Production Process for Diesel Fuel Components Polyoxymethylene Dimethyl Ethers from Methanol and Formaldehyde Solution

Xiangjun Li, Huaiyuan Tian, Wujie Zhang, Dianhua Liu

Abstract—Polyoxymethylene dimethyl ethers (PODE_n) as clean diesel additive can improve the combustion efficiency and quality of diesel fuel and alleviate the problem of atmospheric pollution. Considering synthetic routes, PODE production from methanol and formaldehyde is regarded as the most economical and promising synthetic route. However, methanol used for synthesizing PODE can produce water, which causes the loss of active center of catalyst and hydrolysis of PODE_n in the production process. Macroporous strong acidic cation exchange resin catalyst was prepared, which has comparative advantages over other common solid acid catalysts in terms of stability and catalytic efficiency for synthesizing PODE. Catalytic reactions were carried out under 353 K, 1 MPa and $3mL \cdot g_{cat}^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$ in a fixed bed reactor. Methanol conversion and PODE₃₋₆ selectivity reached 49.91% and 23.43%, respectively. Catalyst lifetime evaluation showed that resin catalyst retained its catalytic activity for 20 days without significant changes and catalytic activity of completely deactivated resin catalyst can basically return to previous level by simple acid regeneration. The acid exchange capacities of original and deactivated catalyst were 2.5191 and 0.0979 mmol g^{-1} , respectively, while regenerated catalyst reached 2.0430 mmol g^{-1} , indicating that the main reason for resin catalyst deactivation is that Brønsted acid sites of original resin catalyst were temporarily replaced by non-hydrogen ion cations. A separation process consisting of extraction and distillation for PODE₃₋₆ product was designed for separation of water and unreacted formaldehyde from reactive mixture and purification of PODE₃₋₆, respectively. The concentration of PODE₃₋₆ in final product can reach up to 97%. These results indicate that the scale-up production of PODE₃₋₆ from methanol and formaldehyde solution is feasible.

Keywords—Inactivation, polyoxymethylene dimethyl ethers, separation process, sulfonic cation exchange resin.

I. INTRODUCTION

MUCH work so far has focused on PODE as ideal clean diesel fuel additive, for PODE can be added into diesel fuel in slightly modified diesel engines [1]. Numerous studies indicated that adding PODE into diesel fuel can improve the combustion efficiency of diesel fuel and reduce nitrogen oxides and exhaust soot particles emissions significantly [2]-[4].

PODE are general terms for a class of substances, and their chemical structures are CH_3 -O-(CH_2O)- CH_3 with n \geq 1. PODE are oligomers consisting of varying CH_2O units as center section group and methyl as end group. Methylal is known as the simplest PODE for only containing one CH_2O unit, and for

their similar general formula PODE has certain regularity in physicochemical properties as shown in Table I [5].

TABLE I Physicochemical Properties of PODE _N					
PODE	Oxygen Content (%)	Cetane Number	Boling Point (°C)	Melting Point (°C)	Density (kg/m ³)
PODE ₁	42.1	29	42	-105.0	860
PODE ₂	45.2	63	105	-69.7	980
PODE ₃	47.0	78	156	-42.5	1030
PODE ₄	48.1	90	202	-9.8	1070
PODE ₅	48.9	100	242	18.3	1110
PODE ₆	49.5	104	280		1140

According to varieties of reactants that provide the methyl group and CH₂O units, synthetic process of PODE can be roughly classified into three categories: formaldehyde and methanol (or dimethyl ether, methylal), trioxane and methanol (or dimethyl ether, methylal), paraformaldehyde and methanol (or dimethyl ether, methylal) [6]-[9]. Initially, synthesizing PODE mostly used liquid acids as catalysts due to their high catalytic activity and low cost, such as sulfuric acid, formic acid and trifluoromethanesulfonic acid [10]-[12]. But, there will be difficulties in equipment corrosion and product separation. Compared to liquid acid, solid acid catalysts including molecular sieve and metallic oxide are better at weak corrosive, separation, and catalyst recycle [6], [8]. The application of acidic ionic liquids in the synthesis process has certain advantages on high catalytic activity, but high catalyst cost, difficult separation and catalyst loss limit acidic ionic liquids to be applied on large scale production [9]. Cation exchange resin is particularly attractive as catalyst in PODE synthesizing process due to its high quality in acidic activity, exchange capacity and catalytic activity, while there will be much work on improving its mechanical properties and thermal stability [7], [13]-[15].

The production process of $PODE_n$ from methanol and formaldehyde solution is known as much more economical than the other processes, because in these processes the raw materials such as methylal and trioxane are intermediates and generally require additional process steps [16]. In general, the process of $PODE_n$ production consisted of a synthesis process in a fixed bed reactor with acidic catalyst and a separation process of distillation. The presence of water in the reaction system will affect the activity of catalyst, and the presence of water and unreacted formaldehyde in the product can adversely affect separation of target product. It should be pointed out that

Xiangjun Li, Huaiyuan Tian, Wujie Zhang, and Dianhua Liu are with State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China (e-mail: xiangjunli1991@163.com, huaiyuan1205@163.com, 941076918@qq.com, dhliu@ecust.edu.cn).

the water content in the product will increase during the reaction when the reactants are methanol and formaldehyde solution.

In this work, we prepared a macroporous strong acidic cation exchange resin catalyst for synthesizing $PODE_n$ from methanol and formaldehyde solution and investigated the effects of reaction conditions. The reason of deactivation for catalyst lifetime was discussed in briefly. The separation process of $PODE_{3-6}$ production was also designed.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

A. Catalyst Preparation

Methyl amyl alcohol, styrene, divinylbenzene, butanol, paraffin, fuming sulphuric acid were obtained from commercial sources and used as-received without further purification.

Macroporous strong acidic cation exchange resin catalyst was prepared by following steps. According to proportion, the solution containing deionized water, methyl amyl alcohol, potassium chloride, sodium nitrite was poured into the stainless steel stirred tank. Under stirring, the solution was heated to 353 K. and then organic mixture containing styrene, divinylbenzene, butanol, and paraffin was added into former solution and heated to 368 K for 5 h. The white beads were obtained after washing and drying. The white copolymer beads were added into a corrosion-resistant glass mixer and sulfonated with fuming sulphuric acid for 5 h under stirring at 403 K. Then, the sulfonated macroporous strong acidic cation exchange resin (SMR) catalyst was filtered, washed several times with deionized water until neutralization, and dried under air environment at 373 K for 10 h.

B. Catalyst Characterization

The physical properties (BET surface area, pore volume, and pore diameter distribution) have been determined by N_2 adsorption–desorption (Micromerities ASAP 2020 V3.05H). The morphology of the samples was examined using a JSM-6360LV scanning electron microscope (SEM).

C. Catalytic Reaction and Separation Process

The reaction of $PODE_n$ synthesis from methanol and formaldehyde solution was carried out in a fixed-bed reactor with SMR catalyst, and the reaction unit was shown in Fig. 1. Raw material was composed of 10 wt.% water and 90 wt.% formaldehyde and methanol, and the ratio of formaldehyde to methanol was 2.0. The prepared raw material was transported at 0.1 mL·min⁻¹ flow rate to the reactor after preheating. The catalyst loading and reaction temperature were within 0.5 to 2.5 g and 338 to 363 K, respectively. The reaction pressure was conducted at the range of 0.1 to 2.0 MPa. The product was collected after condensation and followed by analyzed by gas chromatography and titrimetric method.

The conversion of reactant was determined by:

$$C_i = \frac{m_{i,in} - m_{i,out}}{m_{i,in}} \times 100\%$$

where C_i was the conversion of component *i*, $m_{i,in}$ and $m_{i,out}$

were the mass fraction of component i in the reactant and product, respectively.

The selectivity of PODE₃₋₆ was calculated by:

$$S_{PODE_{3-6}} = \frac{N_{PODE_{3-6}}}{N_{PODE_{1-6}}} \times 100\%$$

where $S_{PODE^{3.6}}$ was the selectivity of PODE_{3.6}, $N_{PODE^{3.6}}$ and $N_{PODE^{1.6}}$ were the total mole fraction of compounds of PODE_{3.6} and PODE_{1.6} in product.



Fig. 1 Reaction unit of PODE synthesis from methanol and formaldehyde solution

Two-step separation process of $PODE_n$ was designed to produce high purity $PODE_{3-6}$. Extraction separation was applied to separate the water and formaldehyde from $PODE_n$, and then the other compounds in the product were separated by rectification. The separation process was shown in Fig. 2. The product from reactor was separated into aqueous phase and organic phase by extraction. The aqueous phase mainly contained unreacted formaldehyde and methanol and generated water. $PODE_{3-6}$ was obtained by three-step distillation from organic phase. In the separation process, methylal, methanol and $PODE_2$ can be used as recirculating reactant and the extractant ca be recycled.

D.Analytical Methods

Organic samples were analyzed using a gas chromatography (GC-2014) equipped with an InertCap WAX capillary column ($30 \text{ m} \times 0.32 \text{ mm} \times 0.50 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$) and an FID detector. High purity nitrogen used as carrier gas with constant flow rate at 40 ml min⁻¹. The injector and detector temperatures were both maintained at 523.15 K, and the column was heated according to the following program: initial temperature of 318.15 K for 3 min, temperature ramp 20 K min⁻¹, and final temperature of 493.15 K for 3 min. The water content of samples was determined by volumetric one-component Karl Fischer titration method. The Karl Fischer standard curve of water should be made every day before sample analysis in order to reduce analysis error. The standard uncertainty for the water content of

an analyzed sample should be kept within 0.0005. method [17]. Formaldehyde is analyzed using titration by the sodium sulfite



Fig. 2 Two-step separation process of PODE₃₋₆ production

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Catalyst Characterization Result

Nitrogen adsorption isotherms for the synthesized resin catalyst were measured, and the physical properties of the resin catalyst was listed in Table I. As can be seen in Table I, the average pore diameter of catalyst was 33.67 nm, which indicates that the prepared resin catalyst had macroporous structure. SEM was carried out to have a direct insight into the structure of the resin catalyst. Fig. 3 shows that the surface of resin catalyst had a certain flake structure, which was irregular and porous.

 TABLE II

 PHYSICAL PROPERTY OF RESIN CATALYST

 Catalyst
 BET surface area (m2/g)
 Average pore diameter (nm)
 Pore volume (cm3/g)

 SMD
 76.73
 33.67
 0.22

Fig. 3 SEM image of resin catalyst

B. Independent Effects of Operating Variables

The PODE_n synthesis reaction was carried out in a fixed-bed reactor at a raw material flow rate of 0.1 mL·min⁻¹. The raw material was composed of 10 wt.% water and 90 wt.% formaldehyde and methanol, and the mole ratio of formaldehyde to methanol was 2.0. The variables affecting reaction such as temperature (338-363 K), catalyst loading (0.5-2.5 g) and pressure (0.1-2.0 MPa) were studied to get higher results under optimal reaction conditions.

The conversion of methanol and selectivity of PODE₃₋₆ are

strongly influenced by the reaction temperature. The impact of reaction temperature (range of 338-363 K) on the PODE_n synthesis reaction was investigated under 1 MPa with 2.0 g catalyst dosage. The results were shown in Fig. 4. The optimal temperature was found to be 353 K. The methanol conversion and PODE₃₋₆ selectivity were increased accompanied by the temperature increased to 353 K. Decrease in methanol conversion and PODE₃₋₆ selectivity was observed when the reaction temperature exceeds 353 K. Since propagating reaction of PODE_n is an exothermic reaction, it is not conducive to generate PODE₃₋₆ from methanol and formaldehyde with temperature increases. But, from the point of view of reaction kinetics reaction rate, the reaction rate increases are accompanied by temperature increases. The optimal yield of PODE₃₋₆ was obtained at 353 K.



Fig. 4 Effect of reaction temperature on PODE_n synthesis from methanol and formaldehyde

The impact of catalyst dosage (range of 0.5-2.5 g) on the reaction under 1.0 MPa at 348 K was shown in Fig. 5. To achieve an optimal methanol conversion and $PODE_{3-6}$ selectivity, 2.0 g catalyst loading was used. An increase of catalyst loading resulted in both increases in the methanol conversion and $PODE_{3-6}$ selectivity. The possible reason is that the reaction residence time of raw material is too small to reach

the reaction equilibrium. Therefore, the reaction reached equilibrium with 2.0 g catalyst dosage, and the reaction results remained constant when the catalyst loading exceeded 2.0 g.

The influence of pressure (range of 0.1-2.0 MPa) on the reaction was conducted at temperature of 348 K with catalyst loading of 2.0 g. The results were shown in Fig. 6. Methanol conversion and $PODE_{3-6}$ selectivity both increased slightly with the increase of reaction pressure, and then became constant when the pressure was higher than 1.0 MPa. This may be due to the fact that almost all the substances in the reaction system are liquid at 353 K, and the change of pressure has little effect on the liquid reaction.



Fig. 5 Effect of catalyst loading on PODE_n synthesis from methanol and formaldehyde



Fig. 6 Effect of reaction pressure on \mbox{PODE}_n synthesis from methanol and formaldehyde

C. Catalyst Stability

The lifetime evaluation experiment with SMD catalyst was carried out over 480 hours under optimal conditions. The tendency of methanol conversion and $PODE_{3-6}$ selectivity with reaction time was shown in Fig. 7. It was shown that methanol conversion and $PODE_{3-6}$ selectivity both remained constant in 500 hours, which indicated that no obvious deactivation of the

SMD catalyst occurred. Surprisingly, the activity of catalyst decreased significantly after over 550 hours. The acid exchange capacities of original and deactivated catalyst were 2.5191 and 0.0979 mmol·g⁻¹, respectively. We qualitatively measured the content of iron in the deactivation catalyst and found that the content of iron was greatly increased after a long period of work. In order to determine the cause of catalyst deactivation, regeneration SMD catalyst was obtained by ion exchange between deactivation catalyst and 10 wt.% sulfuric acid solution. The acid exchange capacity of regenerated catalyst reached 2.0430 mmol·g⁻¹, which indicated that the main reason for resin catalyst deactivation is that Brønsted acid sites of original resin catalyst were temporarily replaced by non-hydrogen ion cations came from raw materials [18]. Fig. 8 shows that the activity of regenerated SMD catalyst remained the same level as the original catalyst in 500 hours, which indicated that the SMD catalyst deactivation was reversible.



Fig. 7 Effect of reaction time on activity of SMD catalyst



Fig. 8 Effect of reaction time on activity of regenerated SMD catalyst

D.Separation Process of PODE₃₋₆ Production

The difficulty of $PODE_{3-6}$ separation process lies in how to separate water and formaldehyde from other substances in the product and to prevent $PODE_n$ from depolymerization at high temperature. In this work, the extraction process was carried out at 298 K under atmospheric pressure and toluene was used as extractant with a product-to-extractant mass ratio of 1:1.5. The extraction result was shown in Table III. As can be seen in Table VI, the majority of formaldehyde and water were present in the aqueous phase, which are advantageous for subsequent rectification and prevent the depolymerization of PODE and polymerization for formaldehyde during rectification.

The light components of methanol and methylal in the organic phase were separated by atmospheric distillation at 383 K of bottom temperature. The results were listed in Table IV. The mass content of methanol and methylal in the overhead fraction were 38.73% and 60.31%, respectively. And only a small amount of methanol and methylal in the tower bottoms, while most of PODE₂₋₆ and toluene were existed in the bottom of tower.

TABLE III				
COMPONENTS OF STREAMS IN EXTRACTION PROCESS				
Component	Feed (wt.%)	Organic phase (wt.%)	Aqueous phase (wt.%)	
Methanol	15.47	2.37	14.15	
Methylal	8.23	3.48	2.90	
PODE ₂	5.78	2.49	1.94	
PODE ₃	3.88	1.54	1.58	
PODE ₄	1.86	0.81	0.61	
PODE ₅	1.04	0.45	0.35	
PODE ₆	0.55	0.23	0.20	
Toluene	0	83.36	11.26	
Formaldehyde	47.17	3.95	49.99	
Water	16.02	1.32	17.02	

TABLE IV

COMPONENTS OF STREAMS IN ATMOSPHERIC DISTILLATION			
Component	Feed (wt.%)	Overhead fraction (wt.%)	Tower bottoms (wt.%)
Methanol	2.37	38.73	0.78
Methylal	3.48	60.31	0.05
PODE ₂	2.49	0.18	2.43
PODE ₃	1.54	0.12	1.59
$PODE_4$	0.81	0.08	0.76
PODE ₅	0.45	0.05	0.42
$PODE_6$	0.23	0.03	0.24
Toluene	83.36	0.16	87.78
Formaldehyde	3.95	0.13	4.76
Water	1.32	0.21	1.19

PODE₂ and toluene in the stream should be removed to obtain high purity target product PODE₃₋₆. Vacuum distillation was carried out at a bottom temperature of 373 K under a vacuum degree of 0.04 MPa. The experimental results of vacuum distillation were shown in Table V. It can be seen that the mass content of PODE₃₋₆ in the tower bottoms reached over 97%, while overhead fraction hardly contained PODE₃₋₆. Since the boiling point of toluene and PODE₂ is close, and the mass content of PODE₂ in the overhead fraction differed greatly from that of toluene, PODE₂ is not suitable to be separated from toluene by distillation. Therefore, the overhead fraction can be recycled as extractant until the mass content of PODE₂ reaches a certain value, and then separated by distillation.

	-	TABLE V	
OMPONE	NTS OF STRE	AMS IN VACUUM	DISTILLATION
	Feed	Overhead	Tower bottoms

Component	Feed (wt.%)	Overhead fraction (wt.%)	Tower bottoms (wt.%)
Methanol	0.78	0.82	0.00
Methylal	0.05	0.16	0.00
PODE ₂	2.43	3.51	0.24
PODE ₃	1.59	0.08	51.80
PODE ₄	0.76	0.06	25.10
PODE ₅	0.42	0.03	14.16
PODE ₆	0.24	0.01	6.17
Toluene	87.78	89.19	2.19
Formaldehyde	4.76	4.92	0.23
Water	1.19	1.22	0.11

IV. CONCLUSION

A macroporous strong acidic cation exchange resin catalyst was prepared and employed in synthesizing PODE_n from methanol and formaldehyde solution. The effect of reaction temperature, catalyst loading and reaction pressure on PODE_n synthesis from methanol and formaldehyde were investigated, and optimal methanol conversion and PODE₃₋₆ selectivity were obtained at the reaction temperature of 353 K, catalyst loading of 2.0 g, and reaction pressure of 1.0 MPa. Methanol conversion and PODE₃₋₆ selectivity reached 49.91% and 23.43%, respectively. Catalyst lifetime evaluation shows that the resin catalyst retained its catalytic activity over 500 hours without significant changes, and catalytic activity of completely deactivated resin catalyst can reach original level by simple acid regeneration. The main reason for resin catalyst deactivation was that the Brønsted acid sites of original resin catalyst were temporarily replaced by non-hydrogen ion cations came from raw materials. A separation process consists of extraction and distillation was designed for the separation of water and unreacted formaldehyde from reactive mixture and the purification of PODE₃₋₆, respectively. The mass content of PODE₃₋₆ in the final product reached over 97%. The findings in this study have demonstrated that it is feasible to produce high purity PODE₃₋₆ from methanol and formaldehyde solution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by National Key R&D Plan [2018YFB0604804].

REFERENCES

- Haoye Liu, Zhi Wang, Jiaxin Wang, Xin He, Yanyan Zheng, Qiang Tang and Jinfu Wang, "Performance, combustion and emission characteristics of a diesel engine fueled with polyoxymethylene dimethyl ethers (PODE₃₋₄)/ diesel blends," in *Energy*, vol. 88, 2015, pp. 793–800.
- [2] Zhihao Ma, Zuohua Huang, Chongxiao Li, Xinbin Wang and Haiyan Miao, "Combustion and emission characteristics of a diesel engine fuelled with diesel-propane blends," in *Fuel*, vol. 87, issue. 8-9, 2008, pp. 1711-1717.
- [3] Ludger Lautenschütz, Dorian Oestreich, Philipp Seidenspinner, Ulrich Arnold, Eckhard Dinjus and Jörg Sauer, "Physico-chemical properties and fuel characteristics of oxymethylene dialkyl ethers," in *Fuel*, vol. 173, 2016, pp. 129-137.
- [4] Haoye Liu, Zhi Wang, Jun Zhang, Jianxin Wang and Shijin Shuai, "Study on combustion and emission characteristics of Polyoxymethylene Dimethyl Ethers/diesel blends in light-duty and heavy-duty diesel engines," in *Applied Energy*, vol. 185, 2017, pp. 1393-1402.

- [5] M Härtl, G Kai, D Pélerin and G Wachtmeister, "Oxymethylene Ether as Potentially CO₂-neutral Fuel for Clean Diesel Engines Part 1: Engine Testing," in *MTZ worldwide*, vol. 78, issue. 2, 2017, pp. 52-59.
- [6] Qi Zhao, Hui Wang, Zhangfeng Qin, Zhiwei Wu, Jianbing Wu Weibin Fan and Jianguo Wang, "Synthesis of polyoxymethylene dimethyl ethers from methanol and trioxymethylene with molecular sieves as catalysts," in *Journal of Fuel Chemistry and Technology*, vol. 39, issue. 12, 2011, pp. 918-923.
- [7] Liang Wang, Wenting Wu, Ting Chen, Qun Chen and Mingyang He, "Ion-exchange resin-catalyzed synthesis of polyoxymethylene dimethyl ethers: a practical and environmentally friendly way to diesel additive," in *Chemical Engineering Communications*, vol. 201, issue. 5, 2014, pp. 709-717.
- [8] Huaju Li, Huanling Song, Liwei Chen and Chungu Xia, "Designed SO₄²⁻/Fe₂O₃-SiO₂ solid acids for polyoxymethylene dimethyl ethers synthesis: The acid sites control and reaction pathways," in *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, vol. 165, 2015, pp. 466-476.
- [9] Qin Wu, Min Wang, Yu Hao, Hansheng Li, Yunzhao, and Qingze Jiao, "Synthesis of Polyoxymethylene Dimethyl Ethers Catalyzed by Brønsted Acid Ionic Liquids with Alkanesulfonic Acid Groups," in *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, vol. 53, issue. 42, 2014, pp. 16254-16260.
- [10] Gresham, William F, and R. E. Brooks. "Preparation of polyformals," US2449469, 1948.
- [11] Moulton, David S, and D. W. Naegeli, "Diesel fuel having improved qualities and method of forming," in WO, US5746785, 1998.
- [12] Patrini R and Marchionna M, "Liquid mixture consisting of diesel gas oils and oxygenated compounds," EP1070755, 2001.
- [13] Jianqiang Zhang, Bin Tang, Dingye Fang and Dianhua Liu, "Polyoxymethylene Dimethyl Ethers from Methylal and Trioxane over Modified Cation-Exchange Resin," in Asian Journal of Chemistry, vol. 26, issue. 10, 2014, pp. 6469-6473.
- [14] Jakob Burger, Markus Siegert, Eckhard Ströfer and Hans Hasse, "Poly(oxymethylene) dimethyl ethers as components of tailored diesel fuel: Properties, synthesis and purification concepts," in *Fuel*, vol. 89, issue. 11, 2010, pp. 3315-3319.
- [15] Dorian Oestreich, Ludger Lautenschütz, Ulrich Arnold and Jörg Sauer, "Reaction kinetics and equilibrium parameters for the production of oxymethylene dimethyl ethers (OME) from methanol and formaldehyde," in *Chemical Engineering Science*, vol. 163, 2017, pp. 92-104.
- [16] Niklas Schmitz, Eckhard Ströfer, Jakob Burger and Hans Hasse, "Conceptual Design of a Novel Process for the Production of Poly(oxymethylene) Dimethyl Ethers from Formaldehyde and Methanol," in *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, vol. 56, issue. 40, 2017, pp. 11519-11530.
- [17] Fredericwalker, J, *Formaldehyde 3rd*, Reinhold Publishing Corporation, 1964.
- [18] Riccardo Tesser, Martino Di Serio, Luca Casale, Lucio Sannino, Marianna Ledda, and Elio Santacesaria, "Acid exchange resins deactivation in the esterification of free fatty acids," in *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 161, issue. 1-2, 2010, pp. 212-222.