## Author Manuscript Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication

# This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

```
Title: A simple blood-culture bacterial pellet preparation for faster
accurate direct bacterial identification and antibiotic susceptibility
testing with the VITEK 2 system.
Authors: Prod'hom G, Durussel C, Greub G
Journal: Journal of medical microbiology
Year: 2013 May
Volume: 62
Issue: Pt 5
Pages: 773-7
DOI: 10.1099/jmm.0.049361-0
```

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.

UNIL | Université de Lausanne Faculté de biologie et de médecine

1	A simple blood culture bacterial pellet preparation for faster
2	accurate direct bacterial identification and antibiotic
3	susceptibility testing with the VITEK 2 system
4	
5	Guy Prod'hom, Christian Durussel and Gilbert Greub*
6 7	Institute of Microbiology, University of Lausanne and University Hospital Center, Lausanne, Switzerland
8	
9	*Corresponding author:
10	Mailing address: Prof. Gilbert Greub, Institute of Microbiology, University of Lausanne and
11	University Hospital Center, Bugnon 46, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland.
12	Phone : +41 21 314 49 79 Fax : +41 21 314 40 60
13	E-mail : Gilbert.Greub@chuv.ch
14	
15	Word counts: abstract: 71 words, main text: 1295 words
16	Running title: Faster bacterial identification
17	Content category: Clinical microbiology and virology
18 19	Keywords: blood culture; ammonium chloride; bacterial identification, antibiotic susceptibility testing

#### 20 Abstract

21 An ammonium chloride procedure to prepare bacterial pellet from positive blood cultures was 22 used for direct inoculation of VITEK. Correct identification reached 99% for Enterobacteriaceae and 74% for staphylococci. For susceptibility testing, very major and 23 24 major errors were 0.1% and 0.3% for *Enterobacteriaceae*, and 0.7% and 0.1% for 25 staphylococci. 26 Bacterial pellets prepared with ammonium chloride allow direct inoculation of VITEK cards 27 with excellent accuracy for *Enterobacteriaceae* and lower accuracy for staphylococci. 28 29 Main manuscript 30 Blood cultures are the best approach to establish the etiology of bloodstream infections. 31 Direct automated identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) using blood-culture 32 bacterial pellets were applied for Gram negative bacteria (Bruins et al., 2004; de Cueto et al., 2004; Kerremans et al., 2004) but remains unsatisfactory for the identification of Gram 33 34 positive cocci (de Cueto et al., 2004; Kerremans et al., 2004). We developed a simple 35 procedure to prepare pellets for bacterial identification using MALDI-TOF (Prod'hom et al.,

36 2010). Here, we applied this procedure to directly inoculate VITEK cards (bioMérieux, Marcy

37 l'Etoile, France) for bacterial identification (ID) and for AST of Gram-positive cocci in cluster

38 (GPC) and Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) present in blood culture.

39 During 26 consecutive weeks, all positive blood culture having GNB (1 per patient) or GPC

40 (1 per site of puncture/per patient) on Gram-stained slides of positive vials were included.

41 Mixed blood cultures were excluded. Bacterial pellets from positive blood culture vials (Plus

42 aerobic/F, Lytic anaerobic/F and Peds/F) detected by the automated blood culture system

- 43 BACTEC 9240 (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, USA) were prepared with an ammonium
- 44 chloride-driven hemolysis (Prod'hom et al., 2010). Briefly, five ml of positive medium was

mixed to 40 ml of sterile water and centrifuged at 1'000xg for 10 min. Supernatant and blood
cells layer were removed. The remaining blood cells were lysed mixing 1 ml of ammonium
chloride (0.15 M NH4Cl, 1 mM KHCO3; pH 7.31) to the bacterial pellet and a second
centrifugation step at 140xg for 10 min was done. Supernatant was discarded. When the pellet
remained hemorrhagic, the lysing step was repeated with 2 ml of water (Prod'hom *et al.*,
2010).

Bacterial pellets ( $\geq 10^8$ /ml) were used to directly inoculate (McFarland 0.6-0.8) VITEK cards, GP and AST 580 cards for GPC and GN and GN26 cards for GNB. Positive blood culture vial were subcultured on blood agar (GPC & GNB) and McConkey agar plates (GNB) to obtain a pure culture with isolated colonies. ID and AST using the same VITEK cards from colonies obtained by subculture on agar were used as the gold standard. Quality control of VITEK2 was performed weekly by testing *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213 for both identification and AST.

For the interpretation of ID results, the following criteria were used i) correct identification when direct ID and ID from colony gave the same identification, ii) misidentified, when discordant results were observed between direct ID and ID from colony, iii) not identified when direct ID gave no identification with the VITEK.

For the interpretation of AST, only cases with correct identification results were analyzed.
VITEK MIC data and interpretation were used for comparison for direct AST and AST from
colonies. Essential agreement (EA), categorical agreement (CA), minor discrepancy (md),
major errors (ME) and very major errors (VME) were used according to definition of
Guidance document of FDA (FDA, 2009). EA was the overall agreement within plus or
minus one two-fold dilution of direct versus colonies inoculation of VITEK cards. CA, the
agreement of interpretive results, susceptible, intermediate, resistant between direct versus

69 colony inoculation of VITEK cards. AST discordance results were classified as: VME (false 70 susceptible); ME (false resistant) and md (all others). In case of misidentification, strains were 71 retested using MALDI-TOF MS directly from colonies. The identification of these isolates by 72 MALDI-TOF was performed on a Microflex LT instrument (Bruker Daltonics, Leipzig, 73 Germany) with FlexControl software (version 3.0) (Bizzini et al., 2010). Discrepancies of 74 AST (VME and ME) were solved by testing isolates using Etest system (BioMérieux). For each antibiotic Wilcoxon signed rank test were performed to evaluate the MIC values after 75 76 log conversion. P value <0.05 were considered significant. 77 During the study period, 278 positive blood culture where included in the study. Table 1 78 shows the results of the VITEK identification obtained directly from bacterial pellet compared 79 to final identification. Overall 226/278 (81%) gave a correct identification at the species level

80 when VITEK was directly inoculated with bacterial pellets. The proportion of correct

81 identification for *Enterobacteriaceae*, non-fermentative GNB, staphylococci and other Gram

82 positive cocci were of 87/88 (99%), 5/7 (71%), 133/180 (74%) and 1/3 (33%), respectively

83 (Table 1). Misidentifications were observed for 31/278 (11%) bacterial pellets. For 21/278

84 (8%) bacterial pellets, VITEK gave no-identification. Noteworthy, all bacterial pellets

85 identified as *S. aureus* by the VITEK system were correct. However, as many as 16/77 *S*.

86 *aureus* (21%) were misidentified (Table 1).

87 Direct AST results from the blood-culture bacterial pellet were analyzed for 220 of the 226

isolates with congruent identification at the species level: 87 *Enterobacteriaceae* and 133

89 staphylococci (Table 2). AST GN26 and AST 580 are not appropriate for non-fermentative

90 bacteria (n=5) and *S. pyogenes* (n=1). For *Enterobacteriaceae*, the AST from one case was

91 excluded since VITEK gave no results due to insufficient growth. For 3 additional cases,

92 result from 1 antibiotic was excluded since VITEK gave no result. The majority of

93 discrepancy tests (27/41) confirmed categorical results obtained from colonies.

For the other cases, the EA and CA was overall of 98.5% and 97.7%, respectively. The
number of VME, ME and md were 2 (0.1%), 5 (0.3%) and 30 (1.9%), respectively. For 133
staphylococci, the EA and CA was 96% and 96.2%, respectively. The number of VME, ME
and md were 18 (0.7%), 2 (0.1%) and 76 (3.1%), respectively. The majority of VME was
observed for TMP-SMX (15/18; 83%).

99 In this study we applied a simple blood pellet procedure using ammonium chloride to

100 inoculate VITEK cards for both identification and AST. This procedure has several

101 advantages. First, we do not use additional device such as "serum separator tube" for

102 preparation of the bacterial pellet (Bruins *et al.*, 2004; de Cueto *et al.*, 2004; Kerremans *et al.*,

103 2004). Second, the method could be used for both bacterial identification and AST for

104 Enterobacteriaceae and staphylococci.

For staphylococci AST, very major errors predominate for TMP-SMX. Similar results have
already observed using serum separator tube for bacterial pellet preparation (Kerremans *et al.*,
2004) or saponin as detergent(Lupetti *et al.*, 2010). For staphylococci, the MICs for several
antimicrobial agents was one or more dilutions lower using the direct inoculum method
(P<0.05).</li>

The performance of the direct AST fulfilled performance criteria considered as acceptable by the FDA administration(FDA, 2009). Thus, we obtained a categorical agreement >90% for all antibiotics (except fosfomycin (87%) and TMP-SMX (86%) for staphylococci), an essential agreement >90% for all antibiotics (except teicoplanin (73%) for staphylococci),  $\leq 1.5\%$  of very major errors (0.1% for *Enterobacteriaceae*, 0.7% for staphylococci) and < 3% of major errors (0.3% for *Enterobacteriaceae*, 0.1% for staphylococci).

116 Two hypothetical factors may explain differences between the tested and the reference 117 method, i) the presence of residual blood proteins, of blood cells and of blood culture 118 medium ii) low homogeneity of bacteria in the pellet. The first factors may modify 119 standardized conditions necessary for identification and AST with VITEK card's and possibly 120 may increase the bacterial growth with a significant impact on the biochemical results. The 121 likely less homogeneous viability of bacteria present in the pellet than that of bacteria 122 obtained from a subculture may explain altered growth rate and modified MIC's 123 determination. Polymicrobial blood cultures may cause errors in antibiotic susceptibility 124 testing and should be excluded. In most hospitals, the rate of polymicrobial blood cultures is 125 relatively low (< 10%) allowing the successful application of this method to more than 90% 126 of all positive blood cultures.

In our hospital, direct identification using VITEK's cards are used when identification using MALDI-TOF analysis of bacterial pellet failed. Direct AST on the blood-culture pellet is applied on both *Staphylococci* and *Enterobacteriaceae*. For staphylococci, TMP-SMX result is not provided to the physician. Implementation of such method in another laboratory may need an independent validation since the method is an adaptation of CE/FDA approved tool for off-label purposes.

In conclusion, bacterial pellets from positive blood cultures prepared with an ammonium
chloride-driven hemolysis allow direct inoculation of VITEK cards used for identification and
for antimicrobial susceptibility testing with an excellent accuracy for *Enterobacteriaceae* and
lower accuracy for staphylococci. To circumvent the lower accuracy of bacterial identification
for staphylococci, we perform a MALDI-TOF identification from bacterial pellet (Prod'hom *et al.*, 2010).

#### 139 Acknowledgments

140 We thank Anna Ruegger and Gizha Shklqim for appreciated technical help.

### **References**

143	Bizzini, A., Durussel, C., Bille, J., Greub, G. & Prod'hom, G. (2010). Performance of matrix-assisted
144	laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for identification of bacterial
145	strains routinely isolated in a clinical microbiology laboratory. J Clin Microbiol 48, 1549-1554.
146	Bruins, M. J., Bloembergen, P., Ruijs, G. J. & Wolfhagen, M. J. (2004). Identification and
147	susceptibility testing of Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa by direct
148	inoculation from positive BACTEC blood culture bottles into Vitek 2. Journal of clinical
149	microbiology <b>42</b> , 7-11.
150	de Cueto, M., Ceballos, E., Martinez-Martinez, L., Perea, E. J. & Pascual, A. (2004). Use of positive
151	blood cultures for direct identification and susceptibility testing with the vitek 2 system. J Clin
152	Microbiol <b>42</b> , 3734-3738.
153	FDA (2009). Guidance for Industry and FDA - Class II Special Controls Guidance Document:
154	Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test (AST) Systems.
155	Kerremans, J. J., Goessens, W. H., Verbrugh, H. A. & Vos, M. C. (2004). Accuracy of identification
156	and susceptibility results by direct inoculation of Vitek 2 cards from positive BACTEC cultures.
157	Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis <b>23</b> , 892-898.
158	Lupetti, A., Barnini, S., Castagna, B., Capria, A. L. & Nibbering, P. H. (2010). Rapid identification and
159	antimicrobial susceptibility profiling of Gram-positive cocci in blood cultures with the Vitek 2
160	system. European journal of clinical microbiology & infectious diseases : official publication of
161	the European Society of Clinical Microbiology <b>29</b> , 89-95.
162	Prod'hom, G., Bizzini, A., Durussel, C., Bille, J. & Greub, G. (2010). Matrix-assisted laser desorption
163	ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for direct bacterial identification from positive
164	blood culture pellets. <i>J Clin Microbiol</i> <b>48</b> , 1481-1483.
165	

#### 166 Legends

- 167 Table 1 :
- 168 Direct VITEK identification obtained from bacterial pellet compared to reference VITEK
- 169 identification obtained after subcultured colonies. Please not that misidentification were only
- 170 observed for Gram positive bacteria
- 171
- 172 Table 2:
- 173 Comparison of MIC's determined using the VITEK 2 method obtained from direct
- 174 inoculation of the blood-culture bacterial pellet with MIC's determined using the VITEK 2
- 175 method obtained from subcultured colonies (reference method) and analysis of categorical
- 176 errors.

#### 178 Table 1

Species	Total	Correct identification (%)	Misidentified*	Not identified
Enterobacteriaceae	88	87 (99)		1
Escherichia coli	50	50		
Klebsiella pneumoniae	16	16		
Enterobacter cloacae	9	8		1
Klebsiella oxytoca	5	5		
Other Enterobacteriaceae †	8	8		
Non-fermentative Gram-	7	5 (71)		2
negative bacteria				
P. aeruginosa	4	4		
Other non-fermentative Gram-	3	1		2
negative bacteria ‡				
Staphylococci	180	133 (74)	30	17
S. epidermidis	85	66	10	9
S. aureus	77	55	16 §	6
S. hominis	9	5	2	2
Other staphylococci	9	7	2	
Other Gram-positive cocci ¶	3	1	1	1
Total	278	226 (81)	31	21

<sup>179</sup> 

180 \* In case of discordance, identification was confirmed by MALDI-TOF or other reference181 methods.

182 *† Serratia marcescens* (3), *Proteus mirabilis* (2), *Citrobacter freundii* (1), *Citrobacter koseri*183 (1), *Enterobacter aerogenes* (1).

184 *‡ Achromobacter xylosoxidans* (1), *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (1), *Stenotrophomonas*185 *maltophilia* (1).

186 § S. aureus misidentification: S. intermedius (13), S. chromogenes (1), Streptococcus

187 pyogenes (1), Kocuria rosae (1).

188 || S. capitis (3), S. schleiferi (2), S. auricularis (1), S. lugdunensis (1), S. warneri (1), S.
189 xylosus (1).

190 ¶*Micrococcus luteus* (1), *Peptinophilus sp.* (1), *Streptococcus pyogenes* (1)

Bacteria/Drugs		Ν	o. of <b>\</b>	ITEK 2 N	1ICs tha	at diff	ered fi	rom				
(no. of strains)	reference MICs by the indicated dilution *					tion *	No. of errors after discrepancy analysis <sup>+</sup>					
	<-2	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	>+2	EA (%)	CA [](%)	md [] (%)	ME [] (%)	VME [] (%)
Enterobacteriaceae (87 ‡)												
Amikacin § (86)			1	78	6	1		85 (98.8)	86 (100)			
Amoxicillin/clavulanate (86)		1	9	70	5		1	84 (97.7)	84 (97.7)	1 (1.2)	1 (1.2)	
Ampicillin (86)	1		5	77	3			85 (98.8)	86 (100)			
Cefalotin (86)		1	9	68	8			85 (98.8)	79 (91.9)	7 (8.9)		
Cefepime (86)			1	84			1	85 (98.8)	85 (98.8)		1 (1.2)	
Cefotaxime (85 ‡)			1	84				85 (100)	85 (100)			
Cefoxitin (86)			3	80	3			86 (100)	85 (98.8)	1 (1.2)		
Cefpodoxime (86)		1	6	77	1	1		84 (97.7)	86 (100)			
Ceftazidime (86)				85			1	85 (98.8)	85 (98.8)		1 (1.2)	
Cefuroxime (86)			6	74	4	2		84 (97.7)	84 (97.7)	2 (2.4)		
Ciprofloxacin (86)				86				86 (100)	86 (100)			
Gentamicin (86)	1			84		1		84 (97.7)	85 (98.8)			1 (1.2)
Meropenem (86)				85			1	85 (98.8)	85 (98.8)		1 (1.2)	
Nitrofurantoin (86)		1	15	60	10			85 (98.8)	73 (84.9)	12 (16.4)		1 (1.4)
Norfloxacin (86)				85	1			86 (100)	85 (98.8)	1 (1.2)		
Piperacillin (85 ‡)		2	3	75	4		1	82 (96.5)	83 (97.6)	2 (2.4)		
Piperacillin/tazobactam (86)	3	2		80			1	80 (93)	81 [80] (94.2)	4 [3] (4.9)	1 (1.2)	0 [2]
TMP-SMX    (86)				86				86 (100)	86 (100)			
Tobramycin (85 ‡)				84	1			85 (100)	85 (100)			
Total (1631)	5	8	59	1502	46	5	6	1607 (98.5)	1594 [1593] (97.7)	30 [29] (1.9)	5 (0.3)	2 [4] (0.1)
Staphylococci (133)												
Clindamycin (133)	1	2		128		1	1	128 (96.2)	129 [128] (97)	4 (3.1)	0 [1]	
Erythromycin § (133)	8			123		1	1	123 (92.5)	131 (98.5)			2 (1.5)
Fosfomycin § (133)		4	1	128				129 (97)	133 [132] (100)			0 [1]
Fusidic Acid § (133)		8	16	107	1	1		124 (93.2)	116 (87.2)	17 (14.7)		
Gentamicin (133)	1		7	119	5	1		131 (98.5)	125 (94)	8 (6.4)		
Levofloxacin § (133)		1	32	94	5		1	131 (98.5)	124 (93.2)	9 (7.3)		
Linezolid § (133)		1	26	100	6			132 (99.2)	133 [132] (100)			0 [1]

Total (2527)	28	59	274	2059	92	11	4	2425 (96)	2431 [2423] (96.2)	76 [72] (3.1)	2 [5] (0.1)	18 [27] (0.7)
Vancomycin (133)		3	39	54	35	2		128 (96.2)	133 (100)			
Tobramycin § (133)	1	1	8	119	4			131 (98.5)	127 [126] (95.5)	6 (4.7)		0 [1]
TMP-SMX § (133)	4	9	15	100	5			120 (90.2)	114 [111] (85.7)	4 (3.5)	0 [2]	(13.2)
<i></i>								, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , ,			15 [20]
Tigecycline (133)		2	11	116	3	1		130 (97.7)	133 (100)			
Tetracycline (133)			13	105	13	2		131 (98.5)	129 (97)	4 (3.1)		
Teicoplanin § (133)	13	21	23	69	5	1	1	97 (72.9)	127 (95.5)	5 (3.9)		1 (0.8)
Rifampicin (133)				133				133 (100)	133 (100)			
Penicillin (133)		3	5	120	4	1		129 (97)	132 [131] (99.2)		1 (0.8)	0 [1]
Oxacillin § (133)		4	32	96	1			129 (97)	132 (99.2)		1 (0.8)	
Nitrofurantoin § (133)			25	104	4			133 (100)	124 (93.2)	9 (7.3)		
Mupirocin (133)			1	132				133 (100)	133 (100)			
Moxifloxacin § (133)			20	112	1			133 (100)	123 (92.5)	10 (8.1)		

\* Differences in MICs (<-2, -2, -1, 0, +1, +2, >+2) indicate log2 differences of VITEK 2 MICs obtained from direct inoculation versus colonies. EA (essential

agreement): no. of MICs concordant with reference VITEK MICs +/- 1 two-fold dilution.

196 † CA: number of categorical agreement (i.e. susceptible, intermediate, resistant). In bracket []: no. of errors before discrepancy analysis. VME: no. of very

197 major error (falsely susceptible), ME: no. of major error (falsely resistant), md: no. of minor discrepancies (all other errors).

198 ‡ One inoculum by direct VITEK failed to grow for all antibiotic tested. In 3 cases, VITEK provided an alert for insufficient grow for 1 antibiotics.

199 § Significative difference of CMIs using Wilcoxon signed rank test (P<0.05)

200 || TMP-SXT: Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole

201