

The Classical Review

<http://journals.cambridge.org/CAR>

Additional services for *The Classical Review*:

Email alerts: [Click here](#)

Subscriptions: [Click here](#)

Commercial reprints: [Click here](#)

Terms of use : [Click here](#)



Rohden and Dessau's *Prosopographia Imperii Romani Prosopographia Imperii Romani. Pars III. (P—Z). Consilio et auctoritate Aeademiae Scientiarum Regiae Borussicae. (Berolini apud Georgium Reimerum. MDCCCLXXXVIII). 25 Marks.*

F. T. Richards

The Classical Review / Volume 12 / Issue 07 / October 1898, pp 364 - 365
DOI: 10.1017/S0009840X00033187, Published online: 27 October 2009

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0009840X00033187

How to cite this article:

F. T. Richards (1898). The Classical Review, 12, pp 364-365 doi:10.1017/S0009840X00033187

Request Permissions : [Click here](#)

998 auo (*AP^A*) donni (*AP^A*).
1002 meharbocca (mepharbua *A*, me har-
bocca *P^A*).

1006 rufe (Latin?) ennychoissam (ru-
fee(y?)nnychoissam *A*, rufeen nuco istam
P^A).

1010 muphursa (*AP^A*) miuulec hi an na
(*P*, *AT n.l.*).

1013 lechlachananilimniichot (lechlachan-
nanilimniichto *A*, laechlachananiliminichot
P).

1016 assam (assam *A*, issam *P*).

1017 palumergadetha (palumirgadetha *A*,
palumergadetha *P*).

1023 muphonnimsycorathim (mufonnim-
si(y?)ccorathim *A*, muphonnium suchorachim
vel -him *P^A*).

1027 gunebelbalsameniyrasa (gunebbal-
samemly(i?)ryla *A*, gunebelbalsamenierasan
vel -am *P^A*).

1141 auonesilli (auammailli *A*, haudones-
illi *P*).

hauonbanesillimustine (hauonbanesill . .
mustine *A*, hauon bene si illi in mustine *P*).

1142 mepsietenestedumetalannacestimim
(mi(e?)pstaetemestæsdumetalan . . . sti—*A*
messiestenededum—*T*, mepsietenestedumet-
alannacestimim *P*).

1152 lachanna (lach . . na *A*, lachanam *P^A*).

I may add that an 'African' word *mu*,
strangely included by Charisius (i. 240, 3 K.)
among Latin interjections, appears in a
play (the 'Caecus' or 'Praedones') at-
tributed to Plautus:—

A. Quis tu es qui ducis me? B. Mu. A.
Perii hercle, Afer est.

W. M. LINDSAY.

BLAYDES' ADVERSARIA.

Adversaria in varios poetas graecos et latinos,
by FRED. H. M. BLAYDES. Halis Saxon-
um, 1898.

MR. BLAYDES has given us some 200
pages of notes and conjectures on the Latin
and Greek poets of which the majority will
be of value to many students. To some of
the writers—and they are very numerous—he
has paid greater attention than to others,
and his work certainly deserves the attention
of readers of Theognis, Theocritus, Pindar,
Horace, of Aeschylus and of the fragments
of the Greek Tragedians. It is not merely

that many of his conjectures and emenda-
tions of the texts of these writers are in-
genious and sometimes almost convincing,
but his pages in hundreds of brief notes
contain the conjectures of others and happy
illustrations or elucidations such as a per-
usal of classical writers unremitting during
a long life can alone furnish.

Mr. Blaydes' book is we notice printed
and published at Halle and the type and
matter is as good as the contents are on the
whole interesting to students of the classics.

F. C. CONYBEARE.

ROHDEN AND DESSAU'S PROSOPOGRAPHIA IMPERII ROMANI.

Prosopographia Imperii Romani. Pars III.
(P—Z). *Consilio et auctoritate Aca-*
demiae Scientiarum Regiae Borussicae.
(*Berolini apud Georgium Reimerum.*
MDCCCLXXXVIII). 25 Marks.

WITH laudable promptitude comes the
third volume of a work which, as we can
affirm from use of the earlier volumes
(published last year), is invaluable for pur-
poses of reference. Some notice of the
general scope of the book appeared in the
Classical Review for Dec. 1897. It is a sort

of Dictionary of Biography, as complete as
anyone could wish where the materials are
quite solid and trustworthy, but omitting all
theories and reconstructions of character, and
giving chiefly facts of public, official, or
historical value. It could never have been
written without incessant and restless
thumbing of indices to other works, as well
as of the works themselves. But the labour
has not been in vain. The preparation of
the third volume was assigned to P. v.
Rohden, and, on his illness, was completed
by H. Dessau, the compiler of vol. ii, who

has of course had the use of v. Rohden's papers. Vol. iii seems to be printed with the same remarkable care and accuracy which we noticed in the preceding parts of the book. A fourth volume is in prospect, to contain the *fasti consulares* and lists of

magistrates and officials, within the same limits as the rest of the work, i.e. the battle of Actium and the rise of Diocletian to supreme power.

F. T. RICHARDS.

SEGEBADE AND LOMMATZSCH'S LEXICON TO PETRONIUS.

Lexicon Petronianum composuerunt JOANNES SEGEBADE et ERNESTUS LOMMATZSCH, pp. vii., 274. Leipzig, Teubner. 1898. Mk. 14.

THIS lexicon is an indispensable adjunct to the study of Petronius. It was begun, and a third part of it (*A to hic*) written out in its final shape by Segebade before his untimely death: the second editor then completed the work from Segebade's materials. The plan is the same as that of Menge and Preuss's lexicon to Caesar except that the German translations are omitted. The basis of the lexicon is Buecheler's third edition (1882) but all the fragments are added which are contained in his *editio maior*. Full account is taken of recent conjectures, though the most improbable of the older ones are ignored. The ridiculous practice of

enumerating every occurrence of the commonest words in the language without classification is not adopted: thus the occurrences of *esse* with an adjective predicate are omitted, and those of *et*, which occupy six and a half pages of the lexicon, are distributed under the proper heads. The citations, so far as I have verified them, are accurate. The brief preface includes a short account of the vocabulary and grammar of Petronius which does not call for much remark. It may be doubted whether collocations like *nemo nihil* are Grecisms: all over the world the vulgar tongue is fond of the reduplicated negative. The following forms which occur in Mr. Lommatzsch's preface are not in accordance with correct Latinity: *impetratus sum—poematibus—dua* (neuter)—*ceperat* (for *coeperat* or *inceperat*).

J. P. P.

BRADLEY AND BENSON'S PHILOSOPHICAL LECTURES AND REMAINS OF R. L. NETTLESHIP.

Philosophical Lectures and Remains of R. L. Nettleship. Edited by A. C. BRADLEY and G. R. BENSON. London (Macmillan), 1897.

THIS is not the place to dwell upon the character and abilities of Lewis Nettleship, either from personal recollections going back to undergraduate days at Balliol, or from a general survey of what he wrote and spoke, and the admirable memoir of him contributed to these volumes by his friend Professor Andrew Bradley. His premature death on Mont Blanc in 1892 was a great loss to Oxford and above all to his college, and even here it may be permissible to refer to the striking and characteristic passage relating to him in Jowett's *College Sermons*. He was not a rapid worker and his time

was much taken up with teaching; but it seems likely that, if he had lived, he might have produced some original philosophical work of considerable importance.

In these *Remains* what seems to me of the greatest intrinsic value relates to logic. But much the larger part of the two volumes is occupied with Plato, and this is all that I am entitled to deal with in the *Classical Review*. The first volume contains among other things a long essay on *Plato's Conception of Goodness and the Good*. This was meant to be a chapter of a book on Plato which Nettleship undertook for the Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge. He had himself begun to cut it down considerably. It is easy to understand that the editors did not like to omit anything, nor could they, without to some extent