THE LANCET,]

## CASE OF RESECTION OF INTESTINE, WITH APPROXIMATION OF THE DIVIDED ENDS BY MEANS OF MURPHY'S BUTTON.

## BY J. PAUL BUSH, M.R.C.S. ENG., L.S.A., SURGEON TO THE BRISTOL ROYAL INFIRMARY.

I THINK this case may be of interest, as I believe it was the first occasion on which the Murphy button was used successfully in this district.

The patient, aged fifty-five, though a much older man for his age, and who had suffered all his life from a marked angular curvature of the spine and chronic bronchitis, came under my care for a strangulated inguinal hernia on Nov. 27th, 1894. He had had a reducible hernia for twenty years and 1894. He had nad a reducible herma for twenty years that had always worn a truss. Three days before his admission the hernia came down, and all the symptoms of an acutely strangulated hernia followed; he was treated, before seeing me, with an ice-bag and a turpentine enema, and taxis was tried, but without any reduction of the taxis was tried, but without any reduction of the strangulated intestine. On his admission the patient was collapsed, there was stercoraceous vomiting, and all the physical signs and symptoms of a strangulated hernia were present; there was some fulness in the right iliac fossa with pain on pressure. Herniotomy was at once performed. On laying open the sac the smallest possible quantity of fluid was let out, and about four inches of small intestine were found to be so tightly strangulated that the hernia director could not be inserted until the constriction had been partly divided. The ring was then very freely divided and a small portion of the gut both above and below the constricted area was brought down for inspection. The strangulated portion was quite black, the surface glistening only in places ; the upper end of the gangrenous portion appeared to be united to the nonstrangulated portion of intestine by only the serous coat. A fresh incision about three inches long was made in the middle line of the abdomen midway between the umbilicus and pubes, and the strangulated portion of the gut was brought from the scrotal incision to the opening in the middle line. Swain's intestinal clamps were placed on the healthy intestine about ten inches apart, with the portion to be resected lying between; the gangrenous intestine was then resected with the scissors, about half an inch of nonstrangulated gut on either side being removed with it. Some vessels in the mesentery were ligatured; no wedge-shaped portion of the mesentery was removed. The male and female portions of the button were fixed in the cut ends of the intestine by means of a purse string of silk and the two parts of the button were fitted together; the largest size button that is made for the small intestine was used. No sutures were used to unite the divided intestine or mesentery. On removing the clamps the upper and distended intestine was observed to be emptying its contents through the button into the lower portion without a sign of any leakage; the gut was then dropped into the peritoneal cavity and the wound closed. As the patient was considerably collapsed before the operation commenced it was deemed advisable not to prolong the administration of the chloroform by performing an operation for the radical cure, so the scrotal sac was drained only, and the wound sutured. A little weak milk-and-brandy was given by the mouth during the night. On Nov. 28th the vomiting had ceased and the abdomen was somewhat distended. On the 29th the patient passed flatus frequently. On Dec. 1st there was considerable pain in the right iliac region and some distension. On the 2nd there was a slight action of the bowels. There was nothing special to record till the 4th, when there was a rigor, distension, and severe pain in the abdomen. The passage of flatus had almost ceased. There were dyspnce and cough. The pulse was very weak and intermittent. Dr. Shaw kindly saw the man with me in the evening, and we decided that the grave condition of the patient was due to double pneumonia, and not to any complication of the intestinal lesion. Stimulants were freely given by the mouth, and a turpentine enema greatly relieved the distended abdomen. The patient from this time gradually improved. The bowels were opened twice on the 8th and 9th, and between the 10th and the 16th there was some diarrhœa. On the 14th a small quantity of blood was passed; no button could be felt in the rectum. On the 26th the patient was sitting up, and no button could be felt. On Jan. 4th, 1895,

Murphy's button was passed without pain. On April 2nd it was over four months since the operation; the man had been under close observation throughout, and there were no signs of any obstruction.

Remarks.--There are one or two special points I should like to notice. The advantages of the button are undoubted to my mind, as it certainly takes a very much shorter time to perform resection with the button than any suturing operation I know of, and this question of time is a most important one in intestinal surgery. It may be said that in dealing with small intestine cases, as the button must be small enough to pass through the ileo-cæcal valve, the opening in the united ends of the intestine must be small also, and that the size of the ring tends to diminish. All I can say is that so far this case shows nothing of the kind. I have wondered whether a button could not be made with the expanded portions consisting of some such substance as decalcified bone, but retaining the ingenious ideas of Dr. Murphy in the spring screw arrangement of the male and female portions. If this could be done we should then be able to increase the size of the opening in the intestine very considerably for the small intestine cases. I think the making of a second incision in the middle line useful, as it is a much more convenient place to carry out the operation on the intestine, and it is a much less serious incision that that of opening up the inguinal canal for, say, three or four inches. Five weeks is a long time for the button to be retained, but then it must be remembered that its expulsion was not hastened in any way by aperients, as none were ordered till the morning of Jan. 4th. The patient, hearing the order given for a good dose of "house medicine," promptly got rid of the button before the dose could be administered.

## Clinical Hotes: MEDICAL, SURGICAL, OBSTETRICAL, AND THERAPEUTICAL.

Clifton, Bristol.

THE RADICAL TREATMENT OF LUPUS. BY RICHARD T. CÆSAR, M.R.C.S. ENG.

IN THE LANCET of July 21st, 1894, Mr. Bidwell published particulars of six cases of lupus treated by free excision and skin grafting, and in his paper he stated that he believed Mr. Watson Cheyne was the first to perform such an operation in this country, his cases being exhibited at the Medical Society of London in March, 1893. When Mr. Watson Cheyne performed his operations I do not know, but I should like to mention two cases in which I performed the very same operation, with the exception that I did not do the skin grafting. The first I performed nearly twenty years ago and the second about ten; in both cases I simply made a free deep incision all round the edges of the ulcer and raised the floor entire. I used no sutures nor in any way attempted to bring the edges of the wound together; I simply dressed it as an ordinary granulating surface, and both cases turned out all that could be desired without any return in either, and the resulting scar was trifling.

CASE 1. — A man aged sixty-two years consulted me in March, 1876, for an ulcer on the left side of his face, which he said had existed for some years, but of late was spreading very fast. He stated he had been under various treatment by different medical men, and had, as usual in such cases, tried all sorts of "certain cures" and quack remedies without the slightest good resulting. It was then so large and unsightly that he was compelled to give up his situation as coachman. On removing the dressing I found a patch of lupus much larger than a half-crown piece, situate on the left cheek and spreading towards the outer angle of the eye. I first tried the application of the usual remedies, but without benefit, and the man becoming impatient for some more active treatment, I suggested the complete removal of the diseased structure, hoping thus to make a permanent cure, and to this proposal he readily consented. With the kind assistance of my friend and former pupil, Dr. H. Payne, I made a deep incision all round the edges,