

suggested that a gentleman should attend from each school which chose to send a representative. The resolution was then carried.

Mr. SMITH proposed, and it was seconded by Mr. SPARROW,—“That the resolutions passed this evening, be published in the *Morning Chronicle*, *The Times*, *The Lancet*, and *The Medical Gazette*.”

The Chairman was then deputed, in the name of the meeting, to write to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, to know when he would receive the deputation.

The thanks of the meeting were then unanimously voted to the Chairman for his able and impartial conduct in the Chair.

Mr. MEADE returned thanks for the vote, and congratulated the meeting upon the proceedings, and the unbroken steadiness of conduct which had distinguished them. They had proved that they could dispassionately discuss their wrongs, and were capable of using great moral force in redressing them. They had taught the Apothecaries' Hall a lesson that night which it had never expected to receive from the students of London. The words, “a marked man,” were in common use, when speaking of some candidates at the Hall. No more men, he believed, would be “marked” at that institution. Again returning them his most sincere thanks for the honour they had conferred upon him, he begged to say that the meeting was dissolved.

Several rounds of cheers were then given for Mr. Warburton, Mr. Wakley, Mr. Liston, and the Chairman, and three groans for Mr. Ridout, and some other examiners at Apothecaries' Hall, and the immense assembly quietly separated.

We have been informed that nearly eighteen pounds were collected at the door of the great room, from students, in sixpences, towards defraying the expenses of the meeting, the advertisements, &c., when the amount received being so ample, the remainder of the assembly entered without the necessity for contribution; and the Secretary acquaints us that a letter was forwarded on the next morning to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, requesting that the Right Hon. Gentleman would appoint a time, as suggested, for receiving the deputation.

LATE ELECTION AT THE RICHMOND HOSPITAL, DUBLIN.

To the Editor of THE LANCET.

SIR,—An anonymous communication purporting to be “a statement of facts,” respecting the appointment of a surgeon to the *Richmond Surgical Hospital*, has appeared in your Journal of the 9th inst.

As this statement (so far as we are concerned) is devoid of the slightest foundation,

we deem it our duty to give it the most direct contradiction under the responsibility of our signatures:—

1st. We received no list of candidates from the Government, nor from any other quarters.

2nd. We never presumed individually, or as a body, to approach the Government on the subject of the appointment in question.

3rd. Our communication with the Government was limited to our giving an official answer to an official inquiry respecting the fitness of Messrs. M'Donnell and Adams to perform the duties of surgeons to the Richmond Hospital.

We have the honour to be, Sir,

Your obedient servants,

PHILIP CRAMPTON.

ABRAHAM COLLES.

RICHARD CARMICHAEL.

Dublin, Jan. 12, 1836.

DR. GEORGE GREGORY has just been appointed Professor of *Materia Medica*, at *King's College*, Strand. Dr. GEORGE GREGORY proved on a certain occasion, before the *Westminster Medical Society*, that he was pre-eminently qualified as a professor, and the circumstance that he has been poked into one of the empty chairs of *King's College*, proves that his claims have not been forgotten. Verily this institution is in a hopeful state.

It is more than likely that this worthy gentleman would have obtained the post, had there been a dozen candidates, because he was owed something by his party, had not yet been paid, and could at no other time be paid so cheaply. However, the fact is, that Dr. GREGORY was the only candidate. Dr. WEBSTER had previously been officially informed by Mr. MAYO, on the part of the managers of this liberal institution, that “he was not eligible to become a candidate, because he was a member of the established church of Scotland;” and we may here take the opportunity of adding that Dr. RITCHIE, one of the ablest and most scientific men in this country, was also informed some time since by the professors of *King's College*, that “if he would change his religious creed, and become a member of the Church of England, he should be elected to a chair in the institution,” but not on any other condition.

Is it by such men as these that the students of *King's College* have allowed themselves to be made tools in the opposition to their fellow students in London within these few days. Oh, shame! The inroads of party should have fallen short of that.

Respecting Dr. WEBSTER, we hear that his late conduct, in treating with the authorities in the institution, has been manly and becoming in every particular.