

Megalopygidæ (Lagoidæ). We recognized that the former did not belong here ; but it seems nearer this family than any other, and was so placed, pending further study of the South American fauna, when it will probably find place in a new family.

As to Eupoeya, I am inclined to believe that it belongs to the Megalopygidæ, though the branching of the radial nervules of primaries is rather unlike the typical form, and Dr. Packard's figures do not show a branch to vein 1.

I find that *Sciathos punctigera*, Linn., belongs to the Megalopygidæ (Kirby's Cat., p. 540, next to Sibine in the Limacodidæ).

The genera so far shown to belong to this family separate as follows :—

Vein 7 arising nearer base than 9. *Eupoeya*, Pack.

Vein 7 arising beyond 9.

Vein 10 from a stalk.

Veins 3-4 of secondaries stalked. *Carama*, Walk.

Veins 3-4 of secondaries not stalked.

Vein 8 of secondaries united to 7 to outer third of cell. *Ochrosoma*, H. S.

Vein 8 united to 7 nearly to tip of cell. . . . *Sciathos*, Walk.

Vein 10 of primaries from the cell. *Megalopyge*, Hübn.

Eutheca (*Sapinella*, Kirby) *mora*, Grote.

Lord Walsingham has kindly sent me the following information :—
“An examination [of the type in the British Museum] shows it to be an Anaphorid with 12 separate veins in the forewings and eight in the hindwings. I can scarcely distinguish it from *Pseudanaphora arcanella*, Clem., of which it may be the ♀, which is unknown so far as I am aware.” The name may be removed from the list of unidentified Bombyces, and the genera *Eutheca*, Grt., and *Sapinella*, Kirby, relegated to the synonymy.

CORRECTION.

In the list of Dragonflies of Corunna, Mich. (C. E. xxvi., p. 345, December, 1894), *Calopteryx apicalis*, Burm., should be *Calopteryx æquabilis*, Say.

D. S. KELLICOTT.