



## Bioactive extracts of *Gentiana asclepiadea*: antioxidant, antimicrobial, and antibiofilm activity

Olgica STEFANOVIĆ<sup>1\*</sup>, Braho LIČINA<sup>2</sup>, Sava VASIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Ivana RADOJEVIĆ<sup>1</sup>  
and Ljiljana ČOMIĆ<sup>1</sup>

1 Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Radoja Domanovića 12, 34000 Kragujevac, Republic of Serbia

2 Department of Biomedical Sciences, State University of Novi Pazar, Vuka Karadžića bb, 36300 Novi Pazar, Republic of Serbia

**ABSTRACT:** Extracts of the aerial parts and roots of the wild-growing medicinal plant *Gentiana asclepiadea* were analysed for their antimicrobial, antibiofilm, and antioxidant activity with quantification of the total phenolic and total flavonoid content. Antimicrobial activity was tested against pathogenic and spoilage bacteria, yeasts, and moulds using the microdilution method. The strongest antibacterial activity was detected on *Bacillus* species, where minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of from 0.16 mg/mL to 5 mg/mL were obtained, while antifungal activity was low to moderate, with MICs between 1.25 and 20 mg/mL. In the crystal violet assay, the extracts inhibit 50% biofilm formation in the concentration range of from 2.12 to 37.04 mg/mL. *Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. aureus* ATCC 25923, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 biofilms were the most sensitive to the presence of extracts. The extracts rich in phenolic compounds showed good DPPH-scavenging activity, with EC<sub>50</sub> values between 181.3 and 614.3 µg/mL for extracts of aerial parts and from 426.67 to >1000 µg/mL for root extracts. Even though *G. asclepiadea* has long been traditionally used, its biological activity is still insufficiently explored, so the obtained results are significant for contributing new knowledge about the plant's medicinal properties.

**KEYWORDS:** *Gentiana asclepiadea*, extracts, antimicrobial agents, antioxidants, biofilm

Received: 30 August 2017

Revision accepted: 26 February 2018

UDC: 582.923.1: 615.451.1:615.28

DOI:

### INTRODUCTION

*Gentiana asclepiadea* L. (fam. Gentianaceae) is a known medicinal plant. The root of *G. asclepiadea* has been traditionally used as medicine for hepatitis A virus infections or as a gastric stimulant (SARIĆ 1989). On the basis of their chemical composition, Szűcs *et al.* (2002) have suggested that the roots of *G. asclepiadea* could be a potential replacement for *G. lutea*, a well-known medicinal plant and spice. Also, because of its bitter taste, *G. asclepiadea* is used as a flavouring agent in food and beverages. In recent years, several studies have indicated biological activities of *G. asclepiadea* (NIČIFOROVIĆ *et al.* 2010; MIHAILOVIĆ *et al.* 2011, 2013; HUĐECOVA *et al.*

2012a, b, c). The biological activities of plants depend on the content and composition of bioactive compounds. The main bioactive compounds detected in extracts of *G. asclepiadea* are: secoiridoid glycosides (swertiamarin, gentiopicroside, sweroside), xanthone-C-glycosides (mangiferin), alkaloids, flavones-C-glucosides (isovitexin, homoorientin), and phenolic acids (KITANOV & SPASOV 1992; HUĐECOVA *et al.* 2012b; MIHAILOVIĆ *et al.* 2013).

Considering the fact that the content of plant bioactive compounds depends on climate, environmental conditions, and geographical location, we assumed that plant material collected from characteristic arid limestone substrates should be rich in biologically active

\*correspondence: olgicas@gmail.com

compounds. In addition, because only methanolic and *n*-butanolic extracts have been studied in terms of antimicrobial and antioxidant activity, aqueous, ethanol, ethyl acetate, acetone, and diethyl ether extracts were chosen for evaluation in this paper. Accordingly, the aims of the present study were to evaluate and compare the antimicrobial, antibiofilm, and antioxidant activity of different extracts from roots and aerial parts of wild-growing *G. asclepiadea* and determine the total phenol and total flavonoid content in the extracts.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Plant materials.** In August of 2012, aerial parts and roots of *G. asclepiadea* were collected in the Mokra Gora Mountains of Southwest Serbia (position: 42° 51' N, 20° 27' E, altitude: 1550 m, exposure: SE, habitat: dry, sunny, rocky land). Identification of the plant material was done by Prof. Dr. Dragana Pavlović-Muratspahić. The voucher sample is deposited in the herbarium of the Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Serbia. Plant extracts were prepared by maceration with water, ethanol, ethyl acetate, acetone, and diethyl ether. After filtration, the solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure at 45°C.

**Determination of total phenol and flavonoid content.** Total phenol content (WOOTTON-BEARD *et al.* 2011) and total flavonoid content (QUETTIER-DELEU *et al.* 2000) were determined spectrophotometrically and the results expressed as gallic acid equivalents (mg of GAE/g of extract) and rutin equivalents (mg of RUE/g of extract), respectively.

**DPPH radical-scavenging capacity assay.** The ability of *G. asclepiadea* aerial part and root extracts to scavenge DPPH free radicals was assessed using the method described by TAKAO *et al.* (1994). The tested concentration range of plant extracts was from 15.62 µg/mL to 1000 µg/mL. Ascorbic acid was used as a positive control. Radical-scavenging activity is expressed as the EC<sub>50</sub> value, calculated from the nonlinear graph of scavenging activity (%) versus concentration of the samples. The EC<sub>50</sub> value is the effective concentration at which 50% of DPPH radicals were scavenged. A lower EC<sub>50</sub> value indicates a stronger DPPH-scavenging ability.

**Determination of antimicrobial activity.** Antimicrobial activity was tested against 16 strains of bacteria and nine strains of fungi (Table 1). All clinical isolates of the bacteria were a generous gift from the Institute of Public Health, Kragujevac, while the fungi and ATCC strains were provided from a collection held by the Microbiology Laboratory, Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Serbia. The bacterial and yeast suspensions were prepared by the direct colony method.

Turbidity of the initial suspension was adjusted by comparing with 0.5 McFarland's standard. Suspensions of fungal spores were prepared by gentle stripping of the spores from agar slants with growing fungi.

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined using the microdilution method with resazurin (SARKER *et al.* 2007). The tested concentrations ranged from 0.156 to 20 mg/mL. Twofold serial dilutions of the extracts were prepared with Mueller-Hinton broth (Torlak, Serbia) for the bacteria and Sabouraud dextrose broth (Torlak, Serbia) for the fungi at a volume of 0.1 mL per well in sterile 96-well flat-bottom microtitre plates. The microtitre plates were inoculated with suspensions to give a final concentration of  $5 \times 10^5$  CFU/mL for the bacteria and  $5 \times 10^3$  CFU/mL for the fungi. The inoculated microtitre plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h for the bacteria, at 28°C for 48 h for the yeasts, and at 28°C for 72 h for the filamentous fungi. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of the tested substance that prevented resazurin colour change from blue to pink. Resazurin is an oxidation-reduction indicator used for evaluation of microbial growth. For the filamentous fungi, MIC values of the tested substance were determined as the lowest concentration that inhibited visible growth of mycelia. Doxycycline (Galenika A.D., Belgrade) and fluconazole (Pfizer Inc., USA) were used as positive controls. Stock solutions of crude extracts were obtained by dissolving in 10% DMSO, which did not inhibit microorganism growth in a control test. Each test included a growth control and a sterility control. All tests were performed in duplicate and MICs were constant.

**Effect on Biofilm Formation.** The bacteria chosen for the antibiofilm assay were: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. aureus* ATCC 25923, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, and *Proteus mirabilis*. Testing of the ability of bacteria to adhere to an abiotic surface *in vitro* and quantification of biofilm formation were done according to STEPANOVIĆ *et al.* (2000). The effect of tested extracts on biofilm formation was determined using a crystal violet staining assay. Twofold serial dilutions of plant extracts with concentrations ranging from 0.31 to 40 mg/mL were made in sterile 96-well tissue culture microtitre plates (Sarstedt, Germany). Optical densities (ODs) of stained adherent bacteria were determined with an ELISA microplate reader at 630 nm. The percentage of inhibition was determined using the following formula:

Inhibition of biofilm formation (%) =  $100 \times [(OD_{\text{control}} - OD_{\text{sample}}) / OD_{\text{control}}]$  where OD<sub>control</sub> is optical density of the growth control and OD<sub>sample</sub> is optical density of the biofilm biomass in the presence of the extract. The biofilm inhibition concentration (BIC<sub>50</sub>) was defined as the lowest concentration that prevented 50% biofilm formation.

**Table 1.** Antibacterial and antifungal activity of *Gentiana asclepiadea* extracts.

| Species                         | Aqueous extract |       | Ethanol Extract |       | Acetone extract |       | Ethyl acetate extract |       | S<br>µg/mL |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|------------|
|                                 | Aerial parts    | Roots | Aerial parts    | Roots | Aerial Parts    | Roots | Aerial parts          | Roots |            |
| MIC (mg/mL)                     |                 |       |                 |       |                 |       |                       |       |            |
| Gram-positive bacteria          |                 |       |                 |       |                 |       |                       |       |            |
| <i>Bacillus subtilis</i>        | 5               | >20   | 0.63            | 20    | 0.16            | 20    | 0.31                  | 5     | 0.12       |
| <i>Bacillus cereus</i>          | 5               | >20   | 2.5             | 20    | 0.63            | 20    | 2.5                   | 5     | 0.98       |
| <i>B. subtilis</i> ATCC 6633    | 5               | 2.5   | 0.63            | 2.5   | 0.63            | <0.16 | 1.25                  | <0.16 | 1.95       |
| <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>    | 20              | 20    | 20              | >20   | > 20            | 10    | 20                    | 10    | 7.8        |
| <i>E. faecalis</i> ATCC 29212   | 5               | 10    | 10              | 20    | 10              | 2.5   | 5                     | 1.25  | 7.8        |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>    | 10              | >20   | > 20            | >20   | 10              | 20    | 10                    | 5     | 0.45       |
| <i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 25923     | 5               | >20   | 5               | >20   | 5               | 20    | 2.5                   | 2.5   | 0.22       |
| Gram-negative bacteria          |                 |       |                 |       |                 |       |                       |       |            |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i>         | 20              | 20    | 20              | >20   | > 20            | 10    | 20                    | 10    | 7.8        |
| <i>E. coli</i> ATCC 25922       | 20              | 20    | 20              | >20   | > 20            | 10    | 10                    | 10    | 15.6       |
| <i>Proteus mirabilis</i>        | 2.5             | >20   | 20              | 20    | > 20            | 10    | 10                    | 10    | 250        |
| <i>P. mirabilis</i> ATCC 12453  | 20              | >20   | > 20            | >20   | > 20            | 10    | 20                    | 10    | 15.6       |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>   | > 20            | 20    | > 20            | 20    | > 20            | 10    | 20                    | 10    | 250        |
| <i>P. aeruginosa</i> ATCC 27853 | > 20            | 20    | > 20            | 20    | > 20            | 10    | 20                    | 10    | 62.5       |
| <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>    | 20              | 10    | 20              | >20   | > 20            | 20    | 20                    | 10    | n.t.       |
| <i>Salmonella enterica</i>      | 20              | 20    | > 20            | >20   | > 20            | 10    | 20                    | 10    | 15.6       |
| <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>   | 20              | 20    | 20              | >20   | > 20            | 10    | 20                    | 10    | 15.6       |
| Fungi                           |                 |       |                 |       |                 |       |                       |       |            |
| <i>Candida albicans</i>         | >20             | >20   | >20             | 2.5   | 20              | 20    | 20                    | 10    | 62.52      |
| <i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 10231   | >20             | >20   | >20             | 2.5   | 10              | 20    | 10                    | 20    | 31.2       |
| <i>Penicillium digitatum</i>    | 20              | 10    | 20              | 2.5   | 1.25            | <0.16 | 1.25                  | 5     | 31.2       |
| <i>Penicillium italicum</i>     | 20              | 20    | 10              | 10    | 10              | 20    | 10                    | 5     | 1000       |
| <i>Aspergillus restrictus</i>   | 20              | 20    | 10              | <0.16 | 2.5             | 10    | 2.5                   | 2.5   | 500        |
| <i>Aspergillus flavus</i>       | 10              | 5     | 5               | <0.16 | 5               | 5     | 10                    | 5     | 1000       |
| <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>    | 20              | 5     | 2.5             | 2.5   | 5               | 5     | 0.63                  | 5     | 500        |
| <i>Aspergillus niger</i>        | >20             | 20    | 20              | 20    | 20              | 10    | 20                    | 10    | 500        |

MIC- minimum inhibitory concentration; S – doxycycline/fluconazole; n.t. – not tested.

**Table 2.** Total phenol content, total flavonoid content, and DPPH radical-scavenging ability expressed as EC<sub>50</sub>.

| Plant extract         | Total phenol content (mg GAE/g) |                           | Total flavonoid content (mg RUE/g) |                             | EC <sub>50</sub> values (µg/mL) |                            |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
|                       | Aerial parts                    | Roots                     | Aerial Parts                       | Roots                       | Aerial parts                    | Roots                      |
| Aqueous extract       | 27.11 ± 0.91 <sup>ab</sup>      | 9.94 ± 0.47 <sup>a</sup>  | 24.63 ± 1.77 <sup>a</sup>          | 2.32 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>    | 356.3 ± 11.01 <sup>a</sup>      | > 1000                     |
| Ethanol extract       | 35.59 ± 0.43 <sup>c</sup>       | 12.83 ± 0.70 <sup>b</sup> | 55.02 ± 1.74 <sup>b</sup>          | 3.61 ± 0.27 <sup>ab,c</sup> | 181.3 ± 1.53 <sup>b</sup>       | > 1000                     |
| Acetone extract       | 33.52 ± 0.18 <sup>d</sup>       | 24.07 ± 0.79 <sup>c</sup> | 68.63 ± 1.39 <sup>c</sup>          | 4.53 ± 0.10 <sup>c</sup>    | 363.3 ± 3.51 <sup>a</sup>       | 783.33 ± 2.52 <sup>a</sup> |
| Ethyl acetate extract | 29.32 ± 0.17 <sup>a</sup>       | 27.88 ± 0.13 <sup>d</sup> | 85.07 ± 1.31 <sup>d</sup>          | 3.85 ± 0.16 <sup>b</sup>    | 614.3 ± 12.5 <sup>d</sup>       | 795.3 ± 3.78 <sup>a</sup>  |
| Diethyl ether extract | 30.16 ± 0.10 <sup>b</sup>       | 63.42 ± 0.12 <sup>e</sup> | 83.78 ± 2.46 <sup>d</sup>          | 20.16 ± 1.52 <sup>d</sup>   | 420 ± 10.00 <sup>c</sup>        | 426.67 ± 41.6 <sup>b</sup> |
| Ascorbic acid         |                                 |                           |                                    |                             |                                 | 5.23 ± 0.23                |

Values are expressed as means ± standard deviation. Means in the same column with a superscript with different letters are significantly different at  $p < 0.05$ ; GAE- gallic acid equivalents; RUE – rutin equivalents; EC<sub>50</sub> - effective concentration at which 50% of DPPH radicals were scavenged.

**Statistical analysis.** The experiments, except for those testing antibacterial and antifungal activity, were carried out in triplicate. Statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS 20.0 software program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Differences between means were tested through Student's test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). A difference was considered statistically significant at  $p < 0.05$ .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Total phenol and total flavonoid content.** The quantities of total phenols and total flavonoids, determined by spectrophotometric analyses, are presented in Table 2. In root extracts, the highest total phenolic and flavonoid content was measured in the diethyl ether extract (63.42 ± 0.12 mg of GAE/g of extract and 20.16 ± 1.52 mg of RUE/g of extract, respectively), while in aerial part extracts, the ethanol extract was the richest in total phenols (35.59 ± 0.43 mg of GAE/g of extract) and the ethyl acetate and diethyl ether extracts in flavonoids (85.07 ± 1.31 and 83.78 ± 2.46 mg of RUE/g of extract, respectively). The aerial part extracts contained significantly higher concentrations of flavonoids than in root extracts ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**DPPH radical-scavenging activity.** The antioxidant activity of *G. asclepiadea* extracts depended on the used plant part, the type of extract, and the tested concentrations (Table 2). The aerial part extracts showed significantly

better antioxidant activity than root extracts ( $p < 0.05$ ). A statistically significant difference of activity was also noticed in different types of extracts ( $p < 0.05$ ). Activity was expressed in the form of EC<sub>50</sub> values, which were in the range of 181.3 to 614.3 µg/mL for aerial part extracts and from 426.67 to >1000 µg/mL for root extracts. The most active extracts were the ethanol aerial part extract (181.3 µg/mL) and the diethyl ether root extract (426.67 µg/mL). In this study, potent free radical-scavenging activity was exhibited by extracts which, at the same time, were more highly enriched with phenolic compounds. Previous investigators reported radical-scavenging ability for extracts of the aerial parts of different plants (Nićiforović *et al.* 2010), and the results obtained in the present study extend findings of antioxidant activity to different types of *G. asclepiadea* extracts.

**Antimicrobial activity.** The *in vitro* antibacterial and antifungal activities of aqueous, ethanol, acetone, and ethyl acetate extracts from roots and aerial parts of *G. asclepiadea* were tested against pathogenic and food-spoilage microorganisms (including bacteria, yeasts, and moulds) in order to evaluate the presence of broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity (Table 1).

Regarding bacteria, the tested extracts were more effective against Gram-positive than Gram-negative bacteria. A marked effect was exhibited against *Bacillus* species, especially by the ethanol, acetone, and ethyl acetate extracts of aerial parts. For these extracts, the MICs ranged from 0.16 to 5 mg/mL. On the other hand, Gram-

**Table 3.** Antibiofilm activity of *Gentiana asclepiadea* extracts.

| Species                         | Aqueous extract           |       | Ethanol extract |       | Acetone extract |       |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|
|                                 | Aerial parts              | Roots | Aerial parts    | Roots | Aerial parts    | Roots |
|                                 | BIC <sub>50</sub> (mg/ml) |       |                 |       |                 |       |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>    | 8.93                      | 4.10  | 20.00           | 17.54 | 17.86           | 17.24 |
| <i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 25923     | 5.32                      | 2.12  | 20.41           | 8.93  | 18.87           | 9.26  |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>   | 30.30                     | 32.79 | 35.09           | 36.36 | 37.04           | 36.36 |
| <i>P. aeruginosa</i> ATCC 27853 | 20.00                     | 22.73 | 26.32           | 24.39 | 4.46            | 37.04 |
| <i>Proteus mirabilis</i>        | 20.41                     | 25.00 | 21.48           | 21.74 | 12.20           | 16.66 |

BIC<sub>50</sub> – concentration that prevented 50% biofilm formation.

negative bacteria showed low sensitivity to the tested *G. asclepiadea* extracts. Similar results were obtained in the study of MIHAILOVIĆ *et al.* (2011). Those researchers tested the methanol extract from aerial parts and the n-butanol extract from roots of *G. asclepiadea* and found them to be more active against the Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus* ATCC 25923, *Enterococcus faecalis*, and *Micrococcus lysodeikticus* than against the Gram-negative bacteria *Klebsiella pneumonia* and *Escherichia coli*.

According to published data, this is the first report on antifungal activity of aqueous, ethanol, acetone, and ethyl acetate extracts of *G. asclepiadea*. The tested extracts exhibited low to moderate antifungal activity. Aqueous extracts affected fungal growth at the highest tested concentrations or else were inactive. The most active was the ethanol root extract. *Candida* species were more resistant than the tested filamentous fungi. The ethanol, acetone, and ethyl acetate extracts manifested good inhibitory effects on the growth of *Penicillium digitatum*, *Aspergillus restrictus*, *A. flavus*, and *A. fumigatus*.

**Effect on Biofilm Formation.** Bacterial biofilms are defined as a surface-attached community of bacteria embedded in an organic polymer matrix of bacterial origin. The antibiofilm activity displayed by aqueous, ethanol, and acetone extracts of the roots and aerial parts of *G. asclepiadea* was investigated for the first time in the present study. The extracts prevented development of the tested bacterial biofilms. As presented in Table 3, the extracts inhibited 50% of biofilm formation in the concentration range of from 2.12 to 37.04 mg/mL. The biofilms of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 were the most sensitive to the presence of extracts, a concentration of 2.12 mg/mL of the aqueous extract of roots inhibiting 50% of biofilm formation. In addition, the ethanol extract of roots showed the best result on the biofilm of *S. aureus* ATCC 25923, while the acetone

extract of aerial parts showed the best result on the biofilm of *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853. The ability of plant extracts to control bacterial biofilms has been reported before, suggesting that the application of bioactive plant extracts could be a promising tool for reducing microbial colonization of surfaces or epithelial mucosa leading to subsequent infections (QUAVE *et al.* 2008; STEFANOVIĆ *et al.* 2015; TEANPAISAN *et al.* 2017).

Plants belonging to the genus *Gentiana* are well-known for their pharmacological activities, including hepatoprotective, anti-inflammatory, cytotoxic, anti-tumor, antimicrobial, antioxidant, cholinesterase inhibitory, and immunomodulatory activities. *Gentiana* sp. are especially known for their anti-inflammatory and hepatoprotective effects, which are attributable to secoiridoid glycosides, the most significant bioactive compounds in representatives of the genus *Gentiana*. Hepatoprotective activity was reported for root and rhizome extracts of *G. cruciate*, *G. manshurica*, *G. scabra*, and *G. lutea* (MIHAILOVIĆ *et al.* 2013, 2014; PAN *et al.* 2016). NIHO *et al.* (2006) confirmed gastroprotective effects of the methanol extract of *G. lutea* roots. The extracts from roots of *G. macrophylla* and *G. straminea*, acetone extract from *G. striata*, ethanol extract from flowers of *G. kurroo*, and ethanol and petroleum ether extracts of *G. lutea* rhizomes possessed potent anti-inflammatory activities (PAN *et al.* 2016; MIRZAEI *et al.* 2017). Antioxidant activity has also been proven. The extracts of roots, flowers, and leaves of several species (*G. cruciate*, *G. verna*, *G. septemfida*, *G. olivieri*, *G. lutea*, and *G. scabra*) showed antioxidant activity, which was verified by the DPPH, hydroxyl radical, lipid peroxidation, and metal-chelation assays (SENOI *et al.* 2012; PAN *et al.* 2016). Inhibition of cholinesterase by *G. campestris*, *G. verna*, and *G. cruciate* has also been reported. Flower and leaf extracts of *G. lutea* showed broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity. Moreover, the butanol fraction of *G. olivieri* extracts was shown to possess immunomodula-

tory activity, while *G. aristate*, *G. kurroo*, and *G. kochiana* exhibited cytotoxic activity against human cancer cell lines (PAN *et al.* 2016; MIRZAEI *et al.* 2017).

## CONCLUSION

The results obtained in the present work contribute to a better understanding of the antioxidant, antimicrobial, and antibiofilm activity of the tested *G. asclepiadea* extracts. To judge from published data, this is the first report on antibiofilm activity, as well as on the antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of certain extracts obtained with different solvents. The ethanol extract of aerial parts and the diethyl ether extract of roots could be potential natural antioxidant agents. The tested extracts obtained with organic solvents showed better antibacterial and antifungal activity than aqueous extracts. The extracts were active against *Bacillus* species and *S. aureus*, bacteria which cause food poisoning or human infection. Moreover, the extracts inhibited development of bacterial biofilms, especially that of *S. aureus*. The determined total content of phenols and flavonoids confirmed that the intensity of biological activities of extracts is correlated with the content of bioactive compounds.

**Acknowledgement** — This investigation was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Serbia (grant Nos. 41010 and 173032).

## REFERENCES

- HUDECOVA A, HASPLOVA K, KELLOVSKA L, IKRENOVA M, MIADOKOVA E, GALOVA E, HORVATHOVA E, VACULCIKOVA D, GREGAN F & DUSINSKA M. 2012a. *Gentiana asclepiadea* and *Armoracia rusticana* can modulate the adaptive response induced by zeocin in human lymphocytes. *Neoplasma* **59**: 62–69.
- HUDECOVA A, HASPLOVA K, MIADOKOVA E, MAGDOLENOVA Z, RINNA A, COLLINS AR, GALOVA E, VACULCIKOVA D, GREGAN F & DUSINSKA M. 2012b. *Gentiana asclepiadea* protects human cells against oxidation DNA lesions. *Cell Biochemistry and Function* **30**: 101–107.
- HUDECOVA A, KUSZNIEREWICZ B, HASPLOVA K, HUK A, MAGDOLENOVA Z, MIADOKOVA E, GALOVA E & DUSINSKA M. 2012c. *Gentiana asclepiadea* exerts antioxidant activity and enhances DNA repair of hydrogen peroxide- and silver nanoparticles-induced DNA damage. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* **50**: 3352–3359.
- KITANOV G & SPASSOV S. 1992. A naphthodipyranone from *Gentiana asclepiadea*. *Phytochemistry* **31**: 1067–1068.
- MIHAILOVIĆ V, KATANIĆ J, MIŠIĆ D, STANKOVIĆ V, MIHAILOVIĆ M, USKOKOVIĆ A, ARAMBAŠIĆ J, SOLUJIĆ S, MLADENOVIĆ M & STANKOVIĆ N. 2014. Hepatoprotective effects of secoiridoid rich extracts from *Gentiana cruciata* L. against carbon tetrachloride induced liver damage in rats. *Food and Function* **5**: 1795–1803.
- MIHAILOVIĆ V, VUKOVIĆ N, NIĆIFOROVIĆ N, SOLUJIĆ S, MLADENOVIĆ M, MAŠKOVIĆ P & STANKOVIĆ M. 2011. Studies on the antimicrobial activity and chemical composition of the essential oils and alcoholic extracts of *Gentiana asclepiadea* L. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research* **5**: 1164–1174.
- MIHAILOVIĆ V, VUKOVIĆ N, NIĆIFOROVIĆ N, SOLUJIĆ S, MLADENOVIĆ M, MAŠKOVIĆ P, STANKOVIĆ M, KATANIĆ J, MLADENOVIĆ M, SOLUJIĆ S & MATIĆ S. 2013. Hepatoprotective effects of *Gentiana asclepiadea* L. extracts against carbon tetrachloride-induced liver injury in rats. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* **52**: 83–90.
- MIRZAEI F, HOSSEINI A, JOUYBARI HB, DAVOODI A & AZADBAKHT M. 2017. Medicinal, biological and phytochemical properties of *Gentiana* species. *Journal of Traditional and Complementary Medicine* **7**: 400–408.
- NIĆIFOROVIĆ N, MIHAILOVIĆ V, MAŠKOVIĆ P, SOLUJIĆ S, STOJKOVIĆ A & PAVLOVIĆ-MURATSPAHIĆ D. 2010. Antioxidant activity of selected plant species; potential new sources of natural antioxidants. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* **48**: 3125–3130.
- NIHO Y, YAMAZAKI T, NAKAJIMA Y, YAMAMOTO T, ANDO H, HIRAI Y, TORIIZUKA K & IDA Y. 2006. Gastroprotective effects of bitter principles isolated from Gentian root and Swertia herb on experimentally-induced gastric lesions in rats. *Journal of Natural Medicine* **60**: 82–88.
- PAN Y, ZHAO YL, ZHANG J, LI WY & WANG YZ. 2016. Phytochemistry and pharmacological activities of the genus *Gentiana* (Gentianaceae). *Chemical Biodiversity* **13**: 107–150.
- QUAVE CL, PLANO LRW, PANTUSO T & BENNETT BC. 2008. Effects of extracts from Italian medicinal plants on planktonic growth, biofilm formation and adherence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* **118**: 418–428.
- QUETTIER-DELEU C, GRESSIER B, VASSEUR J, DINE T, BRUNET C, LUYCKX M, CAZIN M, CAZIN JC, BAILLEUL F & TROTIN F. 2000. Phenolic compounds and antioxidant activities of buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench) hulls and flour. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* **72**: 35–42.
- SARIĆ M. 1989. Lekovite biljke SR Srbije. Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Belgrade.
- SARKER SD, NAHAR L & KUMARASAMY Y. 2007. Microtitre plate-based antibacterial assay incorporating resazurin as an indicator of cell growth, and its application in the *in vitro* antibacterial screening of phytochemicals. *Methods* **42**: 321–324.
- SENOI FS, TUZUN CY, TOKER G & ORHAN IE. 2012. An *in vitro* perspective to cholinesterase inhibitory and antioxidant activity of five *Gentiana* species and *Gen-*

- tianella caucasea*. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition* **63**: 802–812.
- STEFANOVIĆ OD, TEŠIĆ JD & ČOMIĆ LJ. 2015. *Melilotus albus* and *Dorycnium herbaceum* extracts as source of phenolic compounds and their antimicrobial, antibiofilm, and antioxidant potentials. *Journal of Food Drug Analysis* **23**: 417–424.
- STEFANOVIĆ S, VUKOVIĆ D, DAKIĆ I, SAVIĆ B & ŠVABIĆ-VLAHOVIĆ M. 2000. A modified microtiter-plate test for quantification of staphylococcal biofilm formation. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* **40**: 175–179.
- SZÜCS Z, DÁNOS B & NYIREDY S. 2002. Comparative analysis of the underground parts of *Gentiana* species by HPLC with diode-array and mass spectrometric detection. *Chromatographia* **56**: S19–23.
- TAKAO T, KITATANI F, WATANABE N, YAGI A & SAKATA K. 1994. A simple screening method for antioxidants and isolation of several antioxidants produced by marine bacteria from fish and shellfish. *Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry* **58**: 1780–1783.
- TEANPAISAN R, KAWSUD P, PAHUMUNTO N & PURIPATTANAVONG J. 2017. Screening for antibacterial and antibiofilm activity in Thai medicinal plant extracts against oral microorganisms. *Journal of Traditional and Complementary Medicine* **7**: 172–177.
- WOOTTON-BEARD PC, MORAN A & RYAN L. 2011. Stability of the total antioxidant capacity and total polyphenol content of 23 commercially available vegetable juices before and after *in vitro* digestion measured by FRAP, DPPH, ABTS and Folin-Ciocalteu methods. *Food Research International* **44**: 217–224.

---

## REZIME

# Bioaktivni ekstrakti vrste *Gentiana asclepiadea*: antioksidativna, antimikrobna i antibiofilm aktivnost

Olgica STEFANOVIĆ, Braho LIČINA, Sava VASIĆ, Ivana RADOJEVIĆ i Ljiljana ČOMIĆ

Analizirana je antimikrobna, antibiofilm i antioksidativna aktivnost, kao i izmerena koncentracija ukupnih fenola i flavonoida u ekstraktima pripremljenim od nadzemnog dela i korena samonikle, lekovite biljke *Gentiana asclepiadea*. Antimikrobna aktivnost je testirana u odnosu na patogene bakterije, kvasce i plesni, kao i na uzročnike kvarenja životnih namirnica. Najjača antibakterijska aktivnost je uočena u odnosu na *Bacillus* vrste sa detektovanim minimalnim inhibitornim koncentracijama (MIK) od 0,16 mg/ml to 5 mg/ml, dok je uočen nizak do srednji intenzitet antifungalne aktivnosti sa MIK vrednostima između 1,25 i 20 mg/ml. Primenom kristal violet testa pokazano je da su koncentracije ekstrakata od 2,12 - 37,04 mg/ml inhibirale formiranje biofilma. Biofilmovi bakterija *Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 i *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 su bili najosetljiviji. Testirani ekstrakti, bogati fenolnim jedinjenjima ispoljili su značajnu antioksidativnu aktivnost. Efektivne koncentracije ( $EC_{50}$ ) su bile u intervalu 181,3 – 614,3  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  za ekstrakte od nadzemnog dela biljke i u intervalu 426,67 - >1000  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  za ekstrakte od korena biljke. Iako se biljka *G. asclepiadea* koristi u tradicionalnoj medicini, njene biološke aktivnosti su još uvek nedovoljno istražene, tako da dobijeni rezultati doprinose boljem upoznavanju njenih lekovitih svojstava.

**KLJUČNE REČI:** *Gentiana asclepiadea*, ekstrakti, antimikrobna aktivnost, antioksidansi, antibiofilm

