A Possible Proof Of The Riemann Hypothesis

Yunus-Emre KAPLAN

December 4, 2024

Abstract

The Zeta Function and one of its analytic continuations are defined as follows:

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{C} \mid Re(s) > 1, \ \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$
$$\forall s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\pi i k}{ln(2)} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \ \zeta(s) = \frac{\eta(s)}{\left(1 - 2^{1-s}\right)}, \ where \ \eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s}$$

The Riemann Hypothesis states the following, for all the nontrivial zeros:

$$\zeta(s) = 0 \implies Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$$

It has already been proved that $Re(s) \in [0, 1[$ for all the nontrivial zeros.

Firstly, for a = Re(s) and b = Im(s), we'll prove that:

$$\zeta(s) = 0 \Rightarrow \eta(s) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} + 2 \times \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k+j-2} \cos(b \ln(k/j))}{(kj)^a} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

And since $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, -1 \le \cos(x) \le 1$, this implies that there exists a map r_n satisfying $-1 \le r_n \le 1$ for all *n* sufficiently large, and for which:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} + 2r_n \times \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \frac{1}{(kj)^a} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

Secondly, by reformulating it as a problem of quadratic equations, we will figure out that this holds true only if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \llbracket 0, 3 \rrbracket$, $r_n \in \left[-\frac{1}{n-1}, -\frac{1}{n-3} \right] \setminus \left\{ -\frac{1}{n-2} \right\}$ where $\llbracket 0, 3 \rrbracket = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and therefore,

that $r_n \sim -\frac{1}{n} as n \to +\infty$

And through various asymptotic equivalences, we will get:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} - \frac{1}{n} \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{a}}\right)^{2} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

Finally, from there, we'll consider a = Re(s) as a map $a_n = Re(s_n)$ converging to a real number $a_{+\infty} \in]0, 1[$, rather than considering it as a fixed value (since we're dealing with infinity). It is for convenience that we denote $\lim_{n \to +\infty} a_n = a_{+\infty} \in]0, 1[$.

Then we'll approximate these two sums with integrals depending on $a_{+\infty}$,

and we shall distinguish three different cases:

•
$$a_{+\infty} \in]0, \frac{1}{2}[$$

• $a_{+\infty} \in]\frac{1}{2}, 1[$
• $a_{+\infty} = \frac{1}{2}$

And conclude that the only case that is logically consistent is when $a_{+\infty} = \frac{1}{2}$.

1 Simplifying the expression

First of all, for the sake of simplification, let's write s = a + ib where a = Re(s) and b = Im(s), We can write the Eta function as follows:

$$\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} n^{-ib}}{n^a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} e^{-ib \ln(n)}}{n^a}$$
$$\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \cos(-b \ln(n))}{n^a} + i \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \sin(-b \ln(n))}{n^a}$$
$$\eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \cos(b \ln(n))}{n^a} - i \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \sin(b \ln(n))}{n^a}$$

If we assume $\zeta(s) = 0$, then by the expression of its analytic continuation $\zeta(s) = \frac{\eta(s)}{(1-2^{1-s})}$, we also have $\eta(s) = 0$ and then $|\eta(s)|^2$ is null too:

$$\begin{split} |\eta(s)|^2 &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \cos(b \ln(n))}{n^a}\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \sin(b \ln(n))}{n^a}\right)^2 = 0\\ thus \ as \ n \ \to +\infty, \ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \cos(b \ln(k))}{k^a}\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \sin(b \ln(k))}{k^a}\right)^2 \to 0\\ \Longleftrightarrow \ \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k+j-2} \cos(b \ln(k)) \cos(b \ln(j))}{(kj)^a} + \frac{(-1)^{k+j-2} \sin(b \ln(k)) \sin(b \ln(j))}{(kj)^a} \to 0 \ as \ n \ \to +\infty\\ \Leftrightarrow \ \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{k+j-2} \left(\frac{\cos(b \ln(k)) \cos(b \ln(j))}{(kj)^a} + \frac{\sin(b \ln(k)) \sin(b \ln(j))}{(kj)^a}\right) \to 0 \ as \ n \ \to +\infty\\ \Leftrightarrow \ \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{k+j-2} \left(\frac{\cos(b \ln(k) - b \ln(j))}{(kj)^a}\right) \to 0 \ as \ n \ \to +\infty\\ \Leftrightarrow \ \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k+j-2} \cos(b \ln(k) - b \ln(j))}{(kj)^a} \to 0 \ as \ n \ \to +\infty \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k+j-2} \cos(b \ln(k) - b \ln(j))}{(kj)^a} \to 0 \ as \ n \ \to +\infty \end{split}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{2k-2}}{k^{2a}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq k}}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k+j-2} \cos(b \ln(k/j))}{(kj)^{a}} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} + 2 \times \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k+j-2} \cos(b \ln(k/j))}{(kj)^{a}} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

$$\forall k, j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket, \forall b \in \mathbb{R}, -1 \leq \cos(b \ln(k/j)) \leq 1$$

$$(1)$$

Thus there exists a map r_n satisfying $-1 \le r_n \le 1$ for all n sufficiently large, and for which:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} + 2r_n \times \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \frac{1}{(kj)^a} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

And we end up with what curiously resembles a quadratic equation.

2 The "Russian Doll" Quadratic Equations

Now let's assume there is $x_1, ..., x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x_1 = 1$ so that:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 + 2r_n \times \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} x_k x_j = 0$$

And let's try and figure out which kind of map r_n is.

But first, let's define $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $u_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2$, $v_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^n x_k x_j$ and $p_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$ Our previous equation becomes:

$$u_n + 2r_n v_n = x_n^2 + 2r_n p_{n-1} x_n + u_{n-1} + 2r_n v_{n-1} = 0$$

And now let's define $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0,1\}}$ and $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0,1\}}$ so that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0,1\}$:

$$f_n u_n + g_n v_n = f_n x_n^2 + g_n p_{n-1} x_n + f_n u_{n-1} + g_n v_{n-1} = 0$$

Let's now express the delta Δ_n of this equation and find the expressions of f_{n-1} and g_{n-1} so that $\Delta_n = f_{n-1}u_{n-1} + g_{n-1}v_{n-1} \ge 0$:

$$\Delta_n = (g_n p_{n-1})^2 - 4f_n (f_n u_{n-1} + g_n v_{n-1}),$$
$$p_{n-1}^2 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k\right)^2 = u_{n-1} + 2v_{n-1},$$

thus
$$\Delta_n = (g_n p_{n-1})^2 - 4f_n (f_n u_{n-1} + g_n v_{n-1}) = g_n^2 (u_{n-1} + 2v_{n-1}) - 4f_n (f_n u_{n-1} + g_n v_{n-1})$$

 $\Delta_n = (g_n^2 - 4f_n^2) u_{n-1} + (2g_n^2 - 4f_n g_n) v_{n-1}$

We conclude that $f_{n-1} = g_n^2 - 4f_n^2$ and $g_{n-1} = 2g_n^2 - 4f_ng_n$, and we see Δ_n is in turn a new quadratic equation:

$$\Delta_n = f_{n-1} x_{n-1}^2 + g_{n-1} p_{n-2} x_{n-1} + f_{n-1} u_{n-2} + g_{n-1} v_{n-2}$$

with a new Δ_{n-1} for which we must determine the conditions to ensure $\Delta_{n-1} \ge 0$, and so on until Δ_2 (hence the comparison with a Russian doll).

But also,
$$\frac{g_{n-1}}{f_{n-1}} = \frac{2g_n^2 - 4f_n g_n}{g_n^2 - 4f_n^2} = \frac{2g_n (g_n - 2f_n)}{(g_n - 2f_n)(g_n + 2f_n)} = \frac{2g_n}{(g_n + 2f_n)} = \frac{2g_n}{\frac{g_n}{f_n} + 2}$$

We observe that each time we calculate a Δ_{n-k} , we actually apply $h: x \mapsto \frac{2x}{x+2}$ to the ratio $\frac{g_{n-k}}{f_{n-k}}$ to

obtain
$$\frac{g_{n-k-1}}{f_{n-k-1}}$$
: $\forall k \in [[1, n-3]], \ \frac{g_{n-k-1}}{f_{n-k-1}} = \frac{2\frac{g_{n-k}}{f_{n-k}}}{\frac{g_{n-k}}{f_{n-k}} + 2}$

In our precise case, $f_n = 1$ and $g_n = 2r_n$, so $\frac{g_n}{f_n} = 2r_n$; our f_{n-1} and g_{n-1} thus become:

$$f_{n-1} = (4r_n^2 - 4)f_n^2 = 4(r_n^2 - 1)f_n^2 = 4(r_n - 1)(r_n + 1)f_n^2$$

$$g_{n-1} = (2 \times 4r_n^2 - 4 \times 2r_n)f_n^2 = 8(r_n^2 - r_n)f_n^2 = 8r_n(r_n - 1)f_n^2$$

Thus, $\frac{g_{n-1}}{f_{n-1}} = \frac{8r_n(r_n-1)f_n^2}{4(r_n-1)(r_n+1)f_n^2} = \frac{2r_n}{r_n+1}.$

Now, let's prove by induction that $\forall k \in \llbracket 1, n-2 \rrbracket$, $\frac{g_{n-k}}{f_{n-k}} = \frac{2r_n}{k \times r_n + 1}$: Let's assume $\exists k \in \llbracket 1, n-3 \rrbracket$, $\frac{g_{n-k}}{f_{n-k}} = \frac{2r_n}{k \times r_n + 1}$,

Then we have:

$$\frac{g_{n-k-1}}{f_{n-k-1}} = h\left(\frac{g_{n-k}}{f_{n-k}}\right) = 2 \times \frac{2r_n}{k \times r_n + 1} \times \frac{1}{\frac{2r_n}{k \times r_n + 1} + 2} = 2 \times 2r_n \times \frac{1}{2r_n + 2(k \times r_n + 1)}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{g_{n-k-1}}{f_{n-k-1}} = h\left(\frac{g_{n-k}}{f_{n-k}}\right) = \frac{4r_n}{2(r_n + k \times r_n + 1)} = \frac{2r_n}{(k+1) \times r_n + 1}$$

Which proves that $\forall k \in \llbracket 1, n-2 \rrbracket$, $\frac{g_{n-k}}{f_{n-k}} = \frac{2r_n}{k \times r_n + 1}$.

Now, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \llbracket 0, 3 \rrbracket$, $\forall k \in \llbracket 1, n-2 \rrbracket$ we can express all the Δ_{n-k} , and above all the following:

$$\Delta_3 = f_2 u_2 + g_2 v_2 = f_2 x_2^2 + f_2 x_1^2 + g_2 x_2 x_1 = f_2 x_2^2 + g_2 x_2 + f_2 \text{ (because } x_1 = 1\text{)}$$

$$\Delta_2 = g_2^2 - 4f_2^2 = 4 \times \left(\frac{r_n^2}{[(n-2) \times r_n + 1]^2} - 1\right) \times f_2^2$$

To determine the positivity of Δ_2 we only focus on the positivity of $\frac{r_n^2}{[(n-2) \times r_n + 1]^2} - 1$, for we know f_2^2 and 4 are always positive.

$$\frac{r_n^2}{[(n-2)\times r_n+1]^2} - 1 \ge 0 \iff r_n^2 \ge [(n-2)\times r_n+1]^2 \iff \left[1 - (n-2)^2\right]r_n^2 - 2(n-2)r_n - 1 \ge 0$$

$$\Delta = 4(n-2)^2 - 4 \times (-1) \left[1 - (n-2)^2 \right] = 4 \left[(n-2)^2 + 1 - (n-2)^2 \right] = 4 > 0$$

So solutions for all of our previous Δ_k exist;

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus [[0, 3]]$, the quadratic coefficient $[1 - (n - 2)^2]$ is strictly negative, so: $\begin{bmatrix} 2(n-2) - \sqrt{4} & 2(n-2) + \sqrt{4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$r_n \in \left[\frac{2(n-2) - \sqrt{4}}{2\left[1 - (n-2)^2\right]}, \frac{2(n-2) + \sqrt{4}}{2\left[1 - (n-2)^2\right]}\right] \setminus \left\{-\frac{1}{n-2}\right\}$$

which means:

$$r_{n} \in \left[\frac{(n-2)-1}{1-(n-2)^{2}}, \frac{(n-2)+1}{1-(n-2)^{2}}\right] \setminus \left\{-\frac{1}{n-2}\right\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow r_{n} \in \left[\frac{(n-2)-1}{(1-n+2)(1+n-2)}, \frac{(n-2)+1}{(1-n+2)(1+n-2)}\right] \setminus \left\{-\frac{1}{n-2}\right\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow r_{n} \in \left[-\frac{1}{n-1}, -\frac{1}{n-3}\right] \setminus \left\{-\frac{1}{n-2}\right\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus [[0,3]]$$

(we exclude $-\frac{1}{n-2}$ because of the term $\frac{r_{n}^{2}}{1(n-2)(n-1)^{2}}$ in Δ_{2});

(we exclude $-\frac{1}{n-2}$ because of the term $\frac{1}{[(n-2) \times r_n + 1]^2}$ III Δ_2) Therefore, as $n \to +\infty$, $r_n \sim -\frac{1}{n}$

In conclusion, for the following to be true, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 + 2r_n \times \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} x_k x_j \to 0$$

We must have it in the following form:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 - \frac{2}{n} \times \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} x_k x_j \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

Now we could simplify this:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 - \frac{2}{n} \times \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} x_k x_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq k}}^{n} x_k x_j$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_k x_j - \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 \right) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \times \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_k x_j = 0$$

And as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ the asymptotic equivalences give us the following:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_k x_j \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k\right)^2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

Now to get back to our problem, if we assume that $\forall k \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, $x_k = \frac{1}{k^a}$, then we get, as $n \to +\infty$:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} - \frac{1}{n} \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{a}}\right)^{2} \to 0$$

Which is therefore - thanks to all we've seen up to now - the new formula on which we'll work from now on, and which is way more easy-to-handle and less obscure than:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} + 2 \times \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k+j-2} \cos(b \ln(k/j))}{(kj)^a} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

3 Comparison Of Asymptotic Behaviours

Now, We got this expression from the previous part:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} - \frac{1}{n} \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{a}}\right)^{2} \to 0, \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

Since we're dealing with infinity, instead of distinguishing the cases for different fixed values for $a \in [0, 1[$, **I will speak of a map** $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ **converging to a real number in** $[0, 1[: \lim_{n \to +\infty} a_n \to a_{+\infty} \in [0, 1[$ with a rate of convergence $\epsilon_n = a_n - a_{+\infty}$.

The sums with their corrections (obtained via Taylor expansions) become, as $n \to +\infty$:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a_{+\infty}}} - 2\epsilon_n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\ln(k)}{k^{2a_{+\infty}}} - \frac{1}{n} \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{a_{+\infty}}} - \epsilon_n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\ln(k)}{k^{a_{+\infty}}}\right)^2 \to 0$$

The correction terms can be ignored for a fast convergence of a_n ; We'll deal with fast and slow convergences.

It has already been well-established in the literature [1, 2] that $a_{+\infty} \in]0, 1[$ for all the nontrivial zeros, so $1 - a_{+\infty} > 0$ and then the squared sum can be approximated with the following squared integral as follows if a_n converges fastly to its limit:

$$\left(\int_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{t^{a}} dt\right)^{2} = \frac{\left(n^{1-a} - 1\right)^{2}}{(1-a)^{2}} \sim \frac{n^{2-2a}}{(1-a)^{2}} \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

to obtain the following (I omit the *n* index of a_n for convenience in these calculations):

$$\forall a \in]0, 1[and as n \to +\infty, \ \frac{1}{n} \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{a}}\right)^{2} \sim \frac{1}{n} \times \frac{n^{2-2a}}{(1-a)^{2}} = \frac{n^{1-2a}}{(1-a)^{2}}$$

And for a slow convergence, the sum of the correction term added in the squared sum:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \int_1^{n+1} \frac{\ln(t)}{t^{a_{+\infty}}} dt \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\ln(k)}{k^{a_{+\infty}}} \leq 1 + \int_2^{n+1} \frac{\ln(t-1)}{(t-1)^{a_{+\infty}}} dt$$

$$with \int_1^n \frac{\ln(t)}{t^{a_{+\infty}}} dt = \frac{\ln(n)n^{1-a_{+\infty}}}{1-a_{+\infty}} - \frac{n^{1-a_{+\infty}}-1}{(1-a)^2}$$

Therefore, since $1 - a_{+\infty} > 0$ we get the following asymptotic equivalence:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\ln(k)}{k^{a_{+\infty}}} \sim \int_{1}^{n} \frac{\ln(t)}{t^{a_{+\infty}}} dt \sim \frac{\ln(n)n^{1-a_{+\infty}}}{1-a_{+\infty}} - \frac{n^{1-a_{+\infty}}}{(1-a)^2} as \ n \to +\infty$$

As to the sum of squares, for a fast convergence:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \int_1^{n+1} \frac{1}{t^{2a}} dt \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{2a}} \leq 1 + \int_2^{n+1} \frac{1}{(t-1)^{2a}} dt$$

And the sum of the correction term added for a slow convergence:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \int_1^{n+1} \frac{\ln(t)}{t^{2a_{+\infty}}} dt \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\ln(k)}{k^{2a_{+\infty}}} \leq 1 + \int_2^{n+1} \frac{\ln(t-1)}{(t-1)^{2a_{+\infty}}} dt$$

So $\exists \mu \in [0,1] \mid \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\ln(k)}{k^{2a_{+\infty}}} \sim \mu + \int_1^n \frac{\ln(t)}{t^{2a_{+\infty}}} dt \text{ as } n \to +\infty$

We get to distinguish $a_{+\infty} \neq \frac{1}{2}$ and $a_{+\infty} = \frac{1}{2}$ for the sum of squares.

If
$$a_{+\infty} \neq \frac{1}{2}$$
:
Fast convergence:

$$\frac{(n+1)^{1-2a}-1}{1-2a} \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} \leqslant 1 + \frac{(n+1-1)^{1-2a}-(2-1)^{1-2a}}{1-2a}$$

(I skipped the details of variable substitution on the right side)

We then obtain the following asymptotic equivalences, as $n \to +\infty$:

$$\frac{n^{1-2a}-1}{1-2a} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} \leq 1 + \frac{n^{1-2a}-1}{1-2a}$$

Which means that as $n \to +\infty$, $\exists \lambda \in [0,1]$, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} \sim \lambda + \frac{n^{1-2a}-1}{1-2a}$

Sum of the correction term added for a slow convergence (asymptotic equivalent as $n \rightarrow +\infty$):

$$\exists \mu \in [0,1] \mid \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\ln(k)}{k^{2a_{+\infty}}} \sim \int_{1}^{n} \frac{\ln(t)}{t^{2a_{+\infty}}} dt + \mu = \frac{\ln(n)n^{1-2a_{+\infty}}}{1-2a_{+\infty}} - \frac{n^{1-2a_{+\infty}}-1}{(1-2a_{+\infty})^{2}} + \mu$$

If $a_{+\infty} = \frac{1}{2}$:

Fast convergence:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{2a}} \sim \ln(n) \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

Sum of the correction term for a slow convergence (asymptotic equivalent as $n \rightarrow +\infty$):

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\ln(k)}{k^{2a_{+\infty}}} \sim \int_{1}^{n} \frac{\ln(t)}{t} dt \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$
$$\int_{1}^{n} \frac{\ln(t)}{t} dt = \ln(n)^{2} - \int_{1}^{n} \frac{\ln(t)}{t}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{1}^{n} \frac{\ln(t)}{t} dt = \frac{\ln(n)^{2}}{2}$$

We therefore have three different cases:

•
$$a_{+\infty} \in]0, \frac{1}{2}[$$

• $a_{+\infty} \in]\frac{1}{2}, 1[$
• $a_{+\infty} = \frac{1}{2}$

Case $a_{+\infty} \in [0, \frac{1}{2}[:$

If a_n converges fastly enough to its limit, we can take the following for granted: $1 - 2a_{+\infty} > 0$ so n^{1-2a} grows unboundedly as $n \to +\infty$, so:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} \sim \frac{n^{1-2a}}{1-2a} \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

Thus our expression:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} - \frac{n^{1-2a}}{(1-a)^2} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

becomes, as $n \to +\infty$:

$$\frac{n^{1-2a}}{1-2a} - \frac{n^{1-2a}}{(1-a)^2} \to 0 \iff (1-a_{+\infty})^2 = 1 - 2a_{+\infty} \iff 1 - 2a_{+\infty} + a_{+\infty}^2 = 1 - 2a_{+\infty}$$

 $\Leftrightarrow a_{+\infty}^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{+\infty} = 0$, which contradicts $a_{+\infty} \in [0, \frac{1}{2}[$.

If the convergence is slow, the expression with the correction terms is as follows: As $n \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$\frac{n^{1-2a_{+\infty}}}{1-2a_{+\infty}} - \frac{2\epsilon_n \ln(n)n^{1-2a_{+\infty}}}{1-2a_{+\infty}} - \frac{n^{1-2a_{+\infty}}}{(1-a_{+\infty})^2} + \frac{2\epsilon_n \ln(n)n^{1-2a_{+\infty}}}{(1-a_{+\infty})^2} - \frac{\epsilon_n^2 \ln(n)^2 n^{1-2a_{+\infty}}}{(1-a_{+\infty})^2} \to 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow n^{1-2a_{+\infty}} \left(\frac{1}{1-2a_{+\infty}} - \frac{2\epsilon_n \ln(n)}{1-2a_{+\infty}} - \frac{1}{(1-a_{+\infty})^2} + \frac{2\epsilon_n \ln(n)}{(1-a_{+\infty})^2} - \frac{\epsilon_n^2 \ln(n)^2}{(1-a_{+\infty})^2}\right) \to 0$$

which necessitates :

$$\frac{1 - 2\epsilon_n \ln(n)}{1 - 2a_{+\infty}} - \frac{1 - 2\epsilon_n \ln(n) + \epsilon_n^2 \ln(n)^2}{(1 - a_{+\infty})^2} \to 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{(1 - a_{+\infty})^2}{1 - 2a_{+\infty}} - \frac{1 - 2\epsilon_n \ln(n) + \epsilon_n^2 \ln(n)^2}{1 - 2\epsilon_n \ln(n)} \to 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{a_{+\infty}^2}{1 - 2a_{+\infty}} - \frac{\epsilon_n^2 \ln(n)^2}{1 - 2\epsilon_n \ln(n)} \to 0$$

for
$$\beta = \epsilon_n \ln(n)$$
, this means:
 $a_{+\infty}^2 - 2a_{+\infty}^2\beta - (1 - 2a_{+\infty})\beta^2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$
 $\Delta = 4a_{+\infty}^4 + 4a_{+\infty}^2(1 - 2a_{+\infty}^2) = 4a_{+\infty}^2(1 - a_{+\infty}^2) > 0$

This equation admits two real solutions, let's not delve into the details but just call them c_1 and c_2 , (I'll write *c* for both to simplify), and just keep in mind that epsilon can then be expressed as:

$$\beta \in \{c_1, c_2\} \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_n \in \left\{\frac{c_1}{\ln(n)}, \frac{c_2}{\ln(n)}\right\}$$

Actually, since we only retained the dominant terms of the sums multiplying ϵ_n and ϵ_n^2 , we neglected the following ones:

$$n^{1-2a_{+\infty}} \left(2 \left(\frac{1}{(1-2a_{+\infty})^2} - \frac{1}{(1-a_{+\infty})^3} \right) \epsilon_n + \left(\frac{2\ln(n)}{(1-a_{+\infty})^3} - \frac{1}{(1-a_{+\infty})^4} \right) \epsilon_n^2 \right) \\ - \frac{1-2\epsilon_n \ln(n)}{1-2\epsilon_n \ln(n)} - \frac{1-2\epsilon_n \ln(n)}{1-2\epsilon_n \ln(n)} + \epsilon_n^2 \ln(n)^2$$

And these stay hidden in the parenthesis while $\frac{1 - 2\epsilon_n \ln(n)}{1 - 2a_{+\infty}} - \frac{1 - 2\epsilon_n \ln(n) + \epsilon_n \ln(n)}{(1 - a_{+\infty})^2}$ vanishes for

$$\epsilon_n \in \left\{\frac{c_1}{\ln(n)}, \frac{c_2}{\ln(n)}\right\}$$
 (I'll write $\epsilon_n = \frac{c}{\ln(n)}$ not to repeat both each time).

As a consequence, in the end, while this disappears:

$$n^{1-2a_{+\infty}} \left(\frac{1}{1-2a_{+\infty}} - \frac{2c}{1-2a_{+\infty}} - \frac{1}{(1-a_{+\infty})^2} + \frac{2c}{(1-a_{+\infty})^2} - \frac{c^2}{(1-a_{+\infty})^2} \right) \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0$$

We are left with this:

$$n^{1-2a_{+\infty}} \left(\frac{2c}{\ln(n)} \left(\frac{c}{(1-a_{+\infty})^3} + \frac{1}{(1-2a_{+\infty})^2} - \frac{1}{(1-a_{+\infty})^3} \right) - \frac{c^2}{\ln(n)^2 (1-a_{+\infty})^4} \right) \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0$$

And this is **impossible** because, if $1 - 2a_{+\infty} > 0$, $\ln(n) = o(n^{1-2a_{+\infty}})$ and $\ln(n)^2 = o(n^{1-2a_{+\infty}})$, so this can never tend to 0, and we have a contradiction here.

(In the following versions, I may make c_1 and c_2 explicit).

For all the Taylor expansions of order $p \in \mathbb{N} \mid p \ge 1$, we'll get something of the following form: $order \ p \in \mathbb{N}^* : for \ q \in \llbracket 0, 2p \rrbracket and \ y_q \in \mathbb{R} :$

$$n^{1-2a_{+\infty}} \Big(y_0 + \epsilon_n(\ln(n)y_1 + o(\ln(n))) + \dots + \epsilon_n^{2p} \Big(\ln(n)^{2p} y_{2p} + o\big(\ln(n)^{2p}\big) \Big) \Big) \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0,$$

a polynomial where we are able to set the unknow as $\beta = \epsilon_n \ln(n)$, and may find one or more real roots as we did for the expansion of order 1, we shall then have $\epsilon_n = \frac{d_p}{\ln(n)}$, with $d_p \in \mathbb{R}$ again (for simplification, this single d_p denotes any root of the polynomial when we use an expansion of order p if they exist).

This way, in the end, the parenthesis itself may tend to 0 (if roots exist for the polynomial), but because of the "hidden" terms denoted by each $o(\ln(n)^q)$, we would anyway be left with:

$$n^{1-2a_{+\infty}} \left(d_p \left(\frac{w_{1,1}}{\ln(n)} \right) + \dots + d_p^{2p} \left(\frac{w_{2p,1}}{\ln(n)} + \dots + \frac{w_{2p,2p}}{\ln(n)^{2p}} \right) \right) \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0$$

with $w_{k,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $(k, j) \in [\![1, 2p]\!]^2$

And this can't tend to 0 because $1 - 2a_{+\infty} > 0$ and then $\forall q \in \llbracket 0, 2p \rrbracket$, $\ln(n)^q = o(n^{1-2a_{+\infty}})$. A situation similar to that of the expansion of order 1.

Case
$$a_{+\infty} \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1[:$$

If a_n converges fastly enough to its limit, we can take the following for granted:

As
$$n \to +\infty$$
, $\exists \lambda \in [0, 1]$, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} \sim \lambda + \frac{n^{1-2a} - 1}{1 - 2a}$
In this case, $1 - 2a_{+\infty} < 0$,
Therefore as $n \to +\infty$, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} \to \lambda + \frac{1}{2a - 1}$ and then $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a}} - \frac{n^{1-2a}}{(1 - a)^2} \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0$ becomes:

 $\lambda + \frac{1}{2a-1} - \frac{n^{1-2a}}{(1-a)^2} \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0, \text{ and since } 1 - 2a_{+\infty} < 0 \text{ this means } \lambda + \frac{1}{2a-1} = 0$ $\Leftrightarrow (2a-1)\lambda + 1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow 2a\lambda = \lambda - 1 \Leftrightarrow a_{+\infty} = \frac{\lambda - 1}{2\lambda} \le 0 \text{ because } \lambda - 1 \le 0 \text{ while } 2\lambda \ge 0 \text{ for } \lambda \in [0, 1], \text{ which contradicts } a_{+\infty} \in]\frac{1}{2}, 1[.$

If the convergence is slow, the expression with the correction terms is as follows:

$$\begin{split} \lambda &+ \frac{1}{2a_{+\infty} - 1} - 2\epsilon_n \left(\frac{1}{(1 - 2a_{+\infty})^2} + \mu \right) - \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n^{1 - a_{+\infty}}}{1 - a_{+\infty}} - \frac{\epsilon_n \ln(n)n^{1 - a_{+\infty}}}{1 - a} \right)^2 \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0 \\ \text{where } \mu \in [0, 1]; \ \epsilon_n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0 \text{ thus } -2\epsilon_n \left(\frac{1}{(1 - 2a_{+\infty})^2} + \mu \right) \text{ vanishes anyway:} \\ \lambda &+ \frac{1}{2a_{+\infty} - 1} - \frac{n^{1 - 2a_{+\infty}}}{(1 - a_{+\infty})^2} + \frac{2\epsilon_n \ln(n)n^{1 - 2a_{+\infty}}}{(1 - a_{+\infty})^2} - \frac{\epsilon_n^2 \ln(n)^2 n^{1 - 2a_{+\infty}}}{(1 - a_{+\infty})^2} \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0 \\ \text{and } n^{1 - 2a_{+\infty}} \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0, \text{ then:} \\ \lambda &+ \frac{1}{2a_{+\infty} - 1} = 0 \text{ which means } a_{+\infty} = \frac{\lambda - 1}{2\lambda} \leqslant 0 \text{ which contradicts } a_{+\infty} \in]\frac{1}{2}, 1[\text{ once again } n > 1 + 2\epsilon_n \ln(n)n^{1 - 2a_{+\infty}} + 2\epsilon$$

The same thing happens for all the expansions of order $p \in \mathbb{N} \mid p \ge 1$:

Same as the first-order approximation, since $n^{1-2a_{+\infty}} \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0$, all the powers of ϵ_n will be multiplied by a constant value and since $\epsilon_n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0$, the Taylor expansion of any order would leave us only with $\lambda + \frac{1}{2a_{+\infty} - 1} = 0$, and we'll end up with the same $a_{+\infty} = \frac{\lambda - 1}{2\lambda} \leq 0$ contradicting $a_{+\infty} \in]\frac{1}{2}, 1[$. **Case** $a_{+\infty} = \frac{1}{2}$:

If a_n converges fastly enough to its limit, we can take the following for granted:

As
$$n \to +\infty$$
, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a_n}} \sim \ln(n)$, so $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a_n}} - \frac{n^{1-2a_n}}{(1-a_n)^2} \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ becomes:

$$\ln(n) - \frac{n^{1-2a_n}}{(1-a_n)^2} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

And now, let's reflect upon the conditions for this statement to hold:

- As said earlier, we deal with a map $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ converging to a real number in]0, 1[as $n \to +\infty$, $\frac{1}{2}$ in this case, rather than a fixed value $a = \frac{1}{2}$, otherwise it would mean that $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \ln(n) \to 4$ which is absurd,
- $\frac{1}{(1-a_n)^2} \to 4 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$, so it doesn't affect the asymptotic behaviour of n^{1-2a_n} ,

- $\ln(n)$ grows unboundedly as $n \to +\infty$, so we must have $1 2a_n > 0$ for all n sufficiently large, for n^{1-2a_n} to grow unboundedly as $n \to +\infty$ as well,
- Had we assumed that $\exists l > 0 \mid \lim_{n \to +\infty} 1 2a_n = l$, we would get $\ln(n) \frac{n^l}{\left(\frac{l+1}{2}\right)^2} \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$,

which is impossible because $\forall l > 0$, $\ln(n) = o(n^l)$, therefore this subtraction tends to $-\infty$ and not 0 as $n \to +\infty$,

So it is necessary that 1 - 2a_n be strictly positive for all n sufficiently large while converging to 0⁺ as n → +∞, in order to adequately "bend" n^{1-2a_n} for it to match ln(n), for the subtraction to tend to zero,

You'd think we finished, the problem is, even in this case, the expression of $\epsilon_n = a_n - a_{+\infty}$ becomes something like $\epsilon_n = -\frac{\ln(\frac{\ln(n)}{4})}{2\ln(n)}$, which is **too slow a rate of convergence to neglect the correction terms**; which we ironically did here.

So we're left with one case, our last chance:

If the convergence to $\frac{1}{2}$ is slow, the equivalence with the correction terms is as follows:

$$\ln(n) - 2\epsilon_n \left(\frac{\ln(n)^2}{2}\right) - \frac{n^{1-2\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - 2\epsilon_n \ln(n) + \epsilon_n^2 \ln(n)^2\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \to 0, \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \ln(n)(1 - \epsilon_n \ln(n)) - 4\left(1 - 2\epsilon_n \ln(n) + \epsilon_n^2 \ln(n)^2\right) \to 0, \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \ln(n) - 4 + \left(8\ln(n) - \ln(n)^2\right)\epsilon_n - 4\epsilon_n^2\ln(n)^2 \to 0, \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

$$\epsilon_n = \frac{1}{\ln(n)} \text{ is an ideal choice:}$$

$$\ln(n) - 4 + \left(8\ln(n) - \ln(n)^2\right) \frac{1}{\ln(n)} - 4\left(\frac{1}{\ln(n)}\right)^2 \ln(n)^2$$

$$= \ln(n) - 4 + \frac{8\ln(n)}{\ln(n)} - \frac{\ln(n)^2}{\ln(n)} - 4$$

$$= \ln(n) - 4 + 8 - \ln(n) - 4 = 0$$

We have a good $\epsilon_n = \frac{1}{\ln(n)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$. So if a_n tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ slowly, this adequate ϵ_n **exists, and voilà, we get the right result**. $\frac{1}{2}$ is the only limit the map a_n can reach as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, if it hopes to satisfy:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{2a_n}} - \frac{1}{n} \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^{a_n}}\right)^2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty$$

And we could ideally write a_n as $a_n = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\ln(n)}$, for a first-order Taylor expansion.

What about the Taylor expansions of any order $p \rightarrow +\infty$?

We are going determine x_p and y_p to figure out our rate of convergence $\epsilon_n = \frac{x_p \ln(n) + y_p}{\ln(n)^2}$ for which the total sums tends to 0 as $n \to +\infty$ for an infinite order of expansion.

The Taylor expansion of the totals sums is as follows (equivalences as $n \rightarrow +\infty$):

$$\ln(n) + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{(-2)^{k} \epsilon_{n}^{k} \ln(n)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} - \frac{1}{n} \times \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{k} \epsilon_{n}^{k} \sqrt{n} \ln(n)^{k}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) k!} \right)^{2} = \\ \ln(n) + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{(-2)^{k} \epsilon_{n}^{k} \ln(n)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} - 4 - 8 \times \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{(-\epsilon_{n})^{k} \ln(n)^{k}}{k!} - 4 \times \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{(-\epsilon_{n})^{k+j} \ln(n)^{k+j}}{k! \times j!}$$
(2)

now let's search x_p and y_p for $\epsilon_n = \frac{x_p \ln(n) + y_p}{\ln(n)^2}$ to make the total sum tend to zero, I spare the details, we have something in the lines of:

$$\ln(n) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{(-2)^{k} x_{p}^{k}}{(k+1)!} \right) - y_{p} - 4 - 8 \times \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{k} x_{p}^{k}}{k!} - 4 \times \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{k+j} x_{p}^{k+j}}{k! \times j!} \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0$$

You may ask "how did y_p get out just like this?", it's because at k = 1, we had:

$$-\frac{2\ln(n)^2}{2} \times \frac{(x_p \ln(n) + y_p)}{\ln(n)^2} = -x_p \ln(n) - y_p$$

And that's the only k at which it happens.

Now we must find x_p so that $\ln(n) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{(-2)^k x_p^k}{(k+1)!} \right) \xrightarrow{p \to +\infty} 0$; we'll do as follows:

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{(-2)^{k} x_{p}^{k}}{(k+1)!} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{2x} \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{(-2x_{p})^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} = 1 - \frac{1}{2x} \left(\sum_{k=2}^{p+1} \frac{(-2x_{p})^{k}}{k!} \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{2x} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p+1} \frac{(-2x_{p})^{k}}{k!} - 1 + 2x_{p} \right) = 0 \quad (3)$$

So as
$$p \to +\infty$$
: $1 - \frac{1}{2x} \left(e^{-2x_p} - 1 + 2x_p \right) = 0$
 $\Leftrightarrow e^{-2x_p} - 1 + 2x_p = 2x_p \Leftrightarrow e^{-2x_p} = 1 \Leftrightarrow x_p = 0$

As a consequence, we're only left with $-y_p - 4 = 0 \Leftrightarrow y_p = 4$, and then, as $p \to +\infty$, $\epsilon_n = \frac{4}{\ln(n)^2}$

Therefore, for an infinite order of expansion, we can ideally write:

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{\ln(n)^2}$$

so $a_n \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} \frac{1}{2}$

Conclusion:

For any nontrivial zero
$$s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\pi i k}{ln(2)} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^s} = 0$$

implies that Re(s) be a map of n, $a_n = Re(s_n)$, the limit of which **necessarily** is: $\lim_{n \to +\infty} a_n = \frac{1}{2}$.

Therefore, since
$$\zeta(s) = 0 \implies \eta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n^s} = 0$$
:
For any nontrivial zero $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\pi i k}{ln(2)} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \ \zeta(s) = 0 \implies Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}.$

This proves the Riemann Hypothesis.

[1] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function* (1986).
[2] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory* (2004)