



## Philosophical Magazine Series 1

ISSN: 1941-5796 (Print) 1941-580X (Online) Journal homepage: <http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tphm12>

### LVI. On winds

Richard Kirwan Esq. LL.D. F.R.S. P.R.I.A.

To cite this article: Richard Kirwan Esq. LL.D. F.R.S. P.R.I.A. (1803) LVI. On winds , Philosophical Magazine Series 1, 15:60, 311-319, DOI: [10.1080/14786440308676280](https://doi.org/10.1080/14786440308676280)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14786440308676280>



Published online: 18 May 2009.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 2



View related articles [↗](#)

the view of procuring the best possible information on this subject, I applied to Leith, Aberdeen, and Peterhead, on the east coast; and to Greenock, Dublin, Liverpool, and Bristol, on the west.

In the appendix to this report I have inserted the queries and answers; by which it may be seen that there is only one opinion as to the dangers and inconveniences of the present navigation, and the advantages which may be expected from the proposed inland navigation, if united with a naval station in the Moray Frith, or on the adjacent coast of Scotland.

This sanction of experienced people, who are all deeply interested in commercial concerns, will, I trust, satisfy your lordships, that it has not been upon unsubstantial grounds that I have ventured to recommend this great national object.

My estimate of the expense of forming this navigation is nearly 350,000*l.*, and the time required to complete it would probably be about seven years: this division would require an annual supply of 50,000*l.*

Upwards of thirty vessels have been wrecked on the coast of Caithness in the memory of Alexander Miller, of Staxigo.

[To be continued.]

LVI. *On Winds.* By RICHARD KIRWAN, Esq. LL.D.  
F. R. S. and P. R. I. A.\*

*Of the Origin of the general Trade Winds.*

**T**HOUGH the origin of the general trade winds appears to me to have been fully established by Dr. Halley, yet it seems he has explained himself too briefly, since his explanation has been misunderstood by many, and was thought obscure even by d'Alembert †.

To understand it more perfectly, let us suppose the sun for the first time in the meridian, and to communicate its heat every instant fifteen degrees all around. If it were to remain in this situation the surrounding air could have no other motion but upwards, for the lateral dilatations being equal, would necessarily check each other, but in the second, and all the succeeding instants, the sun moves westwards; therefore, of the originally equidistant eastern and western points,

\* From his paper entitled "Of the Variations of the Atmosphere 1801."

† Sur la Cause des Vents. v.

the western, to which the sun approaches nearer, is more heated than the eastern, from which the sun recedes; therefore in this, and all the succeeding instants, the eastern, being more cooled, will press on the western, and thus an eastern wind will be established.

It is true, that, in the northern hemisphere, the northern air also presses upon the more heated spaces; but as this also follows the sun's path to the westward, it becomes also easterly, preserving only a few points of its primitive direction. D'Alembert adds also the solar attraction, which, according to him, elevates the air in the points over which the sun is vertical, and consequently produces a dilatation advancing from east to west. But M. De la Place, not denying this cause, considers it too weak to produce singly any considerable effect\*.

About the year 1735 Mr. Hadley published a very different account of the origin of the trade winds (Phil. Trans. Abrid. viii. p. 500); which, however, has been rejected by the most distinguished astronomers that have since attended to this object, as d'Alembert, sur la Cause des Vents, art. 376 and 385; Gentil Voy.; Bergman Erde Beschreib. ii. p. 91.

According to Mr. Hadley, the air, being rarefied towards the equator, is consequently invaded in the northern hemisphere by the northern, and in the southern hemisphere by the southern colder air.

But as the parallels of latitude enlarge as they approach the equator, and as the equatorial space is nearly in the proportion of 1000 to 917, the difference of their circumference is nearly 2083 miles; consequently, the surface of the globe at the equator moves so much faster than under the tropics; and hence the northern or southern air, moving from the tropics towards the equator, must possess less velocity than the parts it arrives at, and consequently appear to move in a direction contrary to that of the earth's motion; which being from west to east, the air arriving sooner at the western parts, will appear to move from east to west; and this relative motion being combined with that towards the equator, a north-east wind will be produced on the north side, and a south-east wind on the south side of the equator. These as they approach the equator should become stronger and more easterly, and appear due east in the equator itself, by reason of the concurrence of both currents from the north and from the south. There the velocity of each should be at the rate of 2083 miles in the space of one natural day, or above 1'33

\* Mém. Paris 1776.

miles per minute, if it had not been that before the air at the tropics could arrive at the equator, it must have gained some motion eastwards from the surface of the earth or sea, whereby the relative motion is diminished to the degree that actually exists in it.

This theory appears to me rather ingenious than solid, for the following reasons :

1. The trade winds are commonly gentle, moving only at the rate of eight miles an hour ; therefore they have sufficient time to gain or participate of the motion of the earth ; therefore their contrary course must arise from an absolute cause, and cannot be deemed merely relative.

2. Because the north-east wind scarce ever approaches nearer than eight or ten degrees to the equator, and there dies away ; whereas it ought there, according to this theory, to be strongest. And, on the contrary, the south-east passes the equator several degrees, even when the sun is in the south tropic. A fact which, as Gentil remarks, is absolutely irreconcilable with this theory. (*Gentil Voy. i. p. 638 ; Ibid. v. p. 116.*)

3. Because, if the constant easterly wind was in the northern hemisphere supplied solely from the north, and in the southern hemisphere solely from the south, we should in the former have a constant north wind at least at 35 or 40 degrees from the equator, or at least from some northern point, and in the latter a constant south wind, or at least from some southern point ; whereas, on the contrary, a south wind often prevails in those latitudes on the north side of the equator, and a north wind on the south side. Thus La Perouse met an E. S. E. in north latitude 32°, and a due east in latitude 31° ; and a S. S. E. in latitude 14°, and a due east in latitude 16° ; and a due north in latitude 20°, (where then was the relative motion ?) and a due south in latitude 33°. (See his *Journal in La Peyr. Voy. iii.*) He also met with a due north in latitude 27° and 42° south, and a N. N. E. in latitude 25° south. So captain Cook met a S. S. E. wind in latitude 30° north, and also in latitudes 40° and 41°, and a due south wind in latitude 38° and 20° ; and in the southern hemisphere a due north in latitude 3°, 4°, and 44°. I might produce other instances from sea journals, and particularly from that, most ample and instructive, kept by major Dalrymple during a voyage to the East Indies (*Phil. Trans. 1778*) but I think the alleged sufficiently prove that the general east wind is not supplied solely from the north or south in the different hemispheres respectively.

4. Because, during our six summer months, when the sun is in or approaches to the northern tropic, the easterly trade wind

wind partakes less of the northerly, than when the sun is in or approaches to the southern tropic, (Phil. Trans. Abrid. ii. p. 134; and Schued. Abhandl. 1762, p. 175:) which is directly contrary to Hadley's system; for when the sun is in the southern tropic, the north wind must traverse more of that space in which the earth's motion eastward is strongest, and therefore should participate more of that motion, as Hadley himself states: though still partaking of it in a smaller degree than that which the globe itself possesses, it should appear to move westwards; yet it should proportionably retain less of its original direction from north to south than when it had traversed a space more distant from the equator, whereas the fact is that it retains more, and often passes into the southern hemisphere into the  $13^{\circ}$  south latitude without having any eastern direction, (Marchand iii. p. 551;) and an analogous fact is observed with respect to the south-east wind when the sun is in the northern tropic. Hence it is evident, that it is from the approach of the sun, and not from the latitude traversed, that the eastern direction is derived; nay, the wind is often more easterly than northerly between latitude  $23^{\circ}$  and  $28^{\circ}$ : (Foster's Observations, p. 126.) He even observed that the trade winds extended far beyond the tropics when the sun is in the same hemisphere, which shows it is the sun that causes them.

*Eddy* is a term introduced on this subject, which explains nothing when its cause is not assigned and proved; the trade winds are often interrupted by the approach of land; but the interruption, as Foster mentions, extends only to a few miles. *Ibid.* 127.

The *monsoons* or periodical trade winds depending on local circumstances, sufficiently explained by Dr. Halley, I shall here pass over; though certainly much may be added from observations made by subsequent navigators and travellers. I shall therefore confine myself to the *variable* winds, a subject much more obscure.

### Of Variable Winds.

With respect to winds we must lay down one general and fundamental principle, which is, that they always originate at the extremity of that point towards which they proceed. Thus the easterly trade wind begins at the point nearest the sun, which it follows, and is perpetually renovated and supplied from parts still more easterly. Thus in the year 1709 a north wind was sooner perceived in England than at Dantzic (Phil. Trans. Abrid. iv. part ii. p. 115. And Wargentin notes, that when the wind changes to the west, this change takes place at Moscow before it happens at Abo, which is several

several degrees west of it; and sooner in Finland than in Sweden. (Schwd. Abhandl. 1762, p. 195.) And Dr. Franklin, in his xxxvith Letter, p. 389, thinks that the north-east storms in North America begin first, in point of time, in the south-west parts; that is to say, sooner in Georgia than in Carolina, and sooner in Carolina than in Virginia, &c. He found that a north-east storm began at Philadelphia at seven o'clock, but did not extend to Boston (about forty miles to the north-east) until eleven o'clock. The reason of which he well explains, as the current must begin in the places nearest to that in which the rarefaction arises, towards which the current is directed.

#### *Of Westerly Winds.*

That eminent and laborious meteorologist C. La Cotte, infers from numerous observations of many years, that between latitude  $47^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$  on the western side of our hemisphere, the west wind, with some participation of the north or south, is that which obtains ofteneft. (Roz. Jour. xxxix. p. 267.) Leche obtained the same result at Abo, latitude  $60^{\circ}$ , from twelve years observations; Muschenbroeck, in Utrecht; Mr. Dalton, in Westmorland, latitude  $54^{\circ}$ , (see his Meteorological Essays, p. 48 and 88,) from five years observations.

This wind in our continent originates in the Pacific Ocean between the above-mentioned parallels, at least in winter; the air incumbent on that ocean is then much warmer than that of Siberia and Chinese Tartary that lie west of it; this therefore presses upon and flows into the supra-marine, and is immediately succeeded by air still further westwards, and thus a current is gradually established extending to the Atlantic, which, though in winter, being much warmer than the air of the islands and continent on which it flows, is forced into the current, both by the rupture of the equilibrium to the eastwards, and by the pressure of the much colder air of the continent of North America.

#### *Of Easterly Winds.*

During the winter months there seems to be a frequent struggle and contest betwixt the air incumbent over the Asiatic continent and that incumbent on the North American lying betwixt the above-mentioned parallels and bordering on the Pacific Ocean, which of them shall rule over it.

The mass of the American air being less considerable, and its efforts divided between the Pacific and the Atlantic, is generally obliged to yield to its antagonist; though sometimes the Asiatic being warmed, either by a diffusion of the superior

perior current or by fotherly winds, the colder American becomes more forcible. In summer this must happen frequently, the E. N. E. ofteneft prevailing: upon the whole, however, Leche remarked that the east and E. S. E. were nearly the moft uncommon; as did La Cotte in the climate of Paris. (Meteorolog. p. 305.)

With us this wind is moft frequent in the months of April and May; and I have obferved in Cook's Journal, tables 9th, 10th, and 11th, that it prevails alfo in the fame months in the Pacific, therefore the colder continental air then pours in upon us.

La Cotte alfo obferves, that in the western tracts of Europe, in latitudes below  $48^{\circ}$ , this wind occurs ofteneft during the winter months\*; for the fuperior heat of the Atlantic in the low latitudes determines the colder air incumbent on Hungary and European Turkey to flow in upon it.

#### *Of Southerly Winds.*

A few years ago, no problem in meteorology appeared to me more difficult than to affign a caufe for the frequent prevalence of a fouth wind even in winter, it being contrary to the laws of nature that warm air fhould rufh upon colder; yet I fince difcovered that the conjectural folution I then offered is grounded on a real fact.

In the eastern parts of our hemisphere, from longitude  $72^{\circ}$  to  $160^{\circ}$ , that is, from the coaft of Malabar to the Moluccas, it blows from the north-eaft constantly from October until April. Now this northern blaft muft be fupplied and recruited from countries ftill further north until we arrive at the pole, and the polar air muft confequently be fupplied by that which lies fouth of it, and thus a fouthern current is eftablifhed on the western fide of our hemisphere.

Instances to fupport or contradict this theory do not often occur; yet I have found fome that appear to me decifive, independently of the general reafon alleged. Thus I find in the ninth table of the third volume of Cook's Voyages, that in north latitude  $59^{\circ}$ , and eaft longitude  $207^{\circ}$ , on the 25th of May 1778, a ftiong north-weft wind prevailed; and on the 29th day of the fame month and year, an equally ftiong fouth-weft wind prevailed at Petersburgh, latitude  $65^{\circ}$  and longitude  $30^{\circ}$  eaft. Now the places of obfervation were 177 degrees diftant, one on the eaftern and the other on the western fide of our hemisphere, (which, at this proximity to the pole, argues not a fuperior diftance to that I have men-

\* Mem. Meteorolog. ii. p. 189, &c.

tioned;)

tioned;) and four days is as short a time as can be allowed to the south-west to supply the more eastern north-west. (Mem. Peterburgh 1778, p. 92.) So also in the same journal I find, that from the 4th to the 30th of May a north wind prevailed in the eastern part of our hemisphere from latitude  $58^{\circ}$  to  $61^{\circ}$ , except seventeen days of variable winds; but in London it blew from the south-west during the first fifteen days of June, thus replacing the northern air. And to replace the constant north-east wind on the Indian peninsula to the Moluccas, there is a constant draught from the south in the western parts of our hemisphere; accordingly Leske observed, that on an average of twelve years it blew 126 days each year, from October until May, from some south point, namely, 86 days from the south or south-west, and 40 from the south-east, at Abo, latitude  $60^{\circ}$ .

It is true, that he found it to take place very frequently also in summer; but this is occasioned by the great heat that then prevails in the northern tracts of Lapland.

And, upon the whole, more of the south air is drawn off in winter than in summer; for its flow is gentle in summer, but often stormy in winter. See Leske's 9th, 11th, and 12th tables. If all other meteorological tables of a series of years had been arranged with equal sagacity and precision as those of Leche and Dr. Horsley, a vast fund of information might be extracted from them.

At Peterburgh, during the year 1793, Euler junior found a south or south-west wind prevailed 79 days, 52 from October to the end of March, and only 27 in the summer months: it was stormy in November, December, and January. I have not noted the south-east.

Mr. Stritter also found the south wind to predominate at Moscow during the six winter months of that year, (N. Acta Petrop. xi. p. 569;) so that the frequency of this wind in high latitudes is certain.

#### *Of Northerly Winds.*

In the western parts of our continent and hemisphere these are of all others the least frequent in latitudes above  $48^{\circ}$ . See La Cotte's and Leche's tables. The cause of this unfrequency appears from what has been said of south winds.

But in latitudes below  $48^{\circ}$  they occur oftener, and oftenest in those that are still lower, as La Cotte remarks. An admirable instance of Divine Providence, that the warmest winds should prevail oftenest in winter in the coldest regions, and cold winds in the warmest!

But it may be asked, why a south wind should not prevail



in the eastern parts of our hemisphere to supply the constant north-east wind that prevails in the low latitudes of the western side? The reason is, that on the western side the north-east winds of low latitudes are easily supplied by the contiguous Atlantic, which is open up to the North Pole; and, as here, the upper current sets and ceases, there can be no deficiency of air.

*Of opposite concomitant Winds.*

It has often been observed \*, but of late, since the invention of balloons, evidently proved, that currents of air from different and even opposite points of the horizon, prevail at different heights in the atmosphere over the same tracts of land or water. This was originally inferred from the different courses of the higher and lower clouds; but as such observations were often liable to optical deceptions, better proofs were wanting.

Mont Louis is within thirty miles of Perpignan, but about 5000 feet higher. Now in March 1780, north and north-east winds prevailed at Perpignan and a westerly wind at St. Louis. In August a north wind prevailed at Perpignan and an east at Mont Louis. *Mém. de la Société de Médecine de Paris 1780.* Derham suspected †, and Gentil has since shown, that changes of seasons constantly begin in the upper atmosphere; while a strong wind blows from one point below, a wind from an opposite point reigns above, but more gentle, until at last (in about three weeks) it is propagated downwards. (*Voy. ii. p. 23, 24, in 8vo.*) The lower atmosphere, he says, extends to the height of 2880 feet. (*Vol. iv. p. 48.*) At the commencement of winter, when the sun approaches the south tropic, and the north air begins to flow in and follow it, it must meet with more resistance from the lower denser air, as its impetuous course in an opposite direction is more slowly altered (this respects the monsoons) than in the rarer superior strata; and the same effect, but in a different direction, takes place when the sun approaches to the northern tropic.

It has been said by many, that winds in the superior regions of the atmosphere are much more violent and impetuous than in the lower. (*Saussure Hygrom. p. 300: Ulloa's Voy. ii. p. 81: Muschenbr. § 2612: Bergm. Erde kugel. ii. p. 99: De Luc, &c.*) But the contrary has also been observed by Gentil, above quoted, and Morveau. (*Aëroft. de Dijon.*)

\* Ulloa's Voy. ii. p. 62. English.

† Phil. Trans. Abridg. iv. part ii. 125.

## Of the Succession of Winds.

Well established general laws on this head would be extremely useful, as we might then foresee what wind might next be expected. Besides the *general* succession in an open country, it is probable there is a *local*, confined to certain situations.

Gentil remarks, that in the southern latitudes of our hemisphere, a north-east is succeeded by an east, south-east, and south. According to La Cotte, the order of succession in the middle latitudes is south-west, north, west, north-east, south, north-west, east, south-east. (Roz. Journ. xxxix. p. 267.)

## Of the Sirocco.

This is a south or south-east wind, known in the southern parts of Italy, Sicily, and Malta, distinguished by peculiar debilitating effects, well described by Brydone, and by Dolomieu in his Treatise on the Temperature of Malta. The latter has shown that its malignity results from the constitution of the air it conveys, and not merely from its temperature, which is variable, from  $55^{\circ}$  to  $80^{\circ}$ . It contains a much smaller proportion of oxygen than air usually does. The constitution of the African wind, called *barmattan*, is as yet unknown; it is, at least on land, loaded with some unknown undissolved vapour, and is much hotter and drier than the *sirocco*, but not debilitating, and even wholesome for animals; for though it parches their skin, it destroys infection and cures several disorders. (See Phil. Trans. 1781, p. 46, &c.) Its direction is also westwards.

---

LVII. Report presented to the Class of the Exact Sciences of the Academy of Turin, January 12, 1803, on the Action of Galvanism, and the Application of this Fluid and of Electricity to Medicine. By A. M. VASSALI-EANDI\*.

THE Galvanic experiments made on the 10th and 14th of August last, in the presence of a great many spectators, by Giulio, Roffi, and myself, on the head and trunk of three decapitated criminals, an account of which has been published, gave rise to several questions in regard to this agent, and by analogy respecting electricity. These two fluids, and the uses to which they may be applied, have become a common subject of conversation among well-informed per-

\* From the *Journal de Physique*, Germinal, an. 11.