than in persons fully grown. In persons insuring at the more common ages, the inflaence of selection must of course diminish from year to year, but probably never wholly disappears.

This portion of the work, like the former, has several elegant colonred diagrams.

ART. XLI .- A Treatise on Surgery, its Principles and Practice. By T. HOLMES, M.A. Cantab., Surgeon to St. George's Hospital. pp. xii., 960. Philadelphia: Henry C. Lea, 1876.

As indicated in the preface, this book "Is intended to be to some extent an iatroduction to the more elaborate System of Surgery," of which Mr. Holmes is the editor. It is, therefore, mainly a resume of these excellent monographs from which the author frequently quotes, while, however, he has not servilely followed them. The aim of the author, "to give a plain and practical account of each surgical disease and injury, and of the treatment which is most commonly advisable," has in the main been well eccomplished. The student, and the practical surgeon, will find it in general a clear, concise, and practical guide which can be safely trasted, but no subject is treated as fally as would be desired by one who wishes nn exhaastive study of any subject. Bat every such text-book is of value, for it makes a progressive step in some one direction which is sure to be appropriated in all subsequent books. The enormous improvement to be seen on contrasting our present school books with those of twenty or thirty years ago has not been made by great and saddea leaps, bat each hook has gained a little on its predecessor in some one point, and the improvement is never lost. Were it only on account of the introduction of several instructive thermographs, this hook would deserve especial commendation, for while tha thermometer has rendered such excellent service in medicine, it has been too little eppreciated by surgeons. American surgeons will also be glad to sea that the comperative safety of ether as an anæsthetic is clearly recognized.

"The question of the relative safety of ether and chloroform is being jast now anxionsly debated. I have no wish to dogmatize on the subject, but I have used ether with great comfort for many years, and have never seen any but the most trivial inconveniences from it. . . . During the same time, I have also employed chloroform perhaps as commonly, and have been so fortunate as to seaspe any fetal accident from this in my own practice, end, as I have said above, never to see more than one death from it. But I think we cen hardly resist the ununimous opinion of the American surgeous, founded on nearly thirty years of extensive experience as to the relative safety of ether, and if so we should only employ chloroform in exceptional cases." (p. 908.)

The most recent operations and apparatuses are generally noticed. Smith's moath-gag for bloody operations on the mouth, the extirpation of the larynx for cancer (though Billroth is not named in this connection), Levis's use of horse hair in aneurism, Esmarch's bandage, etc., are incorporated in the work, and good common sense in general marks the judgment that is passed upon them. As an illustration of this good sense, let us quote the following ou

"Sir A. Cooper said, with much troth: 'Varicocele should scarcely receive the title of a disease, for it produces in the greater namber of cases no pain, no inconvenience, and no diminution of the virile powers.' . . . The namber of cases of varicocele which require serious treatment are very few indeed, and any surgeon who operates frequently for varicocele must operate on many

cases which he would have done better to let nlone. I do not deny that such operations may be sometimes required under circumstances which I will immediately point out; but the great majority require nothing hat a bug trass." (pp. 839-40.)

The operation of Mr. H. Lee (compression between two needles and subcutaneous division of the veius) which he commends, we do not helieve to he so simple and so innocuona as the procedure we have always followed of simply passing a loop of silver wire around the veius, twisting it subcutaneously, cutting it off short and allowing it to remain in the scrotum. There is no hemorphage, the inflammation is slight, and no ill-results follow the retention of the wire.

The theoretical part of the work in general is good, though too little uttention is given to pathological unutomy—the basis of all our ratious modes of treatment. The doctrine of inflammation us viewed by the modera school of pathologists is unbesitatingly adopted in a very short statement of the main facts on which it is based. He admits the "Sarcomatous Tumours" as un intermediate class between the "inuoceut" and the "carcioomatous," ulthough he regards this classification as neither good nor likely to be permanent, "but, as it has lately come much into vogue, it seems better for the present to adhere to it." The whole chapter on tumours (pp. 346-376), while it deals very briedy—todeed too briedy—with their pathology, is an excellent practical compend of their clinical characters and treatment. This practical characteristic is seen again to his judgment on Lister's "Antiseptic method." While enreful not to commit himself to the germ theory on which it is based, he yet favours the method for the resultu achieved by it.

Some purts of the work seem decidedly disproportionate in clahoration and importance. Thus, in the chapter on ulcers, twenty different kinds are cummented in seven pages, while the consideratio of the general subject of dislocations is most unsatisfactorily discussed in less than two pages, and the treatment of fracture of the neck of the femur is disposed of in a half page. He is a strong advocate of the, to us, rather antiquated Deanult's or Lister's long splint in fractures of the femur, though he alluden to the anterior wire splint and to Buck's uppuratus. In the lutter, both in the text and the fillustration, the counter exteosion he recommends is by means of a periacul hand; this is very rarely needed, if the foot of the bed be raised a few inches. The mechanical

conveniences uttained by this procedure render it very important.

A number of omissions of some importance should be pointed out, ulthough in a work including the whole field of aurgery they are not to be wondered at. Thus, nuder bemorthage no ullusion is made to Spiers' valuable needle. Sir Jumes Y. Simpson is not numed, either in connection with chloroform (the index reference to which is wrong), or with nearpressure, nor is the aspirator spoken of in paracentesin pericardii. We were ulso somewhat surprised to see no allusion to Dr. Marion Sims, in the article on Vuginal Fistulæ, nor to Dr. S. Weir Mitchell, either in the chapter on Snake-hites or Nerve-wounds, while, excepting a foot-note of two lines and a hulf on puge 345, the whole results of our late war as embodied in the unrivulled volumes and circulars of the Surgeon General'u office, are entirely ignored. Some of the illustrations, especially of puthological specimens, are obscure as drawings, and only moderately good as engravings.