Account and Financial Management Journal e-ISSN: 2456-3374
Volume 10 Issue 11 November 2025, Page No.-3843-3850
DOI: 10.47191/afmj/v10i11.04, Impact Factor: 8.167

AI-Driven Marketing Personalization

Dr Janemary Thirusanku[1], Kamlesh Rao Thillai Raman[2]
[1]Programme Leader for Language cum Senior Lecturer, Academic Department, Peninsula College Shah Alam, Malaysia
7, Lorong Batu Unjur 3B, Taman Bayu Perdana, 41200 Klang, Selangor, Malaysia
[2]Logistics School, Peninsula College Shah Alam, Malaysia

ABSTRACT: The essence of the paper is a reflection on the necessity to integrate Artificial Intelligence (AI) in marketing with regards to personalization strategies. It is based on the modern literature from the year 2020-2025 and examines the potential of using AI to increase personalization and achieve the following results in marketing: enhanced consumer connection, organizational performance, and focus with stakeholder and sustainability objectives. The studies rely on some of the most significant theoretical constructs which include the Marketing Mix, the Stakeholder Theory, the Triple bottom-line and the Hunt Vitell Theory of Marketing Ethics to examine the ethical and positive impacts of AI personalization gadgets. Real-life case studies of companies, such as Netflix, H&M, Amazon, or Meta, were utilized in the discussion as an opportunity to identify the opportunities of AI, and the significant threats of AI regarding data privacy, biased algorithms, or manipulation of the consumer. The report presents recommendations, which are, and which require the emphasis on fairness, transparency, and ethical innovation. These include the implementation of effective AI, condolence logs on fairness, and advancing commercial analytics experiential attempts. The research indicates that organizations must strike a balance between the current rise in the technological world and irresponsible, humanistic approaches to marketing.

KEYWORDS: Artificial Intelligence, marketing personalization, stakeholder theory, algorithmic bias, ethical marketing, transparency, triple bottom line, customer engagement

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become a disruptive technology in modern marketing over the last several years, as well as in personalization. That is one of the forces of AI, brands can further personalise experiences in a way that we could have never done that before, relying on the magnitude and accuracy of artificial intelligence. With the maturity of digital technologies, the ability to capture the consumers and analyse their data in real-time, and thus respond individually, replaced mass communication in favour of individuality. Not only is a technical upgrade but a rebranding of consumer expectation, competition, and ethical slims in the digital marketplace on a bigger level.

Advanced tools created on an AI basis such as recommendation engines, dynamic pricing systems, and behaviour-predictive algorithms allow marketers to understand the needs of the consumers and intelligently deliver content and offers they care about. Although the benefits are significant (that is, the improvement of customer satisfaction level, the increase in operational efficiency, and the competences distinction) these instruments also present vital issues. Fairness, autonomy is questioned, and conformance with regulation due to data privacy concerns, on the one hand, and algorithmic bias and manipulation question, on the other hand. This paper is a critical examination of the implications of the AI to marketing personalization. It provides a literature review of key models and theories, critically evaluates the benefits and drawbacks using real-world examples, and offers strategic recommendations to ensure ethical and sustainable implementation. The discussion is grounded in academic literature published between 2020 and 2025, with an emphasis on transparency, accountability, and stakeholder trust.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

This literature review seeks to explore the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the market forecasting and consumer insights with special interest in the transformative potentials that AI offers in the modern-day marketing. The review incorporates the results of various research that show how AI algorithms enhance the effectiveness of marketing by using both the current information as well as the past to predict consumer trends, assess behaviour, and make business decisions with high impact. The review concludes with a critical examination of the benefits and emerging challenges of AI integration in marketing.

2.1. Definition and Role of AI in Market Forecasting & Consumer Insights

In the academic literature on the forecasting of the market and consumer understanding, artificial intelligence (AI) refers to the implementation of smart computational models and algorithms that can receive and analyse large volumes of information to identify trends, predict future, and automate strategic marketing activities. The applications of AI are designed opposite to how most data are analysed with the problem where most information is only provided after a long-time processing cycle and adapt to become relevant in its answer as new details become available (Onifade, Ogeawuchi, & Abayomi, 2025; Zaborek, 2025). The capabilities enable organizations to transfer the retrospective interrogations to maintaining things in a proactive and data-synchronized predictive state. For instance, AI can integrate social media sentiment, customer behaviour patterns, and purchasing history to predict consumer demand and optimize inventory planning. Consequently, marketing becomes more responsive and agile supporting strategic agility in dynamic market.

2.2. Theories & Models Applied

2.2.1. Ethical Considerations and the Hunt–Vitell Theory

Ethics play a pivotal role in the implementation of AI in marketing, particularly in data collection and personalization processes. The Hunt–Vitell theory provides a moral philosophy framework for evaluating ethical decisions in marketing by distinguishing between deontological and teleological evaluations. This model is relevant when considering the use of consumer data for profiling and targeting. Vallarino's application of the Mixture of Experts (MoE) model illustrates how advanced AI can uncover consumer preferences while also flagging potential breaches of ethical boundaries. The theory thus serves as a reminder that, despite AI's predictive power, marketers must carefully avoid manipulation or infringement on consumer privacy (Mariani, Perez-Vega, & Wirtz, 2021). It emphasizes that ethical considerations should be embedded at every stage of AI deployment.

2.2.2. Sustainability Through the Triple Bottom Line (TBL)

Triple Bottom Line (TBL) that aims at including People, Planet and Profit. The combination of the AI apps and TBL ideas enables companies to not only focus on technological improvement but also ensure the ideas of corporate social responsibility. Environmentally, AI allows Planet-first goals like optimization of the supply chain and optimization of overproduction with exact demand prediction. Regarding the profit indicator (Profit), AI will maximize marketing effectiveness through segmentation and targeting. At the same time, people-centric results (People) are achieved through the provision of individually customized interactions that result in increased customer satisfaction and enhanced brand loyalty. On the one hand, AI can assist in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), and on the other, it helps companies to be competitive on the global market, as explained by Khamoushi (2024).

2.2.3. Enhancing the Marketing Mix (4Ps) with AI

The traditional Marketing Mix of Product, Price, Place and Promotion has been repackaged in terms of intelligent automation and data driven customization with the help of AI. In product development, AI informs the product design by interrogating consumers and data on the usage of the product. Dynamic pricing, using the Predictive Volume Discount-Based (PVD-B) model is an instrument that helps in the dynamic pricing strategy depending on the real-time demand of the elasticity. Logistics AI optimizes the place or distribution by identifying the best ways of distribution channels as regards to customer locations, inventory stocks, and shipping restrictions. Regarding promotion, AI will enable hyper-personalized advertising where machine learning techniques will be used to encourage suggestions in line with interests and behaviours of the individuals (Mussi et al., 2022). Such a data-centric approach provides that the 4Ps of the marketing stay active and consumer-friendly.

2.2.4. Stakeholder Theory and Responsible AI Development

Stakeholder Theory emphasizes that customers, employees, regulators and society are the people that the strategic planning should integrate in the company decisions. Applying such a framework to the field of AI, it has adopted responsible creation of algorithms, open data practice, and reduction of bias. Masud (2024) believes that companies which use inclusive mechanisms in the design of AI are further positioned to establish stakeholder trust, win approval, and thereby achieve long-term legitimacy. As another example, AI decision-making can have low levels of transparency, especially in recommendation systems or credit scoring on auto loan applications, which will decrease feelings of being unfairly treated or discriminated against. Therefore, stakeholder driven methods are both, ethically and strategically acceptable.

2.3. Traditional vs. AI-Based Forecasting Methods

Historically, forecasting has been based on the time-series analysis and regression methods, which assume continuity of past trends. Even though these methods have provided some viable insights, they were found to be inadequate in aligning to the shifting consumer behavior and disruptive market forces. This has given rise to the prediction that is AI-driven and is based on the real-time behavioral data, anomaly detection, and automated learning. The algorithms of AI are able, as Madanchian (2024) argues, to identify the emergent patterns, such as the rise of interest in products caused by the viral trends on social media and correct the forecast accordingly. This kind of flexibility greatly minimizes the instances of forecasting errors, inventory planning, and rapid tracking of marketing decisions.

2.4. Benefits of AI-Driven Marketing Personalization

2.4.1 Enhanced Customer Engagement The implementation of AI in marketing has several real advantages. One is that personalization using AI increases customer-engagement by ensuring information is provided to the customer at the right time in a context-specific way across channels. Rather than determining personal preferences, AI will make the promotional message more relevant through coarse-grained segmentation that leads to increased open, click-through, and conversion rates (Azmir et al., 2025). As a result, brand attachment and repeat patronage, are enhanced by engagement quality.

2.4.2 Revenue Growth

Personalization with the help of AI directly increases revenue. It has been seen that AI-driven product recommendations, dynamic pricing, and AI-based promotions can increase cross-selling and up-selling since they recommend relevant products based on the previous customer behavior. The prescriptions enhance Customer Lifetime Value (CLV) and enhance the rate of return on marketing investment (Shu, 2025).

2.4.3 Operational Efficiency

AI systems enable the operations to be conducted to a considerable extent. Automation of customer segmentation, service inquiries, and email deployment processes helps to reduce the workload of the personnel and, at the same time, maintain or even enhance the quality of services. The empirical evidence suggests that AI chatbots and workflow automation software reduce the administrative expenses and speed up the operations (Vilchez, 2025).

2.4.4 Improved Customer Insights

AI enables an increase in the customer insight generation. Marketers can also have a sense of consumer motivations with the aid of AI because it can process both structured and unstructured data (purchasing data and content on social media respectively). These in-depth understandings can be used to respond to customer needs beforehand and therefore enhance satisfaction and loyalty (Chinnici et al., 2025). These are also the insights that can be used to make strategic plans such as new product development and market expansions.

2.4.5 Competitive Advantage

Effective personalization helps brands stand out in marketplaces by creating memorable and satisfying customer experiences. The brands that will use early adoption of AI-driven tools can achieve sustainable differentiation, as customer switching costs grow with the level of personalization (Hussien et al., 2025).

2.5. Drawbacks and Challenges

2.5.1 Privacy and Data Security

AI requires huge quantities of data to work, which produces privacy and security issues. Other laws that have high compliance requirements are the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA). To safeguard legal and moral integrity, there should be transparent data use policies and transparent consent procedures (Hayitov and Bekmyradov, 2025).

2.5.2 Algorithmic Bias

The personalization models may reflect the social biases, as it is possible to introduce bias into the model by training it on biased or incomplete data. Inclusive data practices and fairness audits are therefore required in order to minimize the discriminatory impacts and consumer trust (Karpenko and Matnenko, 2025).

2.5.3 Manipulation and Exploitation

Hyper-personalization is on the verge of being manipulative. When AI is used to create what are known as interface dark patterns, which coerce users into making decisions that they do not want to make, such as buying something they do not need, trust is lost and regulatory interest increases (Naim et al., 2025).

2.5.4 Transparency and Explainability

Explainability is a problem that is not discussed much. Most AI systems are black boxes, and it is hard to control how such systems are used by marketers and regulators in sectors like financial and healthcare. Researchers propose to use explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) to enable users to feel more confident and adhere to it (Azmir et al., 2025).

2.5.5 Ethical and Social Concerns

The spread of AI is accompanied by social concerns on a large scale. The result of personalization is consumerism, internet addiction and loneliness (Azmir Mohamed, 2025). The marketers need to reconcile relevance and responsibility since AI needs to promote the long-term interest of the society.

3.0 DISCUSSION

3.1 Enhancing Consumer Engagement through the Marketing Mix

Marketing Mix is usually stated in terms of the 4Ps, namely, Product, Price, Place, and Promotion and is a fundamental roadmap to the understanding of how companies organize marketing efforts to attract and maintain customers. AI serves as a catalyst for innovation, enabling marketers to glean deeper insights into consumer behaviour and preferences, thus revolutionizing traditional marketing strategies (Luo & Zheng, 2023). Strategic adjustment of every marketing-mix component with the help of Artificial Intelligence (AI) applied to personalization allows raising the level of consumer engagement. For example, personalized product suggestions in e-commerce stores affect both product configuration and promotion at the same time.

Netflix uses AI-generated personalization to enhance engagement of users. It has a recommendation engine of its own and consists of machine-learning algorithms, which drives approximately 80 % of content streamed on the service (Sharma, 2024). This process provides content with personal preferences and adjusts in real-time as the user preferences change, thus making the content resource base of the platform

seem custom made. Similarly, the AI personalization platform of Amazon enhances the promotion component of the marketing mix by streamlining the web interfaces, email message, and the offer formats depending on the user browsing and purchasing behaviours. McKinsey & Company (2021) indicates that personalization may produce five to eight times the input of traditional marketing investment and boost sales by no less than 10 %.

However, scholarly literature shows that personalization with the application of AI would require large amounts of data and extremely capital-intensive technical infrastructure. AI-driven pricing algorithms can automate price adjustments, ensuring optimal revenue generation during periods of fluctuating demand (Kiseleva, 2022). This resource is what distinguishes big multinationals and small and medium enterprises (SMEs) since they might not be able to implement sophisticated AI systems. Thus, when AI enhances the 4Ps with more accuracy and volume with the knowledge founded on the human level to preserve the authentic relationships with consumers.

3.2 Improving Operational Efficiency through the Triple Bottom Line

According to the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) model, which was introduced by Elkington in 1997, profit is not the only issue that business should be concerned with, but also with social justice and the environmental sustainability. The framework can be applied to make marketing personal using AI, which will be capable of enabling operational efficiency and enable broader social and environmental goals.

AI could automate the campaign management, customer segmentation and real-time product recommendation. Such efficiencies minimize human labour and wastage of resources, which makes it profitable. Meanwhile, AI makes the communication more individualized which can be translated to a greater customer satisfaction (people) and a reduction of irrelevant messaging which can lead to overconsumption and a digital clutter (planet) (Cunha, 2020). H&M is one of the most successful examples of inventory and supply chain decision-making with the assistance of AI. The production and demand can be monitored in real time to ensure that the company can match the stock with customer preferences, which reduces overstock and markdowns. Candeloro (2020) reveals that H&M reduced 21% of the wastes in its logistics activities through AI-based forecasting systems. This shows that AI can be in line with the three pillars of the TBL model.

Nevertheless, the use of AI has its disadvantages as well. The computational demands of complex algorithms may be very high and may consume a lot of energy particularly in large cloud systems. Thus, AI can also contribute to the carbon footprint of a company since it reduces wastage in marketing. In addition, automation can also replace human marketers, which is a risk to job loss and deskilling online. Using the TBL model in the issue of AI personalization, the organizations can no longer pay attention only to the financial and customer satisfaction indicators but also to the long-term trade-offs and workforce implications.

3.3 Strengthening Stakeholder Trust through Ethical Personalisation

The Stakeholder Theory is premised on the notion that an organization can only be successful in the long term when it can sustain its relationships with different stakeholders, which include the customers, employees, investors, and the society, in general (Mahajan et al., 2023). The theory applies especially to the case of the marketing personalization with the help of AI where ethical data practices and transparency may be applied to put the contribution to stakeholder trust into perspective. The AI systems that individualize content and experiences should be useful to the consumers in a functional manner and be responsible in the way they collect, use and secure data. Consent-based and privacy-conscious data acquisition and privacy-sensitive algorithms are considered ethical personalization procedures that increase the confidence of stakeholders and safeguards brands against the risks of reputation and regulation.

The importance of building trust through marketing is also underscored by a growing body of more stringent legal frameworks that require heightened in sustainability claims (Nyquist, 2024). Apple has a good argument of aligning AI personalization with the interest of stakeholders. The company also revealed that it would process personalization functions such as Siri suggestions and content suggestions on the device, on iOS, to send less of their personal data to external servers. This privacy-centric mindset is a conscious decision to keep the customers autonomous in addition to providing them with personal experiences at the same time. The 2024 privacy report published by Apple says that 94% of the users felt more confident about the transparency of data use after the introduction of its App Tracking Transparency framework.

Nevertheless, when it comes to implementing the Stakeholder Theory in practice, one must balance conflicting expectations. Hyper-personalized services are appreciated by some consumers while they are also intrusive, even in the case of transparent data practices. The attempt to make ethical personalization operational is also likely to make development more expensive and reduce the amount of granularity that can be reached by algorithms. The smaller companies may fail to embrace the stakeholder-friendly personalization models due to the unavailability of resources.

3.4 Privacy Risks and Ethical Ambiguities in AI Personalisation

There is a lot of concern regarding the privacy, autonomy, and informed consent of the data when it comes to the mass adoption of AI-driven personalization in marketing. These issues can be evaluated with the help of the Hunt-Vitell General Theory of Marketing Ethics. The ethical judgments

in marketing are the results of deontological (based on duties and rules) and teleological (based on consequences) judgment in this theory. In this regard, marketers need not only to consider the business value of personalization, but also about the ethical considerations of the personal data collection, processing, and use. Even a well-done personalization can be unethical because it can break basic ethical responsibilities when consumers are not aware or cannot opt out of how their information is being gathered.

The first of such conflicts was the backlash that Facebook (now Meta) received after the Cambridge Analytica scandal, where the personal data of 87 million individuals were harvested without their explicit consent to be used in psychographic targeting during the 2016 election in the United States (Isaak & Hanna, 2018). The potential for data breaches and unauthorized access necessitates robust data protection measures and compliance with privacy regulations such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (Karami et al. 2024) and the adoption of the rules, such as the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), still influence the ethical application of personalization (European Commission, 2024). A survey conducted more recently found that 81% of consumers have concerns about how their customer data is employed by organizations, and 48% have abandoned purchases after making a purchase due to privacy concerns (Deloitte, 2023; Cisco, 2021).

The ethically built AI systems continue to be based on behavioural data which can contain sensitive emotional and psychological information. Hongladarom (2020) claims that these practices are a part of a new type of surveillance capitalism in which human experience is commodified to be used to predict behaviour. Although the personalization might be smooth and apparently helpful to the user, it can be manipulative in a manner that is hard to be noticed or resisted by the consumers.

In addition, the moral grey area of consent mechanisms makes the situation worse. As an example, a lot of websites show the default option of accepting all cookies, and they are already prompting the user to give up information to gain convenience. Such mechanisms may not be contrary to the formal rules, but they will likely fall below the ethical standards of the Hunt-Vitell framework that emphasizes informed and voluntary decision-making (Laczniak & Murphy, 2019).

3.5 Algorithmic Bias and the Risk of Discriminatory Personalisation

Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly becoming increasingly accurate in predicting and influencing consumer behavior, the ethical question of whether personalization will turn out to be more of a manipulative tool than an empowering one is quite real. This is a helpful point of view to examine this problem through the perspective of the Hunt-Vitell Theory of Marketing Ethics as it differentiates between deontological analysis of actions, which emphasizes on the intrinsic goodness of the action, and the assessment of the consequences of actions, which are teleological. When the AI systems put the commercial interests at the forefront of their actions instead of ethical duties, they can lead users to make decisions that they would not make on their own. Such dynamism raises some serious issues as far as individual autonomy and informed consent is involved.

Specifically, the use of dark patterns design elements which are intentionally designed to manipulate the user to have a predetermined outcome is applicable. AI is most optimized to operate on conversions, where the behavioral data is utilized to identify the psychological triggers. An example is the travel sites like Booking.com where they have shown urgency messages like, "Only 1 room left!" or "10 people viewing this deal". A lot of these signals are algorithmically created and not factual. According to the investigation carried out by the UK Competition and Markets Authority (CMA) in 2019, this practice was misleading consumers and violated the laws on consumer protection and resulted in enforcement and compliance agreements (Monaghan, 2019).

Emotional targeting can also be done through AI which allows manipulation. In internal documents, Meta (formerly Facebook) tested the possibility of detecting moods and allowed advertisers to target teens who can be placed in the category of insecure or worthless (Martin & Murphy, 2022). In as much as personalization has the capability of improving user experience, these cases demonstrate how the same can be used to exploit emotional weaknesses especially on the young or impressionable users. Regarding the deontology, it is simply wrong, regardless of how lucrative the situation may be.

The other problem is that most of the AI systems are not transparent. The customers do not always know the method of gathering their information and the way in which the suggestions are being made. This kind of obscurity cancels out the informed decision-making process and ethical marketing standards. According to Shneiderman (2022), maintaining user agency should be a core design goal when developing AI, but most systems remain a black box with only a predictive accuracy as their main goal.

This can be of assistance in the short-term but will be detrimental to brand trust in the long-term, strategically. A survey carried out by Accenture shows that in 2022, 62% of consumers ceased to engage the services of the companies that were reported to be manipulative in terms of personalization tactics (Accenture, 2022). Transparency, autonomous engagement, and sustainability are, therefore, the ethical personalization to be aimed at.

4.0 CONCLUSION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transformed the realm of marketing personalization, and its benefits have led to real-time personalization, predictive targeting, and optimization of the operations. The review has pointed out that AI

contributes to the enhanced performance of marketing that is more engaging, streamlines processes, and ethically sensitive approaches. The frameworks that will give a thought-provoking insight into the strategic advantages and ethical concerns of AI in marketing are Marketing Mix, Triple Bottom Line, Stakeholder Theory, and the Hunt-Vitell ethics model. Despite these advantages, it still has some major challenges. The issues that are related to ethical and regulatory issues are data privacy, algorithmic bias, and consumer manipulation and can compromise the trust and brand reputation. The case studies of Netflix, H&M, Amazon, Meta, and Booking.com are the evidence of the various outcomes of AI based on integration. To avoid risks, organizations must perform ethical AI. The suggestions include the use of open data, fairness checks on a regular basis, and alignment with sustainability goals to be able to incorporate AI in a responsible and socially responsible manner.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Considering the critical evaluation of the strengths and the limitations of AI-powered personalization in marketing, three strategic suggestions are provided in the current section. All the recommendations correspond to ethical, operational and strategic issues discussed in the previous section and are based on the well-known theoretical frameworks.

5.1 Embed Transparent and Consent-Driven Personalisation Practices

The use of personalization tools that are based on artificial intelligence requires a transparency-by-design framework. With the Hunt-Vitell theory of marketing ethics, the personalization strategies should produce effective results, and they should respect the principles of autonomy, informed consent, and fairness. Organizations should, therefore, give users the power to make genuine choices regarding the sharing of their data, be clear about the purpose of data gathering, and not employ nudging tactics to disguise the process as a convenience to agree to data collection. Trust and accountability also can be enhanced through explainable AI (XAI) models that allow users and regulators to understand how algorithmic decisions were made. These are key measures to comply with international data-protection regulations, including the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) and help build brand credibility and sustainability of customer loyalty.

5.2 Conduct Regular Fairness Audits to Prevent Algorithmic Bias

Operationalization of the Stakeholder Theory requires the firms to ensure that their AI systems subject all categories of users to equal treatment. The combination of algorithmic fairness audits and systematic reviews of data input, feature selection and model predictions are optimal in achieving this goal as it identifies and addresses bias in personalization systems. Its detection of disparities on demographic lines can be done with the help of such platforms as AI Fairness 360 developed by IBM or What-If Tool developed by Google. The regulatory issues over Meta concerning the provision of discriminatory advertisements show the legal risk and damage to relations with key stakeholders that the inability to perform fairness checks can be.

5.3 Align AI-Driven Marketing with Sustainability Goals

The Triple Bottom Line (TBL) framework needs to be connected to AI personalisation in a systematic manner, thus taking into consideration environmental, social, and economic spheres at the same time. Organisations should utilise AI systems to polish marketing messages, cut unnecessary outreach campaigns and streamline supply-chain processes to reduce excessive output. The empirical example of H&M proves that AI has the significant potential to improve sustainability by increasing inventory accuracy, minimizing waste flows, and lowering markdown percentages. Additionally, the use of cloud infrastructures that are energy efficient and green AI structures reduces the amount of carbon footprint that is involved in data processing. Baking these practices into the marketing processes prepares the companies to meet ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance) goals as well as strengthening the brand value in an environment that is becoming more environmentally conscious.

REFERENCES

1. Ali, M., Sapiezynski, P., Bogen, M., Korolova, A., Mislove, A., & Rieke, A. (2019). Discrimination through optimization: How Facebook's ad delivery can lead to biased outcomes. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 3(CSCW), 1–30. https://doi.org/10.1145/3359301
2. Anish Tadimarri, Suhas Jangoan, Kapil Kumar, & Ashokkumar Gurusamy. (2024). Ai-powered marketing: Transforming consumer engagement and brand growth. International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research, 6(2). https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i02.14595
3. Atske, S., & Atske, S. (2024, April 14). *Public attitudes toward computer algorithms*. Pew Research Center. https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2018/11/16/public-attitudes-toward-computer-algorithms/
4. *Australian Code of Practice on Disinformation and Misinformation Apple Pty Limited: Apple News 2023 Annual Transparency Report Commitments under the Code*. (2024). https://digi.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/Apple-2024-Code-report-19.05.24.pdf
5. Aravopoulou, E., et al. (2020). CRM optimization through forecasting (AI chatbots). Applied Sciences,

14(8), 182. https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3387/14/8/182
6. Candeloro, D. (2020). Towards Sustainable Fashion: The role of Artificial intelligence --- H&M, Stella McCartney, Farfetch, Moosejaw: A multiple case study. *DOAJ (DOAJ: Directory of Open Access Journals)*. https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.2611-0563/11837
7. Cisco. (2021). *Consumer privacy survey 2021*. https://www.cisco.com/c/dam/en_us/about/doing_business/trust-center/docs/cisco-cybersecurity-series-2021-cps.pdf
8. Cunha, L. (2020, May 5). *Cannibals with Forks*. Academia.edu. https://www.academia.edu/42948589/Cannibals_with_Forks
9. Crowley, J., Standish, J., Weiss, E., Wright, O., & Blackburn, E. (2025, January 30). *The empowered consumer*. Accenture. https://www.accenture.com/my-en/insights/consulting/empowered-consumer
10. Dastin, J. (2018, October 10). Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women. *Reuters*. https://www.reuters.com/article/us-amazon-com-jobs-automation-insight-idUSKCN1MK08G
11. *Data privacy and security worries are on the rise, while trust is down*. (2023, September 5). Deloitte Insights; Deloitte. https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/industry/telecommunications/connectivity-mobile-trends-survey/2023/data-privacy-and-security.html
12. European Commission. (2024). *Data protection*. https://commission.europa.eu/law/law-topic/data-protection_en
13. Freeman, R. E., & McVea, J. (2001). A stakeholder approach to strategic management. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.263511
14. Glenko, D., Genalti, G., Nuara, A., Trovò, F., Restelli, M., & Gatti, N. (2025). Bias in AI-driven forecasting. Big Data & Cognitive Computing, 9(2), 40. https://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc9020040
15. Halder, K., Krapac, J., Goryunov, D., Brew, A., Lyra, M., Dizdari, A., Gillett, W., Renahy, A., & Tang, S. (2022, October 25). Enhancing product safety in e-commerce with NLP. arXiv.org. https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.14363
16. Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Asim Qadri, M., Pratap Singh, R., & Suman, R. (2022). Artificial Intelligence (AI) applications for marketing: A literature-based study. International Journal of Intelligent Networks, 3, 119–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijin.2022.08.005
17. Hongladarom, S. (2020). Shoshana Zuboff, The age of surveillance capitalism: the fight for a human future at the new frontier of power. *AI & Society*, *38*(6), 2359–2361. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-01100-0
18. Hunt, S. D., & Vitell, S. J. (2006). The general theory of marketing ethics: A revision and three questions. *Journal of Macromarketing*, 26(2), 143–153. https://doi.org/10.1177/0276146706290923
19. Isaak, J., & Hanna, M. J. (2018). User data privacy: Facebook, Cambridge Analytica, and privacy protection. *Computer*, 51(8), 56–59. https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2018.3191268
20. *Justice Department Secures Groundbreaking Settlement Agreement with Meta Platforms, Formerly Known as Facebook, to Resolve Allegations of Discriminatory Advertising*. (2022, June 21). Justice.gov. https://www.justice.gov/archives/opa/pr/justice-department-secures-groundbreaking-settlement-agreement-meta-platforms-formerly-known
21. Karami, A., Ghazanfar, M. ali, & Shemshaki, M. (2024). Exploring the ethical implications of AI-powered personalization in digital marketing. Data Intelligence. https://doi.org/10.3724/2096-7004.di.2024.0055
22. Khamoushi, E. (2024, September 14). AI in food marketing from personalized recommendations to predictive analytics: Comparing traditional advertising techniques with AI-driven strategies. arXiv.org. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.01815
23. Kiseleva , P. (2022). Artificial intelligence as a marketing tool in We Are The Planet company. https://www.theseus.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/753637/Thesis_Polina_Kiseleva.pdf;jsessionid=3A19C0D75749330ACB56BC4EC77F01DA?sequence=2
24. Laczniak, G. R., & Murphy, P. E. (2019). The role of normative marketing ethics. *Journal of Business Research*, 95, 401–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.07.036
25. Luca, C. (2025). Ethical and privacy considerations in ai-powered ad customization. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388190241_Ethical_and_Privacy_Considerations_in_AI-Powered_Ad_Customization
26. Madanchian, M. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence marketing on e-commerce sales. Systems, 12(10), 429. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12100429
27. Mahajan, R., Lim, W. M., Sareen, M., Kumar, S., & Panwar, R. (2023). Stakeholder Theory. *Journal of Business Research*, *166*(1), 114104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114104

28. Mariani, M. M., Perez-Vega, R., & Wirtz, J. (2021). AI in marketing, consumer research and psychology: A systematic literature review and research agenda. Psychology and Marketing, 39(4), 755–776. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21619
29. Martin, K., & Murphy, P. E. (2022). Psychological targeting and marketing ethics: Risks, boundaries, and responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 178(2), 431–446. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-021-04919-0
30. Masud, S. (2024). Artificial intelligence in digital marketing automation: Enhancing personalization, predictive analytics, and ethical integration. Academia.edu. https://www.academia.edu/113306701
31. McKinsey & Company. (2021). *The value of getting personalization right—or wrong—is multiplying*. https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/growth-marketing-and-sales/our-insights/the-value-of-getting-personalization-right-or-wrong-is-multiplying
32. Monaghan, A. (2019, February 6). Hotel booking sites forced to end misleading sales tactics. *The Guardian*. https://www.theguardian.com/business/2019/feb/06/hotel-booking-sites-forced-to-end-misleading-sales-tactics
33. Mussi, M., Genalti, G., Nuara, A., Trovò, F., Restelli, M., & Gatti, N. (2022, November 17). Dynamic pricing with volume discounts in online settings. arXiv.org. https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.09612
34. Nyquist, A. M. (2024). Building Stakeholder Trust through industry leadership in Sustainable Development. Journal of Sustainable Marketing, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.51300/jsm-2024-130
35. Onifade, A. Y., Ogeawuchi, J. C., & Abayomi, A. A. (2025). Scaling AI-driven sales analytics for predicting consumer behavior and enhancing data-driven business decisions. Multidisciplinary International Research Journal, 15(2), 74–85. https://www.multiresearchjournal.com/admin/uploads/archives/archive-1747718455.pdf
36. Sharma, D., Aggarwal, D., & Saxena, A. B. (2024). Content based recommendation system on Netflix data. *Deleted Journal*, *42*, 19–26. https://doi.org/10.55529/ijrise.42.19.26
37. Sheng, J. (2023). Being active in online communications: Firm responsiveness and customer engagement behaviour. Journal of Interactive Marketing, 46(1), 40–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2018.11.004
38. Shneiderman, B. (2022). Human-Centered AI. In *Oxford University Press eBooks*. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780192845290.001.0001
39. Ye, X., Yan, Y., Li, J., & Jiang, B. (2024). Privacy and personal data risk governance for Generative Artificial Intelligence: A Chinese perspective. Telecommunications Policy, 48(10), 102851. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2024.102851
40. Zaborek, P. (2025). Artificial intelligence and big data analytics in international marketing: Navigating opportunities and challenges. In Digital internationalisation of firms (pp. 189–204). Routledge. https://library.oapen.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12657/103408/1/9781040386095.pdf#page=190