



Original Article

Theatre as Social Mirror: Dalit Identity and Caste Politics in Bhagat and Gajvee's Plays

Dr. Shantanu Shivajirao Patil

Assistant Professor, Department of Technology,

Shivaji University, Kolhapur

Email- patilshantanu0066@gmail.com

Manuscript ID: **Abstract**

JRD -2025-171021

ISSN: 2230-9578

Volume 17

Issue 10(V)

Pp. 84-87

October 2025

Submitted: 26 Sept. 2025

Revised: 06 Oct. 2025

Accepted: 20 Oct. 2025

Published: 31 Oct. 2025

This paper attempts to explore the transformative role of Dalit theatre in challenging caste-based oppression in India, with a focus on the dramatic works of Datta Bhagat and Premanand Gajvee. Grounded in the Ambedkarite tradition and shaped by the sociopolitical momentum of the Dalit Panthers movement, Dalit theatre emerges as both a mirror reflecting the brutal realities of caste and a weapon of cultural resistance. Bhagat's Wata Palwata and Gajvee's plays like Kirwant, Ghotbhar Pani, and Gandhi Ambedkar illustrate this dual role by critiquing caste politics, religious hypocrisy, and the moral contradictions embedded within Indian society. The paper highlights how both playwrights use folk forms, non-linear narratives, and vernacular language to reclaim performance spaces for marginalized voices and democratize theatrical expression. Their works resist reductive victim portrayals and instead present Dalit identity as dynamic, contested, and politically conscious. By breaking the fourth wall and confronting audiences directly, they force a reckoning with historical injustices and contemporary complicity. Ultimately, this paper argues that Bhagat and Gajvee redefine theatre as a site of subaltern agency and social transformation, making Dalit theatre an urgent and enduring force in India's cultural and political discourse.

Keywords- marginalization, Dalit, elite, subaltern, voice. Full Paper:

Introduction

Theatre, as a form of performative art, has been a powerful medium to reflect and critique social realities. In India, where caste remains a deeply entrenched system of social stratification, theatre has served both as a mirror and a weapon reflecting the horrors of caste-based oppression and challenging its moral legitimacy. The works of Datta Bhagat and Premanand Gajvee, two prominent playwrights of Dalit theatre in Maharashtra, represent this dual function of drama. Their plays foreground Dalit identity, interrogate caste politics, and serve as a cultural rebellion against centuries of Brahmanical hegemony. This paper explores how their dramatic works function as a mirror of Indian society, especially through the lens of social injustice, identity politics, and subaltern resistance.

Dalit Theatre: A Contextual Overview

Dalit theatre is much more than a literary or artistic genre; it is a powerful socio-political movement rooted in the quest for dignity, justice, and equality. Drawing inspiration from the Ambedkarite tradition, Dalit theatre seeks to challenge and subvert dominant social narratives that have historically marginalized and silenced Dalit voices. While conventional Indian drama has often centred on mythological tales, romantic themes, or elite experiences, Dalit theatre blatantly focuses on the harsh realities of caste oppression, such as untouchability, universal exploitation, religious hypocrisy, and the psychological trauma caused by casteism. Dr. B. R. Ambedkar, the principal architect of the Indian Constitution and an important figure in Dalit liberation, conceptualized caste as more than a social hierarchy. It was a system of social exclusion and economic scarcity



Quick Response Code:



Website:

<https://jrdrv.org/>

DOI:



Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Shantanu Shivajirao Patil, Assistant Professor, Department of Technology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur

How to cite this article:

Dr. Shantanu Shivajirao Patil, (2025) Theatre as Social Mirror: Dalit Identity and Caste Politics in Bhagat and Gajvee's Plays journal of Research & Development, 17(10(V)), 84-87



He believed that liberation required not only legal reforms but also a cultural revolution to reclaim the narratives, symbols, and spaces from which Dalits had been excluded. Dalit theatre serves as a medium of cultural resistance, a platform where Dalits can express their sufferings, history, struggles, and aspirations on their own terms, reclaiming agency denied for centuries. G.S. Ghurye, one of India's foremost sociologists, analysed caste as a complex social institution deeply rooted in religion and tradition. Ghurye's perspective emphasized the functionality and persistence of caste within Indian society, often viewing it as a necessary social order, although a problematic one. Dalit theatre challenges this conservative viewpoint by exposing the violence and inequality embedded within caste norms, and refusing to accept caste as an unchangeable feature of society. Dalit theatre critiques and questions the foundations of caste's social legitimacy by dramatizing the daily realities of oppression. The historian and Marxist scholar D.D. Kosambi presented a materialist analysis of caste, outlining its roots to economic and social transformations in ancient India. Kosambi argued that caste served to institutionalize labour divisions and reinforce power structures. Dalit theatre aligns closely with this analysis by highlighting how caste is intertwined with landlessness, bonded labour, and economic exploitation. Dramatists like Datta Bhagat and Premanand Gajvee depict how caste discrimination prolongs material withdrawal and social alienation.

The rise of the Dalit Panthers movement in Maharashtra during the 1970s, inspired by the African-American Black Panthers, manifested a noteworthy cultural and political shift. This movement fuelled an outpouring of Dalit literature and performance that sought to challenge caste oppression head-on. Playwrights like Bhagat and Gajvee emerged as leading voices in this new wave, engaging theatre not just to depict Dalit suffering but to assert political resistance, cultural pride, and collective agency. Using folk forms, vernacular language, and mass performance styles, they made Dalit theatre accessible to marginalized communities while simultaneously challenging elite audiences with uncomfortable truths. Thus, Dalit theatre functions as both a mirror reflecting harsh realities and a weapon dismantling oppressive social structures, making it a vital force in India's ongoing struggle for social justice.

Datta Bhagat: Rewriting History and Consciousness

Datta Bhagat's most acclaimed play, *Wata Palwata (Routes and Escape Routes)*, is considered a landmark in Dalit drama. The play is notable for its non-linear narrative, symbolic structure, and its exploration of both external oppression and internal contradictions within the Dalit movement. The play explores the spiritual and psychological journey of Dalits who, even after conversion to Buddhism, continue to carry the burden of caste memory. It questions whether liberation can be achieved without decolonizing the mind. The play presents a group of Dalit Buddhist youths struggling to reconcile their new identity with ancestral trauma. Characters are often caught between hope for freedom and frustration with slow social change. Bhagat uses traditional forms like *Tamasha* and *Powada* to overthrow casteist narratives embedded in mainstream performance traditions. By doing so, he reclaims cultural space for the marginalized. The play doesn't replace even Dalit leaders from criticism. Through *Wata Palwata*, Bhagat emphasizes that true liberation must be both collective and reflective, requiring a re-imagining of identity beyond pious conversion or political slogans.

Premanand Gajvee: The Violence of Normality

Premanand Gajvee's works are raw, instinctive, and confrontational. His plays unmask the hypocrisy of religion, the absurdity of ritualism, and the ruthlessness of everyday casteism. Among his notable works are *Kirwant*, *Ghotbhar Pani*, and *Gandhi Ambedkar*, each of which offers a sharp lens into caste-inflicted violence and moral inconsistencies in Indian society.

Kirwant: The Tragedy of the Brahmin Underdog

Kirwant portrays a Brahmin priest who performs funeral rites, a sacred function in the caste hierarchy. However, the character is portrayed as impoverished, dehumanized, and despised even by those he serves. The irony lies in how someone who belongs to the 'highest' caste is subjected to social humiliation and economic neglect. Gajvee uses this to question the practical relevance of caste status in a capitalist, urbanized society. The play critiques how religious rituals, instead of providing consolation, have become power-driven, exploitative, and hollow. Through "Kirwant," Gajvee suggests that caste is not just about oppression from the top but also about the absurd and degrading expectations imposed on individuals within the hierarchy.

Ghotbhar Pani (A Sip of Water): A Microcosm of Exclusion

In *Ghotbhar Pani*, the act of drinking water becomes the central metaphor for social exclusion. A Dalit boy, dying of thirst, is denied water by members of the upper caste is an act that eventually leads to his death. The plot is very simple, yet it suggests emotional response, highlighting the inhumanity of caste even in its most commonplace interactions. Water, the basic necessity of life, becomes a symbol of denied humanity. Gajvee emphasizes how caste is not just a belief but a practice deeply rooted in daily life, even in moments of crisis. While the play seems universally immoral, the background makes it uniquely Indian and caste-based, picturing how discrimination is not merely ideological but ritualized in behaviour.

Gandhi and Ambedkar: Questioning the Icon

In this groundbreaking play, Gajvee dares to interrogate Mahatma Gandhi's approach to caste and untouchability. This is a controversial play that critiques Gandhi's patriarchal attitude toward Dalits, his insistence on



Varnashrama Dharma, and his opposition to Ambedkar's radicalism. The play breaks the taboo around national icons, presenting Gandhi not as a villain, but as a flawed reformer whose ideas unconsciously preserved caste hierarchy. Gajvee reopens historical debates between Ambedkar and Gandhi, forcing the audience to reconsider disinfected narratives taught and discussed in mainstream treatise. Few plays in Indian theatre dare to confront Gandhi's legacy so directly. Gajvee's courage is not just political but aesthetic, as he transforms historiography into dramatic conflict.

Theatrical Techniques and Impact

Datta Bhagat and Premanand Gajvee employ a range of innovative theatrical techniques to enhance the political power and emotional resonance of their plays. Their use of form and content is not merely stylistic. They used form as a strategic interference that challenges dominant aesthetics and brings Dalit experiences into sharp focus. One significant technique is the use of non-linear narratives, particularly evident in Datta Bhagat's works like *Wata Palwata*. By rejecting chronological storytelling, Bhagat mirrors the fragmented and disrupted identity of the Dalits. Time in these plays is often cyclical or disjointed, representing how caste trauma travels across generations. This structure forces the audience to actively engage with the narrative rather than passively consume it, creating space for reflection and critical inquiry. Breaking the fourth wall is another powerful device, especially in Gajvee's plays. Characters frequently step out of the dramatic world to address the audience directly, confronting them with uncomfortable truths about caste, complicity, and privilege. This technique eliminates the safety barrier between stage and spectator, compelling viewers to question their own positions within the societal hierarchies being portrayed.

The use of folk performance forms such as *Tamasha*, *Bhajan*, and *Powada* is a deliberate act of cultural repossession. These traditional Maharashtrian forms, often used to uphold dominant ideologies, are re-appropriated to articulate subaltern voices. Bhagat and Gajvee transform them into tools of resistance, enabling a grassroots connection with audiences from marginalized communities. Their use of vernacular Marathi, complete with regional idioms and inflections, grounds the plays in the authentic lived experiences of Dalits. By rejecting elite language, they democratize the stage and make theatre a space of representation for those historically denied access to the mainstream. Together, these techniques not only amplify the impact of their social critique but also reshape Indian theatre itself.

Dalit Identity and the Politics of Representation

At the centre of Datta Bhagat and Premanand Gajvee's plays lies a compelling engagement with Dalit identity not as a singular, essentialist identity, but as a dynamic, historically situated, and politically charged construct. Their works resist simplistic victim narratives and instead offer complex, multi-dimensional portrayals of Dalit characters who struggle with caste, history, memory, and resistance. In much of mainstream Indian literature and theatre, Dalits have historically been excluded, misrepresented, or romanticized. When represented at all, they have often appeared as mute victims, passive sufferers, or as objects of pity within upper-caste moral frameworks. Bhagat and Gajvee reject this tradition totally. They instead re-centre Dalits as subjects with agency as individuals who think, question, challenge, organize, and fight back. In Datta Bhagat's plays, particularly *Wata Palwata*, we see Dalit characters navigating a deeply fractured social and psychological space. Their identities are shaped not only by the trauma of historical oppression but also by a desire to redefine themselves through education, conversion, and activism. Bhagat does not portray this journey as easy or unified. On the contrary, he highlights the inner tensions within Dalit communities: generational conflicts, ideological disagreements, and disillusionment with symbolic gestures of inclusion. This approach offers a nuanced political realism, portraying Dalit identity as evolving and contested, rather than static.

Similarly, Premanand Gajvee's characters resist being boxed into simple categories of oppressed or oppressor. In plays like *Ghotbhar Pani* and *Kirwant*, he explores how caste operates not just as an abstract social system but as a daily, lived experience. Gajvee's characters, whether Dalit or Brahmin, are presented in morally complex ways, highlighting how dehumanization under caste affects all, although unequally. His Dalit characters often assert their identity in moments of extreme conflict where the act of asking for water, for example, becomes an essential proclamation of personhood. Through such scenes, Gajvee shows how identity is enacted, not merely inherited. Both playwrights employ the stage to reclaim representation. They engage in what postcolonial scholar Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak refers to as "re-presenting the subaltern." They do not speak for Dalits as external promoters; rather, they create platforms where Dalits speak for themselves, in their own voices, dialects, and cultural idioms. This is especially important in a society where Brahmanical norms have historically dictated who can speak, write, and perform

Conclusion

The plays of Datta Bhagat and Premanand Gajvee are more than literary texts; they are acts of resistance, tools of pedagogy, and calls to conscience. Through their sharp critiques of caste politics and their exploration of Dalit identity, they hold up a mirror to Indian society—a mirror that reveals not just the scars of history, but the complacency of the present. Their work reminds us that theatre is not merely a place for entertainment, but a battleground for truth and justice. In a country still grappling with caste violence, cultural silencing, and social inequality, the relevance of Dalit theatre remains urgent and necessary. Bhagat and Gajvee's plays continue to challenge audiences to confront uncomfortable truths, and in doing so, they keep the flame of social transformation burning on the Indian stage.



References:

1. Ambedkar, B. R. *Annihilation of Caste*. Edited and Annotated by S. Anand, Introduction by Arundhati Roy, Navayana, 2014.
2. Bhagat, Datta. *Wata Palwata (Routes and Escape Routes)*. Translated by Kumar Ketkar and Shanta Gokhale, in *Modern Indian Drama*, edited by Nandi Bhatia, Oxford University Press, 2009, pp. 325–348.
3. Gajvee, Premanand. *Ghotbhar Pani (A Sip of Water)*. Translated by Shanta Gokhale, in *Black, White and Other Shades: Dalit and Bahujan Plays from Contemporary India*, edited by Urmila Bhirdikar and A. Mangai, Seagull Books, 2021. *Gandhi-Ambedkar*. Translated by Ramu Ramanathan, in *Indian Drama in English Translation*, edited by Javed Malick, Sahitya Akademi, 2000.
4. *Kirwant*. Translated by Alok Rajwade and Mukta Pradhan, unpublished translation (if no published version exists; mention in footnote if cited).
5. Ghurye, G. S. *Caste and Race in India*. Popular Prakashan, 1969.
6. Kosambi, D. D. *The Culture and Civilisation of Ancient India in Historical Outline*. Vikas Publishing House, 1965.
7. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. *Can the Subaltern Speak?* In *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 271–313.
8. Bhatia, Nandi, editor. *Modern Indian Drama*. Oxford University Press, 2009.
9. Bhirdikar, Urmila, and A. Mangai, editors. *Black, White and Other Shades: Dalit and Bahujan Plays from Contemporary India*. Seagull Books, 2021.
10. Malick, Javed, editor. *Indian Drama in English Translation*. Sahitya Akademi, 2000.