

Techno-Economic Feasibility Study of Investigation of Renewable Energy System for Rural Electrification in South Algeria

Lower cost for better environment

Faycal Chermat
Electrical Engineering
Department
Ferhat Abbas University
Setif, Algeria
chermat33@yahoo.fr

Mabrouk Khemliche
Electrical Engineering
Department
Ferhat Abbas University
Setif, Algeria
mabroukkhemliche@yahoo.fr

Abdessalam Badoud
Electrical Engineering
Department
Ferhat Abbas University
Setif, Algeria
badoudabde@yahoo.fr

Samia Latreche
Electrical Engineering
Department
Ferhat Abbas University
Setif, Algeria
ksamia2002@yahoo.fr

Abstract—This work aims to consider the combination of different technologies regarding energy production and management with four possible configurations. We present an energy management algorithm to detect the best design and the best configuration from the combination of different sources. This combination allows us to produce the necessary electrical energy for supplying habitation without interruption. A comparative study is conducted among the different combinations on the basis of the cost of energy, diesel consumption, diesel price, capital cost, replacement cost, operation, and maintenance cost and greenhouse gas emission. Sensitivity analysis is also performed.

Keywords—renewable energy; energy management; techno-economic; feasibility study; optimization

I. INTRODUCTION

It is preferable to use renewable energy for cost reduction in rural areas where population growth is not proportional to the extension of the electricity grid [1]. This has paved the way for research on the use of autonomous renewable energies and on hybrid systems [2] by combining several types of power generation to reduce fuel consumption and therefore minimize operating cost [3]. However, prior to any implementation of a renewable energy system, a technical and economic feasibility study is necessary to justify the investment and allocated budget. Several studies have discussed the sizing and economic analysis of hybrid systems. An optimal sizing procedure of the wind/PV/diesel hybrid system was introduced in [4]. This procedure has been designed for small applications in Turkey. A techno-economic feasibility study of a house in Urumqi in China fuelled with 100% renewable energy was developed in [5]. The effect of the introduction of a wind farm for the improvement of the dynamic economic dispatching of the western Algerian network was studied in [6]. Authors in [7] and [8] established models for the components of a hybrid wind-powered solar-pumped storage power system. Authors in [9] presented an analysis of PV/wind hybrid systems for the

power supply of a house, farm or enterprise, which demonstrated that these two sources could be independent or connected to the electrical grid with complex interactions. This complete hybridisation study has been considered expensive and laborious for many industrial technology departments. Authors in [10] designed a 100% cost-effective system with low cost of energy (COE) by studying an FM transmitter station powered by a PV/diesel hybrid system for rural communities. HOMER software was used in [11] to study the techno-economic feasibility of a PV/diesel/battery hybrid system for the power supply of the Bin Habbas Town in Saudi Arabia. By analysing the solar radiation of Rafha, the storage in batteries increased with the penetration of renewable energies, and the hours of the diesel generator (DG) operation were reduced.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Location and Load Demand Specification

This work is the first study about the center of Sahara, namely the town of In Salah, which is located in an altitude of 268m between the coordinates of 2°28'E longitude and 27°12'N latitude. The study is conducted on a habitation that requires 57kWh/day. Figure 1 presents the average daily consumption.




Fig. 1. Daily energy consumption.

B. Meteorological Data

Requirements are obtained through NASA’s site of meteorological surfaces and solar energy. Figures 2 and 3 show the global horizontal radiation and wind speed at In Salah, respectively. The average annual solar irradiance is 5.83kWh/m²/day, and the average wind speed is 5.1m/s.




Fig. 2. Global horizontal radiation for In Salah.




Fig. 3. Wind speed for In Salah.

C. Evaluation Criteria

Our study covers net percent cost (NPC) of the system, including investment or initial capital cost (ICC), replacement cost (RC), and operating and maintenance cost (OMC), fuel cost (FC), and the COE. NPC is given by (1):

$$NPC = \frac{C_{tot}}{CRF(i, T_p)} \tag{1}$$

where C_{tot} is the total annualized cost of the system (\$/year), i is the annual real interest rate (%), T_p is the project lifetime, and CRF is the capital recovery factor, which is calculated in the following equation [12]:

$$CRF = \frac{i(1+i)^n}{(1+i)^n - 1} \tag{2}$$

where i is the real interest rate and n is the number of years. COE is calculated from the following equation:

$$COE = \frac{C_{tot}}{E_{tot}} \tag{3}$$

where E_{tot} represents the total consumption of electricity during the year (kWh/year). The fraction of PV energy and wind energy can be calculated as [13]:

$$f_{pv} = \frac{E_{pv}}{E_{pv} + E_{WG}} \tag{4}$$

$$f_{WG} = \frac{E_{WG}}{E_{pv} + E_{WG}} \tag{5}$$

D. Energy Monitoring

Energy management is defined according to ISO50001 as a “set of interrelated or interacting elements to establish an energy policy and energy objectives and process and procedures to achieve those objectives” [14]. An energy management algorithm is elaborated to explain the operation of the chosen hybrid system which satisfies the demand while taking into account the economic criteria described above. Its flow chart is shown in Figure 4 and its steps are:

If $P_w > P_{load}$ then the load is alimeted directly from the wind generator.

Else we calculate P_{pv}

If $P_{pv} > P_{load}$ then we alimeted by the GPV.

Else we calculate $P_w + P_{pv}$

If $P_w + P_{pv} > P_{load}$ then we powered directly from renewable resources.

Else we check SOC

If $SOC > 40\%$ then we alimeted the load by extracting the lack from batteries.

Else return to DG and fill the gap from renewable resources and in the same time charge the batteries.

If $SOC > 95\%$ then the DG is extinguished and the load is supplied by filling the mast from the batteries.




Fig. 4. Flow chart of energy management.

III. SYSTEM COMPONENTS

Figure 5 shows our proposed hybrid system. The load demand is coupled to the AC bus, whereas the wind generator, GPV and batteries are connected to the DC bus. A conventional

backup DG is typically used to supplement the renewable system for maximum loads and during periods of poor resource generation.




Fig. 5. Hybrid system design.

E. PV System

The electrical power produced by the photovoltaic network is calculated using (6):

$$P_p = f_{pv} \times Y_{pv} \left(\frac{I_t}{I_s} \right) \quad (6)$$

where f_{pv} , Y_{pv} , I_t and I_s are respectively the reduction factor, the total installed capacity, the solar radiation and the incident radiation at the standard test conditions.

F. Wind System

The mean power produced by an aerodynamic generator is given by [15]:

$$P_w = \int_{V_{in}}^{V_{out}} P(v) f(v) d(v) \quad (7)$$

where V_{in} is the wind speed at which electricity production starts, V_{out} the wind speed at which electricity production stops, $P(v)$ is the aero-generator’s power curve (given by the manufacturer) and $f(v)$ is the Weibull probability density function.

G. Power Converter

The energy flows between AC and DC components are maintained thanks to the use of an energy converter which converts the DC into AC for the supply of several devices and plays the role of a “controller” for the direct current conversion of the PV generator into direct current.

H. Battery

The capacity of the storage unit expressed in ampere-hours is defined as follows:

$$C_B = \frac{N_{ja} \times B_{jp}}{P_D \times R_t} \quad (8)$$

where N_{ja} is the number of days of the storage unit autonomy, B_{jp} is the daily requirement of the consumer expressed in (Ah) and it is deduced from the energy requirement in (Wh) and the chosen voltage of the batteries, P_D is the maximum discharge depth of the storage unit and R_t is the temperature correction factor [16]. The state of batteries charge can be calculated according to (9):

$$SOC(t + \Delta t) = SOC(t) + \eta_{bat} (P_B(t) / V_{bus}) \Delta t \quad (9)$$

where η_{bat} is equal to the round-trip efficiency in the charging process and is equal to 100% in the discharging process [17], V_{bus} is the DC bus voltage and Δt is the hourly time step, set equal to 1 h.

I. Diesel Generator

Currently, the price of diesel in Algeria is 0.21\$/l, having seen a 65% increase in a period of 4 years. The diesel generator operates according to constraint (10) [18]:

$$P_1^{min} \leq P_1 \leq P_1^{max} \quad (10)$$

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The different configurations of the optimized systems are compared with different criteria, such as total NPC (TNPC), COE, and energy production. Obtained results for each configuration are presented in Table I. The Table is organized to encompass all the criteria necessary for the comparison in choosing the best configuration that meets our specifications.

TABLE I. COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN DIFFERENT CONFIGURATIONS

Configuration	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4
GD	14	10	10	10
Converter	0	15	15	15
Battery	0	36	32	32
PV	0	13.8	0	6.9
Wind	0	0	3	2
Production kWh/year				
Electricity	74043	28389	29900	29686
Excess electricity	53140	2513	3960	4381
Capacity shortage	0.1	0	0	0
Unmet load	5.1	0.0000309	0.0000606	0.0000708
Cost (\$)				
Total net present cost \$	229361	78593	76762	73880
Leveled cost of energy \$/kwh	0.858	0.294	0.287	0.276
O&M cost \$/yr	89576	18105	25021	19951
Generator & Fuel				
Hours of operation	8759	361	1019	290
Fuel consumption l/yr	44330	1523	4365	1212
Emissions Kg/yr				
Carbon dioxide (CO ₂)	116735	4012	11495	3190
Carbon monoxide	288	9.9	28.4	7.88
Unburned hydrocarbon	31.9	1.1	3.14	0.872
Particulate matter Sulphur	21.7	0.747	2.14	0.594
Sulphur dioxide	234	8.06	23.1	6.41
Dioxide Nitrogen oxide	2571	88.4	253	70.3

J. Optimization Results

The wind/PV/DG hybrid system (Case 4) comprises a design of 6.9kW provided by the GPV, 6kW of wind turbine represented by two 500W generator types, a storage system that contains 8 strings mounted in parallel, each string containing 4 batteries connected in series, a 15kW power converter, and a 10kW DG. Case 4 is the most economical system with a low TNPC of \$73880, which accounts for 67.8% reduction of the DG system’s TNPC (Case 1), 6% reduction of the PV/DG system (Case 2) and 3.75% reduction of the wind/DG system (Case 3). Optimum COE produced by our system is \$0.276. Our system is the cheapest configuration, and has an energy production of 29686kWh/year, in which 43% is delivered by the GPV and 50% is provided by the supplied

wind generator. The remaining energy production will be guaranteed by the DG, which generates 2159kWh/year instead of 74043kWh/year, in case the system is used alone, whereas for AC primary load, the generation is near 21000kW/year. HOMER calculates the unmet load and its fraction by determining the ratio between the unmet loads and the annual electrical demand. For all our cases, the system can serve an electrical load that meets the demand. Therefore, the unmet load is negligible and tends to be zero. Figure 6 shows the monthly distribution of electricity produced in kW by all cases and confirms that energy production is dependent on meteorological data. Notably, DG does not produce a considerable amount of energy during the months that strong winds and solar potential allow the production of a large amount of energy from renewable sources. The production is the opposite for the other months. For example, December is the least sunny month with low wind speed, thereby providing low power output from the GPV and wind generator.




Fig. 6. Monthly average electric production of 4 cases. Black: DG, yellow: PV, green: wind.

At this level, the efficiency of our system is illustrated in Figure 7. If energy is lacking and the batteries are discharged, then GD intervenes, otherwise, it turns off, once the batteries are charged.




Fig. 7. Efficiency of the best hybrid system.

The selected hybrid system has a capacity shortage equal to zero and an excess electricity of 4381kWh/year that will be stored in the batteries. Figure 8 shows the cash flow summary on the basis of the costs for the best configuration. The ICC of our hybrid system is \$43664. It is the highest and accounts for more than 59.1% of the TNPC due to the prices of each subsystem. The remainder is distributed as follows: 14.1% is the RC, 27% is the OMC and the remaining 4.4% is the price of diesel consumption with electricity saving of almost 4.6%.




Fig. 8. Cash flow summary based on cost.

Table II shows the percentage of each cost on the basis of the NPC of all configurations. The use of fossil resources is initially inexpensive, although it requires money during operation. The integration of one or more sources of renewable energy production requires an expensive ICC but with a considerable reduction in operating budget and FC.

TABLE II. DISTRIBUTION OF COSTS RELATIVE TO TNPC

Costs	ICC (\$)	RC (\$)	OM C (\$)	Fuel (\$)	Salvage (\$)	TNPC (\$)
Case1	4500	16497	89576	119004	-215	229361
	2%	7.2%	39%	51.9%	0.1%	
Case2	49414	11412	18105	4090	-4428	78593
	62.8%	14.5%	23%	5.2%	5.5%	
Case3	32464	9860	25021	11718	-2302	76762
	42.3%	12.8%	32.6%	15.2%	2.9%	
Case4	43664	10439	19951	3252	-3427	73880
	59.1%	14.1%	27%	4.4%	4.6%	

The TNPC decreases from one scenario to another whilst adding renewable energy resources. In our hybrid system, we invested nine times the ICC of a conventional installation with a major optimisation of more than 97% of diesel consumption by reducing it to 1212L/year whilst limiting the hours of operation of the DG to only 290. This investment is successful because the addition of \$39164 for the ICC of the hybrid system benefits us from the savings of more than \$115752 regarding the diesel consumption throughout the project lifetime.

K. Emissions

The use of renewable energy sources not only has an economical but also an environmental impact. It is beneficial for the protection of the environment, the climate, the planet, and our health. One of the most important factors for climate change due to greenhouse gas emissions is carbon dioxide (CO₂). CO₂ is reduced to 3190kg/year instead of 116735kg/year when using the DG only, which accounts for 97.3% reduction with a fraction of renewable energy of 93%. We can avoid injecting 2838625kg of CO₂ in a period of 25 years, which is the lifetime of our hybrid system, thereby decreasing all other air pollutants with the same percentage of 97.3%.

L. Sensitivity Analysis

This analysis provides information regarding if a particular system will be optimal to certain criteria whilst relying on economic study and eliminating impossible systems. In our case, wind speed (4–7m/s), solar irradiation (4–7kWh/m²/d), height of windmill gauge (15m and 20m) and diesel price (\$0.21–\$0.40) are our sensitivity variables. We aim to identify the effects of these changes on CO₂ emissions. Figure 9 shows the optimal system by fixing the solar irradiation at 5.83kWh/m²/day and the wind speed at 5.1m/s.




Fig. 9. Optimal system for fixed solar radiation and wind speed.

Notably, the proposed system is economically feasible for any height of the gauge, as long as the diesel price is less than \$0.3. At \$3.5, the wind/PV/battery system is most feasible, economically and environmentally with zero pollution. By fixing the diesel price at \$0.21 and the height of windmill gauge at 15m, we obtain the results shown in Figure 10. Notably, the PV/DG/battery system is the least expensive under conditions where wind speed is less than 4.4m/s and solar irradiation is between 5.1kWh/m²/day and 6kWh/m²/day. The PV/battery system is the optimal solution for low wind speed and solar irradiation of more than 6kWh/m²/day with zero

emissions. The wind/PV/DG hybrid system is economically feasible for proportional increases in meteorological data. However, the wind/DG/battery system will be feasible only by increasing the wind speed to more than 5m/s and decreasing the solar irradiation by less than 6kWh/m²/day.




Fig. 10. Optimal system for fixed diesel price and hub height.

Figure 11 shows the surface plot for CO₂ emissions. CO₂ emission rises when DG intervenes. The emission decreases with the increase in meteorological data. The increase in wind speed decreases CO₂ emissions. However, emission does not occur when the solar irradiation reaches 6.4kWh/m²/day even with extremely low wind speed. Hence, in our system, the solar energy contributes more effectively than the wind energy from the environmental perspective.




Fig. 11. Surface plot for CO2 emissions.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we investigated several configurations of energy resources. The use of a single source is not economically or environmentally feasible. Hence, the use of two renewable energy sources, wind and PV coupled with a DG, is the optimum configuration. A new energy management algorithm was demonstrated, and shows how HOMER minimizes the DG operation, improves the energy efficiency of our system and increases the renewable fraction. We concluded with a feasibility study that has four defined sensitivity variables. We obtained the following satisfactory results:

- The increase in the price of diesel increases TNPC and COE, and the increase of the height of the wind generator increases the penetration of wind energy.

- The wind/PV/diesel hybrid system is economically feasible for proportional increases in meteorological data.
- The wind/DG/battery system requires high wind speed, whereas the PV/diesel system is the optimum solution for low wind speed.
- Increasing wind speed reduces CO₂ emissions. However, emissions do not occur when the solar irradiation reaches a certain level even with an extremely low wind speed. Thus, in our system, the solar energy contributes more efficiently than wind energy from an environmental perspective.
- Using renewable energy sources with DG, battery storage supply, and sharing the load by adapting the current system seems to be the most applicable solution for today's conditions.

APPENDIX

Technical & Economical Parameters of Hybrid System

- **PV system**
Rated power: 230W
Capital cost: 580\$
Replacement cost: 230\$
OMC: 100\$
 - **Wind system**
Rated power: 3 kW DC
Life cycle: 15 years
Starting wind speed: 3.5m/s
Capital cost: 6200\$
Replacement cost: 2100\$
OMC: 280\$/yr
 - **Bidirectional converter**
Capital cost: 4250\$
Replacement cost: 4250\$
OMC: 215\$/yr
Efficiency: 90%
Rectifier efficiency: 85%
Rectifier capacity: 100%
 - **Battery**
Nominal voltage (V): 6V
Maximum capacity: 9645 Kwh
Capital cost: 200\$
Lifetime: 1168Ah
Maximum charge current: 41 A
 - **Diesel generator**
Diesel price: 0.21\$
- system for a household in Urumqi, China”, Energy, Vol. 55, pp. 263-272, 2013
- [6] A. Belmadani, L. Benaïssa, M. Rahli, “Amelioration d’un dispatching économique dynamique par l’introduction d’une ferme éolienne. Application au réseau électrique ouest algérien”, Revue Roumaine des Sciences Techniques-Serie Electrotechnique et Energetique, Vol. 57, No. 3, pp. 269-280, 2012 (in French)
 - [7] R. Yan, L. Yanpin, “Simulation and optimization of hybrid wind-solar-pumped-storage power system”, IEEE International Conference on Electric Information and Control Engineering, Wuhan, China, April 15-17, 2011
 - [8] Y. Ren, Y. Zheng, Y. P. Li, J. J. Huang, D. Zhang, “Modelling and optimization of hybrid wind/PV pumped-storage power system”, Applied Mechanics and Materials, Vol. 48-49, pp. 693-696, 2011
 - [9] J. B. V. Subrahmanyam, P. Alluvada, Bandana, K. Bhanupriya, C. Shashidhar, “Renewable energy systems: Development and perspectives of a hybrid solar-wind system”, Engineering, Technology & Applied Science Research, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2012, pp. 177-181, 2012
 - [10] M. Moghavvemi, M. S. Ismail, B. Murali, S. S. Yang, A. Attaran, S. Moghavvemi, “Development and optimization of a PV/diesel hybrid supply system for remote controlled commercial large scale FM transmitters”, Energy Conversion and Management Vol. 75, pp. 542-551, 2013
 - [11] M. Shaahid, I. El Amin, “Techno-economic evaluation of off-grid hybrid photovoltaic–diesel–battery power systems for rural electrification in Saudi Arabia—A way forward for sustainable development”, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 625-633, 2009
 - [12] G. J. Dalton, D. A. Lockington, T. E. Baldock, “Feasibility analysis of renewable energy supply options for a grid-connected large hotel”, Renewable Energy, Vol. 34, No. 4, pp. 955-964, 2009
 - [13] A. N. Celik, “Optimisation and techno-economic analysis of autonomous photovoltaic–wind hybrid energy systems in comparison to single photovoltaic and wind systems”, Energy Conversion and Management, Vol. 43, No. 18, pp. 2453-2468, 2002
 - [14] T. C. Seng, Energy Management and Economics, The institute of engineers & Singapore and National Environment Agency, 2016
 - [15] R. Hammouche, Atlas Vent de l’Algerie, Office National de la Meteorologie, 1990 (in French)
 - [16] S. Diaf, M. Belhamel, M. Haddadi, A. Louche, “Technical and economic assessment of hybrid photovoltaic/wind system with battery storage in Corsica island”, Energy Policy, Vol. 36, No. 2, pp.743-754, 2008
 - [17] R. Belfkira, L. Zhang, G. Barakat, “Optimal sizing study of hybrid wind/PV/diesel power generation unit”, Solar Energy, Vol. 85, No. 1, pp. 100-110, 2011
 - [18] J. B. Fulzele, S. Dutt, “Optimum planning of hybrid renewable energy system using HOMER”, International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 68-74, 2012

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Byrne, B. Shen, B. Wallace, “The economics of sustainable energy for rural development: A study of renewable energy in China”, Energy Policy, Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 45-54, 1998
- [2] J. Dekker, M. Nthontho, S. Chowdhury, S. P. Chowdhury, “Economic analysis of PV/diesel hybrid power systems in different climatic zones of South Africa”, International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems, Vol. 40, No. 1, pp. 104-112, 2012
- [3] R. Sebastian, “Modelling and simulation of a high penetration wind diesel system with battery energy storage”, International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 767-774, 2011
- [4] O. Ekren, B. Y. Ekren, B. Ozerdem, “Break-even analysis and size optimization of a PV/wind hybrid energy conversion system with battery storage—a case study”, Applied Energy, Vol. 86, No. 7, pp. 1043-1054, 2009
- [5] C. Li, X. Ge, Y. Zheng, C. Xu, Y. Ren, C. Song, C. Yang, “Techno-economic feasibility study of autonomous hybrid wind/PV/battery power