This article was downloaded by: [University of Auckland Library]

On: 15 February 2015, At: 06:19

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street,

London W1T 3JH, UK



Annals and Magazine of Natural History: Series 2

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tnah08

V.—Remarks on the British Geodephaga; with notes on some Scydmænidæ and Pselaphidæ

Dr. H. Schaum

Published online: 14 Dec 2009.

To cite this article: Dr. H. Schaum (1849) V.—Remarks on the British Geodephaga; with notes on some Scydmænidæ and Pselaphidæ, Annals and Magazine of Natural History: Series 2, 3:13, 32-39, DOI: 10.1080/03745485909494583

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03745485909494583

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities

whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

the nutriment and the formation of large quantities of starch and highly carbonized resinous matters in plants devoid of leaves or other green parts. Of this I can offer no explanation without going into hypotheses regarding assimilation in general, which I am not willing to do here; I will only observe, that I believe assimilation to be a process wholly distinct and independent of the respiration, liberating oxygen, in the green parts of plants.

The specimens in which I traced the connection of the parasite with the root of the foster-plant were *single* and small; in other cases I found a group of two or three large specimens attached together and to a decayed tuber, probably of the former year, and having no apparent connection with a foster-plant. This point requires further observation; but these cases suggest that the *seedling* plant may require a foster-plant, while those produced by buds from an old plant are less dependent; just as the green parasites in the Rhinanthaceæ are apparently independent after they have acquired a certain degree of development.

The development of the ovary confirms Mr. Brown's view of its structure, in opposition to the opinion expressed by Dr. Lindley. I have satisfied myself, by tracing the formation from the earliest stages, that the carpels stand fore and aft, and not laterally. A section of the perfect style also, just below the stigma, exhibits two vascular bundles, one in front and one behind, opposite the sutures of dehiscence, so that the lobes of the stigma each belong half to each carpel. The supposed analogy with Gentianaceæ therefore falls to the ground, while that with Scrophulariaceæ is real.

V.—Remarks on the British Geodephaga; with Notes on some Scydmænidæ and Pselaphidæ. By Dr. H. Schaum*.

No attempt to reconcile, even in a tolerably satisfactory manner, the great difference which exists between the usual English nomenclature and our own, has hitherto been successful. Of the more numerous and difficult genera of insects, an understanding can scarcely be obtained without interchanging specimens or studying the original collections. The descriptions of the English writers, which perhaps may suffice to make known to the

* Translated by Wm. S. Dallas, Esq., from the 'Entomologische Zeitung' for February 1848, pp. 34-44, and communicated by him.

[These introductory remarks of Dr. Schaum apply only to Coleoptera, for Mr. Henry Doubleday and Mr. Stainton have done much to rectify the nomenclature of the nocturnal smaller Lepidoptera, while Messrs. Shuckard, F. Smith, Haliday, Walker and others have laboured, and by foreign works have determined the species of many groups of Hymenoptera and Diptera.]

native collectors the comparatively few species of the scanty British fauna, are not sufficient for the entomologists of the continent, who have a richer field before them. Recognition from descriptions, besides, becomes still more difficult, because insects which are represented by English writers under names given by Gyllenhal, Dejean, or other authors, are frequently incorrectly determined, and consequently cannot serve as starting-points for the settlement of the other species. An interchange of specimens has not yet been successfully introduced, for most of the English collectors, induced by the insular position of Great Britain, confine themselves entirely to the investigation of their own fauna, and usually feel no interest whatever in continental insects.

A two months' residence in London gave me the opportunity of seeing the collection of Mr. J. F. Stephens frequently, and as the most liberal permission to make use of it was granted to me by the kind owner, I resolved to investigate thoroughly some families contained in it, considering this more advantageous than collecting notes on individual species of different families. I chose Carabici and Hydrocanthari, with which I am most conversant, and in which I promised myself most success. I should willingly have investigated some other groups, such as the Elaters and a part of the Palpicornes; but my stay in London was too short, and my time too much occupied to admit of this; and besides, I dreaded making erroneous statements in many cases, from the impossibility of now and then comparing correctly determined specimens of German species.

It is to be wished that English entomologists, following Walton's example, would set themselves to the task (and attend to it closely) of studying individual families, so as to bring about in them an agreement between the English nomenclature and that employed on the continent. Walton's laborious works on the British Curculionidæ are published in Taylor's 'Annals of Natural History,' and I hope the 'Entomologische Zeitung' may soon give us translations of his last essays.

I will now go through the genera of Carabici in their order.

Cicindela sylvicola.—The specimen figured by Curtis, which is in the collection of Mr. J. F. Stephens, is a green variety of C. hybrida, Dej. The true C. sylvicola, Dej., is not indigenous in England.

Dromius fenestratus, Ste., is not fenestratus, Fab., Dej., but a variety of D. testaceus, Erichs., with a yellow spot on the anterior half of the elytra*. The type of the latter species is mixed with D. agilis in Stephens's collection under the names of D. agilis and meridionalis.

D. bipennifer is Sigma, Rossi, Dej.; D. impunctatus belongs to

^{*} This variety is described by Dejean, i. p. 242, as D. agilis, var. a. Ann. & Mag. N. Hist. Ser. 2. Vol. iii. 3

D. obscuroguttatus, Duft., spilotus, Dej. D. angustatus and maurus are not distinct, and both = D. maurus, St.

Lamprias (Lebia) nigritarsis does not appear to me to differ from

L. cyanocephala, nor L. rufipes from L. chlorocephala.

Tarus humeralis is Dejean's Cymindis of the same name. T. macularis and axillaris are mutually identical, and perhaps only a variety of C. humeralis with a dark red prothorax; at all events quite distinct both from C. macularis, Dej., and C. axillaris, Dej. T. coadunatus, lavigatus, homagricus and angularis again form one species, which is identical with C. homagrica, Dej. T. basalis is the Gyllenhalian species of the same name. It appears consequently that there are three species of Cymindis indigenous to England—C. humeralis, homagrica and basalis.

Brachinus crepitans.—To this species, the specimens named in Stephens's collection B. immaculicornis, explodens and glabratus ap-

peared to me to belong.

Almost the whole of the English species of the genus Dyschirius are known on the continent under other names; only D. nitidus, politus, and gibbus of Stephens are, the first probably, and the three others certainly, the like-named species of Dejean and Putzeys. Of the others, D. minimus is the same as D. gibbus; D. pusillus, ovatus and thoracicus are not distinct from D. aneus; D. tristis is a specimen of the same species inclining, in colour, to blue; D. rufipes and punctatus are the same as D. salinus, Schaum, Putz.; D. arenosus is an immature specimen of the true D. thoracicus, Fab., Er., Putz.*; D. cylindricus the same as D. politus, and D. inermis, digitatus and fulvipes form one species, and are identical with D. arenosus, Putz. (non Steph.). Putzeys has been misled, by an incorrectly determined specimen in Hope's collection, into describing this marked species (which I found in plenty on the sea-shore near Swinemunde in the summer of 1845) as D. arenosus, Ste. The name D. inermis, under which Curtis has so beautifully figured it, will be retained for this species.

The English specimens of Nebria livida all belong to N. lateralis,

Fab.: the true N. livida is not indigenous in England.

Helobia (Nebria) lata, Newm., is, according to the original specimens, only a rather large variety of H. brevicollis, and H. varicornis, Newm., is described from immature specimens of the same species. H. æthiops, Ste., is a large specimen of Gyllenhalii, Schönh., of which H. Marshallana, Ste. (arctica, Dej.) is an alpine form.

Leistus nigricans, Newm.—The original is an old, dark specimen of L. spinibarbis. L. Janus, Newm., is described from immature specimens of L. fulvibarbis, Dej. Leistus montanus, Ste., is a very marked species of this genus, apparently unknown on the continent. L. indentatus, Newm., is unknown to me, as I have not seen the original specimen; it is most probably not a distinct species, and the depression described merely accidental.

* This was the only specimen of this species (D. thoracicus, Fab.) in Stephens's collection; it is not rare in England however, and has been taken by Wollaston in great plenty.

Trimorphus scapularis and confinis, Ste., are the same as Badister humeralis, Bon.; T. erro, Newm., is identical with B. peltatus, Ill.

Badister suturalis.—The specimen originally described and figured by Stephens is a pretty variety of B. unipustulatus, Bon., cephalotes, Dej. The specimens which Stephens subsequently received and mentioned in the 'Supplement to his Illustrations' are of a similar variety of B. bipustulatus. To the latter species B. microcephalus, Ste., also belongs.

Epomis circumscriptus, Duft., is not indigenous in England; in Stephens's collection I found under this name two different Chlanii from the Cape.

Chlanius fulgidus, Ste., is an immature specimen of C. melanocornis, which has shrivelled in drying; C. xanthopus, Ste., is a North American species allied to C. cobaltinus.

Agonum austriacum is modestum, Dej.; A. fulgens, Ste., is identical with A. Ericeti, Panz., Sturm; A. plicicolle is a deformed specimen of A. viduum; A. viduum, Erichson's species of the same name. A. versutum, lave, emarginatum, mastum, lugubre and afrum all appeared to me to belong to A. mastum, Erich. A. Bogemanni I have not seen, the species not being in Stephens's collection.

A. quadripunctatum differs entirely from quadripunctatum, DeGeer, and appeared to me to be A. fuliginosum, Knoch; A. consimile I look upon as A. scitulum, Dej., and A. atratum, Ste., as gracile, Sturm, Dej.; A. piceum, Simpsoni, pullum, striatum and fuliginosum are all to be united as A. fuliginosum, Knoch; A. micans and cursitor correspond with A. micans, Nicolai, Er., pelidnum, Duft., Dej.; A. picipes is the species so called by Dejean and Erichson. A. fuscipenne and gracile belong again to fuliginosum; A. pelidnum is Thoreyi, Dej., a species not rare in England; A. affine is the true A. pelidnum, Payk., Gyll., Er., puellum, Dej.; A. pusillum is a single minute specimen, and therefore difficult to determine; perhaps it is also to be united to A. fuliginosum; A. livens is the Gyllenhalian insect of the same

Odontonyx rotundicollis, Marsh., is the same as Olisthopus rotundatus, Payk.

Calathus apicalis, Newm., is described after an immature specimen of C. melanocephalus: C. crocopus and fuscus are to be united with C. flavipes, Payk., Sturm; C. rufangulus is the genuine C. fuscus, Fab., Dej., Er.; C. mollis is ochropterus, Duft., a plentiful species at Liverpool, under stones near the sea; C. nubigena, Haliday, is a distinct species which has been discovered in Ireland.

Platyderus ruficollis is Feronia (Pterost.) depressa, Dej.

Argutor inquinatus is a large variety of F. vernalis, Dej.; A. rufomarginatus and vernalis are specimens of the same species of ordinary size; A. inæqualis, Scalesii and longicollis are varieties of A. longicollis, Duft., Sturm, ochraceus, Sturm, negligens, Dej.; A. diligens is A. strenuus, Ill., Panz., pullus, Gyll., Dej.; A. interstinctus, erythropus, strenuus and pullus all belong to A. pygmæus, Sturm, Er., strenuus, Dej.; A. anthracinus is Feronia minor, Dej.

Pogonus Burrellii is P. luridipennis, Germ.; P. chalceus and litto-

ralis correspond with halophilus, Germ., Dej.; P. aruginosus, Ste., is the genuine P. littoralis, Duft., Sturm.

Omaseus Orinomum is not to be divided from O. Bulwerii; the species is not known to me under any other name; A. lævigatus, Ste. is F. minor, Dej., again; O. rufifemoratus is a variety of O. nigrita with red thighs; O. tetricus, Haliday, and O. rotundicollis, Ste., are F. gracilis, Dej.; O. affinis is a monstrous specimen of O. melanarius;

Feronia picea is picimana, Duft., Pej.

Amara acuminata, obsoleta, similata, trivialis, vulgaris, spreta, familiaris, communis and tibialis, Ste., are the Erichsonian species of the same names; A. ovata belongs to A. obsoleta, as do also A. ingenua and subænea of the Stephensian collection, but the descriptions of the two last in Stephens's 'Manual' are repetitions of those given Stephens's descriptions of A. muby Erichson under those names. nicipalis, brunnea, curta and patricia are also borrowed from Erichson, the genuine species of these names not existing in his collection. The specimen there marked as A. curta is a dark A. spreta; the original specimen of the A. discrepans, Marsh., referred by Stephens to A. brunnea, is an A. bifrons; the Stephensian descriptions of A. municipalis and patricia are not founded on specimens. The other species of the genus answer to ours as follows, viz.: A. nitida, Ste., is the true A. plebeja, Gyll., A. lævis and lucida belong to A. familiaris, A. convexior, plebeja, obtusa and atrocærulea to A. communis, Gyll, and A. erythropa and infima to A. gemina, Er.; A. atra is a black variety of A. trivialis, A. laticollis probably the true A. nitida, Sturm, Er., and A. tricuspidata is a species unknown to me, distinct from A. tricuspidata, Dej., perhaps A. depressa, Er.

Bradytus crassus is identical with A. consularis, B. marginatus the same as A. patricia, B. torridus an immature female of A. apricaria,

and B. fulvus and ferrugineus are mutually identical.

Harpalus serripes, tardus and stygius belong to H. serripes, as do also H. fuscipalpis and tenebrosus, whilst H. rufimanus, fuliginosus and latus constitute the true H. tardus, Ill., Dej.; H. nigripes, piger, anxius, femoralis, complanatus, flaviventris and luteicornis are all only slight varieties of *H. anxius*; *H. luteicornis* for example being a small female, and H. complanatus and flaviventris immature specimens. H. thoracicus, depressus and melampus are the same as H. semiviolaceus, Dej.; H. Petisii, rubripes, azureus, chloropterus, marginellus, fulvipes and lentus are varieties, sexual or otherwise, of H. rubripes; H. caffer is the true H. perplexus, Gyll., Dej.; H. rufitarsis a small, and H. calceatus a large specimen of Anisodactylus binotatus. Upon the other Harpali I cannot venture to pronounce any opinion; they are mostly species which are rare in the north-east of Germany and are less known to me.

Pangus scaritides, a single female, which has nothing in common with Selenophorus scaritides, and appeared to me scarcely distinct from Actephilus pumilus, Ste.

Actephilus vernalis is H. picipennis, Dej.; A. pumilus is not known to me with certainty.

Ophonus stictus appeared to me to belong to H. monticola, Dej.

(the genuine Carabus obscurus, Fab.); O. punctatulus and nitidulus are mutually identical, and the same as H. punctatulus, Dej.; O. punctatissimus may perhaps be subcordatus, Dej.; O. foraminulosus appeared to me to belong to puncticollis, Payk., Dej., and O. puncticeps to be a small variety of the same species, whilst O. puncticollis, subpunctatus and cribellum might answer for the H. brevicollis, Dej. I will not however give out these statements as absolutely certain.

Stenolophus Skrimshiranus might perhaps correspond with the S. melanocephalus, Findel, which is described by Dejean as a variety of

S. vaporariorum, but I am not convinced that it is so.

Most of the specimens of Trechus dorsalis in the Stephensian collection belonged to Stenol. elegans, Dej.; T. echus parvulus is an immature St. dorsalis, Dej.; T. flavicollis is Acup. luridus, Dej., but not T. flavicollis, Sturm; T. nitidus is identical with the preceding; T. ruficollis is Bradycellus similis, Er., and T. placidus the Bradycellus placidus, Er.; T. suturalis is Acup. cognatus, Gyll., Dej. The specimens with a reddish thorax which are mentioned in Stephens's descriptions belong to placidus, Gyll.; I cannot distinguish T. fulvus from Acup. Harpalinus, Dej.; T. pallidus is founded on immature specimens of the same species.

T. brunnipes is a species of Bradycellus not otherwise known to me, nearly allied to B. Harpalinus, and distinct from Stenol. brunnipes, Sturm, Er.; T. consputus and meridianus are the species so called by Erichson; T. cognatus is nothing but a specimen of T. meridianus; T. aquaticus, with its varieties T. fuscipennis and tristis, is identical with T. minutus, Er., and T. lævis is a large specimen of the

same species.

Blemus paludosus is Dejean's Trechus of the same name; B. pallidus answers exactly to the description of Trechus fulvus, Dej., but does not agree with T. pallidus, Sturm. Of the true B. longicornis, Sturm, I have seen no English specimen.

Lymnæum nigropiceum is a very marked species, which was pre-

viously quite unknown to me.

Tachy's scutellaris is the same as Bemb. scutellare, Dej.; T. binotatus and vittatus the same as B. guttula, Dej., Er.; T. inermis, pusillus, obtusus and gracilis belong to B. obtusum, Sturm, Dej.; T. minutissimus and perhaps also T. minimus, Curt., which I have not seen, are identical with B. bistriatum, Dej.; T. maritimus is not in Stephens's collection.

Philochthus æneus is Bemb. æneum, Germ.; P. Doris, subfenestratus and biguttatus appeared to me to belong to B. vulneratum, Dej.; and P. guttula to B. biguttatum. The typical specimen of B. hæmorrhoum, Kirby, is a B. guttula, Dej. Specimens of B. obtusum have been confounded with it by Stephens.

Ocys currens is Bemb. pumilio, Dej.; O. melanocephalus and tempestivus are the same as B. rufescens, Dej.

Peryphus femoralus and concinnus appeared to me to belong to Bemb. Bruxellense, Putz., and the second is certainly different from B. concinnum of Putzeys. Under P. maritimus several species are confounded; of the four specimens in the Stephensian cabinet, two

belong to the preceding species, one to B. concinnum of Putzeys, and the fourth to B. rupestre, Dej.; B. tetraspilotus is wanting in Stephens's collection. Two specimens which Wollaston communicated to me under this name belonged to B. rupestre, Dej.; P. littoralis is B. rupestre, Dej.; P. lunatus and ustus are B. lunatum, Duft., P. lunatus being established on immature and P. ustus on mature specimens of that insect; P. decorus and albipes correspond with P. brunnipes, Dej., P. albipes being the young specimens; P. nitidulus, Marsh., is P. rufipes, Dej., and P. agilis the same as B. decorum, Dej. On the other species of the genus Peryphus I cannot venture to give any decided opinion.

Notaphus undulatus is Bemb. undulatum, Dej., Er.; N. ustulatus, nebulosus, semipunctatus and obliquus=B. ustulatum, Dej., Er.; N. stictus may correspond with the lately described N. Dejeanii, Putz.; N. fumigatus is Dejean's Bembidium of the same name; N. ephippium=B. pallidipenne, Dej. (non Ill.); N. castanopterus is a pale

variety of B. assimile, Gyll., Dej., Er.

Lopha pæcila=B. articulatum, Dej.; L. quadriguttata and quadrimaculata are Dejean's species of the same names; L. pulchra is a bluish specimen of B. celere; L. assimilis=B. Doris, Ill., Dej., Er.; L. pusilla and hæmorrhoidalis are also the same as B. Doris, Ill.; L. nigra=B. Mannerheimii, Sahlb., Dej.; L. pulicaria and minima=B. pusillum, Gyll., Dej.; L. nana is wanting in Stephens's collection; L. Doris and Spencii=B. assimile, Gyll., Dej.

Tachypus celer = Bemb. celere; T. acutus, Marsh., is an immature specimen of the same species; T. properans, chalceus and orichalcicus = B. velox, Er.; T. bipunctatus=B. bip., Dej., &c.; T. chlorophanus

and striatus = B. ærosum, Er.

Bembidium impressum is quite distinct from B. impressum, Dej., being nothing but an ill-preserved specimen of B. flavipes.

Notiophilus tibialis, Ste. = N. palustris, Er., whilst N. palustris of

the Stephensian collection belongs to N. biguttatus, Er.

[As Dr. Schaum's remarks on the British Water-beetles, which form a part of his paper in the 'Entomologische Zeitung,' have already appeared, in a more detailed form, in this country (see Zoologist, pp. 1887 and 1932), it has not been considered necessary to reproduce them here.]

PSELAPHIDÆ AND SCYDMÆNIDÆ.

Scydmænus ruficornis, Denny, is nothing but the female of S. denticornis. I have compared two of the specimens mentioned by Denny himself.

S. Wighami, Denny (also according to an original specimen which E. Doubleday communicated to me) is identical with S. angulatus, Kunze. The species could not be recognized from Denny's imperfect figure.

S. punctipennis, Ste., is a true S. collaris.

S. Dennii, Ste., as I had previously supposed, is the male of S. denticornis. Several species of this genus were incorrectly determined

in Stephens's collection; the descriptions in his works however are not taken from these specimens, but borrowed from Denny.

Euplectus Kirbii, Denny, of which I have examined the original specimen in the British Museum, is not identical with E. signatus, as Erichson and Aubé suppose, but with E. Fischeri, Aubé (Tischeri, Heer). Denny has overlooked the pit in the forehead which characterizes this species.

Stephens refers the *Euplectus sanguineus*, Denny, as a synonym to *E. minutus* of Marsham, but incorrectly; the specimen of the latter differs in nothing from an ordinary *E. signatus*.

E. ruficornis, Ste., is synonymous with E. ambiguus, Reichb. Bythinus grandipalpus, Ste., is the female of B. Curtisii, Denny. Bryaxis assimilis, Curt., I have not seen.

The specimen named Bryaxis insignis, Reichb., in Stephens's collection, does not agree at all with the true P. insignis, Reichb. (=Tyrus mucronatus), but is the same insect as Bryaxis juncorum.

VI.—On the mode of growth in Oscillatoria and allied genera. By John Ralfs, M.R.C.S., Penzance*.

The growth of the lower Algæ by repeated transverse division of their cells is now a well-established fact. In the Desmidieæ and the Palmelleæ this division is usually complete and gives rise to distinct individuals. In the latter family the common gelatinous matrix mostly retains them in such close connection that the entire mass is regarded as a frond, of which the cells are only portions. The case is essentially similar in the Desmidieæ; but in them the common matrix is so exceedingly thin that it can scarcely be detected, whilst the slightest touch scatters the cells, rendering their independence apparent, and hence each individual is considered a frond.

In *Tiresias* and many other simple, filamentous Algæ, the divided cells remain closely united, and form a jointed filament which continues to elongate until the cells cease to divide.

I believe that in Oscillatoria we may trace a mode of growth of an intermediate kind and connecting these extremes. In many species of this genus the stratum spreads with great rapidity. This rapid growth cannot be caused by zoospores or granules vegetating in constant succession, because, although the filaments vary in length, their breadth is uniform. It does not depend on the simple elongation of the filaments, because, in many species, the filaments always remain short, notwithstanding the great increase of the mass.

The difficulty of tracing the growth in Oscillatoria is enhanced by its cells being frequently confluent, or having their divisions

* Read before the Botanical Society of Edinburgh, December 14, 1848.