngai-tung	Sun	27 31 29	27 31 29	Fair.
8. Cha-shang	Sun	27 25 23	27 25 20	Good.
9. Chao-t'ung Fu	Star S.	27 20 42	h (Fair.
(Examination Hall).	(Sirius)	a = aa aa		TC3 *
10. Ditto	Sun	27 20 29		Fair.
11. Ditto	Star S.	27 20 41	27 20 35	Good.
10 D'11	(Rigel)	07 00 40		Warm daulithal
12. Ditto	Star S.	27 20 49	(1	Very doubtful.
13. Ditto	(15 Argus)	27 20 43	() (Very good.
7.4 (0) 1- 1	Sun Sun	27 16 31	27 16 25	Fair.
1 F Object 11	Star S.	27 10 31	27 10 25	Satisfactory.
15. Chiang-u	(Rigel)	21 0 0	1	kansiaciory.
16. Ditto	Star N.	26 59 40	26 59 50	Satisfactory.
, 20. 2100	(a Urs. Ma.)	20 00 10	1) 1	
17. Ya-k'ou-t'ang	Sun	26 54 52	26 54 45	Good.
18. I-chê-hsün	Star S.	26 49 30	26 49 25	Satisfactory.
	(Sirius)			•
19. Shan-hu-shu	Sun	26 42 4 8	26 42 45	Good.
20. Hung-shih-ngai	Star S.	26 37 38	26 37 35	Fair.
_	(Sirius)			
21. Tung-ch'uan Fu	Star S.	26 25 0	26 25 0	Fair.
(Examination Hall).	(Sirius)			177. *
22. Hsiao-chang-t'ang	Sun	26 19 50	26 19 45	Fair. Fair.
23. Chê-chi	Star S.	26 14 37	1) (:	rair.
04 D:44°	(Sirius)	26 14 20	26 14 30	Fair.
24. Ditto	Star N.	20 14 20	11 1	rau.
25. Lai-t'ou-p'o	Star S.	26 1 42	K >	Not very good.
25. Lai-t'ou-po	(Sirius)	20 1 12		2100 100 90000
26. Ditto	Star N.	26 1 39	!	Good.
20. 21.00	(a Urs. Ma.)		26 1 40	
27. Ditto	Sun	26 1 81?		Good
28. Ditto	Star S.	26 1 37		Good.
	(Sirius)		jj (
29. Kung-shan	Star S.	25 45 7	b i	Fair.
_	(Sirius)		25 45 0	
30. Ditto	Star N.	25 44 49	[[20 20 0]	Fair.
1	(a Urs. Ma.)	07 40 70	125.40	} • 77 - !
31. Liu-shu-ho	Sun	25 40 10	25 40 0	Fair.
	1			

(A.) Observations for Latitude—continued.

STATION.	Position of Object observed N. or S. of Zenith.	Result.	Mean, or accepted, Lat.	Remarks.
32. Hsün-tien-chou	Star S.	25 34 6) " (Fair.
33. Ditto	(Sirius) Star N. (αUrs. Ma.)	25 33 34	25 33 50	Fair.
34. I-lung	Star S.	25 23 26	Í	Very rough.
35. Ditto	Star N. (a Urs. Ma.)	25 22 9	25 22 20	Good.
36. Ho-k'ou 37. Yang-lin	Sun Star S.	25 17 10 25 13 36	25 17 0	Good. Good.
38. Ditto	(Sirius) Star N.	25 13 18	25 13 27	Good.
39. Ch'ang-p'o	(αUrs.Ma.) Star S.	25 7 46	(Good.
40. Ditto	(Sirius) Star N.	25 7 43	25 7 45	Good.
41. Fên-shui-ling 42. Pan-ch'iao	(α Urs. Ma.) Sun Star S.	$\begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 24 \\ 25 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 25 & 5 & 24 \end{bmatrix}$	Fair.
42. Pan-ch'iao 43. Ditto	(Sirius) Star N.	25 3 18	25 3 12	Fair. Satisfactory.
44. Yün-nan Fu	(α Urs. Ma.) Star S.	25 2 41		Good.
45. Ditto	(Sirius) Star N.	25 2 35		Fair.
46. Ditto	(αUrs. Ma.) Star S.	25 2 45	$25 \ 2 \ 40$	Good.
47. Ditto	(Sirius) Star N.	25 2 55		Fair.
·	(a Urs. Ma.)	[J) (_]	
48. Liao-i-p'u 49. Pao-an-ying	Jup.	28 54 35 28 49 53	$\begin{bmatrix} 28 & 54 & 0 \\ 28 & 49 & 25 \end{bmatrix}$	Fair. Fair.
50. Têng-hsiang 51. Ning-yuan Fu	Do. Do.	28 28 22 27 54 14	28 27 45	Good. Good.
(Examination Hall). 52. Ditto	Do.	27 54 3	97 52 90	
53. Ditto	Star N. (a Cephei)	27 52 41	27 53 30	Good. A little before meridian: fair.
54. Huang-lien-p'u	Jup. Star N.	27 41 19 27 40 10	27 40 45	Good. Good.
56. Ma-li-chai	(α Cephei) Jup.	1)	27 31 35	Good.
57. Hsiao-kao-ch'iao 58. Chin-ch'ian-ch'iao	Do. Sun	27 22 14	27 21 40 27 11 0	Satisfactory. Good.
59. Hui-Li-chow	Do.	96 90 95 1	26 39 0	Rough.
61. Hsiao-pa	Do.	26 34 10	}	Good. Very good.
(\frac{1}{3} \text{ mile N.W. of).} \) 62. Ditto (Same Station).	Do.	26 34 23	26 33 40	Very good.
63. Liu-shu-wan	Do.	26 35 56	26 35 20	Fair.

(A.) Observations for Latitude—continued.

STATION.	Position of Object observed N. or S. of Zenith.	Result.	Mean, or accepted, Lat.	Remarks.
64. Ch'ê-la (2 miles N.E. by E. of).	Sun	26 38 57	26 28 30	Good.
65. Wa-wu	Do.	26 53 47	26 53 10	Rough.
66. Ch'iao-chia T'ing	·Star N.	26 54 14	26 54 50	Fair.
67. Ditto	(a Cephei) Mars	26 55 29	20 34 30	Fair.
68. Ai-chuo	Star N.	26 55 5	i i	Fair.
69. Ditto	(a Cephei) Mars	26 56 32	26 55 45	Fair.
70. Ditto	Sun	26 56 6	(Good.
71. Mao-p'o	Star N.	26 57 55	26 58 20	Fair.
72. Niu-ko-ch'ang	(a Cephei) Sun	27 2 58	27 2 20	A little late.
72. Niu-ko-ch ang 73. Lung-shu	Do.	27 18 27	27 18 0	Rough.
$(\frac{1}{2} \text{ mile S. of}).$	_			.
74. Pai-fa-ch'i 75. San-chia-chai	Do. Do.	27 33 2 27 39 27	27 32 25 27 39 0	Fair. Fair.
76. Miao-wa	Do.	27 50 0	21 33 0	Not worth much.
($\frac{3}{4}$ mile E.N.E. of). 77. Yang-liu-shu		a= 20 40		
	Do. Star N.	27 50 48 27 52 0	27 50 10	Fair. Good.
78. Huang-ping	(a Cephei)	2, 02	27 52 40	Good.
79. Ditto	Mars	27 53 23	1	Fair.
80. Sha-ho	Sun Star N.	27 57 22 27 57 16	27 56 40	Good. Poor.
81. Kan-t'ien-pa	(a Cephei)	21 31 10	27 58 0	1001.
82 Ditto	Mars	27 58 40	1)(Good.
83. Huang-kuo-shu (South end).	Sun	28 0 2	27 59 25	Good.
84. Ya-k'ou	Star N.	28 0 26	1)	Fair.
	(a Cephei)	00 1 05	28 1 0	TA :-
85. Ditto 86. Ditto	Mars Sun	28 1 35 28 2 10	11 - 1	Fair. Not worth much:
60. Ditto	Dun	20 2 10		bystanders
o mu 11	-	00 7 00	00 4 45	troublesome.
87. Ting-chiang-ao 88. Yu-fang-kou	Do. Do.	28 5 20 28 13 26	28 4 45 28 12 50	Good.
(Farm house).	1		20 12 00	
89. Ching-ti	Star N.	28 13 42	28 14 15	Fair.
90. Ditto	(a Cephei) Mars	28 14 51	20 14 15	Fair, but hurried.
91. Bluff E. of Ching-ti	Sun	28 15 5	28 14 30	Good.
92. Kuo-ch'üan-t'an 93. Hsin-tien-tzŭ	Mars Sun	28 13 29 28 14 51	28 13 0 28 14 15	Very good. Good.
94. Huang-lung-ch'i	Do.	28 35 30	28 35 0	Fair.
95. 1½ mile W. of	Do.	28 38 38	28 38 0	Fair.
Ming-yuan Bridge	· l	1		1
96. Sü-chou Fu	Do.	28 47 23	28 46 50	Good.
(N. corner of).	Do	28 48 11	28 47 35	Satisfactory.
97. 2 miles above Niu- shih-pien. (See Chart).	Do.	20 40 11	40 41 50	Satistactory.
	I			

(A.) Observations for Latitude—continued.

STATION.	Position of Object observed N. or S. of Zenith.	Result.	Mean, or accepted, Lat.	Remarks.
98. Ni-ch'i-chang	Sun	29 1 15	29 0 40	Fair, result
(Upper end). 99. Chu-kên-t'an (½ mile above centre).	Do.	29 25 31	29 24 55	Fair.
100. T'ung River (Mouth of, R.B.).	Do.	29 34 4	29 33 30	Fair.
101. Chia-ting Fu (Middle of E. wall).	Do.	29 34 40	29 34 5	Very rough.
102. Lu-lu-p'ing	Do.	29 18 45	29 18 10	Fair.
103. Ta-t'ien-ch'ih	Do.	29 24 0	29 23 25	Fair.
104. Mu-hsü	Do.	29 21 18	29 20 45	Satisfactory.
105. Fu-lin	Do.	29 21 46	29 21 10	Good.
106. Ho-chiang-pa	Do.	29 21 29	29 20 55	Good.
107 Las we haden	Do.		20 20 33	
(1 mile W.S.W.).	Ъб.	29 16 0	ſ	Unsatisfactory.
108. Tzŭ-ta-ti	Do.	29 17 35	h (Very good.
109. Ditto	Star S.	29 17 27	1)	Good.
	(Sirius)		29 16 45	
110. Ditto	Star N.	29 16 0		Very good.
	(a Urs. Ma.)	20 20 0	1) [1 11 8 11
111. Lao-wa-hsüan	Sun	29 15 38	29 14 50	Good.
112. Na-erh-pa	Do.	29 16 22	1 20 11 00	Poor.
113. Ditto	Star N.	29 14 37		Good.
	(a Urs. Ma.)	20 11 01	11 1	Good.
114. Ditto	Star S.	29 16 14	11 1	Fair.
114. Ditto		29 10 14	29 15 25	ran.
115. Ditto	(Spica) Star N.	90 14 90	29 15 25	Fair.
115. Ditto		29 14 38	11 1	rair.
116. Ditto	(a Urs. Ma.)	90 10 10	11 1	Good.
116. Ditto	Star S.	29 16 13	11 !	Good.
117. Ch'u-la ravine	(Spica)	90 10 50	į, į	17-2-
	Star N.	29 19 50	1) 1	Fair.
(\frac{3}{4} mile S. of mouth).	(a Urs. Ma.)		29 20 20	a 1
118. Ditto	Star S.	29 20 53	11-0 -01	Good.
110 377 /	(Spica)		1	
119. Wan-tung	Sun	29 32 37	29 32 5	Fair, but sur
		1		too high to be
100 5 1 1			1	trustworthy.
120. Ta-chien-lu	Star N.	30 2 40	0 (Good.
(Near S. Gate).	(γUrs. Ma.)		11 1	
121. Ditto	Star N.	30 2 25	11 1	Poor.
	(γ Urs. Ma.)	į	30 3 6	
122. Ditto	Star S.	30 3 49	30 3 6	Fair.
	(Antares)		11 1	
123. Ditto	Star S.	30 3 28	li (Good.
	(Spica)) (
124. Lu-ting-ch'iao	Star N.	29 54 27	1) (Good.
	(γUrs. Ma.)		1	
125. Ditto	Star S.	29 55 8	11 1	Fair.
	(γ Virg.)		100 54 55	
126. Ditto	Star S.	29 55 24	29 54 55	Good.
	(Spica)	-	11 1	
127. Ditto	Star N.	29 54 43]]]	Good.
	(η Urs. Ma.)		j) i	
128. Fu-chuang	Star S.	29 33 23	29 32 55	Fair.
			1	
(S.E. end).	(Spica)		1	

OBSERVATIONS FOR LONGITUDE.

(B.) Ch'iao-chia T'ing, 18 Sept. 1877. Obs. for Longitude, in N. lat. 26° 54′ 52″, with Sextant C.

Adjusted slight side error. Observed Index error, 25"+. Observed Barometer 27:11, and Thermometer 71°.

meter	27.1	l, and	Thern	nom	eter	71°.							
	T	ime ol	s. Sta	ır W					Tir	ne obs.	Star	E.	
	Watcl	i.	Double	Alts	. Jup	iter.	Ì		Watch	1.	Double	Alts.	Mars.
н.	м.	s.	0	,	"			н.	M.	S.	0	,	,,
8	19	15	58	49)	1	8	26	40	65	45	20
8	21	40	58	10			1	8	28	15	66	18	3
8	23	35	57	37	50)	1	8	29	25	66	47	30
					L	UNAR I	DISTAN	CES.					
	Watcl	1.	Dist. J	upite	r fr.	N.L.		,	Watch		Dist. N	fars f	r. F.L.
H.	M.	s.		,	"			н.	M.	s.	٥	,	"
8	34	20	45	55	20)		8	55	2	29	21	20
8	37	55	45	56			1	8	58	35	29	20	30
8	40	10	45	57			1	9	0	40	29	19	20
8 8	44	15	45	58			1	9	3 5	25	29	18	40 0
8	47	3	45	59			۱ ۵۰	9	_	20	29	18	U
						ime obs		r E.					
					Watc M.			ie Al	ts. Ma	urs.			
				н. 9		S.	0	, t	. "				
				9	16 18	$\begin{array}{c} 55 \\ 23 \end{array}$	81 82						
				9	19	48	82						
					Т	ime obs	. Sta	r E					
				v	Vatch				ts.β(Ceti.			
				н.	M.	s.	0		•				
				*11	25	46	78)			
				11	27	3	78						
				11	28	38	79	1	6 20)			
					I	UNAR I	DISTAN	CES.					
				77	atch.		Dist.	Satur	n fr. F	.L.			
				H.	M.	S.	٥	,	,,				
				11	34	11	34						
				11	39	5	34						
				11	41	10	34						
				11 11	45 47	$\frac{2}{26}$	34 34						
						40	95	: 1					
		Time (obs. S	tar :	Е.		1		Tir	ne obs.	Star	w.	
						~			Watch		Double	Alts	. Mars.
	Wate		Doul	ole A	lts. β	Ceti.		н.	м.	s.	0	,	"
H					<u>'</u>	"		12	56	43	86	32	50
11						50		12	58	16	86	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 42 \end{array}$	0 10
1] 1]				3 { 4		20 20		13 13	0 1	$\frac{6}{3}$	85 85	26	10
1,		. 14	c	· T				13	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	85	10	40
							ι		_			_,	
			$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{E}$	SULT	rs:]	By Jupi	iter		. 108	3.5	í		
				, ,		By Satu							
									100				
									102	4 51]	E. long		

^{*} This should be 11 h. 24 m. 46 s.

(C.) Tzŭ-ta-ti, A.M. *24th March, 1878, in N. lat. 29° 16′ 44″. Observed with Sextant B.

Time o	obs. Star W.	Time obs.	Star E.
Watch.	Double Alts. Regulus.	Watch.	Double Alts. Vega.
H. M. S.	0 , ,,	H. M. S.	0 1 11
1 38 46	73 15 30	1 48 52	64 44 10
1 40 5	72 41 40	1 50 13	65 14 10
1 41 7	72 14 20	1 51 15	65 38 30
1 42 10	71 47 20	1 52 27	66 5 30
1 43 18	71 18 10	1 53 29	66 2 9 2 0
	Lunar Dista	NCES.	
Watch.	Dist. Spica fr. F.L.	Watch.	Dist. Jupiter fr. N.L.
H. M. S.	0 1 11	H. M. S.	0 1 11
2 23 30	48 52 20 — 3 а.м.	4 0 10	50 7 0
	48 52 50 — Ther. 58°		50 6 10
2 26 40 2 27 58 2 35 33 2 38 24 2 41 51	48 53 10 — Bar. 26:9	8. 4 4 34	50 5 40
2 35 33	48 55 50 — I.E. 1' 50		50 5 10
2 38 24	48 57 30 — 1'30		50 4 30
$2 ext{ } 41 ext{ } 51$	48 58 50 — 1'50		50 3 50
2 45 50	49 0 20 — 1' 30	". 4 12 33	50 3 10
Time	obs. Star E.	Time obs.	Star W.
Watch.	Double Alts. Altair.	Watch.	Double Alts. Spica.
н. м. s.	o , ,,	н. м. s.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4 20 16	77 3 40	4 30 27	57 1 40
4 21 28	77 35 30	4 31 55	56 29 10
4 24 3	78 41 0	4 33 0	56 5 20
4 25 11	79 10 0	4 34 1	55 43 10
4 26 11	79 35 20	4 35 27	55 11 50
	Note.—Subtract four seco	nds from all time	s.

RESULT: By Spica 102 39.5 ,, By Jupiter .. 102 39.3 102 39.4 E. long.

(D.)

Tsŭ-ta-ti, A.M. 25th March, 1878, in n. lat. 29° 16′ 44″. Sextant B. 1.30 A.M. Ther. 67°. Bar. 26 '76.

Index Error 1 20

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••	1	. 40	
	,,	••	1	. 20	
	,,		1		
	,,	••	1	40	
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{ime}}$	obs. Star W.	1		Time obs.	Star E.
Watch.	Double Alts. Regulus.			Watch	Double Alte

,	Watcl	h.	Double 2	Alts.	Regulu	3.	,	Watcl	ı.	Double	Alts.	Vega.
H. 0 0 0 0	м. 51 52 54 56 57	s. 31 55 27 10 20	65 64 64 63 62	28 50 10 25 54	0 0 10 10 20	i	1. 2 0 0 0	м. 2 3 4 6 7	s. 12 39 54 11 40	71 72 72 73 73	47 20 50 19 55	10 40 40 50

430 BABER's Approximate Determination of Positions

LUNAR DISTANCES.

	Watc	h.	Dist. S	pica i	r. F.L.	1	7	Watcl	1.	Dist. A	ltair :	fr. N.L.
H.	м.	S.	0	,	,,		н.	M.	s.	0	,	,,
2	15	25	62 .	6	50		2	33	24	48	23	0
0	18	39	62	7	30		0	36	13	48	22	0
0	21	44	62	8	10		0	40	53	48	21	2 0
2	50	12	62	20	10		3	2	4	48	17	10
0	54	0	0	21	30		0	4	17	0	16	20
0	57	55	0	22	30	İ	0	8	49	0	14	20
3	12	53	62	29	20		3	22	15	48	12	40
0	15	20	0	30	10	ì	0	28	42	0	10	5 0
0	18	36	0	31	0		0	30	37	0	10	30
	7	Cime o	bs. Sta	r E.				Tir	ne obs.	Star	w.	
	Wate	h.	Double	Alts	Altair.	1		Watc	h.	Double	Alts	Spica.

	1	Γ ime	obs. Sta	ır E.		į		Tin	ne obs.	Star	w.	
	Watc	h.	Double	Alts	. Altair.	İ		Watcl	a.	Double	Alts.	Spica.
н	м.	s.	0	,	,,		H.	м.	s.	0	,	"
3	47	39	65	20	20		3	5 9	40	66	8	5 0
0	48	45	65	47	40	į	4	0	59	65	41	20
0	50	30	66	33	10		4	2	10	65	16	5 0
0	51	37	67	0	5(?)		4	3	23	64	50	20
0	52	57	67	36	0 ` ′		4	4	33	64	26	40

Note.—Subtract four seconds from all times.

Results:	${f B}{f y}$	Spic	a:	By Altair:			
	102 102 102	24		101 101 101	31	0	

Rejected.

(E.)

Na-erh-pa, 7th April, 1878. Obs. for Longitude, in n. lat. 29° 15′ 25″. Note.—Subtract four seconds from (1) and (3), not from (2). Observed with Sextant C.

Time obs. by ①.

	Watch.	Double Alts. 🗿				
н. 2	м. s. 2 59·5	105	32	" 40		
Z	4 7	105	8	40		
	$\begin{array}{cc}5&7\\6&12\end{array}$	104 104	$\begin{array}{c} 46 \\ 22 \end{array}$	30 50		
	7 6	101	1	ñ		

DISTANCES of SUN from Moon'S N.L.

	Watc	h.	Di	istanc	es.
H.	M.	S.	0	,	,,
2	13	45	19	46	10
	16	47		46	50
	18	35		47	30
	21	25		48	20
	23	36		49	20
	25	41		49	50
	27	50		50	30
	29	31		50	50
	31	31		51	30
	34	7		52	0
	35	43		52	40
	37	44		53	10
	39	49		53	50
	42	40		54	30
	44	52		55	10

Time obs. by \odot .

١	Vate	h.	Doub	le Alts	. 0
н.	м.	s.	0	,	"
2	49	15	87	24	30
	50	20	86	57	50
	51	17	86	34	0
	52	10	86	13	0
	53	10	85	47	20

Index Error			Bar. 26:50.
,,		15"+	Ther. 72° .
,,	••	10''+	

(F.)

Na-erh-pa; same evening (7th April, 1878). Note.—Subtract four seconds from all times. Observed with Sextant C.

Bar. 26.70. Ther. 70°.

	· .	
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{ime}}$	obs. Star E.	Time obs. Star W.
Watch.	Double Alts. Regulus.	Watch. Double Alts. Betelgeux.
н. м. s. 7 5 39	119 13 30	н. м. s. 7 26 15 91 11 20
7 12 8 40	$\begin{array}{cccc} 119 & 49 & 20 \\ 120 & 23 & 0 \\ \end{array}$	27 24 90 43 10 28 30 90 16 20
9 55 11 10	$egin{array}{cccc} 120 & 52 & 10 \ 121 & 20 & 30 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

DISTANCES of POLLUX from Moon's F.L.

Watch. H. M. S. 7 39 31 42 34 45 28	Distances.						
н.	M.	s.	0	,	,,		
7	39	31	42	10	50		
	42	34		10	10		
	45	28		9	0		
	47	31		8	10		
	50	8		7	10		

432 BABER's Approximate Determination of Positions

DISTANCES OF POLLUX from MOON'S F.L.—continued.

	Wate	ch.		D	istano	es.
H	м.	s.		0		,,
	53	15		_	5	ö
	56	31			3	30
	58	15			3	0
8	0	15			2	30
	2	31			1	0
	6	11	4	1 1	59	40
	8	2			58	30
	9	52			58	Ô

	T	'ime d	bs. Star	w.			\mathbf{T} i	me o	bs. Star	E.	
	Watcl	b.	Double A	lts. I	Procyon.	i	Watc	h.	Double Al	ts. Be	enetnasch.
H.	M.	s.	۰	,	,,	H.	M.	s.	٥	,	"
8	16	21	109	30	0	8	26	55	78	59	10
	17	33	109	4	0	i	28	13	79	24	10
	18	32	108	44	30		2 9	23	79	46	10
	19	44	108	19	0		30	29	80	7	30
	21	0	107	50	50	1	31	46	80	32	40

Bar. 26 87. Ther. 66°.

(G.)

OBS. FOR COMPASS VARIATION.

Var							
94343454650 7444333224477	 eced	m pr	Fu 1 Fu 1 Fu 1 Fu 1 Fu 2 Fu 2 Fu 3 north 3 north 4 Fu 2 Fu 3 north 4 Fu 5 man 6 Fu 6 Fu 7 Fu 8 Fu 8 Fu 9 Fu 1 Fu 1 Fu 1 Fu 1 Fu 1 Fu 1 Fu 1 Fu 1 Fu 1 Fu 2 Fu 1 Fu 2 Fu 3 Fu 4 Fu 1 Fu 1 Fu 2 Fu 3 Fu 4 Fu 5 Fu 6 Fu 6 Fu 6 Fu 7 Fu 8 Fu 1 Fu	YUNNAN-I Lu-ku Ning-yua Hsiao-kac T'ieh-hsia Hui-li Ch Chiang-el Lo-po-ti Ai-chuo Yeh-chu- Short dis Hsin-tien Two mile Ya-k'ou Yu-fang-l St-CHOU Tao-ssu-k Lu-lu-p'in Mount M Lao-wa-h	1876 1877 ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,	Mar. Aug. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

(H.) Comparison of Results with those accepted by the Jesuit Surveyor, and by Lieut. Garnier.

PLACES.					J	esuits.	Lieut. Garnier.			
Ta-chien-lu		Lat.	30	.	 6	0	,	10	0	
	• ••	Long.	102	18	U					
O TIT		Lat.	29	34						
a		Long.	103	47						
O	• ••	Lat.	29	34		29	27	3 0		
Fu-lin	• ••	Long. Lat.	104 29	21	10	103	55			
		Long.	102	57	10					
O1 11 1 TT 1		Lat.	29	10		29	9			
Sü-chou Fu		Long.	104	11	~~	104	8			
	• ••	Lat.	28 104	46	50	28	38	25	104	90
TO11 1 TT 1		Long. Lat.	28	51 39	30 8	104 28	45 31	38	104	30
		Long.	104	25	Ü	104	18	30		
37::-1. 1		Lat.	28	39			-0	•		
Fu-kuan-ts'un		Long.	102	43						
	• ••	Lat.	28	37				i		
T . (1734)	• ••	Long. Lat.	104 28	10 18	30					
	• ••	Lat. Long.	103	50	30					
371		Lat.	27	53	30	27	50			
		Long.	102	27		102	12			
Yung-shan Hsien .	• ••	Lat.	27	48						
O1 11 " T7	• ••	Long. Lat.	103	$\begin{array}{c} 52 \\ 20 \end{array}$	35	27	20		27	26
•		Long.	103	53	55	103	50		103	25
Olive Tile mi		Lat.	26	54	50	100	00		100	
		Long.	103	5						
	• ••	Lat.	26	39			••		26	38
m "11 m	• ••	Long. Lat.	102 26	$\begin{array}{c} 26 \\ 25 \end{array}$		26	$\frac{\cdot \cdot}{21}$		102 26	$\frac{11}{25}$
		Lat. Long.	103	25 25		103	25	40	103	23
TT " " 11" 1		Lat.	25	33	50	100	20	10	100	~
		Long.	103	19						
Yün-nan Fu		Lat.	25	2	40	25	6		25	4
T 04" TT 1	• ••	Long.	102 25	41	30	102	51	40	102	36
		Lat. Long.	102	9	30	$\begin{array}{c} 25 \\ 102 \end{array}$	$\frac{12}{14}$			
Kuang-t'ung Hsien	• ••	Lat.	25	10	20	25	15			
		Long.	101	40	30	101	55			
Ch'u-hsiung Fu		Lat.	25	1	45	25	6			
OL 4 " 1	••••	Long.	101	26	10 -	101	43			
		Lat. Long.	25 101	11 9	10 40	25 101	16 24			
Or i'	· · · ·	Long.	25	35	τυ	25	38			
		Long.	100	13	2 8	100	31			
	· · · · · ·	Lat.	25	41	5 0	25	44	25	25	44
37 11 77	••	Long.	100	3 7	10	102	22	50	100	27
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Lat. Long.	25 99	6	10	25 99	4 26	5 0		
T'êng-yüeh		Long.	25	1	45	24	58			
			98	24		98				

Chung-ching, 25th July, 1879.

VOL. XLIX.

E. Colborne Baber.

2 F