

Abstract

The key characteristics of panel studies include repeated measures for a more or less stable sample over time. The core challenge in documenting panel studies is the documentation of these repeated measures (usually questions) and the resulting variables because various reasons can require modifications of measures over time – resulting in comparable but not identical data structures.

The DDI standard provides not one but multiple options for the documentation of panel data. In this workshop we like to present various options and discuss their feasibility for common use cases. The German Socio-Economic Panel (SOEP) will provide the primary use case, but participants are also invited to introduce and discuss their own use cases.

The workshop starts with a short introduction of both panel studies and the DDI standard. Therefore, no previous knowledge of the DDI standard is required to participate in the workshop. The goal for the workshop is to gain a deeper understanding of possible documentation strategies for panel studies.



Content

1.	<u>Introduction</u>
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	



• What is today's topic?

- Participants
- Presenter

- What are the specific challenges of documenting a panel study?
- Participants: What are your challenges?



I Am ...

- Name
- Institution
- Background
- Do you currently work with metadata?
- What are your experiences with DDI?
- What do you expect from the workshop, would like to learn or discuss?

Your Ideas and Comments

- Please comment slides each typo less counts!
- http://bit.do/samesame2



Easy with Google Presentations App

Hopefully the prepared slides fit to some of your expectations. It is possible to leave this path at any time!



Where I Am From ...

The **German Socio-Economic Panel (SOEP)** is a wide-ranging representative longitudinal study of private households, located at the German Institute for Economic Research, DIW Berlin. Every year, there were nearly 14,000 households, and more than 30,000 persons sampled by the fieldwork organization Kantar Public (formerly: TNS Infratest Sozialforschung).

The data provide information on all household members, consisting of Germans living in the Old and New German States, Foreigners, and recent Immigrants to Germany. The Panel has been started in 1984.

Some of the many topics include household composition, occupational biographies, employment, earnings, health and satisfaction indicators.

http://www.diw.de/soep



Challenges, Specific to Panel Studies for Producers and Users

- What do "same" and "different" mean?
- Manage replication properly: re-use, don't duplicate
- Identify replication
- Understand repeated measures
- Find corresponding variables
- Design data sets appropriate

Advanced:

- Measures change over time
- Understand variables which are
 - transformed,
 - generated or
 - harmonized.
- Drive (parts of) data management using metadata.
- Connect with fieldwork and design.



Shape of Data Sets – Pros and Cons

Driven by logic of field work and/or instruments

- One dataset per instrument
- One dataset per wave

Driven by logic of analysis

- One dataset per wave
- Wide format (multiple waves)
- Long Format (multiple waves)

Driven by logic of information

- One row per person
 - with all known persons
 - only with respondents
- One row per person and year
- One row per household or spell

Generally

- Which variables identify rows?
- Which universe?



Type: Data Release ...

- ... contains information from all waves
- or contains only information from one wave.
- Sometimes the term *version* is used with some sort of link to a wave or the number of waves.

Same Same but Different. What Does This Mean?

- A movie
- Asian-English phrase
- Often a challenge, to decide whether two things are
 - the same: it's OK to substitute them mutually or use references
 - different: the information payload differs substantially
- In panel studies you want often to achieve the same but realize something different.



Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. <u>DDI Basics</u>
- 3. Linking Information
- 4. Re-Use Information
- 5. Use Case: Framework@SOEP
- 6. Use Case: Data Management with Active Metadata
- 7. Use Case: Questionnaire Documentation



Versions of DDI link

DDI Codebook Latest Version: 2.5

- Scope: social science data documentation
- Recommended elements: DDI <u>Lite</u> (corresponds to 2.0)

DDI Lifecycle Latest Version: 3.2

 Scope: data life cycle approach, social science data

DDI 4

(Prototype now under <u>review</u>)

- Model-driven
- Functional views with subset of classes



DDI 4: Model-Driven Approach with Views

- UML based model with representations in XML and RDF
- The model contains a library and functional views (subset for a specific purpose).
- The *library* is composed of *library packages* which contain other data types (primitives or complex) or classes.
- The functional views contain references to the classes used by the particular functional view that are needed to meet the needs of the use case or business application.
- The functional views loosely correspond to a DDI lifecycle business area.




Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. DDI Basics
- 3. <u>Linking Information</u>
- 4. Re-Use Information
- 5. Use Case: Framework@SOEP
- 6. Use Case: Data Management with Active Metadata
- 7. Use Case: Questionnaire Documentation



Some of the Hypothetical Connections



Only a selection – more with harmonized/derived variables.




Linking Objects: Design Options in DDI Lifecycle (3.2)

Versioning Direct Links

Groups Concepts

Declare an Object as a New Version of Another Object



- Each panel wave corresponds to a version.
- Possible versioning rule: Wave.Minor.Sub-Minor
- BUT: versioning manages metadata object change over time




A Variable Is Versionable

- If variable names change over wave, ID will be an issue.
- mypanel is a sub-agency of agency myagency.
- Meaning in long datasets: "include all up to this wave".
- Also possible for questions.



Some Notes on Versioning in DDI




source: https://ddi-alliance.atlassian.net/wiki/download/attachments/491573/Just%20Enough%20DDI%203_Longitudinal.ppt

- No change after publication (new version)
- Elements:r:VersionRationale andr:BasedOnObject
- Business/technical versioning
- DDI Working Paper Series, Best Practises 8: Versioning and Publication,

doi:10.3886/DDIBestPractices08



Reconstruct Development with BasedOnObject



- Can be used also for other type of relationships between each type of objects.
- Not re-useable




An Object Can Be Based on Another Object

- Links to the <u>field level documentation</u> in code examples
- Also look at <u>new documentation</u> using COGS (in dev.)



Link Variables to Questions Explicitly and Directly



Links are specified from variable to questions




A Variable Can Refer to One or More Questions

Also possible, if a dataset results from different modes

- Links to the <u>field level documentation</u> in code examples
- Also look at <u>new documentation</u> using COGS (in dev.)



Comparison Describes Relation of Two Objects



- Outside of the object
- Specified use: pairwise
- Many comparisons needed
- No re-use for comparisons
- Big correspondence table can be generated



Comparison Can Hold Pairwise Maps

```
<c:<u>VariableMap</u> ...>
     <c:SourceSchemeReference>
          <r:ID>wave1:variable1a</r:ID>
     </c:SourceSchemeReference>
     <c:TargetSchemeReference>
          <r:ID>wave2:variable1b</r:ID>
     </c:TargetSchemeReference>
     <c:Correspondence>
          <c:Commonality ...>
               Target (wave2) is a repeated measure of source (wave1)
          </c:Commonality>
          <c:Difference ...>...</c:Difference>
     </c:Correspondence>
</c:VariableMap>
<c:<u>OuestionMap</u> ...>...</c:QuestionMap>
```



Tie VariableReferences Together with a VariableGroup

VariableGroup2 VariableGroup1 ref:variable1a ref:variable2a ref:variable1b ref:variable2b ref:variable1c ref:variable2c

- Virtual, only references are used
- Using <u>Group</u> is also possible

(<u>Example</u> showing the grouping approach for comparable variables, description of derived variables as well as the relationship of waves and the household/person relationship)


VariableGroup Is a List of References

```
<l:<u>VariableGroup</u> ...>
     <r:VariableReference>
          <r:ID>wave1:variable1a</r:ID>
          <r:Version>3.0.0</r:Version>...
     </r:VariableReference>
     <r:VariableReference>
          <r:ID>wave2:variable1b</r:ID>
          <r:Version>3.0.0</r:Version>...
     </r:VariableReference>
     <r:VariableReference>
          <r:ID>wave3:variable1c</r:ID>
          <r:Version>3.0.0</r:Version>...
     </r:VariableReference>
</l:VariableGroup>
```

- Can have a name
- QuestionGroup also available



Tag an Object with a Concept



Works for variables and questions at the same time



ConceptReference Used in Variable and QuestionItem

Again within the object



Which Method Would You (Not) Use, If ...

- Messurement is stable or changes a lot over time?
- Information is stored in a long format, i.e. one variable contains information from multiple waves?
- Transformation information should be stored?
- Versioning of metadata differs from data?

Your use case?

Choosing the Right Method

- ... depends on ressources you have for the work to be done,
- on amount and structure of information you want to store.
- Direction and integration of references differ!
- Proof of concept will be necessary.
- Take mix of methods into account.
- Will not answer the question, if two objects are the same.

The workflow in your organisation will change!



Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. DDI Basics
- 3. Linking Information
- 4. Re-Use Information
- 5. Use Case: Framework@SOEP
- 6. Use Case: Data Management with Active Metadata
- 7. Use Case: Questionnaire Documentation



What do we want to achieve?

- Especially in panel studies:
 Repetition has to be managed. (Only if you use a reference, you know, that you use exactly the same.)
- Something used more than once?
 Try to re-use by reference, do not copy.
- Great amount of questions and variables: great amount of metadata to manage.

Answers within a Question refer to a CodeList

- Already usefull for one single study
- Various other possibilities for ResponseDomain
- <u>CodeList</u> can contain
 CodeListReferences



Value Labels of Variables Refer to a CodeList

- Already usefull for one single study
- CodeRepresentation is contained by substitution in <u>VariableRepresentation</u>
- <u>CodeList</u> can contain
 CodeListReferences



CodeLists for Questions and Variables

Q5: Are you happy today?

[1] Yes

[2] No

[-1] Don't know

-2 due to routing

• -8 long dataset

VAR5: Happiness day of int.

[1] Yes

[2] No

[-1] Don't know

[-2] does not apply/not reached

[-8] missing due to design



CodeLists for Questions and Variables

Q5: Are you happy today?

[1] Yes	CodeList1
[2] No	
[-1] Don't know	CodeList2
	CodeListQ5

VAR5: Happiness day of int.

```
[1] Yes

[2] No

[-1] Don't know

[-2] does not apply/not reached

[-8] missing due to design

CodeListVAR5
```



CodeLists for Questions and Variables

[1] Yes	CodeList1
[2] No	
[-1] Don't know	CodeList2
[-1] Don't know	CodeList3
[-2] does not apply/not	reached
[-8] missing due to design	gn

(used in questions and variables)CodeList2: missing values(extension used in questions)CodeList3: missing values

(used in data, reuseable)

CodeList1: substantial values



Questions: Multiple Levels of Reference and Re-Use

- < <u>DataCollection</u>
 - < QuestionSchemeReference
- < <u>QuestionScheme</u>
 - < QuestionSchemeReference
 - < QuestionGroupReference
 - < QuestionBlockReference
 - < <u>QuestionGrid</u>Reference
 - < <u>QuestionItem</u>Reference

- < QuestionGroup
 - < QuestionGroupReference
 - < QuestionBlockReference
 - < QuestionGridReference
 - < QuestionItemReference
- < <u>QuestionBlock</u>
 - < QuestionItemReference



Partial Re-Use of Main Elements

- Variable
 - Name
 - Label
 - Value Labels/ CodeList
 - Concept

- Question
 - Text
 - Answers/Code List
 - Concept

• If variable names (or question text) change: Can schemes combined with inheritance could be a solution?



There is one disadvantage, too:

- If you change an object, which is referred to, you risk to change each object, that uses it.
- This may not always be what you want.
- Check where the object is used before you change it.

And Always One Conflict of Objective:

- The more information adressed by one single reference,
 - the less information you have to state additionally (good)
 - the more often you have to specifiy new objects (bad).



Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. DDI Basics
- 3. Linking Information
- **4.** Re-Use Information
- 5. <u>Use Case: Framework@SOEP</u>
- 6. Use Case: Data Management with Active Metadata
- 7. Use Case: Questionnaire Documentation



paneldata.org (driven by DDIonRails)

- Successor for SOEPinfo, needed due to design of file structure (one file per wave with changing variable names).
- Possibility to explore the data, and to compile personalized datasets.
- Multiple Studies (hosted service for other panel studies)
- Linking across Studies (using concepts)
- Panel-specific functionality

paneldata.org (and Other Tools) Use Standardized Tables

- paneldata.org driven by DDIonRails 1/2 which can be understood as an implementation of DDI.
- paneldata.org a search tool for metadata of panel surveys (no metadata curation on this platform)
- DDI's XML structure extremely simplified and flattened to relational tables, which preserve selected features.
- Input: Markdown Files, Datasets (Stata) and Tables



Tables with Metadata for paneldata.org

- Contain information on instruments, connections (questions/variables, variables/variables) and concepts of variables
- Tables are stored in CSV files, which turned out to be easily editable by students and apprentices.
- Git version control helps a lot (collaboration!)
- Displayed online (paneldata.org) and in PDFs (R/LaTeX)
- Extremely economic set-up



DDI Structures We Use

- Link from variables (in raw data) to questions like DDI QuestionReference in DDI Variable
- Link pairs of variables (DDI VariableMap)
 - raw to published data
 - raw to generated data
 - consolidate data from two or more questionnaires (mode, long)
- Identify repeated measurement (DDI Concept)
 - Questions and Variables (item correspondence)
- Re-use answers within a questionnaire



Introduced Re-Use (outside paneldata.org)

- System-wide use of CodeLists for questions and variables (valid and missing values)
 - order
 - Value (Code)
 - Label (Category)
- System-wide use of templates (scheme) for questions
 - QuestionText
 - InterviewerInstruction
 - ResponseDomain
 - Concept

Helps to reduce cost of translation.




Use Case: Framework@SOEP

e qu	uestions.csv -	LibreOffice Calc									•		
<u>D</u> ate	ei <u>B</u> earbeit	en <u>A</u> nsicht <u>E</u> infüge	n <u>F</u> orma	t <u>Ex</u> tras	Da <u>t</u> en Fe	n <u>s</u> ter <u>H</u> ilfe							
:					/	Abc Al	ne 6/4		0 12	- N 1 -	0	: 6	
DD	ICSVopen	DDICSVsave 🛅 🕶	<u>-</u>			Abc Al	≈ 00			(T + 4	₩	in I 🥜 🛭	
£ [<i>a</i> 5	a.			-			0/ 00	ren d	00 5	
Lib	eration Sans	10 🕶 🗟	α a		<u> </u>	= =	-0			% 0.0	3,	.0000]	
F204	F204 Does someone in your household need care or assistance on a constant basis due to age, sickness, or medical treatment?												
	Α	В	С	D	E		G	Н	I	J	K	L	М
1	study	questionnaire	questioni	tem	concept	text	instruction	filter	goto	answer_lis	scale	text_de	instruction
		soep-core-2014-hh	64 h	nach2	hnach2	How often	do you non	64:hnach1	=1	hnach2	cat	Wie häufig	besuchen §
204	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	65		hpfleg	Does som	eone in you	r household	2@71	hpfleg	cat	Gibt es in	hrem Hausl
205	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	66			Who is it,	Please sta	65;hpfleg=	1		txt	Welche Pe	Bitte Vorna
206	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	66 h	npnam	hpnam	person in n	eed of care	first name			chr	Hilfebedür	ftige Person
207	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	66	2	2	Needs ass	stance wit	66:hpnam=	:1		txt	Braucht Hi	lfe bei
208	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	66 h	nhil1	hhil1	errands out	side the ho	ome			bin	Besorgung	gen und Erle
209	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	66 h	nhil2	hhil2	running the	household	, preparing	meals and	drinks	bin	Haushalts	führung, Vers
210	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	66 h	nhil3	hhil3	washing up	, combing	hair, shavin	g		bin	einfachere	n Pflegetätig
211	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	66 h	nhil4	hhil4	bowel mov	ements				bin	schwierige	eren Pflegetä
212	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	67		hpl	Does the p	erson in ne	ed of care	receive long	hpl	cat	Erhält die	hilfebedürf
213	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	67 h	npstuf	hpstuf	[Yes] based	on:	67:hpl=1		hpstuf	cat	[Ja] und zv	war:
214	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	68		9.607	Who provid	es this per	son with the	e assistanc	e he / she	txt	Von:	
215	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	68 h	nhvon1	hhvon1	relatives in	the housel	nold			bin	Angehörige	en im Hausl
216	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	68 h	nhvon7	hhvon7	Diakonie, A	SB, DRK,	AWO, etc.)			bin	Wohlfahrts	sverbände (z
217	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	68 h	nhvon3	hhvon3	private care	service				bin	privatem F	flegedienst
218	soep-core	soep-core-2014-hh	68 h	nhvon9	hhvon9	friends / ac	quaintance	s / neighbo	rs		bin	Freunden	Bekannten

Screenshot: Questionnaire in LibO Calc with two new buttons



Use Case: Framework@SOEP





CSV files on Git

Pro

- No server only software on clients needed (but Gitlab or similar make things easier)
- No special frontend ("editor") needed: lean development
- Version control helps to track changes and reset to previous version in case of errors
- Metadata easy accessible for programming (Ruby, R, Stata)
- Establish version control know-how


Con

- Integrity of metadata not enforced
- Annoying issues with seperators, encoding, quotes (LibO Calc and a macro helps)
- Transfer to database (for web-use)
- No server only software on clients needed (but Gitlab or similar make things easier)

Do you already use version control?




Use Case: Framework@SOEP



Change of Categories over Time (DDI Concept)



Use Case: Framework@SOEP



Linking across Studies (using DDI Concept)



Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. DDI Basics
- 3. Linking Information
- **4.** Re-Use Information
- 5. Use Case: Framework@SOEP
- 6. Use Case: Data Management with Active Metadata
- 7. Use Case: Questionnaire Documentation



Consolidate Information from Two Questionnaires






Pool information of two very similar studies
 which were carried out in the 4 same years


- SOEP
- Families in Germany (FiD)
- Data integration reduces burden of data users dramatically
 - identification of similar questions/variables
 - harmonisation of information is standardised
- Very similar use case: integration of datasets from different waves/modes
















- Identify corresponding questions/variables
- Correct, (harmonise)
- Rename variables:
 - Dataset1, var1> integratedDatset, VAR1
 - See table
- Compare corresponding variables
 - Prevent errors
 - Variable labels
 - Value labels
 - Accept differences/make corrections
- Append datasets
 - Fill sparse areas with missing code
- Evaluate work
- (Harmonise)

i_dataset	i_variable	o_dataset	o_variable
Dataset1	var1	iDataset	VAR1
Dataset1	var2	iDataset	VAR2
Dataset2	var1	iDataset	VAR1
Dataset2	var2	iDataset	VAR2

Table equivalent to many DDI VariableMaps








Diagramm shows successful integration of a metric variable (week of pregnancy)









Result

- 62 Stata files with integrated information
- 305 lines of code (without corrections)
- 21915 (non-)renaming of variables
- 61464 differences in variable labels and value labels were accepted
- Stata ados which rely on DDIonRails metadata: http://ddionrails.org/stata/
 - dorrename, dorcomparedta, dorcomparexis, dorappend, dorevaluate, dororder, dorlabeldta
 - https://github.com/ddionrails/stata

Metadata driven processing

- Code written for data preparation more structured and with less lines and better to maintain
- Metadata (and documentation) more accurate
- Documentation ready when data are ready



Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. DDI Basics
- 3. Linking Information
- **4.** Re-Use Information
- 5. Use Case: Framework@SOEP
- 6. Use Case: Data Management with Active Metadata
- 7. Use Case: Questionnaire Documentation



Questionnaire Metadata

- Reference material
- Some information is essential (has to be preserved, depends on use case)
- Some information can be ignored (not captured by metadata)
- i18n: multilingual infrastructure (fieldwork and/or documentation)
- Re-use of information (next wave)

1.000	778 Ihr Vater in Deutschland geboren?	<< CA	PI Sc	reen
	Ja Nein			
0	Keine Angabe			
			V	PAPI
65.	Does someone in your household need constant basis due to age, sickness, or	medical treatme	ent?	Question 71!
66.	Yes		Control Limited	
00.	■ Please state the person's first name. If there is more than one person in need care in the household, please state the person most in need of care.		person in	need of care name
	Needs assistance with			Û
	errands outside the home		[
	running the household, preparing meals	s and drinks	[
	minor care, such as help with getting dr washing up, combing hair, shaving			
	major care, such as getting in and out obowel movements		[



(Not) preserved information

Source Material (Paper)

65.	Does someone in your household need care or assistance on a	65 Does someone in your household need care or assistance on a constant basis				
	constant basis due to age, sickness, or medical treatment?	due to age, sickness, or medical treatment?				
	Yes	Yes 1 No 2 65;hpfleg hpfleg 2 @ 71				
66.	Who is it, and which of the following activities does he or she need assistance in?					
	Please state the person's first name. If there is more than one person in need of care first name care in the household, please state the person most in need of care.	65;hpfleg=1 66 Who is it, and which of the following activities does he or she need assistanin?				
	Needs assistance with	Please state the person's first name. If there is more than one person in need of care in the household, please state the person most in need of care.				
	errands outside the home	person in need of care first name				
	running the household, preparing meals and drinks	66:hpnam hpnam				
	minor care, such as help with getting dressed, washing up, combing hair, shaving	Needs assistance with errands outside the home 1				
	major care, such as getting in and out of bed, bowel movements	running the household, preparing meals and drinks minor care, such as help with getting dressed, washing up, combing hair, shaving major care, such as getting in and out of bed, bowel movements 66:hhil1 hhil1 66:hhil2 hhil3 66:hhil3 hhil3 66:hhil4 hhil4				

Produced with Metadata



(Not) preserved information

Source Material (Paper)

68.	Who provides this person with the needed assistance?
	- relatives in the household□□
	- charitable organizations (Caritas, Diakonie, ASB, DRK, AWO, etc.)
	- private care service
	- friends / acquaintances / neighbors
	- relatives outside the household
	- other regular care providers Yes No
69.	Besides this person, are there other people in the household who are in need of assistance or care?
	No
70.	Are there regular expenses for assistance or care of other persons in the household?
	Yes
	No

Produced with Metadata >>

```
68 Who provides this person with the assistance he / she needs?
relatives in the household
charitable organizations (Caritas, Diakonie, ASB, DRK, AWO, etc.)
private care service
friends / acquaintances / neighbors
relatives outside the household
other regular care providers
68:hhvon6
           hhvon6
68:hhvon8 hhvon8
[relatives in the household] Please give us the name of the
  person in the household who is the main caregiver.
68:hhnam hhnam 68:hhvon1=1
[friends / acquaintances / neighbors, relatives outside the household,other regular care
providers]Is this person paid for providing this assistance?
No
68:hhbez hhbez 68:hhvon9=1 | 68:hhvon6=1 | 68:hhvon8=1
69 Besides this person, are there other people in the household who are in need
of assistance or care?
No
69;hpfleg2 hpfleg2
[Yes] ... other person(s)
69:hpfleg3 hpfleg3 69;hpfleg2=1 hpfleg2=1
70 Are there regular expenses for assistance or care of other persons in the household?
Yes
No
70;hpfleg4 hpfleg4
Yes ... euros per month
```



Example: What DDIonRails preserves and adds

Preserved

- Question numbers
- Textual information (question texts, instructions, answers)
- Routing (logical: filter, goto)

Added

- Values for answers
- Concepts
- Links to variables (DDI QuestionsReference)
- Translations

Not preserved

- Layout (horizontal/vertical arrangement, text prior/after open ended questions)
- Typography (bold, underlined), only one markup
- Graphical information
- Routing (textual)

What information do you want to preserve?




Some Notes on Routing

- Common default: go to next question
 - No more specification needed
 - Exceptions needed

Two different approaches in instruments:

- Question's gatekeeper ("filter")
 - Defines the universe of this particular question
 - Condition which has to be true
- After a question ("goto")
 - Defines the way to the next question depending on the answer (and perhaps other information)



- Which approach is used in your institution?What are your experiences?
- What do data users like, what survey designers – and why?
- Which approach is more, which is less parsimonious?
- What about visualization?
- Will it convert?



Routing in DDI

ControlConstruct:

Extensible structure for control elements used in describing flow logic within the instrument: IfThenElse, RepeatUntil, RepeatWhile, Loop, Sequence, ComputationItem, StatementItem, and QuestionConstruct. (from DDI 3.2 XML Schema Documentation)

Some kind of code needed to specify conditions and or calculations, to some amount defined within DDI.

- Operators
- References
 - o <u>OutParameter</u>
 - InParameter
 - o <u>Binding</u>
- Re-use difficult by nature
- Re-use on Fragment level



Example: Routing in DDIonRails

- Each item (one item is related to one variable) in a question can have a filter and a goto.
- A filter can have references to one or more (prior) items in the conditions.

Rules for filter and goto

Filter and goto definitions consist of question names and symbols only, no keywords (e.g. "goto") are used.

- Symboles () = < > @ | & : != <= >=
- Filter (AGE > 20) & (SEX = 1) means: this question is asked if "age" is greater than 20 and "sex" is 1
- Goto (2 @ TARGET) means: if the answer to the current question is 2 then go to question "target"
- Refer to items using the colon as a seperator, e.g. (PSOR: 2 = 3).
- Value lists and ranges: (x = 1:3) is equal to (x = 1,2,3) is equal to $(x = 1) \mid (x = 2) \mid (x = 3)$

- Gotos only* evaluate the answer of this item and direct to the appropriate next answer.
- Room for improvement (e.g. loops), but works!


* have to update

<< Screenshot:

http://ddionrails.org/imports/question s csv.html



Example: Visualize routing



- Flow chart, algorithmic derived from DDIonRails metadata
- Filters displayed
- Gotos parsed
- Layout/rendering by Graphviz

How is filter/goto-approach connected with visualisation?



Make information re-usable and deal with changes

Re-use

- Means: Combine parts of a question and give them an identificator, which has to be used if the question appears again.
- Tracks permanence.
- Helps to limit amount of information, which has to be managed (entered, translated).
- Makes things more complicated: one more relation.
- Agency needed: assign IDs, ensure integrity, supervise corrections (internal question bank)

Link over time

- Same methods like those presented for variables
- Comparison seems to be more appropriate

Which parts of a question do you/ would you make re-useable?

How many resources do you have to track and describe changes?



Thank you for your attention.



DIW Berlin — German Institute for Economic Research (Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung e.V.)
Mohrenstraße 58, 10117 Berlin, Germany
www.diw.de

Socio-Economic Panel (SOEP) www.soep.de Knut Wenzig, kwenzig@diw.de



References and License



References

This presentation is an update of

Wenzig, Knut (2016): Same same but different. Zenodo.

doi:10.5281/zenodo.167223

which has been a major revision of

Hebing, Marcel, & Wenzig, Knut (2016): Documenting Panel

Data. Zenodo. doi:10.5281/zenodo.55613



License

This presentation is offered under license <u>CC BY-SA 4.0</u>.

The license does not apply to the following copyrighted material used in this presentation:

the logo of DIW Berlin/SOEP



the logo of the Leibniz Association



